

## NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

*Expert Rev Vaccines.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 September 01.

#### Published in final edited form as:

Expert Rev Vaccines. 2012 November; 11(11): 1315–1317. doi:10.1586/erv.12.107.

# Antigen loss and tumor-mediated immunosuppression facilitate tumor recurrence

#### Brian M Olson and Douglas G McNeel\*

University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer Center, 1111 Highland Avenue, Madison, WI 53705, USA

### Abstract

While tumor immunotherapy has seen notable success in recent years, mechanisms that tumors utilize to escape immune responses have provided significant hurdles to maximal clinical benefit. Escape mechanisms such as antigen loss, decreased MHC expression, as well as tumor-mediated suppressive effects on antitumor immune responses, can cause the most potent antitumor immune response to be rendered powerless at the tumor site. In this study, the authors show that the adoptive transfer of tumor antigen-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells combined with tumor cell immunization can elicit regression of established subcutaneous tumors in lymphopenic, but not lymphoreplete, animals. However, using a suboptimal dose of transferred cells followed by vaccination, the authors identify the development of recurrent tumors with reduced antigen expression. These tumors could still be eradicated in similarly treated animals; however, they found that transferred CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from animals with recurrent tumors acquired a suppressive phenotype. This work highlights the importance of understanding mechanisms of tumor escape, particularly underscoring the role of the tumor in modulating antigen-specific immune responses, and the critical importance of finding mechanisms to avoid the development of viable escape variants.

#### Keywords

adoptive transfer; antigen-loss variant; immunotherapy; tumor escape variant; tumor immunosuppression

This article reviews the study of Jensen *et al.*, summarizes the key findings and discusses the impact this will have on our understanding of tumor escape following immunotherapy [1]. It is becoming more evident that the escape mechanisms that tumors utilize to avoid antitumor immune responses are significant hurdles to maximizing clinical benefit from immunotherapies. These mechanisms include tumor variants that decrease the recognition of the tumor itself, as well as tumor-mediated immunosuppressive functions that can dampen the antitumor immune effector function [2,3].

#### Financial & competing interests disclosure

<sup>© 2012</sup> Expert Reviews Ltd

<sup>\*</sup>Author for correspondence: Tel.: +1 608 265 8131, Fax: +1 608 265 0614, dm3@medicine.wisc.edu.

**Evaluation of:** Jensen SM, Twitty CG, Maston LD *et al.* Increased frequency of suppressive regulatory T cells and T cell-mediated antigen loss results in murine melanoma recurrence. *J. Immunol.* 189(2), 767–776 (2012).

The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript apart from those disclosed. No writing assistance was utilized in the production of this manuscript.

The importance of regulatory responses in hampering antitumor vaccine responses has led several groups to be interested in immunizing in settings where these regulatory responses have been eliminated. In this report, Jensen *et al.* utilize lymphopenic  $RAG^{-/-}$  mice and reconstitute these animals with adoptively transferred tumor antigen-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, along with a tumor cell vaccine, to evaluate the ability of this immunotherapeutic approach to eliminate established tumors.

#### Methods

In this study, the authors utilize tumor antigen-specific pmel CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells targeting gp100 and TRP1 CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells targeting tyrosinase-related protein 1. Transgenic mice expressing pmel or TRP1 T-cell receptors were backcrossed onto the RAG<sup>-/-</sup> background to eliminate endogenous T cells. These cells were used in combination with the B16BL6-D5 (D5) murine melanoma tumor cell line, which is a poorly immunogenic subclone of the B16 cell line [4]. This cell line was used for both subcutaneous tumor challenges, as well as an immunizing vector (transfected to express GM-CSF, D5-G6).

Lymphoreplete C57BL/6 or lymphopenic RAG<sup>-/-</sup> mice were challenged with D5 tumors and then received 10<sup>6</sup> pmel and  $5 \times 10^5$  TRP1 splenocytes as well as 10<sup>7</sup> irradiated D5-G6 cells. Subsequent studies utilized suboptimal doses of transferred cells to study the contribution of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in tumor rejection, as well as mechanisms of tumor escape in recurrent tumors. Recurrent tumors were isolated and used to grow subclonal cell lines, which were examined for tyrp1, gp100 and MHC class I expression, as well as its immunogenicity and tumorigenicity in naive tumor-bearing RAG<sup>-/-</sup> mice similarly treated with adoptive transfer and vaccination.

#### Results

The adoptive transfer of naive pmel-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> and TRP1 CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells did not alter tumor growth in wild-type animals, even when combined with immunization using a GM-CSF-secreting irradiated tumor cell line (D5-G6) and IL-2 supplementation. However, in lymphopenic RAG<sup>-/-</sup> mice the transfer of tumor antigen-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in combination with D5-G6 immunization was sufficient to elicit tumor regression, even in the absence of supplemental IL-2. Furthermore, a suboptimal dose of TRP1 CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, when combined with a suboptimal dose of pmel CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and D5-G6 immunization, led to tumor regression and an increase in overall survival. However, approximately 25% of the animals eventually developed recurrent, depigmented tumors, presumably an indicator of immune editing and antigen loss [5]. Splenocytes from tumor-free animals mediated tumor regression in naive tumor-bearing recipients, however splenocytes from animals with recurrent tumors had no effect on tumor growth. TRP1 CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from animals with recurrent tumors were found to have increased expression of Foxp3 suggesting that these transferred tumor-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were converted to exhibit a regulatory phenotype.

#### Discussion

In this article, Jensen *et al.* show that lymphopenic hosts are more amenable to adoptive transfer strategies, potentially due to the lack of intrinsic regulatory T-cell populations. This alone is an interesting observation, as these animals should have tumor-associated myeloid-derived suppressor cells, yet these appear to not play a central role in mediating tolerance in this model system. An additional unexplored possibility is that these lymphopenic hosts have altered systemic levels of cytokines (e.g., IL-7) that may affect the function, proliferation or persistence of transferred T-cell populations.

Expert Rev Vaccines. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 September 01.

Isolating recurrent tumors to generate cell lines, the authors show that recurrent tumors no longer express detectable levels of gp100, in line with data showing that antigen loss is a primary means of tumor escape [6]. However, the ability of these recurrent 'gp100-negative' tumors to activate pmel CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells *in vitro*, as well remain susceptible to their immunotherapeutic regimen, suggests that decreases in antigen expression in itself is not sufficient to protect tumors from antitumor immune responses. It has been suggested that low levels of residual tumor antigen expression can lead to T-cell tolerance and the loss of effector immunosuppressive splenocytes in animals with recurrent tumors [7]. Strikingly, in this system it was the presence of transferred CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells of a regulatory T-cell phenotype (FoxP3<sup>+</sup>) and suppressive function that was most associated with the development of recurrent tumors.

#### **Expert commentary**

As new immunotherapeutic approaches enter clinical testing, identifying mechanisms that tumors can utilize to escape these antitumor responses is increasingly important. In this report, Jansen and colleagues provide further evidence that antigen loss, or at least decrease in target expression, can occur, which is an example of immunoediting. However, their studies suggest that even with reduced expression these tumors are still amenable to antigen-specific immunotherapy, and as such these studies underscore the importance of antigen selection for antitumor vaccines. Targeting antigens critical to the function or oncogenicity of tumor cells may make these cells less susceptible to antigen loss. At this point, it is unknown whether the changes in antigen expression in the tumors led to the development of regulatory responses, although this is an interesting possibility, as previously suggested [7].

While antigen loss must certainly play a role in tumor escape, the authors highlight that this process of antigen immunoediting alone is insufficient in leading to escape, and that tumormediated changes in the CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell population (from an effector to regulatory phenotype) also play a central role in avoiding antitumor immune responses. As these studies were performed in lymphopenic  $RAG^{-/-}$  mice, and regulatory CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were detected only in animals with recurrent tumors, it suggests that the tumor was directly mediating a suppressive effect on the transferred T cells. Whether this is through an inhibitory ligand such as PD-L1 or rather through a secreted factor remains to be evaluated. Furthermore, the lack of antitumor response from the same adoptive transfer and vaccine regimen in lymphoreplete animals suggest this suppression likely occurs, at least initially, independent of the adoptively transferred cells, whether by tumor-mediated suppression of T cells, altered cytokine levels or other methods.

Many recent studies have suggested that effective immunotherapy should include conditioning regimens to deplete host leukocytes [8]. At this point it is unclear whether these strategies 'make space' for adoptively transferred cells, or provide a cytokine environment most conducive to adoptive transfer and/or vaccination. The studies here, demonstrating an effect from combined immunotherapy with adoptive transfer followed by vaccine activation only in RAG<sup>-/-</sup> mice, suggests an alternative that the depletion of intrinsic lymphocytes, potentially regulatory T cells induced by the tumor, could enhance the efficacy of immunotherapy. It remains to be demonstrated if similar results could be obtained by selectively removing regulatory populations, or skewing the cytokine balance to optimize conditions for T-cell activation or maintaining effector function.

#### **Five-year view**

The ability of tumor cells to suppress effector responses, as well as cause effector cells to gain suppressive function themselves, highlights several areas of future research that will be

Expert Rev Vaccines. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 September 01.

crucial to enhance the clinical efficacy of immunotherapeutic approaches. This tumormediated conversion needs to be better understood to identify therapeutic modalities (potentially antibodies blocking checkpoint inhibitors such as CTLA-4 or PD-1) to maintain effector activity or even reverse regulatory reprogramming. If tumors continue to convert antigen-specific T cells to a suppressive phenotype, future strategies may need to block this conversion rather than simply deplete regulatory cells prior to beginning immunotherapy.

The generation of antigen-loss variants that escape immune targeting also raises important questions regarding antigen-specific immunization approaches. Not only is the selection of the appropriate target a central component of vaccine design, but further suggests that it may be advantageous to target multiple antigens simultaneously. However, there may be differences in terms of pre-existing regulatory responses as well as regulation following immunization with respect to individual antigens. In the study by Jensen *et al.*, regulatory cells were only identified for the CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, and did not occur in all animals, suggesting that there may be differences among antigens or a means to control the conversion of antigen-specific responses to a suppressive phenotype. Taken together, these findings demonstrate that future studies with antitumor vaccines should monitor for the presence of antigen-specific regulatory cells as well as effector cells.

#### Acknowledgments

This work is supported for BM Olson and DG McNeel by the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs' Prostate Cancer Research Program (W81XWH-11-1-0196) and by the National Institutes of Health (R01 CA142608).

#### References

- Jensen SM, Twitty CG, Maston LD, et al. Increased frequency of suppressive regulatory T cells and T cell-mediated antigen loss results in murine melanoma recurrence. J. Immunol. 2012; 189(2):767– 776. [PubMed: 22723522]
- Khong HT, Restifo NP. Natural selection of tumor variants in the generation of 'tumor escape' phenotypes. Nat. Immunol. 2002; 3(11):999–1005. [PubMed: 12407407]
- Ahmad M, Rees RC, Ali SA. Escape from immunotherapy: possible mechanisms that influence tumor regression/progression. Cancer Immunol. Immunother. 2004; 53(10):844–854. [PubMed: 15197495]
- 4. Hu HM, Poehlein CH, Urba WJ, Fox BA. Development of antitumor immune responses in reconstituted lymphopenic hosts. Cancer Res. 2002; 62(14):3914–3919. [PubMed: 12124318]
- Shankaran V, Ikeda H, Bruce AT, et al. IFNgamma and lymphocytes prevent primary tumour development and shape tumour immunogenicity. Nature. 2001; 410(6832):1107–1111. [PubMed: 11323675]
- DuPage M, Mazumdar C, Schmidt LM, Cheung AF, Jacks T. Expression of tumour-specific antigens underlies cancer immunoediting. Nature. 2012; 482(7385):405–409. [PubMed: 22318517]
- Zhou G, Lu Z, McCadden JD, Levitsky HI, Marson AL. Reciprocal changes in tumor antigenicity and antigen-specific T cell function during tumor progression. J. Exp. Med. 2004; 200(12):1581– 1592. [PubMed: 15596524]
- Dudley ME, Wunderlich JR, Robbins PF, et al. Cancer regression and autoimmunity in patients after clonal repopulation with antitumor lymphocytes. Science. 2002; 298(5594):850–854. [PubMed: 12242449]

Expert Rev Vaccines. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 September 01.

#### **Key issues**

- Adoptive transfer of tumor antigen-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells combined with tumor vaccine immunization elicited tumor regression in lymphopenic RAG<sup>-/-</sup> mice, but not lymphoreplete mice.
- A suboptimal dose of transferred cells resulted in recurrence of tumors, which displayed decreased antigen expression.
- CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from animals with recurrent tumors expressed a suppressive phenotype.
- This study highlights the importance of understanding mechanisms of tumor escape, suggesting that tumor-mediated T-cell regulation is critical to tumor immune escape, and that finding mechanisms to avoid the development tumor-mediated regulatory T cells is important to the success of future immunotherapy approaches.