



Published in final edited form as:

*Eur J Neurol.* 2011 May ; 18(5): 756–765. doi:10.1111/j.1468-1331.2011.03353.x.

## Coffee, ADORA2A, and CYP1A2: the caffeine connection in Parkinson's disease

R.A. Popat, Ph.D.<sup>1,14</sup>, S.K. Van Den Eeden, Ph.D.<sup>2</sup>, C.M. Tanner, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>3</sup>, F. Kamel, Ph.D., M.S.<sup>4</sup>, D. M. Umbach, Ph.D.<sup>5</sup>, K. Marder, M.D., M.P.H.<sup>6,7</sup>, R. Mayeux, M.D., M.Sc.<sup>6,7</sup>, B. Ritz, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>8</sup>, G. Webster Ross, M.D.<sup>9,10</sup>, H. Petrovitch, M.D.<sup>9,10</sup>, B. Topol, M.A.<sup>1</sup>, V. McGuire, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>, Sadie Costello, M.P.H., Ph.D.<sup>11</sup>, Angelika.D. Manthripragada, Ph.D.<sup>8</sup>, A. Southwick, Ph.D.<sup>12</sup>, R.M. Myers, Ph.D.<sup>12,13</sup>, and L. M. Nelson, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Health Research and Policy, Stanford University, Division of Epidemiology, School of Medicine, Stanford, CA

<sup>2</sup>Division of Research, Kaiser Foundation Research Institute, Oakland, CA

<sup>3</sup>The Parkinson's Institute, Sunnyvale, CA

<sup>4</sup>Epidemiology Branch, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, NIH, Research Triangle Park, NC

<sup>5</sup>Biostatistics Branch, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, NIH, Research Triangle Park, NC

<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Columbia University, College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, NY

<sup>7</sup>The Gertrude H Sergievsky Center and the Taub Institute, Columbia University, College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, NY

<sup>8</sup>Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA

<sup>9</sup>Veterans Affairs Pacific Islands Health Care System, Honolulu, HI

<sup>10</sup>The Pacific Health Research Institute, Honolulu, HI

<sup>11</sup>School of Public Health, Department of Environment Science, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA

<sup>12</sup>Department of Genetics, Stanford University, School of Medicine, Stanford, CA

### Abstract

**Objective**—In MPTP animal models of Parkinson's disease (PD), caffeine protects neurons by blocking the adenosine receptor *A2A* (*ADORA2A*). Caffeine is primarily metabolized by cytochrome P450 1A2 (*CYP1A2*). Our objective was to examine whether *ADORA2A* and *CYP1A2* polymorphisms are associated with PD risk or modify the caffeine-PD association.

**Methods**—Parkinson's Epidemiology and Genetic Associations Studies in the United States (PEGASUS) included five population-based case-control studies. One laboratory genotyped four *ADORA2A* and three *CYP1A2* polymorphisms in 1325 PD cases and 1735 age- and sex-matched controls. Information regarding caffeine (coffee) consumption and other lifestyle factors came

<sup>14</sup>Corresponding author. Department of Health Research and Policy, HRP Redwood Building, Room T209, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California 94305-5405, 650.498.5206 (phone), 650.725.6951 (fax), rpopat@stanford.edu.

<sup>13</sup>Current Address: HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology, 601 Genome Way, Huntsville, AL

from structured in-person or telephone interviews. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated using logistic regression.

**Results**—Two *ADORA2A* polymorphisms were inversely associated with PD risk – rs71651683, a 5' variant (adjusted allelic OR= 0.51, 95% CI 0.33–0.80, permutation-adjusted  $p=0.015$ ) and rs5996696, a promoter region variant (adjusted OR for AC and CC genotypes compared with the AA wildtype genotype were 0.76 (95% CI 0.57–1.02) and 0.37 (95% CI 0.13–1.01), respectively (permutation-adjusted  $p$  for trend=0.04). *CYP1A2* polymorphisms were not associated with PD risk; however, the coffee-PD association was strongest among subjects homozygous for either variant allele rs762551 ( $p_{interaction}=0.05$ ) or rs2470890 ( $p_{interaction}=0.04$ ).

**Interpretation**—In this consortium study, two *ADORA2A* polymorphisms were inversely associated with PD risk, but there was weak evidence of interaction with coffee consumption. In contrast, the coffee-PD association was strongest among slow metabolizers of caffeine who were homozygous carriers of the *CYP1A2* polymorphisms.

### Keywords

Parkinson's disease; caffeine; adenosine receptor A2A; polymorphisms; *CYP1A2*; case-control; epidemiology

## INTRODUCTION

Coffee drinking has been associated with lower risk of Parkinson's disease (PD) in several case-control and cohort studies. A recent meta-analysis showed that coffee-drinkers had a 30% reduction in PD risk compared to non-drinkers [1]. The biological basis of the putative neuroprotective effect of caffeine is not completely understood; however, caffeine has been shown to protect neurons in the 1-methyl-4-phenyl 1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) neurotoxin model of PD by blocking the adenosine A2A receptor (*ADORA2A*) [2–5]. Hence polymorphisms in *ADORA2A*, the gene that encodes the *ADORA2A* receptor, might mediate the caffeine-PD association. Caffeine is primarily metabolized in the body by cytochrome P450 1A2, an enzyme encoded by the gene *CYP1A2* [6,7]. Therefore, polymorphisms in *CYP1A2* may affect caffeine availability and, thereby, modify caffeine effects on PD risk.

Previous studies in ethnically homogeneous populations composed primarily of non-Hispanic Whites [8] or Asians [9,10] have evaluated the role of *ADORA2A* and *CYP1A2* variants on caffeine-PD association, but did not find any interaction.

We used information from five population-based studies to evaluate whether variations in *ADORA2A* or *CYP1A2* were associated with PD risk and whether the caffeine-PD association was modified by these genetic variants.

## METHODS

### Study Design and Populations

This consortium study (Parkinson's Epidemiology and Genetics Association Studies in the U.S. [PEGASUS]) combined DNA and risk factor data from five population-based case-control studies, of which, two were nested within cohorts [11–16]. Characteristics of the study populations are presented in Table 1 and other details, including the research diagnostic criteria [17,18], are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. The pooled data included 1325 PD cases and 1735 age- and sex-matched controls.

## Data Collection Methods

Data were collected by structured in-person or telephone interviews. Data for each subject on the following variables were obtained from the lead investigators of the five component studies: date of diagnosis or reference date, sex, self-reported race/ethnicity, date of birth, family history of PD, smoking history, and caffeine consumption. Race/ethnicity was self-reported according to one of the following categories: Hispanic White, non-Hispanic White, Asian or African American. The Human Subjects Committees at the various institutions approved the study, and informed consent was obtained from all cases and controls.

Methodology for ascertaining caffeine exposure differed slightly among component studies and is briefly summarized in Supplementary Table 1. The Columbia University studies did not ascertain information regarding caffeine consumption and, therefore, caffeine-genotype interactions analyses included 925 cases and 1249 controls. Questions pertaining to caffeine use from the other four studies allowed the construction of the following exposure measures: broad category of consumption (ever/never) and average number of 6-oz cups consumed daily. Since the average amount of caffeine per cup is highest in coffee, we evaluated genotype-caffeine interactions separately for caffeinated coffee, tea, and sodas, and only present results for genotype-coffee interactions in the paper.

## Laboratory Methods

Component studies provided the consortium a DNA sample from each of their subjects. *ADORA2A* and *CYP1A2* were sequenced by the Stanford Human Genome Center in 24 early-onset PD patients randomly selected from the PEAK case-control study. Functional regions of both genes were resequenced, including the exons, intron-exon junctions, and regions within 500 bp of the 5' and 3' UTR regions [19,20]. Variants occurring at polymorphic frequencies (minor allele frequency >1%) were identified and polymorphisms were prioritized for genotyping based on function, location, and frequency, with emphasis given to variants affecting protein sequence and function (i.e., exonic variants producing nonsense, missense changes) and variants affecting gene expression or mRNA stability (i.e., variants located in the promoter region, 5'UTR, 3'UTR, splice-site, intron-exon boundaries). In all samples, we genotyped four *ADORA2A* and three *CYP1A2* SNPs on PEGASUS samples (Table 2).

PCR primers and TaqMan probes were designed based on the NCBI DNA sequence and purchased from ABI (Applied Biosystems, Foster City CA). PCR assays were run in TaqMan Universal Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). Fluorescence data files from each plate were analyzed by automated allele calling software (ABI Prism 7900 HT Sequence Detection System 2.1) and reviewed by a skilled operator. Laboratory personnel were blinded to the identity and case-control status of the samples. For quality control purposes, a 15% repeat set of redundant genotypes was tested along with a small number of samples with known genotypes. The "no call" rate was very low (<1% of samples), thus we are confident that we analyzed only high quality genotyping data.

## Statistical Analyses

Each component study sent interview data and data documentation to Stanford University. For statistical analyses, we used SAS<sup>®</sup> statistical software (SAS Institute, Cary NC) [21]. We evaluated whether genotype distributions for control subjects were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) among each racial/ethnic group separately with chi-square or Fisher's exact tests. We designated the minor allele based on white, non-Hispanic subjects and used it for all ethnicities, even when the designated minor allele was the more frequent allele in these other ethnic groups.

We used unconditional logistic regression analyses to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals for allelic and genotypic associations with PD risk. To evaluate the risk associated with an increasing number of copies of the variant allele for a given polymorphism, we conducted a test of trend. All estimates were adjusted for sex, age, study site, and race/ethnicity.

We excluded subjects who identified their race/ethnicity as other (n=18) and subjects whose genotyping assay results could not be called (n=58), leaving 1325 cases and 1735 controls for analysis. For analyses of the newly discovered SNPs, we also excluded the 24 early onset cases in the discovery sample. Information regarding variants in monogenic genes was only available for the Parkinsonism Epidemiology at Kaiser case-control study (578 cases, 630 controls; 39.6% of all subjects in the PEGASUS consortium). In a sub-analysis, the risk estimates were unchanged when we excluded PEAK subjects who carried any of the known pathogenic variants in monogenic genes (*PARKIN*,  $\alpha$ -synuclein, *DJI*, *PINK1* and *LRRK2*). Because we did not have the information to exclude possible monogenic cases of parkinsonism in the majority of subjects, we conducted our primary analyses using all subjects.

We evaluated whether polymorphisms in *ADORA2A* and *CYP1A2* were effect modifiers of the caffeine-PD associations (ever/never and average cups consumed among ever-drinkers, separately for caffeinated coffee, tea, and sodas). We evaluated effect measure modification on a multiplicative scale by testing the significance of the interaction terms in the logistic regression model using the likelihood ratio chi-square test, which compares the model with the interaction term to the model without it.

We used a permutation-based approach to adjust *p*-values for multiple testing [22]. We randomly permuted the case-control status of subjects within strata defined by sex, race/ethnicity and site. For each of 10,000 permuted datasets, we used logistic regression to compute an age-, sex-, race- and site-adjusted per allele effect estimate for each polymorphism. The resulting empirical *p*-value distribution of 10,000 minimum *p*-values was used to estimate multiple comparison adjusted *p*-values.

## RESULTS

The five case-control studies were similar in some demographic characteristics but differed in others (Table 1). Mean age was fairly similar across the studies; however, HAAS subjects were older. Subjects from the PEAK, FAME, and PEG studies were primarily White, HAAS subjects were all Asians, and the Columbia University study was comprised of 28% Hispanics. History of caffeinated coffee consumption was associated with a 28% reduced risk of PD (adjusted OR=0.72, 95% CI 0.58–0.88); and, among coffee drinkers, the risk decreased 12% with each one cup increase in daily average consumption (adjusted OR=0.88, 95% CI 0.83–0.94; data not shown). We did not observe effect modification by sex for caffeine-PD associations; hence all our genotype-caffeine interaction related analyses combined men and women and adjusted for sex as a covariate. Inverse associations were also observed with caffeinated tea (adjusted OR=0.81, 95% CI 0.67–0.96). Among tea drinkers, PD risk decreased by 7% per cup of average daily consumption; however this estimate was not statistically significant (adjusted OR=0.93, 95% CI 0.83–1.03). Consumption of caffeinated soda was not associated with PD risk (adjusted OR=1.0, 95% CI 0.82–1.22).

### ADORA2A polymorphisms

The four *ADORA2A* SNPs we selected were in HWE among non-Hispanic White, African-American, and Hispanic controls (Table 2). The Asian subgroup from HAAS was not in

HWE at  $p < 0.01$  (rs5751876, rs3032740, and rs5996696); however, no substantial differences in the *ADORA2A*-PD associations were observed after excluding these samples. Therefore, genotypic associations for *ADORA2A* SNPs include subjects from all five studies (Table 3).

SNPs rs5751876 and rs3032740 were in strong linkage disequilibrium ( $D=.997$  and  $r^2=0.98$ ) in all racial/ethnic groups; hence, further discussion will be limited to rs3032740, which has functional relevance as it shown to reduce protein expression [23]. The deletion for rs3032740, identified as the variant among White controls (non-Hispanic and Hispanic) was more frequent than the *Tins* among African-Americans and Asians. After adjustment for age, sex, race/ethnicity and site, we did not find an overall association of rs3032740 genotypes with PD risk (Table 3), and associations were similar across racial/ethnic groups (Supplementary Table 2).

The frequency of variant allele for rs71651683 was 1.1% in cases and 2.1% in controls (adjusted allelic odds ratio 0.51, 95% CI 0.33–0.80, permutation-adjusted  $p=0.015$ ). The variant allele was only present in Whites (non-Hispanic and Hispanic) and a few African-American control subjects (9.1%). Since no cases carried two copies of the variant allele, only genotypic associations involving heterozygotes were estimable, and genotype-coffee interactions could not be evaluated.

The *ADORA2A* promoter variant, rs5996696, was inversely associated with PD risk (3.7% cases, 5.6% controls; adjusted allelic OR 0.70, 95% CI 0.54–0.91). Compared to subjects homozygous for the wildtype allele (AA), the adjusted odds ratios for PD risk among subjects with one (AC) or two copies of the variant allele (CC) were 0.76 (95% CI 0.57–1.02) and 0.37 (95% CI 0.13–1.01), respectively (permutation adjusted  $p$ -value for trend = 0.04, Table 3).

The coffee (ever/never)-PD association was similar among rs3032740 genotypes (Table 4). However, among ever-drinkers, the inverse association with daily number of cups of coffee was strongest among those homozygous for the deletion (adjusted OR=0.70, 95% CI 0.55–0.86,  $p_{interaction}=0.08$ , Table 4). Results were similar when coffee-genotype interactions were restricted to non-Hispanic Whites only (Supplementary Table 3). No interactions of *ADORA2A* genotypes were observed with caffeinated tea or soda (data not shown).

## CYP1A2

All three *CYP1A2* SNPs were in HWE within every ethnic group. For rs762551, homozygous wild type carriers (AA) are rapid caffeine metabolizers and heterozygotes (AC) and homozygotes (CC) are slow caffeine metabolizers [24,25]). We did not find an overall association of rs762551 genotypes with risk of PD (Table 3).

SNPs rs2470890 and rs2472304 were in strong linkage disequilibrium ( $D=.993$ ,  $r^2=.986$ ), hence further discussion will be limited to rs2470890, the exonic variant. The allele 'C' for rs2470890, identified as the variant based on non-Hispanic Whites controls, was the more frequent allele among the other race/ethnic groups (Table 2). We did not find an overall association of rs2470890 genotypes with risk of PD among non-Hispanic Whites, African-Americans, and Asians (Table 3 and Supplementary Table 2). However, among Hispanic subjects with one (TC) or two copies (CC) of the variant allele, the adjusted odds ratios for PD risk were 1.67 (95% CI 0.8–3.4) and 2.1 (95% CI 1.0–4.3), respectively ( $p$  for trend = 0.05, permutation adjusted  $p$ -value for trend = 0.2).

For the rs762551 polymorphism, the effect of coffee consumption (ever vs. never) was strongest among subjects homozygous for the variant allele (adjusted OR=0.33, 95% CI

0.16–0.68,  $p_{interaction} = 0.05$ ; Table 4). Similarly, for the exonic variant rs2470890, the coffee-PD association was strongest among carriers of two copies of the variant allele (adjusted OR=0.43, 95% CI 0.27–0.69;  $p_{interaction} = 0.04$ ). Among ever coffee drinkers, a one 6-oz cup increase in coffee consumption was associated with an approximately 18% reduction in PD risk among heterozygotes (TC) and homozygous variants (CC) for rs2470890 compared to only a 5% reduction in PD risk among homozygous wildtypes ( $p_{interaction} = 0.015$ , Table 4). When analysis was restricted to non-Hispanic whites only the results were similar (Supplementary Table 3); however, the power for genotype-coffee (ever/never) interactions was reduced.

No interactions of *CYP1A2* polymorphisms were observed with caffeinated tea or soda (data not shown).

## Discussion

We report two interesting and novel findings in this consortium study that comprised five U.S. case-control studies of PD. First, a polymorphism in the promoter region of *ADORA2A* (rs5996696) was associated with a 30% decreased risk of PD. Second, a newly identified polymorphism (rs71651683) in the 5' transcription start region of *ADORA2A* was associated with a 49% decreased risk of PD. The associations of the 5' and promoter *ADORA2A* variants with PD risk have not been previously reported. Since these associations remain after adjusting the  $p$ -values for multiple comparisons, they are less likely to represent false-positive findings.

In advance of the study, we hypothesized that any *ADORA2A* polymorphism resulting in reduced expression or function of the receptor would be protective. This hypothesis was based on findings from animal models of PD: knockout mice with non-functioning *ADORA2A* receptor showed protection against MPTP toxicity, and the effect was similar to those related to receptor blockade by caffeine or a pharmacologic agent (e.g., KW-600) [2–4]. While the functional importance of rs5996696 and rs71651683 *ADORA2A* SNPs is not currently known, they are likely to reduce protein expression by affecting transcription [26]. Therefore, our finding that these two *ADORA2A* SNPs are inversely associated with PD is consistent with the role of the *ADORA2A* receptor in caffeine-associated neuroprotection.

A previous study showed that rs3032740 reduces protein expression [23], therefore, we expected the presence of this variant to be protective for PD. We did not, however, find any suggestion of a protective effect of this polymorphism in any of the race/ethnicity groups, a finding that is consistent with two other reports that did not find associations of rs3032740 (or rs5751876, a SNP in strong LD with rs3032740) with PD risk [8,9].

Metabolism by *CYP1A2* is the primary pathway for the conversion of caffeine to paraxanthine. For the most frequently studied intronic variant, rs762551, we expected the risk of PD to be lower among slow metabolizers (AC or CC) compared to fast metabolizers (AA) as the former would have higher caffeine levels [24, 25, 27] resulting in greater neuroprotection. However, consistent with other reports [8,10], in our study, slow metabolizer status did not by itself render any protection against risk of PD. The other *CYP1A2* SNPs genotyped, rs2470890 (exon) and rs2472304 (intron), were in strong LD; their associations with PD risk have not been previously reported. Interestingly, the “C” allele for rs2470890, the minor allele among non-Hispanic whites, was the more common allele among African-Americans, Asians, and Hispanics. We observed an increased PD risk associated with the “C” allele among Hispanics, but the permutation-adjusted per allele effect was not statistically significant at  $\alpha=0.05$ ; hence this finding should be interpreted with caution, especially since the functional impact of this exonic variant is not known.

Pooled analysis from the five case-control studies supported the inverse association of caffeinated coffee consumption with PD risk. A primary objective of this study was to evaluate whether the coffee-PD association was modified by *ADORA2A* or *CYP1A2* polymorphisms. Since variants that would result in a non-functioning *ADORA2A* receptor would probably not be influenced by caffeine, we hypothesized that caffeine would be more protective among homozygous carriers of the wildtype allele. Our findings do not support this hypothesis, however. For the two *ADORA2A* polymorphisms in strong LD, rs3030274 and rs5751876, although the coffee-genotype interaction was stronger with cups consumed than with ever/never consumption, neither provided convincing evidence of interaction. These results are consistent with two other reports that did not find any effect modification of caffeine-PD association with these SNPs [8,9]. We were unable to adequately evaluate interactions of rs5996696 and rs71651683 *ADORA2A* polymorphisms with coffee consumption since the variant allele frequencies for these SNPs were relatively small (<6%).

For the *CYP1A2* rs76551 variant, we hypothesized that the inverse coffee-PD association would be stronger among slow metabolizers compared to rapid metabolizers who carry two copies of the wildtype allele. We did observe that the coffee-PD association was strongest among subjects homozygous for the variant allele, however, it was somewhat weaker for heterozygotes, who are also considered physiologically to be slow metabolizers. Furthermore, although the interaction was statistically significant at the  $\alpha=0.05$  level, interaction *p*-values were not adjusted for multiple comparisons, and hence must be interpreted with caution. Similar to our results, Tan *et al.* [10] found that among Asian subjects, the caffeine-PD association was also stronger in slow compared to fast metabolizers (OR 0.19 vs. 0.40); however, the caffeine-genotype interaction was not statistically significant in multivariable analysis [10]. Fascheris *et al.* [8] did not find any effect of rs762551 variant on caffeine-PD association; however, in their study caffeinated coffee consumption was not associated with PD risk.

For the *CYP1A2* exonic variant rs2470890, subjects homozygous for the variant allele also showed the strongest coffee-PD inverse association. The functional significance of this synonymous variant is not known and it is possible that it has no effect on protein structure or function. A possible explanation for the minimal modification of the coffee-PD association by *CYP1A2* polymorphisms might be that paraxanthine, the primary metabolite produced from caffeine breakdown, also non-selectively inhibits *ADORA2A* receptor *in vitro*, and preliminary studies in mice show that, like caffeine, paraxanthine can also reduce MPTP toxicity [28].

Our consortium study had several strengths. The five constituent case-control studies in the consortium were methodologically rigorous and included careful selection of well characterized cases, a majority of whom were newly diagnosed with PD, as well as population or community based controls. For genotype-PD associations, we used a permutation approach to adjust *p*-values for multiple comparisons, thereby minimizing type I error. Our study had some limitations as well. Although we included subjects from diverse racial/ethnic groups, we did not have sufficient numbers in all subgroups (e.g., African-Americans, n=95) to estimate genotypic effects with precision or to have sufficient power to evaluate caffeine-genotype interactions. Methodology for ascertaining caffeine exposure information varied between studies; however, the methods were comparable enough to allow construction of relevant caffeine related variables for our analyses.

This consortium study characterized *ADORA2A* and *CYP1A2* SNPs in Whites (non-Hispanic and Hispanic), Asians, and African-Americans. Two *ADORA2A* SNPs, which have not been previously studied, were inversely associated with PD risk. While the results of our study do not support the hypothesis that the inverse coffee-PD association was

modified by putative functional polymorphisms in *ADORA2A*, two *CYP1A2* variants appeared to modify the protective effects of coffee on PD risk.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## Acknowledgments

Funding was provided to the PEGASUS genetic consortium by the Michael J Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research. Additional funding to individual investigators for the original studies was provided by: NIH NS R01-31964 and Tobacco-Related Disease Research Fund Grants and 8RT-0131 and 11RT-0237 (Dr. Lorene Nelson,); NIH R01-NS32527 (Drs. Richard Mayeux and Karen Marder), NIA PO1 AG07232 (Dr. Richard Mayeux); NIH ES10544 and UES12078, pilot funding from SCEHSC # 5P30 ES07048, the Parkinson's Disease Association (Dr. Beate Ritz); United States Department of the Army DAM.D.17-98-1-8621, NIA NO1-AG-4-2149, NHLBI NO1-HC-05102, and VA Medical Research funds (Dr. G. Webster Ross); NIEHS 01-ES10803 and U54-ES12077 (Drs. Caroline Tanner and Freya Kamel). The FAME study was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and National Cancer Institute (Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics). The information in this paper does not necessarily reflect the position or the policy of the government and no official endorsement should be inferred.

## Author Appendix

In addition to the main authors listed above, the following individuals also contributed to the study as members of the PEGASUS Consortium:

**Stanford University School of Medicine (Stanford, CA; PEAK study):** Dan Bloch, PhD. (Department of Health research & Policy) and Greer Murphy, M.D., PhD. (Department of Psychiatry); Shannon Brady, Amita Aggarwal and Xia Liu (Department of Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA and Stanford Human Genome Center, Palo Alto, CA); Holly Tabor, PhD. (originally at Stanford Human Genome Center, now at University of Washington and Seattle Children's Hospital), Eddie Eltoukhy, BS.

**Columbia University (New York, NY; Columbia studies):** Lorraine Clark PhD. (Taub Institute for Research on Alzheimer's Disease & the Aging Brain, Department of Pathology); Ming-Xin Tang PhD. (Taub Institute for Research on Alzheimer's Disease & the Aging Brain, The Gertrude H. Sergievsky Center, College of Physicians & Surgeons).

**National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (Research Triangle Park, NC; FAME study):** Dale Sandler, PhD., and Jane Hoppin, PhD. (National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Research Triangle Park, NC),

**National Cancer Institute (Bethesda, M.D.; FAME study):** Aaron Blair, M.D.

**Pacific Health Research Institute and Veterans Affairs Pacific Islands Health Care System (Honolulu, HI; HAAS Study):** Lon White M.D., Kamal Masaki, M.D.

**Division of Research, Kaiser Foundation Research Institute (Oakland, CA; PEAK study):** Amethyst Leimpeter, MS, Kathleen Albers, MPH, Allan Bernstein, M.D., and Robin Fross, M.D.

**The Parkinson's Institute (Sunnyvale, CA; FAME study):** Monica Korell, MS, Grace Bhudikhanok, PhD., Sam Goldman, M.D., MPH, and William Langston, M.D.

**UCLA (Los Angeles, CA; PEG study):** Jeff Bronstein M.D. and Yvette M. Bordelon M.D. (UCLA School of Medicine, Department of Neurology, Los Angeles CA).



## ABBREVIATIONS

<b>CI</b>	confidence interval
<b>ADORA2A</b>	adenosine receptor A2A
<b>CYP1A2</b>	cytochrome P450 1A2
<b>FAME</b>	Farming and Movement Evaluation
<b>HAAS</b>	Honolulu Asia Aging Study
<b>KPMCP</b>	Kaiser Permanente Medical Care Program
<b>OR</b>	odds ratio
<b>PD</b>	Parkinson's disease
<b>PEAK</b>	Parkinsonism Epidemiology at Kaiser
<b>PEG</b>	Parkinson's disease Epidemiology and Genetics
<b>PEGASUS</b>	Parkinson's Epidemiology and Genetic Association Studies in US

## REFERENCES

- Hernán MA, Takkouche B, Caamaño-Isorna F, Gestal-Otero JJ. A meta-analysis of coffee drinking, cigarette smoking, and the risk of Parkinson's disease. *Ann Neurol.* 2002; 52(3):276–284. [PubMed: 12205639]
- Chen JF, Xu K, Petzer JP, et al. Neuroprotection by caffeine and A(2A) adenosine receptor inactivation in a model of Parkinson's disease. *J Neurosci.* 2001; 21(10):RC143. [PubMed: 11319241]
- Xu K, Xu YH, Chen JF, Schwarzschild MA. Caffeine's neuroprotection against 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine toxicity shows no tolerance to chronic caffeine administration in mice. *Neurosci Lett.* 2002; 322(1):13–16. [PubMed: 11958832]
- Kalda A, Yu L, Oztas E, Chen JF. Novel neuroprotection by caffeine and adenosine A(2A) receptor antagonists in animal models of Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Sci.* 2006; 248(1–2):9–15. [PubMed: 16806272]
- Fink JS, Kalda A, Ryu H, et al. Genetic and pharmacological inactivation of the adenosine A2A receptor attenuates 3-nitropropionic acid-induced striatal damage. *J Neurochem.* 2004; 88(3):538–544. [PubMed: 14720203]
- Butler MA, Iwasaki M, Guengerich FP, Kadlubar F. Human cytochrome P450A (P-4501A2), the phenacetin O-deethylase, is primarily responsible for the hepatic 3-demethylation of caffeine and N-oxidation of carcinogenic arylamines. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 1989; 86:7696–7700. [PubMed: 2813353]
- Gu L, Gonzalez FJ, Kalow W, Tang BK. Biotransformation of caffeine, paraxanthine, theobromine and theophylline by cDNA-expressed human CYP1A2 and CYP2E1. *Pharmacogenetics.* 1992; 2:73–77. [PubMed: 1302044]
- Facheris MF, Schneider NK, Lesnick TG, et al. Coffee, caffeine-related genes, and Parkinson's disease: a case-control study. *Mov Disord.* 2008; 23(14):2033–2040. [PubMed: 18759349]
- Tan EK, Lu ZY, Fook-Chong SM, et al. Exploring an interaction of adenosine A2A receptor variability with coffee and tea intake in Parkinson's disease. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet.* 2006; 141B(6):634–636. [PubMed: 16823803]
- Tan EK, Chua E, Fook-Chong SM, et al. Association between caffeine intake and risk of Parkinson's disease among fast and slow metabolizers. *Pharmacogenet Genomics.* 2007; 17(11):1001–1005. [PubMed: 18075470]
- Van Den Eeden SK, Tanner CM, Bernstein AL, et al. Incidence of Parkinson's disease: variation by age, gender, and race/ethnicity. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2003; 157:1015–1022. [PubMed: 12777365]

12. Kang GA, Bronstein JM, Masterman DL, et al. Clinical characteristics in early Parkinson's disease in a central California population-based study. *Mov Disord.* 2005; 20:1133–1142. [PubMed: 15954133]
13. Mayeux R, Marder K, Cote LJ, et al. The frequency of idiopathic Parkinson's disease by age, ethnic group, and sex in northern Manhattan, 1988–1993. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1995; 142:820–827. [PubMed: 7572958]
14. Marder K, Logroschino G, Alfaro B, et al. Environmental risk factors for Parkinson's disease in an urban multiethnic community. *Neurology.* 1998; 50:279–281. [PubMed: 9443493]
15. Alavanja MC, Sandler DP, McMaster SB, et al. The Agricultural Health Study. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 1996; 104:362–369.
16. Ross GW, Abbott RD, Petrovitch H, et al. Association of coffee and caffeine intake with risk of Parkinson disease. *JAMA.* 2000; 283(20):2674–2679. [PubMed: 10819950]
17. Langston JW, Widner H, Goetz D, et al. Core assessment program for intracerebral transplantations (CAPIT). *Mov Disord.* 1992; 7:2–13. [PubMed: 1557062]
18. Hughes AJ, Ben-Shlomo Y, Daniel SE, Lees AJ. What features improve the accuracy of clinical diagnosis in Parkinson's disease: a clinicopathologic study. *Neurology.* 1992; 42:1142–1146. [published erratum In *Neurology* 1992;42:1436]. [PubMed: 1603339]
19. Sambrook, J.; Fritsch, EF.; Maniatis, T. *Molecular cloning. A Laboratory Manual.* New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 1989.
20. Gordon D, Abajian C, Green P. Consed: A Graphical Tool for Sequence Finishing. *Genome Research.* 1998; 8:195–202. [PubMed: 9521923]
21. SAS System under Microsoft Windows, release 9.1 (TS2MO). Cary, NC: SAS Institute, Inc.; 2006.
22. Troendle JF. Multiple comparisons between two groups on multiple Bernoulli outcomes while accounting for covariates. *Stat Med.* 2005; 24:3581–3591. [PubMed: 15977268]
23. Alsene K, Deckert J, Sand P, de Wit H. Association between A2a receptor gene polymorphisms and caffeine-induced anxiety. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2003; 28(9):1694–1702. [PubMed: 12825092]
24. Sachse C, Brockmoller J, Bauer S, Roots I. Functional significance of a C to A polymorphism in intron 1 of the cytochrome P450 1A2 (CYP1A2) gene tested with caffeine. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 1999; 47:445–449. [PubMed: 10233211]
25. Han X-M, Ou-Yang D-S, Lu P-X, et al. Plasma caffeine metabolite ratio (17X/137X) in vivo associated with G-2964A and C734 polymorphisms of human CYP1A2. *Pharmacogenetics.* 2001; 11:429–435. [PubMed: 11470995]
26. Kim SH, Kim YK, Park HW, et al. Adenosine deaminase and adenosine receptor polymorphisms in aspirin-intolerant asthma. *Respir Med.* 2009; 103(3):356–363. [PubMed: 19019667]
27. Cornelis MC, El-Sohemy A, Kabagambe EK, Campos H. Coffee, CYP1A2 genotype, and risk of myocardial infarction. *JAMA.* 2006; 295(10):1135–1141. [PubMed: 16522833]
28. Xu K, Xu Y-H, Chen J-F, Schwarzschild MA. Neuroprotection by metabolites of caffeine may prolong its neuroprotective effect in the MPTP model of PD. *Soc Neurosci.* 2002 A487.5. Abstract.
29. Block G, Hartman AM, Dresser CM, et al. A data-based approach to diet questionnaire design and testing. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1986; 124:453–469. [PubMed: 3740045]

**Table 1**

Characteristics of PD cases and controls in the consortium study.

Characteristic	Overall		PEAK <sup>a</sup>		PEG <sup>b</sup>		FAME <sup>c</sup>		COLUMBIA		HAAS <sup>d</sup>	
	Cases	Ctrl	Cases	Ctrl	Cases	Ctrl	Cases	Ctrl	Cases	Ctrl	Cases	Ctrl
Total Number	1325	1735	578	630	279	254	100	367	296	299	72	185
Male (%)	61.1	65.4	61.8	63.0	54.5	52.0	74.0	76.3	52.4	47.2	100.0	100.0
Age <sup>e</sup>												
60	37.2	24.2	43.3	42.1	22.6	29.5	49.0	10.9	41.9	6.0	9.7	11.4
> 60	62.8	75.9	56.8	57.9	77.4	70.5	51.0	89.1	58.1	94.0	90.3	88.7
Mean age	64.9	68.4	64.3	64.6	67.6	65.8	62.0	69.6	61.9	72.9	75.2	75.5
Race/ethnicity												
African American (%)	2.2	3.8	2.8	5.7	1.1	3.5	2.0	1.6	2.7	5.0	0.0	0.0
Asian (%)	9.1	13.0	7.4	5.1	1.8	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0
White Hispanic	10.6	9.5	8.3	7.3	10.4	7.5	1.0	0.5	21.3	32.4	0.0	0.0
White, non-Hispanic (%)	78.1	73.7	81.5	81.9	86.7	85.4	97.0	97.8	76.0	62.5	0.0	0.0
Family history of PD <sup>f</sup> (%)	10.4	5.9	10.4	2.9	12.5	11.8	13.7	7.9	6.0	5.2	4.4	5.3
Smoking status												
Never (%)	54.3	46.8	52.1	45.8	52.9	40.6	74.5	61.8	56.1	43.6	43.1	34.4
Former (%)	41.2	41.3	44.3	41.2	40.3	51.2	24.5	31.5	39.5	41.8	45.8	45.9
Current (%)	4.7	12.0	3.6	13.0	6.8	8.3	1.0	6.7	4.4	14.5	11.1	19.7

The totals for the variables may not equal the number of cases and controls because of missing values.

<sup>a</sup> Parkinsonism Epidemiology at Kaiser.

<sup>b</sup> Parkinson's disease Epidemiology and Genetics.

<sup>c</sup> Farming and Moving Evaluation.

<sup>d</sup> Honolulu Asia Aging Study.

<sup>e</sup> Age is age of diagnosis for PD cases.

<sup>f</sup> One or more first degree relatives with PD.

Table 2

Polymorphic variants in the adenosine receptor A2A (*ADORA2A*) and cytochrome P450 1A2 (*CYP1A2*) genes genotyped in PEGASUS subjects.

Gene and SNP	Type	Change	Minor/major allele	Minor Allele Frequency in Controls (%)			
				White, non-Hispanic n=1279	African-American n=66	Asian n=226	White, Hispanic n=164
<b>ADORA2A</b>							
rs5751876 <sup>b</sup>	Exon	Synonymous (Tyr>Tyr) (also known as 1976 T>C)	T/C	41	67	56 <sup>a</sup>	48
rs71651683	5'	239 5' transcription start	T/C	2	9	0	3
rs3032740 <sup>b</sup>	Intron 3	3' UTR: reduces protein expression (also referred to as 2592C>Tins)	-/Tins	41	66	56 <sup>a</sup>	48
rs5996696	Promoter	5' transcription start	C/A	4	32	8 <sup>a</sup>	8
<b>CYP1A2</b>							
rs762551	Intron	151 5' exon 2 (also known as -163C>A) <sup>c</sup>	C/A	29	35	36	28
rs2472304 <sup>d</sup>	Intron	43 3' exon 4	G/A	36	82	77	56
rs2470890 <sup>d</sup>	Exon	Synonymous (Asn>Asn)	C/T	36	83	78	56

<sup>a</sup>  $p < 0.01$  for Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium chi-square (HAAAS study)

<sup>b</sup> rs5751876 and rs3032740 in strong linkage disequilibrium ( $D = .997$  and  $r^2 = 0.98$ )

<sup>c</sup> AA=fast caffeine metabolizers, CA or CC = slow caffeine metabolizers

<sup>d</sup> rs2470890 and rs2472304 were in strong LD ( $D = .993$ ,  $r^2 = .986$ )

**Table 3**

Genotype frequency (%), adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between adenosine receptor A2A (*ADORA2A*) and cytochrome P450 1A2 (*CYP1A2*) polymorphisms and Parkinson's disease in PEGASUS.

Variant	Overall				White, non-Hispanic			
	Cases (n=1325)	Controls (n=1735)	OR <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	Cases (n=1035)	Controls (n=1279)	OR <sup>b</sup>	95% CI
<i>ADORA2A</i>								
<b>rs5751876<sup>c</sup></b>								
CC	35.7	32.3	1.00	--	37.4	35.0	1.00	
CT	45.7	46.3	0.93	0.78–1.1	45.6	48.1	0.91	0.75–1.09
TT	18.7	21.4	0.85	0.69–1.05	17.0	16.8	0.93	0.73–1.2
<b>rs3032740<sup>c</sup></b>								
TT	36.5	32.6	1.00	--	38.1	35.2	1.00	--
Td	45.4	46.3	0.91	0.77–1.07	45.4	48.1	0.89	0.74–1.08
dd	18.2	21.1	0.83	0.67–1.03	16.5	16.7	0.91	0.71–1.18
<b>rs71651683</b>								
CC	97.7	95.8	1.00	--	97.6	96.1	1.00	--
CT	2.3	4.2	0.53	0.34–0.83	2.4	3.8	0.61	0.36–1.02
TT	0.0	0.1	0.00	NE	0.0	0.1	0.00	NE
<b>rs5996696</b>								
AA	93.0	90.0	1.00	--	95.1	93.2	1.00	--
AC	6.6	8.8	0.76 <sup>d</sup>	0.57–1.02	4.8	6.5	0.70 <sup>e</sup>	0.48–1.02
CC	0.4	1.2	0.37 <sup>d</sup>	0.13–1.01	0.1	0.2	0.30 <sup>e</sup>	0.03–2.93
<i>CYP1A2</i>								
<b>rs762551</b>								
AA	49.7	49.5	1.00	--	51.4	51.1	1.00	--
AC	40.1	41.4	0.99	0.85–1.16	39.6	40.4	0.99	0.83–1.19
CC	10.1	9.1	1.16	0.9–1.51	9.0	8.6	1.04	0.76–1.43
<b>rs2472304<sup>f</sup></b>								
AA	36.0	33.1	1.00		43.1	40.9	1.00	
AG	42.3	43.8	0.93	0.78–1.1	44.3	46.3	0.91	0.76–1.1

Variant	Overall				White, non-Hispanic			
	Cases (n=1325)	Controls (n=1735)	OR <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Cases (n=1035)	Controls (n=1279)	OR <sup>b</sup>	95% CI
GG	21.7	23.1	1.01	0.81–1.27	12.6	12.8	0.89	0.67–1.17
<b>rs2470890</b> <sup>f</sup>								
TT	35.9	33.5	1.00	--	43.4	41.1	1.00	--
TC	42.3	43.5	0.95	0.8–1.12	44.2	46.1	0.92	0.76–1.1
CC	21.8	23.1	1.02	0.81–1.28	12.4	12.8	0.88	0.67–1.16

NE = not estimable

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, site, and race/ethnicity

<sup>b</sup> Adjusted for age, sex and site

<sup>c</sup> rs5751876 and rs3032740 were in strong linkage disequilibrium ( $D=0.997$  and  $r^2=0.98$ )

<sup>d</sup>  $p$  for trend=0.01, permutation-adjusted  $p$  for trend = 0.04

<sup>e</sup>  $p$  for trend=0.03, permutation- adjusted  $p$  for trend = 0.1

<sup>f</sup> rs2470890 and rs2472304 were in strong linkage disequilibrium ( $D=0.993$ ,  $r^2=0.986$ )

**Table 4**

Adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between caffeinated coffee consumption and Parkinson's disease in PEGASUS, by *ADORA2A* and *CYP1A2* genotypes.

Caffeinated coffee	Wildtype			Heterozygote			Homozygote			Interaction <i>p</i> -value	
	Cases	Controls	OR <sup>a</sup> 95% CI	Cases	Controls	OR <sup>a</sup> 95% CI	Cases	Controls	OR <sup>a</sup> 95% CI		
<b><i>ADORA2A</i></b>											
<b>rs5751876</b>											
Never	109	103	1.00	130	123	1.0	--	58	61	1.0	--
Ever <sup>b</sup>	221	324	0.67	308	452	0.67	0.50-0.91	118	213	0.66	0.42-1.04
Cups/day <sup>c</sup> , mean ± SD	1.8 ± 1.7	2.1 ± 2.5	0.93	1.6 ± 1.4	1.9 ± 1.6	0.90	0.80-1.00	1.5 ± 1.1	2.1 ± 1.8	0.69	0.55-0.86
<b>rs3032740</b>											
Never	113	103	1.00	125	123	1.0	--	57	59	1.0	--
Ever <sup>b</sup>	225	322	0.66	305	448	0.70	0.51-0.95	111	206	0.63	0.40-1.01
Cups/day <sup>c</sup> , mean ± SD	1.7 ± 1.7	2.1 ± 2.5	0.92	1.6 ± 1.4	1.9 ± 1.6	0.91	0.81-1.02	1.5 ± 1.2	2.2 ± 1.9	0.70	0.55-0.86
<b>rs596696</b>											
Never	272	255	1.0	23	28	1.0	--	1	2	1.0	--
Ever <sup>†</sup>	609	913	0.66	38	74	0.73	0.34-1.56	1	9	NE <sup>d</sup>	--
Cups/day <sup>c</sup> , mean ± SD	1.6 ± 1.4	2.1 ± 2.1	0.86	1.8 ± 2.5	1.8 ± 1.4	1.02	0.79-1.31	0.8 ± --	0.9 ± 0.2	NE	NE
<b><i>CYP1A2</i></b>											
<b>rs762551</b>											
Never	145	128	1.00	113	139	1.0	--	37	21	1.0	--
Ever <sup>b</sup>	337	523	0.61	254	391	0.9	0.66-1.23	62	84	0.33	0.16-0.68
Cups/day <sup>c</sup> , mean ± SD	1.6 ± 1.4	2.0 ± 1.6	0.87	1.7 ± 1.6	2.1 ± 2.5	0.90	0.80-1.00	1.7 ± 1.4	1.8 ± 1.4	0.85	0.64-1.14
<b>rs2472304</b>											
Never	106	104	1.0	119	131	1.0	--	71	48	1.0	--
Ever <sup>b</sup>	255	337	0.73	257	418	0.80	0.58-1.09	132	228	0.46	0.28-0.73
Cups/day <sup>c</sup> , mean ± SD	1.8 ± 1.8	1.9 ± 2.3	0.95	1.5 ± 1.2	2.1 ± 1.9	0.83	0.73-0.95	1.4 ± 1.3	2.0 ± 1.8	0.79	0.65-0.96
<b>rs2470890</b>											
Never	106	107	1.0	120	132	1.0	--	71	46	1.0	--

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

Caffeinated coffee	Wildtype			Heterozygote			Homozygote			Interaction p-value		
	Cases	Controls	OR <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Cases	Controls	OR <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Cases		Controls	OR <sup>d</sup>
Ever <sup>b</sup>	254	341	0.75	0.54–1.03	258	411	0.80	0.59–1.10	132	227	0.43	0.27–0.69
Cups/day <sup>c</sup> , mean ± SD	1.8± 1.8	1.9± 2.3	0.95	0.87–1.04	1.5± 1.2	2.1± 1.9	0.82	0.72–0.94	1.4± 1.3	2.0± 1.9	0.81	0.67–0.97

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity and site;

<sup>b</sup> *p* for interaction [coffee (ever/never) × genotype] based on chi-square test with *df*=2;

<sup>c</sup> *p* for interaction among coffee drinkers (average coffee consumption × genotype) based on chi-square with *df*=1

<sup>d</sup> NE= not estimable when the model included age, sex, race/ethnicity and site; crude OR=0.22 (95% CI 0.01–5.3).