

Lysogenic Conversion of *Pasteurella* by *Escherichia coli* Bacteriophage P1 CM

WILLIAM D. LAWTON¹ AND DOROTHY M. MOLNAR²

Biological Defense Research Laboratory, Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701

Received for publication 24 January 1972

Bacteriophage P1 CM can convert *Pasteurella pestis* or *P. pseudotuberculosis* to chloramphenicol resistance and phage restriction, but no viable phage was induced from converted *Pasteurella* strains.

In searching for a transducing phage for *Pasteurella*, we noted that several strains of *P. pestis* and *P. pseudotuberculosis* were converted to chloramphenicol resistance (CM^r) upon incubation with P1 CM phage propagated on *Escherichia coli*. P1 CM is a derivative of the generalized transducing phage, P1 *kc*, which had acquired the CM^r marker by recombination with an RTF factor and which showed no detectable differences from the parent phage (2). Acquisition of the CM^r marker by the recipient cell was always accompanied by lysogenization with P1 CM (i.e., a lysogenic conversion).

Certain strains of *E. coli* and *Shigella dysenteriae* lysogenic for phage P1 restrict the heterologous phages T1, T3, T7, P2, and λ (1, 3). We relied on this restriction of heterologous phages to show that selected CM^r clones were carrying P1 CM phage.

A sterile lysate of P1 CM phage, prepared on *E. coli* strain W1485, was incubated with log-phase cultures at a multiplicity of 0.1 to 1 for 2 hr at 37 C. Selection for CM^r was made on Difco blood-agar base containing 25 μg of chloramphenicol/ml. With *E. coli*, only 5% of the cells survived phage treatment, and 20% of these survivors were converted to CM^r. With *P. pestis* and *P. pseudotuberculosis*, all the cells survived, and the conversion to CM^r was 0.7% for the former and 0.02% for the latter.

P1 CM phage was released from CM^r clones of *E. coli* upon incubation in L broth with or without ultraviolet induction. On the other hand, we were unable to detect by plating or spotting on lawns of *E. coli*, *P. pestis*, or *P. pseudotuberculosis* the release of phage particles from CM^r clones of *P. pestis* or *P. pseudotuberculosis*. However, these clones were apparently lysogenic

for P1 CM because they restricted heterologous phages as shown in Table 1.

The efficiency of plating (EOP) of all three phages (T7, H, and φIV) on the nonlysogenic *E. coli* was 1.0, whereas the EOP on the P1 CM lysogen was 10⁻⁶ to 10⁻⁸. Similarly with *P. pestis* and *P. pseudotuberculosis*, the EOP of these phages was restricted in the P1 CM lysogen in all cases where the phage was active on the parent nonlysogen.

TABLE 1. Restriction of heterologous phages by P1 CM lysogenic strains^a

Strain (all F ⁻)	EOP of phage		
	T7	φH	φIV
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	1	1	1
<i>E. coli</i> (P1 CM)	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁷	10 ⁻⁶
<i>Pasteurella pestis</i>	10 ⁻²	1	NS
<i>P. pestis</i> (P1 CM)	10 ⁻⁹	10 ⁻⁸	NS
<i>P. pseudotuberculosis</i>	10 ⁻²	10 ⁻¹	1
<i>P. pseudotuberculosis</i> (P1 CM)	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁶

^a EOP = efficiency of plating; NS = not sensitive.

Previously, we showed that only one strain of *P. pestis* (YpA-38) was sensitive to the male-specific phage MS2 (4). A derivative of this strain carrying F'*lac* was converted to CM^r with P1 CM phage. As shown in Table 2, the CM^r clones selected from this conversion (presumably P1 CM lysogenic) restricted MS2 (i.e., the EOP of MS2 was <10⁻¹¹ compared with an EOP of 10⁻¹ on the nonlysogenic parent strain). CM^r clones selected from the conversion of an F'*lac* strain of *P. pseudotuberculosis* (YsD-20) also restricted MS2. However, CM^r derivatives of *E. coli* (F'*lac*, F⁺ or Hfr strains) did not restrict MS2.

¹ Present address: Division of Laboratories and Research, New York State Department of Health, Albany, N.Y. 12201.

² Present address: 916 Pontiac Avenue, Frederick, Md. 21701.

Since true restriction of phage ribonucleic acid had never been demonstrated, we explored the possibility that the presence of P1 CM affected the adsorption of phage MS2. The results (Table 3) show that, although the percentage of *lac*⁺ cells was approximately the same in both lysogenic and nonlysogenic cultures, only 6% of the MS2 phage was adsorbed by the P1 CM lysogens compared with 64% by the nonlysogen. By spot test, the P1 CM lysogen was MS2^r, but the parent strain was MS2^s. The parent strain was normally resistant to the female-specific phage T7, whereas the P1 CM lysogen became T7^s. However, the heterologous phage IV to which the parent was normally sensitive was restricted in the P1 CM lysogen.

These data show that the presence of P1 CM can prevent adsorption of the male-specific phage to an *F*'*lac* strain of *P. pseudotuberculosis*, possibly by blocking F pili formation.

TABLE 2. Restriction of MS2 by *F*'*lac* strains of *Pasteurella lysogenic* for P1 CM

Strain	EOP ^a of MS2 on	
	Non-lysogen	P1 CM lysogen
<i>Pasteurella pestis</i> <i>F</i> ' <i>lac</i>	10 ⁻¹	<10 ⁻¹¹
<i>P. pseudotuberculosis</i> <i>F</i> ' <i>lac</i>	10 ⁻¹	<10 ⁻¹¹
<i>Escherichia coli</i> <i>F</i> ' <i>lac</i>	1	1
<i>E. coli</i> <i>F</i> ⁺	1	1
<i>E. coli</i> Hfr	1	1

^a EOP = efficiency of plating.

TABLE 3. Comparison of adsorption of MS2 by *Pasteurella pseudotuberculosis* (P1 CM) and its nonlysogenic parent

Determinations	Nonlysogen ^a	P1 CM lysogen ^a
CM ^r colonies	0	81
<i>lac</i> ⁺ colonies	90	95
MS2 adsorbed (MOI = 0.01) ^b	64	6
Phage sensitivity (spot test)		
MS2	S	R
T2	R	S
φIV	S	R

^a Numbers are in %; S = sensitive; R = resistant.

^b MOI = multiplicity of infection.

We are indebted to Raymond Gregoire for excellent technical assistance.

LITERATURE CITED

- Hattman, S. 1964. The control of host-induced modification by phage Pl. *Virology* 23:270-271.
- Kondo, E., and S. Mitsuhashi. 1964. Drug resistance of enteric bacteria. IV. Active transducing bacteriophage P1 CM produced by the combination of R factor with bacteriophage Pl. *J. Bacteriol.* 88:1266-1276.
- Lederberg, S. 1957. Suppression of the multiplication of heterologous bacteriophages in lysogenic bacteria. *Virology* 3:496-513.
- Molnar, D. M., and W. D. Lawton. 1971. Growth of male-specific bacteriophage in *Pasteurella* harboring F-genotes derived from *Escherichia coli*. *J. Virol.* 7:24-28.