

Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2013 February 1; 15(3): 602–605. doi:10.1021/ol303424b.


N-Methylimidazole-Catalyzed Synthesis of Carbamates from Hydroxamic Acids via the Lossen Rearrangement

Sabesan Yoganathan and Scott J. Miller

Department of Chemistry, Yale University, P.O. Box 208107, New Haven, CT 06520

Scott J. Miller: scott.miller@yale.edu

Abstract



An efficient, one-pot, *N*-methylimidazole (NMI) accelerated synthesis of aromatic and aliphatic carbamates via the Lossen rearrangement is reported. NMI is a catalyst for the conversion of isocyanate intermediates to the carbamates. Moreover, the utility of arylsulfonyl chloride in combination with NMI minimizes the formation of often-observed hydroxamate-isocyanate dimers during the sequence. Under the present conditions, lowering of temperatures is also possible, enabling a mild protocol.

Conversion of carboxylic acid derivatives to the corresponding isocyanates is often achieved through oxidative rearrangements, such as the Hofmann or Curtius rearrangements.¹ These approaches have been successfully employed to incorporate amine functionality into many substrates, including drug molecules.² In addition, isocyanates – the direct product of the Lossen rearrangement – are useful precursors for the synthesis of carbamate and urea derivatives with pharmaceutical³ and agrochemical relevance.⁴ Despite the widespread use of these oxidative rearrangement methods, the use of strong hypervalent iodine reagents (Hofmann rearrangement) and potentially explosive azides (Curtius rearrangement) limit the application of these methods in large scale synthesis as well as for the derivatization of complex natural products with sensitive functional groups. As an alternative, the Lossen rearrangement^{5,6} provides access to isocyanates under somewhat more mild conditions. However, the application of the Lossen rearrangement is limited due to a competing side reaction, where the isocyanate **3** reacts with the hydroxamate **1**, often yielding undesired pseudo-dimers like **4** (Scheme 1).^{5,6}

Correspondence to: Scott J. Miller, scott.miller@yale.edu.

 Supporting Information Available: Procedures and characterization data. This information is available free of charge at: <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Efforts to prevent the dimerization reaction have included (a) attempted preformation of an activated hydroxamate and (b) performing the rearrangement at high temperature (65 to 85 °C).⁷ These conditions are typically unfavorable for incorporation of carbamate functionality into complex natural products or substrates that tend to undergo additional reactions under basic conditions (e.g., conjugated alcohols and beta-hydroxy-ketones). Despite the development of several Brønsted base,⁸ Brønsted acid,⁹ Lewis base¹⁰ and Lewis acid promoters¹¹ for the synthesis of carbamates in this context, such methods still have limited application. To the best of our knowledge, an effective and mild Lewis base-catalyzed protocol for the synthesis of carbamates had been underexplored. Therefore, development of a Lewis base-dependent method would be a useful addition if advantages were apparent.

Thus, in an effort to develop a simple, low temperature method to promote the Lossen rearrangement, we hypothesized that a suitable nucleophilic catalyst might accelerate the sulfonyl-group transfer during the initial *O*-activation step. Such a catalyst would prevent the undesired consumption of **3**, and hence the formation of dimeric side product **4** (Scheme 2). The same Lewis base, in principle, might also accelerate the reaction of the isocyanate intermediate in the presence of a suitable nucleophile, en route to carbamate products. Previously, our research group had shown that peptide-based catalysts containing the NMI moiety (e.g., π -methylhistidine) are quite effective for catalysis of sulfonyl group transfer reactions.¹²

Our initial investigation began with examination of several arylsulfonyl reagents for the Lossen rearrangement of hydroxamic acid **6** in the presence and absence of NMI (Scheme 3). We found that under optimized conditions, sulfonyl chlorides, in particular 4-nitrobenzenesulfonyl chloride (4-NsCl) promoted the Lossen rearrangement of **6** very effectively at 0 °C within 2 h, yielding the isocyanate **7a** with essentially complete conversion. Two aspects of this observation were surprising. First, it was unexpected that Lossen rearrangement to **7a** would occur so efficiently and spontaneously at 0 °C. In contrast, when tosyl chloride is used as the sulfonylating agent, the reaction also results in isocyanate formation, but the reaction is not as efficient.¹³ Secondly, control experiments revealed that NMI was in fact not required for the formation of the isocyanate. Indeed, this may be due to the heightened reactivity of hydroxamic acids towards sulfonyl chlorides, relative to the lower reactivity of aliphatic alcohols in this context.¹² On the other hand, NMI proved essential for the conversion of **7a** to carbamate **7b** at ambient temperature (vide infra).

To gain more insight into NMI-catalyzed conversion of isocyanates to carbamates, we evaluated several possible Lewis bases (Table 1). We observed quite rapid and high conversion of isocyanate **8** to carbamate **9** in the presence of either NMI (entry 2; 10 mol %) or *N,N*-dimethylaminopyridine (entry 3; 10 mol %). At 23 °C, complete conversion of **8** was observed within 60 minutes with either catalyst. When the catalyst is omitted from the reaction, the product is observed in only 3% yield. It is also notable that neither imidazole (entry 4) nor pyridine (entry 5) are catalysts for the process. The NMI-catalyzed reaction also proceeds effectively at 0 °C, with a modest extension of the reaction time (~3 h), or with higher loading of NMI (20–30 mol %). The low temperature, together with the mild nature of the catalyst (e.g., *pK*_a of NMI ~ 7.4), provide a very mild and practical method for the synthesis of carbamates.

We then evaluated the versatility of this approach by exposure of isocyanate **8** to various alcohols under the optimized conditions (Table 2). Both primary (entries 1 and 2) and secondary alcohols (entries 3 and 4) work well, leading to the carbamates in high yield. Additionally, conversion of *trans*, *trans*-2,4-hexadiene-1-ol to the corresponding carbamate

(entry 6) in excellent yield indicates that the reported method does not cause an elimination reaction of conjugated carbamate **14**. Since many of the previously reported methods employ more harsh conditions, which could cause elimination of such carbamates,^{11d} the present protocol provides a superior alternative. On the other hand, the reactivity of tertiary alcohol (entry 5) is noticeably low, with carbamate **13** formed in only 17% yield under the analogous reaction conditions, presumably due to the steric hindrance.

To demonstrate that NMI accelerates the reaction of both aromatic and aliphatic isocyanate, 4-methoxy phenyl isocyanate and cyclohexyl isocyanate were examined (Scheme 4). In each case, we observed efficient reactions, with the corresponding Cbz-carbamates **10** and **16** formed in 99% and 55% yield, respectively. We observed that cyclohexyl isocyanate **15** was less reactive and required slightly elevated temperature (35 °C) and a somewhat extended reaction time (6 h) to afford the observed result. We achieved an improved conversion of **15** with even longer reaction time (14 h; see Table 3, entry 12).

Since our initial goal was to develop an improved Lossen rearrangement protocol, we investigated the generality of the Lossen rearrangement protocol presented herein for a one-pot synthesis of carbamates. We synthesized various hydroxamic acid substrates from the corresponding carboxylic acid in one simple step (see Supporting Information).^{7d,14} Each hydroxamic acid was exposed to 4-NsCl (1.1 equiv), in the presence of ^tPr₂EtN (2.5 equiv) at 0 °C for 2 h. This time frame appears adequate for complete conversion of the hydroxamate to the corresponding isocyanate. Subsequently, NMI and allyl alcohol were added to the reaction mixture to afford the desired carbamates (Table 3). This general procedure proved quite effective for a wide range of compounds, which are discussed in Table 3.

As shown in entries 1 – 7, aryl hydroxamic acids prove to be good substrates, undergoing the complete one-pot (two-step) transformation, giving the desired carbamate in good to excellent yields (59 – 97% yields). Our observations that the electron withdrawing substituents on the aromatic rings may lead to slower rearrangement, perhaps due to reduced electron density of the migrating group, is consistent with prior studies^{7d}. The aliphatic hydroxamates (entries 8–13) also undergo rearrangement at 0 °C; however, the formation of aliphatic carbamates (**23** – **28**) were carried out at slightly elevated temperature (35 °C) to afford the desired carbamates in a convenient time frame.

The basis of the NMI-accelerated alcoholysis of isocyanates is not immediately clear. On the other hand, the role of nucleophilic catalysts such as DMAP for accelerating reactions of this nature seems an appropriate analogy.^{10a} At this time, we do not have a clear understanding of the reaction mechanism to provide a definitive proposal for this aspect.

In conclusion, we have developed a quite mild and efficient catalytic approach for the conversion of hydroxamic acids to the corresponding carbamate in a one-pot operation. As the Lossen rearrangement provides a practical alternative approach for the modification of a carboxylic acid, the reported method can be used for oxidative rearrangement of carboxylic acids found in complex molecules as well as in sensitive substrates. Our group has been interested in developing chemical methods to modify complex natural products.¹⁵ Efforts will be taken to investigate the application of the reported method to introduce amine and carbamate functionalities into complex natural products in the near future.

Supplementary Material


Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments


We would like to thank colleagues at Cubist Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Drs. Y. Gu, N. Yin and Y. He) for support and insightful discussions. We are also grateful to the National Institutes of Health (R37 GM068649) and Yale University for partial support of this effort.

References


1. (a) Shiori, Y. *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*. Trost, BM.; Fleming, I., editors. Vol. 6. Pergamon; Oxford: 1991. p. 795(b) Franklin EC. *Chem Rev.* 1934; 14:219.
2. (a) Ishikawa H, Bondzic BP, Hayashi Y. *Eur J Org Chem.* 2011:6020.(b) Maung KM, Wustmann DJ, Herzon SB. *Chem Sci.* 2011; 2:2251.
3. (a) Kasnanen H, Myllymaki MJ, Minkkila A, Kataja AO, Saario SM, Nevalainen T, Koskinen AMP, Poso A. *Chem Med Chem.* 2010; 5:213. [PubMed: 20024981] (b) Otrubova K, Ezzili C, Boger DL. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2011; 21:4674. [PubMed: 21764305] (c) Li HQ, Lv PC, Yan T, Zhu HL. *Anti-Cancer Agents Med Chem.* 2009; 9:471.(d) Boijeaf Gennäs G, Mologni L, Ahmed S, Rajaratnam M, Marin O, Lindholm N, Viltadi M, Gambacorti-Passerini C, Scapozza L, Yli-Kauhaluoma J. *Chem Med Chem.* 2011; 6:1680. [PubMed: 21721129]
4. Ma HJ, Xie RL, Zhao QF, Mei XD, Ning J. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2010; 58:12817. [PubMed: 21114293]
5. (a) Yale HL. *Chem Rev.* 1943; 33:209.(b) Hurd CD, Bauer L. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1954; 76:2791.(c) Bauer L, Exner O. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 1974; 13:376.(d) Stolberg MA, Tweit RC, Steinberg GM, Wagner-Jauregg T. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1955; 77:765.(e) Pihuleac J, Bauer L. *Synthesis.* 1989:61.
6. For selected examples, see: Wallace RG, Barker JM, Wood ML. *Synthesis.* 1990:1143.Hoare DG, Olson A, Koshland DE Jr. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1968; 90:1638. [PubMed: 5636802]
7. (a) Miller MJ, Loudon GM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1975; 97:5295. [PubMed: 1165365] (b) Stafford JA, Gonzales SS, Barrett DG, Suh EM, Feldman PL. *J Org Chem.* 1998; 63:10040.(c) Anilkumar R, Chandrasekhar S, Sridhar M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000; 41:5291.(d) Dubé P, Nathel NFF, Vetelino M, Couturier M, Aboussafy CL, Pichette S, Jorgensen ML, Hardink M. *Org Lett.* 2009; 11:5622. [PubMed: 19908883]
8. Dillard RD, Poore GA, Easton NR, Sweeney MJ, Gibson WR. *J Med Chem.* 1968; 11:1155. [PubMed: 5695740]
9. (a) Benalil A, Roby P, Carboni B, Vaultier M. *Synthesis.* 1991:787.(b) Lammiman SA, Satchell RS. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 2.* 1974:877.
10. (a) Höfle G, Steglich W, Vorbrüggen H. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 1978; 17:569.(b) Keyes RF, Carter JJ, Zhang X, Ma Z. *Org Lett.* 2005; 7:847. [PubMed: 15727456]
11. (a) Duggan ME, Imagire JS. *Synthesis.* 1989:131.(b) Nishikawa T, Urabe D, Tomita M, Tsujimoto T, Iwabuchi T, Isobe M. *Org Lett.* 2006; 8:3263. [PubMed: 16836381] (c) Stock C, Bruckner R. *Synlett.* 2010:2429.(d) Stock C, Bruckner R. *Adv Synth Catal.* 2012; 354:2309.(e) Spino C, Joly MA, Godbout C, Arbour M. *J Org Chem.* 2005; 70:6118. [PubMed: 16018715] (f) Francis T, Thorne MP. *Can J Chem.* 1976; 54:24.
12. Fiori KW, Puchlopek ALA, Miller SJ. *Nat Chem.* 2009; 1:630. [PubMed: 20161563]
13. TsCl, 3-NsCl and 4-NsCl gave complete conversion of **6** to **7a**. TsF and 4-NsF gave no conversion to the desired isocyanate **7a**.
14. Usachova N, Leitis G, Jirgensons A, Kalvinsh I. *Synth Commun.* 2010; 40:927.
15. (a) Fowler BS, Laemmerhold KM, Miller SJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:9755. [PubMed: 22621706] (b) Pathak TP, Miller SJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:6120. [PubMed: 22462775] (c) Lewis CA, Miller SJ. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2006; 45:5616.

**Scheme 1.**


Formation of the undesired dimeric side product during the Lossen rearrangement under thermal condition.




Scheme 2.
Hypothesized NMI-catalyzed carbamate synthesis.

**Scheme 3.**

Conversion of hydroxamate **6** to either the isocyanate or the carbamate.



Scheme 4.
Synthesis of aromatic and aliphatic carbamates

Table 1Conversion of isocyanate **8** to the carbamate **9** using various nucleophilic catalysts.

entry	catalyst ^a	yield ^b
1	No catalyst	3%
2	NMI	93%
3	DMAP	95%
4	Imidazole	3%
5	Pyridine	4%

^a10 mol % catalyst was used;^bIsolated yield.

Table 2

NMI-catalyzed carbamate synthesis using various alcohols.








entry	alcohol ^a	product	yield ^b
1			95%
2			99%
3			87%
4			69% ^c
5			17%
6			90%


^a2 equiv was used;^bisolated yield;

^c3 equiv alcohol was used.

Table 3

NMI-catalyzed synthesis of aryl and aliphatic carbamates.

entry	product	yield ^a
1	 17	83%
2	 9	97%
3	 18	72%
4	 19	75%
5	 20	59%
6	 21	85%
7	 22	87%



entry	product	yield ^a
8		74%
9		75%
10		94%
11		78%
12		71%
13		31% ^b

^a isolated yield;

^b yield calculated over 3 steps.