

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Trauma Stress. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 January 28

Published in final edited form as:

J Trauma Stress. 2011 October ; 24(5): 515–525. doi:10.1002/jts.20673.

PTSD and Alcohol Use After the World Trade Center Attacks: A Longitudinal Study

Joseph A. Boscarino,

Center for Health Research, Geisinger Clinic

H. Lester Kirchner, Center for Health Research, Geisinger Clinic

Stuart N. Hoffman,

Department of Neurology, Geisinger Clinic

Jennifer Sartorius, and Center for Health Research, Geisinger Clinic

Richard E. Adams Department of Sociology, Kent State University

Abstract

Research suggests that posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is associated with increased alcohol use, but the findings have not been consistent. We assessed alcohol use, binge drinking, and psychotropic medication use longitudinally in 1,681 New York City adults, representative of the 2000 census, 2 years after the World Trade Center attacks. We found that, with the exception of a modified CAGE Questionnaire index for alcohol, alcohol use showed a modest increase over time and was related to PTSD symptoms, with an increase of about 1 more drink per month for those with PTSD, even though overall levels appeared to be within the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism's safe range. Psychotropic medication use followed a similar trend; those with PTSD used psychotropics about 20 more days over the past year than those without. Because the study analyses adjusted for key psychosocial variables and confounders, it is not clear if the increased alcohol use following trauma exposure is associated with self-medication of PTSD, or whether a third unmeasured variable is involved. Further research is warranted.

Research suggests increases in substance use may be associated with symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) following exposure to traumatic events (Boscarino, Adams, & Galea, 2006; Grieger, Fullerton, & Ursano, 2003; Pfefferbaum & Doughty, 2001; Stewart, Mitchell, Wright, & Loba, 2004). It has also been suggested that traumatic exposures may be a risk factor for future substance misuse (Chilcoat & Menard, 2003; Ouimette & Brown, 2003). Some evidence suggests that this association is due to the use of alcohol and other substances to ameliorate PTSD symptoms (Boscarino, Adams, & Galea, 2006; Chilcoat & Menard, 2003). Although studies of the association between substance use and exposure to psychological distress have a considerable history (Boscarino, 1981; Gottheil, Druley, Pashko, & Weinstein, 1987; Khantzian, 1990; Linsky, Colby, & Straus, 1991), these findings have not been consistent.

^{© 2011} International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Joseph A. Boscarino, Center for Health Research, Geisinger Clinic, 100 N. Academy Avenue, Danville, PA 17822-4400. jaboscarino@geisinger.edu.

For example, although experimental studies have linked alcohol use to psychological distress, findings from community-based studies have been less consistent (Castaneda, Lifshutz, Galanter, & Franco, 1994; Gottheil et al., 1987). There are studies that suggest that this relationship may include mediating factors (Cerda, Tracy, & Galea, 2011; Cerda, Vlahov, Tracy, & Galea, 2008; North, Ringwalt, Downs, Derzon, & Galvin, 2011), as well as some that suggest a direct association between PTSD and substance misuse (e.g., Kilpatrick et al., 2000).

A recent large-scale national study of 34,635 adults, Wave 2 of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (Leeies, Pagura, Sareen, & Bolton, 2010), found that approximately 20% of individuals with PTSD may use alcohol and drugs to relieve their symptoms. Men were significantly more likely than women to engage in self-medication. In addition, another recent study suggested that fluctuation in PTSD symptoms are synchronized with alcohol-dependence symptoms and misuse of other substances (Ouimette, Read, Wade, & Tirone, 2010). Because alcohol is readily available in the US and it can be readily misused (National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism [NIAAA], 2000), an investigation of the degree to which alcohol problems are related to PTSD symptoms consequent to widespread traumatic exposure from terrorism is timely and potentially valuable.

The present study examines the relationship between alcohol use before and following the World Trade Center (WTC) attacks in New York City and their relationship to PTSD and PTSD symptoms. Early postdisaster WTC studies documented an increased use of psychoactive substances, including alcohol, cigarettes, and marijuana and linked this use to PTSD (Vlahov et al., 2002, 2004). Previously we reported that greater exposure to the WTC attacks was associated with higher alcohol consumption at 1 year and 2 years after this event (Boscarino, Adams, & Galea, 2006) and we also reported that exposure to the attacks was associated with binge drinking at 1 year, but was not associated with binge drinking measured 2 years after the attacks. Alcohol misuse, as measured using a CAGE Questionnaire for alcohol, also was associated with greater exposure. After adjusting for exposure and other covariates, however, PTSD at Year 1 was not associated with alcohol use. This earlier report suggested that exposure to psychological trauma was associated with increases in problem drinking after exposure; absent was the longitudinal examination from predisaster to postdiaster of those with delayed onset PTSD. This a key focus of the present report.

We expected that delayed-onset PTSD would be associated with both higher alcohol consumption and alcohol misuse up to 2 years after the attacks, independent of other factors such as demographics, history of traumatic exposures, recent stressful life events, and available psychosocial resources. To guide our analytical approach, we used a stress-process model (Adams & Boscarino, 2005). This model suggests that individuals subjected to stressors often respond physiologically through alterations in neuroendocrine functions (Boscarino, 1996), psychologically through alterations in cognitive status (Keane, Zimering, & Caddell, 1985), and behaviorally through, among other things, changes in use of psychoactive substances (Adams, Boscarino, & Galea, 2006a), as well as through helpseeking behaviors (Boscarino, Adams, & Figley, 2011). It is suggested that these stressor events could put individuals at risk for alcohol misuse because some persons may use psychoactive substances to help manage PTSD symptoms (e.g., hyperarousal or sleep disturbance), a phenomenon that has been conceptualized as a self-medication model of substance use (Bolton, Cox, Clara, & Sareen, 2006; Khantzian, 1990). Given recent reports (Cerda et al., 2008; Jacobson et al., 2008), and our previous work cited above describing the level of stress response (Adams, Boscarino, & Galea, 2006a), we did not expect the misuse of alcohol to rise to the level of symptoms of alcohol dependence (e.g., tolerance,

withdrawal, etc.). Rather, we looked for the impact in greater frequency of binge drinking and increased alcohol consumption.

METHOD

Participants and Procedures

The data for the present study come from a longitudinal survey of adults aged 18 and older living in New York City (NYC) on the day of the WTC attacks; that is, all respondents lived in NYC and were in the city (or the metropolitan area) during the attack, so they all experienced this exposure. For the baseline survey, using random-digit dialing, we conducted a telephone survey in NYC from October to December 2002. Interviews were conducted in English and Spanish. The follow-up interviews occurred 12 months later, from October 2003 to February 2004. For both surveys, trained interviewers using a computer-assisted telephone interviewing system conducted the interviews.

Overall, 2,368 individuals completed the baseline survey. As part of the study design, we oversampled residents at baseline who reported receiving mental health treatment in the year after the attacks. The baseline survey cooperation rate was 63% (Boscarino, Adams, & Figley, 2004). During follow-up, we reinterviewed 71% of these baseline respondents (N =1,681). Sampling weights were developed for each wave to correct for potential selection bias related to the number of telephone numbers and persons per household, and for the oversampling of treatment-seeking respondents. In addition, weights also were used to adjust for slight differences in response rates by different groups (Groves et al., 2004; Kessler, Little, & Groves, 1995). Because younger persons, men, Blacks, and Latinos tended to have somewhat lower follow-up rates, survey weights were developed to correct for this selection bias. After this adjustment, the demographic profile of our study at baseline and follow-up matched the year 2000 census data for NYC (Adams, Boscarino, & Galea, 2006b). The Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Geisinger Clinic (Danville, PA) approved the study and subjects gave informed consent. More detailed information on this survey can be found elsewhere (Adams et al., 2006b; Boscarino et al., 2004; Boscarino, Adams, Stuber, & Galea, 2005; Boscarino & Adams, 2008; Boscarino & Adams, 2009; Boscarino et al., 2011).

Study Measures

During the baseline and follow-up surveys, questions were asked related to mental health symptoms and substance use during the past year. Respondents were also asked to retrospectively report these for the 12 months prior to the attacks, as is often done in the National Comorbidity Study and other mental health studies (Kessler, Sonnega, Bromet, Hughes, & Nelson, 1995).

The survey asked about binge drinking and alcohol consumption over various periods. Following a standard for binge drinking (Allen & Columbus, 1995; Naimi et al., 2003; Wechsler & Kuo, 2000), we asked how many times in the past year the respondent had six or more drinks on one occasion and was coded 0 = never to 4 = daily/almost daily. We used six-plus drinks in our study because we wanted to assess a higher risk use, rather than the lower five-drink threshold typically used (Allen & Columbus, 1995). For alcohol consumption, we asked respondents to report the number of drinks per day they drank in the past month on the days they drank. We also collected data on the number of drinks per month consumed, which was developed from two survey questions: how many days in the past month the respondents drank and how many drinks they had on the days they drank. These were multiplied to calculate the number of drinks per month. Because this variable was positively skewed, we transformed this measure by taking the log of the original value.

We also inquired about alcohol misuse based on the CAGE Questionnaire alcohol scale (Ewing, 1984), a widely used and validated measure (Ewing, 1984; King, 1986; O'Brien, 2008). We modified the standard measure and counted the CAGE Questionnaire symptoms that occurred (e.g., being criticized for drinking, drinking in the morning), which resulted in a continuous modified CAGE index ranging from 0 to 4. This was largely dictated by the fact that relatively few persons in our study endorsed two or more of the four items on the dichotomous CAGE Questionnaire scale (Boscarino, Adams, & Galea, 2006). Cronbach's a ranged from .73 to .70. For all the alcohol measures, nonusers were coded as 0.

We also included questions about psychotropic medication use (Kessler et al., 1999) drawn from the National Comorbidity Survey. Specifically, respondents were asked, "Have you ever taken medications prescribed by a doctor, such as anti-depressants, tranquilizers, sleeping pills or other medicines for problems with your emotions or nerves, problems with concentrating, to help you sleep or cope with stress?" After this, respondents were asked if they took these medications in the past 12 months. If they did, they were asked on how many days they took these medications in the past 12 months, which was recorded as the number of days taking these medications. Nonmedication users were coded as 0. Because these values were positively skewed, as with drinks per month, these values were also logtransformed to aid in multivariate data analyses. As with alcohol use, medication use was collected for three time points: 12 months before, 12 months after, and 24 months after the WTC attacks.

Our analyses included three stressor variables that could have placed individuals at higher risk for alcohol misuse and one psychosocial resource variable that could have lowered this risk. In the baseline survey, we inquired about 14 stressor events that the respondent could have experienced during the WTC attacks. These included being on the WTC campus or in one of the WTC buildings during the attack, seeing people jump from buildings, seeing persons injured/killed, seeing the attack in person or in real time, being forced to evacuate, knowing someone killed or injured in the attack, or losing one's possessions. Because there was no a priori method of assigning the individual severity of these events, we summed these into an exposure scale as follows: 0 or 1 event = low exposure; 2-3 = moderateexposure; 4-5 = high exposure; and 6+ = very high exposure for cross-tabular analyses. For multivariate analyses, this variable was used as a continuous variable, trimmed at a value of 6 or higher. A negative life event scale was also used that was the sum of eight experiences that the respondent could have experienced (e.g., divorce, death of spouse, etc.) in the past 12 months at follow-up (Freedy, Kilpatrick, & Resnick, 1993). For cross-tabular analyses, we coded respondents into three groups: no life events, one life event, and two or more life events. For multivariate analyses, we used this measure as a continuous variable, coded from 0 to 5 or more negative life events. The third stressor measure included lifetime traumatic events (Freedy et al., 1993), other than the WTC attacks, which the respondent could have experienced (e.g., forced sexual contact, being attacked with a weapon, etc.). For crosstabular analyses, these items were collapsed into three categories: 0-1, 2-3, and 4+ traumas. For multivariate analyses, due to variable skewness, this measure was coded into quartiles and used as an ordinal-level continuous variable.

We included a measure of social support (Sherbourne & Stewart, 1991). This measure was the sum of four questions about emotional, informational, and instrumental support (e.g., someone was available to help if you were confined to bed, etc.). Cronbach's α for this scale was .83 based on the data from Boscarino et al. (2004). Based on an examination of the scale results, we coded respondents into approximately three equal subgroups for cross-tabular analyses, representing low, moderate, and high social support. For multivariate analyses, because of skewness, this measure was coded into quintiles and used as a continuous ordinal-level variable.

Our PTSD measure was based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th ed.; DSM-IV; American Psychiatric Association, 1994). This scale was initially developed for telephone administration (Resnick, Kilpatrick, Dansky, Saunders, & Best, 1993) and used in previous national and regional surveys (e.g., Kilpatrick et al., 2003). To be coded as having current PTSD, subjects had to meet DSM-IV criteria A through F, but the time frame was based on the past 12-month period, both at baseline and at follow-up. Specifically, for each of the 17 PTSD symptoms, respondents were asked if there had ever been a period of 2 weeks or more during which they were troubled by that symptom. If the response was yes, they were asked if the symptom was related to the WTC or something else. They then indicated the last time they had experienced this symptom, using the past 30 days, 6 months, 12 months, or more than 12 months ago as response categories. Respondents were specifically asked these questions for the WTC disaseter, as well as for up to two other traumatic events identified. As reported elsewhere, Cronbach's a for these PTSD items was .90 (Boscarino et al., 2004). In the current study, we used any PTSD in the past 12 months as our PTSD measure (not just WTC-related PTSD), but this was mostly related to the WTC disaster, given the study design and the impact of this event (Boscarino & Adams, 2009). To have PTSD in the current study, the respondents had to meet the full DSM-IV criteria, A through F. For delayed-onset PTSD, respondents had to meet the full PTSD criteria (A through F) at follow-up, while having been PTSD negative for the full criteria at baseline.

In the present study, our primary PTSD assessment included current PTSD at follow-up, which was 24-months postattack. In addition, we also included a measure of delayed-onset PTSD at follow-up as discussed above.

Because PTSD is often associated with depression (Kessler, Sonnega, et al., 1995; O'Donnell, Creamer, & Pattison, 2004), we included a measure of current depression at follow-up to examine if taking account of depressive symptoms altered our results. As described, this depression measure also was based on the *DSM-IV* diagnostic criteria, designed for telephone surveys, and previously validated (Boscarino et al., 2004; Galea et al., 2002; Kilpatrick et al., 2003).

Demographic variables included age, education, gender, marital status, race, ethnicity, and income. For cross-tabular analyses, age was coded into four categories: 18–29, 30–44, 45–64, and 65+. Education, gender, and marital status were coded as binary variables: noncollege graduate versus college graduate, male versus female, and not married versus married. Race and ethnicity were coded as White versus non-White, African American versus non-African American, and Latino versus non-Latino. Income was coded into four categories: less than \$30,000, \$30,000–\$99,999, \$100,000 or more, and "not reported" for cross-tabular analyses. For multivariate analyses, income was coded as a continuous variable (coded 1–7), with the mean value replacing missing data, which was less than 5%.

Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics for our main variables pooled across all three time points as well as by PTSD at 24 months and binge drinking status were tabulated. Tests of statistical significance were conducted using χ^2 . To assess substance use over time by PTSD status at follow-up, we first used generalized estimating equation (GEE) analyses to predict the alcohol and medication variables, in a regression model that included only PTSD status and a PTSD × time interaction effect. For these analyses, 12 months prior to WTC = 0, 12 months after WTC = 1, and 24 months after WTC = 2. These GEE models assumed a Gaussian distribution and an unstructured correlation matrix (Hardin & Hilbe, 2003; Twisk, 2003).

Page 6 rino, Adams, & Galea,

Based on the descriptive analyses and previous research (Boscarino, Adams, & Galea, 2006), we selected variables for inclusion in multivariate GEE analyses, that controlled for relevant covariates and confounding variables. The outcomes of interest included drinks per drinking day and drinks per month over the past 30 days, as well as binge-drinking scores, CAGE index scores, and psychotropic medication use over the past year. In the multivariate GEE models, we assessed the impact of both PTSD onset and delayed-onset PTSD at 24 months by time, which was also expressed as a PTSD \times Time covariate. For all analyses, we used the survey weights described previously. Because drinks per month and psychotropic medication days per year were positively skewed, these were log-transformed. To prevent model overfitting, variables not significant in any of the models were eliminated from the regression analyses. Statistical analyses were performed using Stata version 11.2 (Stata Corp., 2011).

RESULTS

The descriptive data for all observations, pooled across all time points, were these: (a) binge drinking (M = 0.54, SD = 1.09), (b) number of drinks per drinking day past month (M = 1.10, SD = 2.05), (c) number of drinks per month (M = 7.87, SD = 16.86), (d) modified CAGE Questionnaire (M = 0.07, SD = 0.33), (e) number of medication days past 12 months (M = 19.45, SD = 57.50), (f) WTC attack events (M = 2.02, SD = 1.61), (g) negative life events (M = 0.53, SD = 0.96), (h) lifetime trauma exposure (M = 1.26, SD = 1.39), and (i) social support (M = 2.19, SD = 1.84). For PTSD at 24 months, the point estimate was 5.4% and a 95% CI [4.3, 6.7]; for delayed-onset PTSD at 24 months, the point estimate was 4.4% and a 95% CI [3.4, 5.7].

Table 1 presents study predictor variables for PTSD at follow-up and binge drinking at any time. PTSD at follow-up was associated with demographics such as age, income, and race, exposure to the three trauma variables, and social support level. For binge drinking at any of the three time points there were fewer predictors: being male, age, being Latino, and lifetime trauma and WTC attack exposure.

The means and standard deviations of alcohol and medication use by PTSD at 24 months are presented in Table 2. The GEE analyses to assess these trends over time, by PTSD status at follow-up are presented in Table 3. These analyses revealed increased alcohol use over time for those with PTSD at follow-up for binge drinking and for drinks per drinking day. Psychotropic medication use also showed an effect of time, but drinks per month and the modified CAGE index did not.

The adjusted multivariate GEE results predicting alcohol and medication use longitudinally are presented in Tables 4–6. For brevity, we only discuss the PTSD results; the other predictors in the models were principally used as control variables. The PTSD × Time effect was significant for drinks per drinking day for both PTSD at follow-up and delayed-onset PTSD. The association for drinks per month was not statistically significant for PTSD, but was significant for delayed-onset PTSD (see Table 4). The PTSD × Time effects for both PTSD at follow-up and delayed-onset PTSD were significant for binge drinking. The b coefficients for drinks per drinking day, drinks per month, and binge drinking were all positive, indicating that each respective alcohol outcome assessed in these GEE models increased over time for those with later or delayed-onset PTSD, compared to those without. In addition, the GEE results for psychotropic medication use were positive and significant for PTSD at 24 months and delayed-onset PTSD, suggesting that psychotropic medication use also increased over time for those with either later or delayed-onset PTSD (see Table 6).

When PTSD at 1 year after the WTC attacks was used as a covariate in the model for all dependent variables; it was significant only in the medication use model. In addition, including overall psychotropic medication use in the alcohol models, and conversely, overall alcohol use in the medication models did not affect the final results (results not presented). We also examined the correlations between our alcohol and the medication use measures, but these tended to be only weakly correlated (*r* ranged from .01 to .076). For the 79 subjects whose PTSD had remitted at follow-up, there was a slight but significant decrease in drinks per month compared to those in the nonremitted group, t(1,679) = 1.71, p = .046 (one-tailed test). In addition, including depression status in all the multivariate GEE models did not affect the findings (results not shown).

DISCUSSION

We examined whether PTSD and potentially delayed-onset PTSD would be related to alcohol use up to 2 years after the WTC attacks. We anticipated detecting a population increase in alcohol use over time, consistent with a self-medication model of PTSD symptom management (Bolton et al., 2006; Stewart, 1996). As seen, our results are consistent with such a formulation. We found that alcohol consumption and binge drinking were associated with PTSD onset at follow-up. This finding is consistent with previous reports suggesting that PTSD victims may use alcohol to cope with their posttrauma mental health status (Jacobson et al., 2008), which would be consistent with Khantzian's model of substance misuse (Khantzian, 1990).

Our results showed that alcohol use tended to modestly increase over time from before the WTC until study follow-up at 24 months, but only among those with later or delayed-onset PTSD. Posttraumatic stress disorder at baseline was not associated with alcohol use over time, as previously reported (Boscarino, Adams, & Galea, 2006). Because this study adjusted for confounders and other key factors, including race/ethnicity, socioeconomic factors, trauma exposures, and negative life events, our results are consistent with Khantzian's hypothesis that those with increased alcohol use may be using this substance to regulate symptoms. As reported, PTSD onset was also associated with a statistically significant population-level increase in psychotropic medication use. Furthermore, although this subgroup is small (n = 79), those with remitted PTSD at follow-up also tended to have lower alcohol use over time, also consistent with the self-medication hypothesis. The addition of a measure of depression in our GEE models as a final step did not change our results, suggesting that it is PTSD symptoms that are implicated. The absolute level of alcohol used in our sample, however, appears to be within the range that the NIAAA (2011) deems to be safe—up to two drinks per day for men, and one for women and older people.

This study has a number of limitations. First, by omitting individuals without telephones and those who did not speak either English or Spanish, we may have missed vulnerable individuals, but because our sample matched the 2000 Census, this exclusion did not appear to introduce significant demographic bias (Adams et al., 2006b). Nevertheless, we are limited in generalizations about the association between alcohol use and PTSD with respect to groups not in our sample. Second, our conclusions are limited by the retrospective nature of our predisaster data, though such self-reported data are often used in public health surveys and have been shown to be accurate (Edwards et al., 1994). Moreover, given the salience of the attacks, there is a better chance of participants being aware of changes, even though we corrected for response bias using survey weights (Adams et al., 2006b), our GEE analyses may still be biased. Third, the measures of alcohol and medication use in our study were limited. In particular, the use of the modified CAGE Questionnaire as a continuous measure makes comparison with other studies problematic. Fourth, not all of the cases of PTSD were in response to the WTC attacks, so there may be some overestimate of the impact of the

WTC. Fifth, our data do not allow us to study changes in alcohol use over a longer period. Sixth, our focus on only alcohol consumption and psychotropic medication excludes the role of illicit drug use. Finally, in those categorized as having delayed-onset PTSD, we were unable to distinguish between those who might have been relatively symptom free from those who might have had substantial but subthreshold symptoms.

The sizes of the effects we found are relatively small. For example, the multivariate results for drinks per drinking day indicated that, compared to before the attacks, at follow-up those with PTSD tended to consume about half a drink more per drinking day than those without PTSD. Finally, although our findings are consistent with self-medication as a method to manage symptoms, we cannot rule out other explanations for the relationships because we did not directly query this. One possible explanation for the increase could be the ongoing threat of terrorist attacks at the time of follow-up or ongoing financial difficulties from the economic disruption following the attacks.

These limitations, however, should not overshadow the strengths of the study. These include the use of a large representative sample of adults, the assessment of alcohol use and PTSD using standard measurements, and the study of a well-defined traumatic event. Our study suggests a link between population-level increases in problematic drinking and the onset of PTSD up to 2 years after exposure to a traumatic event. Increased alcohol use in the context of PTSD onset is typically considered harmful and clinically advised against (Benedek & Wynn, 2011). Noteworthy is that recent research has suggested that those who received emergency crisis counseling after the WTC attacks, not only had better PTSD outcomes, but also better outcomes in a number of clinical areas, including alcohol misuse (Boscarino et al., 2011). This finding tends to reinforce the findings reported here.

Additional research is warranted to further illuminate the link between PTSD onset and alcohol misuse reported in the trauma literature. If the self-medication finding is further validated in other studies, then substance misuse screening, surveillance, and treatment might need to become the standard of care among those with a history of traumatic stress exposures.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by grants from the National Institute of Mental Health (Grants R01 MH66403 and R21-MH-086317) and the Pennsylvania Department of Health (Contract 4100042573), to Dr. Boscarino.

References

- Adams RE, Boscarino JA. Stress and well-being in the aftermath of the World Trade Center attack: The continuing effects of a communitywide disaster. Journal of Community Psychology. 2005; 33:175–190.10.1002/jcop.20030 [PubMed: 17106484]
- Adams RE, Boscarino JA, Galea S. Alcohol use, mental health status and psychological well-being 2 years after the World Trade Center attacks in New York City. The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse. 2006a; 32:203–224.10.1080/00952990500479522 [PubMed: 16595324]
- Adams RE, Boscarino JA, Galea S. Social and psychological resources and health outcomes after the World Trade Center disaster. Social Science & Medicine. 2006b; 62:176–188.10.1016/j.socscimed. 2005.05.008 [PubMed: 16002196]
- Allen, JP.; Columbus, M., editors. Assessing alcohol problems: A guide for clinicians and researchers. Bethesda, MD: National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; 1995.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 4. Washington, DC: Author; 1994.
- Benedek, DM.; Wynn, GH., editors. Clinical manual for management of PTSD. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2011.

- Bolton J, Cox B, Clara I, Sareen J. Use of alcohol and drugs to self-medicate anxiety disorders in a nationally representative sample. The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease. 2006; 194:818–825.10.1097/01.nmd.0000244481.63148.98 [PubMed: 17102705]
- Boscarino J. Current excessive drinking among Vietnam veterans: A comparison with other veterans and non-veterans. The International Journal of Social Psychiatry. 1981; 27:204–212. [PubMed: 7327868]
- Boscarino JA. Posttraumatic stress disorder, exposure to combat, and lower plasma cortisol among Vietnam veterans: Findings and clinical implications. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 1996; 64:191–201.10.1037/0022-006X.64.1.191 [PubMed: 8907099]
- Boscarino JA, Adams RE. Overview of findings from the World Trade Center Disaster Outcome Study: Recommendations for future research after exposure to psychological trauma. International Journal of Emergency Mental Health. 2008; 10:275–290. [PubMed: 19278144]
- Boscarino JA, Adams RE. PTSD onset and course following the World Trade Center disaster: Findings and implications for future research. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology. 2009; 44:887–898.10.1007/s00127-009-0011-y [PubMed: 19277439]
- Boscarino JA, Adams RE, Figley CR. Mental health service use 1-year after the World Trade Center disaster: Implications for mental health care. General Hospital Psychiatry. 2004; 26:346– 358.10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2004.05.001
- Boscarino JA, Adams RE, Figley CR. Mental health service use after the World Trade Center disaster: Utilization trends and comparative effectiveness. The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease. 2011; 199:91–99.10.1097/NMD.0b013e3182043b39
- Boscarino JA, Adams RE, Figley CR, Galea S, Foa EB. Fear of terrorism and preparedness in New York City 2 years after the attacks: Implications for disaster planning and research. Journal of Public Health Management and Practice. 2006; 12:505–513. [PubMed: 17041297]
- Boscarino JA, Adams RE, Galea S. Alcohol use in New York after the terrorist attacks: A study of the effects of psychological trauma on drinking behavior. Addictive Behaviors. 2006; 31:606–621.10.1016/j.addbeh.2005.05.035 [PubMed: 15982827]
- Boscarino JA, Adams RE, Stuber J, Galea S. Disparities in mental health treatment following the World Trade Center disaster: Implications for mental health care and health services research. Journal of Traumatic Stress. 2005; 18:287–297.10.1002/jts.20039
- Boscarino JA, Galea S, Adams RE, Ahern J, Resnick H, Vlahov D. Mental health service and medication use in New York City after the September 11, 2001, terrorist attack. Psychiatric Services. 2004; 55:274–283.10.1176/appi.ps.55.3.274 [PubMed: 15001728]
- Castaneda R, Lifshutz H, Galanter M, Franco H. Empirical assessment of the self-medication hypothesis among dually diagnosed inpatients. Comprehensive Psychiatry. 1994; 35:180– 184.10.1016/0010-440X(94)90189-9 [PubMed: 8045107]
- Cerda M, Tracy M, Galea S. A prospective population based study of changes in alcohol use and binge drinking after a mass traumatic event. Drug and Alcohol Dependence. 2011; 115:1–8.10.1016/ j.drugalcdep.2010.09.011 [PubMed: 20977977]
- Cerda M, Vlahov D, Tracy M, Galea S. Alcohol use trajectories among adults in an urban area after a disaster: Evidence from a population-based cohort study. Addiction. 2008; 103:1296– 1307.10.1111/j.1360-0443.2008.02247.x [PubMed: 18855819]
- Chilcoat, HD.; Menard, C. Epidemiological investigations: Comorbidity of posttraumatic stress disorder and substance use disorder. In: Ouimette, P.; Brown, PJ., editors. Trauma and substance abuse: Causes, consequences and treatment of comorbid disorders. Washington, DC: American Psychological Publishing; 2003. p. 9-28.
- Edwards, WS.; Winn, DM.; Kurlantzick, V.; Sheridan, S.; Berk, ML.; Retchin, S.; Collins, JG. Evaluation of national health interview survey diagnostic reporting. Hyattsville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Center for Health Statistics, Vital and Health Statistics; 1994.
- Ewing JA. Detecting alcoholism: The CAGE questionnaire. Journal of the American Medical Association. 1984; 252:1905–1907.
- Freedy JR, Kilpatrick DG, Resnick HS. Natural disasters and mental health: Theory, assessment, and intervention. Journal of Social Behavior and Personality. 1993; 8:49–103.

- Galea S, Ahern J, Resnick H, Kilpatrick D, Bucuvalas M, Gold J, Vlahov D. Psychological sequelae of the September 11 terrorist attacks in New York City. New England Journal of Medicine. 2002; 346:982–987.10.1056/NEJMsa013404 [PubMed: 11919308]
- Gottheil, E.; Druley, KA.; Pashko, S.; Weinstein, SP. Stress and addiction. New York, NY: Brunner/ Mazel; 1987.
- Grieger TA, Fullerton CS, Ursano RJ. Posttraumatic stress disorder, alcohol use, and perceived safety after the terrorist attack on the Pentagon. Psychiatric Services. 2003; 54:1380–1382.10.1176/ appi.ps.54.10.1380 [PubMed: 14557524]
- Groves, RM.; Fowler, FJ.; Couper, MP.; Lepkowski, JM.; Singer, E.; Tourangeau, R. Survey methodology. New York, NY: Wiley; 2004.
- Hardin, JW.; Hilbe, JM. Generalized estimating equations. New York, NY: Chapman & Hall/CRC; 2003.
- Jacobson IG, Ryan MA, Hooper TI, Smith TC, Amoroso PJ, Boyko EJ, Bell NS. Alcohol use and alcohol-related problems before and after military combat deployment. Journal of the American Medical Association. 2008; 300:663–675.10.1001/jama.300.6.663 [PubMed: 18698065]
- Keane TM, Zimering RT, Caddell JM. A behavioral formulation of posttraumatic stress disorder in Vietnam veterans. Behavior Therapist. 1985; 8:9–12.
- Kessler RC, Little RJ, Groves RM. Advances in strategies for minimizing and adjusting for survey nonresponse. Epidemiologic Reviews. 1995; 17:192–204.
- Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet E, Hughes M, Nelson CB. Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey. Archives of General Psychiatry. 1995; 52:1048–1060. [PubMed: 7492257]
- Kessler RC, Zhao S, Katz SJ, Kouzis AC, Frank RG, Edlund M, Leaf P. Past-year use of outpatient services for psychiatric problems in the National Comorbidity Survey. American Journal of Psychiatry. 1999; 156:115–123. [PubMed: 9892306]
- Khantzian EJ. Self-regulation and self-medication factors in alcoholism and the addictions: similarities and differences. Recent Developments in Alcoholism. 1990; 8:255–271. [PubMed: 2185521]
- Kilpatrick DG, Acierno R, Saunders B, Resnick HS, Best CL, Schnurr PP. Risk factors for adolescent substance abuse and dependence: Data from a national sample. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 2000; 68:19–30.10.1037//0022-006X.68.1.19
- Kilpatrick DG, Ruggiero KJ, Acierno R, Saunders BE, Resnick HS, Best CL. Violence and risk of PTSD, major depression, substance abuse/dependence, and comorbidity: Results from the National Survey of Adolescents. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 2003; 71:692– 700.10.1037/0022-006X.71.4.692 [PubMed: 12924674]
- King M. At risk drinking among general practice attenders: Validation of the CAGE Questionnaire. Psychological Medicine. 1986; 16:213–217.10.1017/S0033291700002658 [PubMed: 3961046]
- Leeies M, Pagura J, Sareen J, Bolton JM. The use of alcohol and drugs to self-medicate symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder. Depression and Anxiety. 2010; 27:731–736.10.1002/da.20677
- Linsky, AS.; Colby, JP.; Straus, MA. Stress, drinking culture, and alcohol problems: A partial test of Bales' theory. In: Pittman, DJ.; White, HR., editors. Society, culture and drinking patterns reexamined. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers Center of Alcohol Studies, Publications Division; 1991. p. 554-575.
- Naimi TS, Brewer RD, Mokdad A, Denny C, Serdula MK, Marks JS. Binge drinking among US adults. Journal of the American Medical Association. 2003; 289:70–75.10.1001/jama.289.1.70 [PubMed: 12503979]
- National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. The tenth special report to Congress on alcohol and health. Bethesda, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service; 2000.
- National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. FAQs for the general public. 2011 Jun 4. Retrieved from http://www.niaaa.nih.gov/FAQs/General-English/Pages/default.aspx
- North CS, Ringwalt CL, Downs D, Derzon J, Galvin D. Postdisaster course of alcohol use disorders in systematically studied survivors of 10 disasters. Archives of General Psychiatry. 2011; 68:173– 180.10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.131 [PubMed: 20921113]

- O'Brien CP. The CAGE Questionnaire for detection of alcoholism: A remarkably useful but simple tool. Journal of the American Medical Association. 2008; 300:2054–2056.10.1001/jama.2008.570 [PubMed: 18984895]
- O'Donnell ML, Creamer M, Pattison P. Posttraumatic stress disorder and depression following trauma: Understanding comorbidity. American Journal of Psychiatry. 2004; 161:1390–1396.10.1176/ appi.ajp.161.8.1390 [PubMed: 15285964]
- Ouimette, P.; Brown, PJ. Trauma and substance abuse: Causes, consequences and treatment of comorbid disorders. Washington, DC: American Psychological Publishing; 2003.
- Ouimette P, Read JP, Wade M, Tirone V. Modeling associations between posttraumatic stress symptoms and substance use. Addictive Behaviors. 2010; 35:64–67.10.1016/j.addbeh.2009.08.009 [PubMed: 19729250]
- Pfefferbaum B, Doughty DE. Increased alcohol use in a treatment sample of Oklahoma City bombing victims. Psychiatry. 2001; 64:296–303.10.1521/psyc.64.4.296.18598 [PubMed: 11822207]
- Resnick HS, Kilpatrick DG, Dansky BS, Saunders BE, Best CL. Prevalence of civilian trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder in a representative national sample of women. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 1993; 61:984–991.10.1037//0022-006X.61.6.984
- Sherbourne CD, Stewart AL. The MOS social support survey. Social Science & Medicine. 1991; 32:705–714.10.1016/0277-9536(91)90150-B [PubMed: 2035047]
- Stata Corporation. Data analysis and statistical software [Vers. 11.2]. College Station, TX: Author; 2011.
- Stewart SH. Alcohol abuse in individuals exposed to trauma: A critical review. Psychological Bulletin. 1996; 120:83–112.10.1037/0033-2909.120.1.83
- Stewart SH, Mitchell TL, Wright KD, Loba P. The relations of PTSD symptoms to alcohol use and coping drinking in volunteers who responded to the Swissair Flight 111 airline disaster. Journal of Anxiety Disorders. 2004; 18:51–68.10.1016/j.janxdis.2003.07.006 [PubMed: 14725868]
- Twisk, JWR. Applied longitudinal data analysis for epidemiology. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press; 2003.
- Vlahov D, Galea S, Ahern J, Resnick H, Boscarino JA, Gold J, Kilpatrick D. Consumption of cigarettes, alcohol, and marijuana among New York City residents six months after the September 11 terrorist attacks. American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse. 2004; 30:385–407.10.1081/ ADA-120037384
- Vlahov D, Galea S, Resnick H, Ahern J, Boscarino JA, Bucuvalas M, Kilpatrick D. Increased use of cigarettes, alcohol, and marijuana among Manhattan, New York, residents after the September 11th terrorist attacks. American Journal of Epidemiology. 2002; 155:988–996.10.1093/aje/ 155.11.988
- Wechsler H, Kuo M. College students define binge drinking and estimate its prevalence: Results of a national survey. Journal of American College Health. 2000; 49:57– 64.10.1080/07448480009596285 [PubMed: 11016129]

Boscarino et al.

Table 1

PTSD at 24 Months, Binge Drinking, and Total Sample Characteristics

	PTS	D at 24 months	Any	binge drinking	Tot	al sample
Variable	u	Weighted % ^a	u	Weighted % ^a	u	Weighted% ^a
Gender						
Male	49	5.0	327	48.2 ***	693	46.2
Female	85	5.7	260	26.8	988	53.8
Age						
18–29	12	1.9^{***}	142	51.3	284	22.7
30-44	56	8.0	257	42.5	596	32.9
45-64	61	6.7	152	26.6	586	32.5
65+	3	1.0	36	20.5	215	11.9
Income						
< \$30,000	73	8.2	201	35.0	599	33.8
\$30,000-\$99,999	45	3.4	287	37.9	782	46.5
\$100,000+	15	6.0	81	36.8	247	16.1
Not reported	1	1.0	18	36.1	53	3.6
Married						
No	90	6.1	341	37.0	972	49.7
Yes	4	4.6	246	36.4	709	50.3
Education						
Noncollege graduate	87	5.9	330	36.9	906	58.3
College graduate	47	4.6	257	36.4	775	41.7
White						
No	94	6.7 **	343	39.1	899	57.1
Yes	40	3.6	244	33.5	782	43.0
Black						
No	103	5.7	439	37.4	1,259	74.0
Yes	31	4.4	148	34.8	422	26.0
Latino						

i					
n V	Veighted % ^a	u	Weighted %	n N	Veighted% ^d
80	3.9 ***	432	34.3 **	1,314	75.9
54	9.6	155	44.3	367	24.1
C attacks					
14	2.7 ***	100	29.0 **	362	26.7
47	4.3	255	37.5	719	43.9
40	7.2	156	42.5	416	21.8
33	15.6	76	42.7	184	7.6
42	2.9 ***	332	35.0	166	63.3
30	4.2	151	38.4	429	24.7
62	20.5	104	42.2	261	12.0
s					
47	3.4 ***	274	32.9 **	866	57.0
37	5.8	170	39.1	484	26.8
50	11.4	143	46.2	331	16.2
70	7.5 *	210	37.8	601	36.0
43	4.5	236	36.8	655	37.9
21	3.8	141	35.2	425	26.2
Ι	I	529	36.4	1,547	94.6
I	I	58	42.7	134	5.4
36	1.0^{***}	544	36.4	1,583	95.6
98	100.0	43	43.9	98	4.4
posttraum: the attack	atic stress disord, , or during the 1	der; WT 13–24 n	C = World Trade nonths after.	Center. Any	y binge drinking tabulates binge drinking reported during the 12 months before the World Trade Center
own are ba	ised on row perc	centage	for positive status	of PTSD o	r binge drinking: percentages for those with negative status are not given: <i>n</i> values are unweighted.
		D			
	14 47 40 40 40 42 42 42 62 62 50 50 50 50 50 50 47 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	14 2.7^{***} 47 4.3 40 7.2 33 15.6 42 2.9^{***} 33 15.6 42 2.9^{***} 33 15.6 42 2.9^{***} 30 4.2 62 20.5 50 11.4 50 11.4 70 7.5^{*} 43 4.5 21 3.8 36 $1.0.0$ 98 100.0 posttraumatic stress disontrip the lattack, or during the lattack or durin	14 2.7^{***} 100 47 4.3 255 40 7.2 156 33 15.6 76 42 2.9 *** 332 30 4.2 151 62 20.5 104 62 20.5 104 63 4.2 170 50 11.4 143 50 11.4 143 50 11.4 143 70 7.5^{*} 210 43 4.5 236 21 3.8 141 21 3.8 141 21 3.8 141 21 3.8 141 21 3.8 141 21 3.8 141 21 3.8 141 21 3.8 141 21 3.8 141 21 3.8 141 21 3.8 141 21 3.8 141 21 3.8 141<	14 2.7^{***} 100 29.0^{***} 474.3255 37.5 407.2156 42.5 3315.676 42.7 42 2.9^{***} 332 35.0 304.2151 38.4 62 20.5 104 42.2 63 4.2 151 38.4 64 3.4^{***} 274 32.9^{***} 5011.4143 46.2 5011.4143 46.2 5011.4143 36.4 51 3.8 46.2 52 36.8 36.8 21 3.8 46.2 53 $1.1.4$ 143 36.4 21 3.8 45.2 53 $1.1.6$ 37.8 54 36.4 36.4 25 36.8 42.7 58 100.0 43 59 100.0 43 50 36.4 21 3.8 36 $1.00.0$ 43 36 $1.00.0$ 43 42.7 36.4 98 100.0 43 42.7 36.4 50 $1.00.0$ 43 42.7 36.4 50 42.7 50 54.4 50 54.4 50 54.4 50 54.4 50 54.4 50 54.4 50 54.4 50 54.4 50 54.4 <	14 2.7^{***} 100 29.0^{**} 362 47 4.3 255 37.5 719 40 7.2 15.6 76 42.7 184 33 15.6 76 42.7 184 42 2.9^{***} 332 35.0 991 42 2.9^{***} 332 35.0 991 42 2.9^{***} 332 35.0 991 30 4.2 104 42.2 261 47 3.4^{***} 274 32.9^{**} 866 37 3.4^{***} 274 32.9^{**} 866 37 39.1 4.22 261 424 50 11.4 143 46.2 331 50 11.4 143 46.2 35.6 425 50 11.4 143 36.4 1.547 70 7.5^{*} 210 37.8 601 70 7.5^{*} 210 $37.$

.100 > d .10 > d *** NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Boscarino et al.

J Trauma Stress. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 January 28.

Table 2

Alcohol and Psychotropic Medication Use at 3 Time Points Relative to WTC Attacks by PTSD Status at 24 Months

	No PTSD at 24 n	nonths $(n = 1,547)$	PTSD at 24 m	onths $(n = 134)$
Variable	М	SD	М	SD
Binge drinking durin	g past year			
12 months before	0.53	0.06	0.70	0.23
12 months after	0.55	0.06	0.80	0.24
24 months after	0.51	0.06	0.87	0.26
Drinks per drinking o	lay past month			
12 months before	0.94	0.10	0.95	0.44
12 months after	1.04	0.11	1.49	0.56
24-months after	1.28	0.15	1.85	0.70
Drinks per month du	ring past month			
12 months before	7.11	0.96	7.07	3.19
12 months after	7.54	0.96	9.68	3.62
24 months after	8.78	1.02	10.01	3.10
Modified CAGE Que	estionnaire past year			
12 months before	0.04	0.39	0.10	1.79
12 months after	0.08	0.50	0.23	2.19
24 months after	0.07	0.48	0.22	2.30
Psychotropic use day	vs past year			
12 months before	14.58	35.03	50.42	248.46
12 months after	13.73	33.52	77.54	309.96
24 months after	20.35	47.64	101.60	371.17

Note. WTC = World Trade Center; PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder.

Table 3

Means, Standard Errors, and Unadjusted GEE Coefficients of Alcohol and Psychotropic Medication Use at 3 Time Points for PTSD at 24 Months

	12 Months be	efore WTC	12 Months a	ifter WTC	24 Months al	fter WTC	Ĩ	SD × J	lime
Variables	Μ	SEM	Μ	SEM	Μ	SEM	q	SE	d
Binge drinking	50								
No PTSD	0.53	0.03	0.55	0.03	0.51	0.03	I	T	I
PTSD	0.70	0.12	0.80	0.12	0.87	0.13	60.	.04	.018
Drinks/drinkin	ig day								
No PTSD	0.94	0.05	1.04	0.06	1.28	0.07	I	T	I
PTSD	0.95	0.22	1.49	0.28	1.85	0.36	.29	.13	.023
Drinks/month	ı								
No PTSD	7.11	0.49	7.54	0.49	8.78	0.52	T	T	I
PTSD	7.07	1.63	9.68	1.85	10.01	1.58	.13	.07	.066
Modified CA(JE Questionnai	re							
No PTSD	0.04	0.01	0.08	0.01	0.07	0.01	I	Т	I
PTSD	0.11	0.04	0.23	0.05	0.22	0.06	.04	.03	.195
Medication da	ys ^a								
No PTSD	14.58	0.85	13.73	0.82	20.35	1.16	I	T	I
PTSD	50.42	6.06	77.54	7.56	101.60	9.07	.39	.12	.001

J Trauma Stress. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 January 28.

 a Variables were log-transformed for GEE analyses.

Boscarino et al.

Table 4

GEE Coefficients for Drinks per Drinking Day and Drinks per Month for PTSD and Delayed PTSD Onset at 24 Months

		rinks per d	rinking	ç day		Drinks pe	r month	a
		TSD	Delay	ed PTSD	A	SD	Delayo	od PTSD
Variables	q	SE	q	SE	q	SE	q	SE
Age (years)	01	.003 ***	01	.003 ***	005	.002*	004	.002*
Female	75	.10***	75	.10***	53	.07***	52	.07
Income	.07	.03 **	.07	.03 **	.10	.02 ***	.10	.02
Married	22	$.10^*$	22	$.10^*$	20	.07 **	20	.07**
White	.48	.10***	.48	.10***	.52	.08***	.52	.08
Latino	.43	.14 **	.43	.14 **	.10	.08	.10	.08
WTC attack events	.06	.04	.06	.04	90.	.03*	90.	.03
Lifetime trauma	.12	.05 **	.12	.04 **	.08	.03*	.08	.03*
Negative life events	07	.05	07	.05	04	.04	04	.04
PTSD	.04	.26	.04	.28	.05	.15	.10	.17
Time period	.16	.04	.17	.03 ***	.13	.02	.13	.02
$PTSD \times Time period$.29	.13*	.33	.15*	.13	.07	.16	*80.
Constant	1.19	0.22^{***}	1.18	0.22^{***}	0.69	0.15^{***}	0.69	0.15***
<i>Note. N</i> = 1,681. GEE =	Genera	lized estima	ting equ	iation; PTSI) = postt	raumatic str	ess disor	der; WTC =
^a Log transformed for GI	EE analy	/ses.						
$_{p < .05.}^{*}$								
p < .01.								
p < .001.								

Table 5

GEE Coefficients for Binge Drinking and Modified CAGE Questionnaire for PTSD and Delayed-Onset PTSD at 24 Months

		TSD	Delay	ed PTSD	P	USD	Delaye	d PTSD
Variables	q	SE	q	SE	q	SE	q	SE
Age (years)	01	.002 ^{***}	01	.002 ***	001	.001 *	001	.001*
Female	43	.05 ***	43	.05***	06	.01	06	.01 ***
Income	02	.01	02	.01	005	.004	005	.004
Married	05	.05	05	.05	03	.02	03	.02
White	.03	.06	.03	90.	01	.02	01	.02
Latino	.15	.07	.14	.07	.01	.02	.01	.02
WTC attack events	.04	.02	.04	.02	.02	.01*	.02	.01*
Lifetime trauma	.04	.02	.04	.02	.02	.01*	.02	.01*
Negative life events	.04	.03	.04	.03	.01	.01	.02	.01
PTSD	.05	.13	H.	.14	.02	.05	.02	.05
Time period	01	.01	01	01	.01	01	.01	.01*
$PTSD \times Time period$	60.	.04	60.	.04	.04	.03	.05	.04
Constant	1.03	0.12^{***}	1.03	0.12	0.09	0.04^{**}	0.09	0.04^{*}

J Trauma Stress. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 January 28.

p < .01.p < .001.p < .001.

Page 19

Table 6

GEE Coefficients for Psychotropic Medication Days for PTSD and Delayed PTSD Onset at 24 Months

	P'	ГSD	Delaye	ed PTSD
Variables	b	SE	b	SE
Age (years)	.01	.001 ***	.01	.001 ***
Female	.13	.05*	.14	.05*
Income	04	.02**	04	.02 **
Married	22	.05 ***	22	.05 ***
White	.31	.06***	.31	.06***
Latino	.17	.07*	.18	.07*
WTC attack events	.01	.02	.02	.02
Lifetime trauma	.10	.02 ***	.10	.02 ***
Negative life events	.14	.04 **	.16	.04 ***
PTSD	.61	.21**	.53	.24*
Time period	.05	.02**	.06	.02 **
$\text{PTSD} \times \text{Time period}$.39	.12**	.34	.13 **
Constant	-0.20	0.10*	-0.23	0.10*

Note. N = 1,681. GEE = Generalized estimating equation; PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder; WTC = World Trade Center.

* p < .05.

** p<.01.

*** p<.001.