Virus-Specific Ribonucleic Acid in the Nucleus and Cytoplasm of Rat Embryo Cells Transformed by Adenovirus Type 2

KOICHIRO SHIMADA, KEI FUJINAGA,¹ SEIJI HAMA,² KENJI SEKIKAWA,¹ AND YOHEI ITO

Laboratory of Viral Oncology, Aichi Cancer Center, Research Institute, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Japan

Received for publication 24 May 1972

Nuclei were isolated from rat embryo cells transformed by adenovirus type 2. Nuclear and cytoplasmic virus-specific ribonucleic acids (RNA) were characterized and quantitated by deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA)-RNA hybrid formation with adenovirus DNA. The results indicate that most, if not all, virus-specific RNA molecules are synthesized in the cell nucleus and subsequently transported into cytoplasm where they degrade with a half-life of ¹ to 2 hr. No difference in base sequences between nuclear and cytoplasmic virus-specific RNA species can be detected by hybridization competition experiment with viral DNA.

Virus-specific ribonucleic acid (RNA) is transcribed in cells transformed by adenoviruses which synthesize no infectious virus (4-6, 9). Adenovirus type 2 (Ad 2) has not induced tumors in newborn rodents, but Freeman et al. (3) have shown that Ad ² (a group C adenovirus) transform rat embryo cells morphologically in vitro. Hybridization competition studies show that 4 to 10% of the viral genome (one to five viral genes) are transcribed in rat embryo cells transformed by Ad 2 (8).

It was of interest to investigate further the properties of nuclear and cytoplasmic virusand cell-specific RNA in Ad 2-transformed cells. We report here studies on nuclear and cytoplasmic virus-specific RNA species, the synthetic pattern, transportation from nucleus to cytoplasm, half-life, and nucleotide sequence homology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells. The growth of Ad 2-transformed rat embryo cells and human KB cells has been described (8). Cells labeled with a radioactive precursor were harvested by centrifugation at 1,200 rev/min for 10 min and washed with cold phosphate-buffered saline.

Separation of nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions. The procedures described by Borun et al. (1) and

² Present address: Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Tottori University, 86, Nishi-cho, Yonago, Tottori, Japan.

Penman (15) have been modified. The cell pellet was resuspended in RSB buffer $[1 \times 10^{-2}$ M NaCl, 1×10^{-2} M tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris)hydrochloride, 1.5×10^{-3} M MgCl₂, pH 7.4] plus 0.2% Nonidet P-40 (NP-40) (Shell Chemical Co.) and incubated at 0 to 4 C for ¹⁵ min.

Nuclei were centrifuged at 2,200 rev/min for 10 min and washed with RSB containing NP-40, and the supernatant fractions were pooled as cytoplasmic fraction. Nuclei were free of cytoplasmic contaminants by phase microscopy.

Isolation of RNA from nuclei. The nuclear pellet was suspended in HS buffer (0.5 M NaCl, 5×10^{-2} M MgCl₂, 1×10^{-2} M Tris-hydrochloride, pH 7.4) (15) and treated with deoxyribonuclease (50 μ g/ml, electrophoretically purified, Worthington Biochemical Co.) for 30 min at room temperature. Nuclear RNA was extracted as described previously (5, 18). RNA was precipitated with ethanol, treated again with deoxyribonuclease and phenol, and dialyzed against $0.1 \times$ SSC (SSC is 0.15 M NaCl, 1.5×10^{-2} M sodium citrate).

Cytoplasmic RNA. Cytoplasmic RNA was purified by the hot phenol-sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) method (15).

DNA preparations. Viral deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) was isolated from purified virus by the method of Green and Pifia (11, 12) using adenovirus types described previously (8). Cellular DNA was purified by Marmur's procedure (11).

DNA-RNA hybridization. DNA-RNA hybridization was performed by the procedure of Gillespie and Spiegelman (10) with minor modifications (7).

Hybridization competition. Membrane filters containing immobilized DNA were incubated with unlabeled RNA in 1 ml of $2 \times$ SSC-0.1% SDS for 20 hr at 66 C. 3H-RNA from the Ad 2-transformed

¹Present address: Department of Molecular Biology, Cancer Research Institute, Sapporo Medical College, S.1 W17, Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan.

rat embryo cells in 0.4 ml of $2 \times$ SSC-0.1% SDS was added, and the filters were incubated further at ⁶⁶ C for ²⁰ hr. The filters were processed (7).

RESULTS

Separation of nucleus from cytoplasm. The separation of nuclei and cytoplasm of Ad 2 transformed rat embryo or KB cells was evaluated by labeling cells with 3H-thymidine. Nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions were prepared with 0.1, 0.2, or 0.5% NP-40. As shown in Table 1, less than 4% of the acid-insoluble radioactivity was present in the cytoplasmic fraction when 0.1 to 0.5% NP-40 was used. Further experiments used 0.2% NP-40. Nuclei were free of cytoplasmic contaminants by phase microscopy.

Incorporation of 3H-uridine into virus-specific RNA of nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions. Ad 2 transformed rat embryo cells were labeled with ³H-uridine, and the virus-specific RNA content of the nuclear and cytoplasmic RNA fractions was determined. Viral RNA was detected in the nucleus after 30 min of incorporation and in the cytoplasm at later times (Fig. la). The amount of viral RNA in both fractions increased in proportion to the labeling time, but the ratio of viral RNA in the nucleus to that in the cytoplasm decreased with increasing labeling time (Fig. lb).

Stability of viral RNA. After labeling Ad 2-

TABLE 1. Acid-insoluble radioactivity in cytoplasmic fraction from 3H-thymidine-labeled cellsa

Cell	Nonidet P-40 $(\%)$	Whole cell: $nucleus +$ cytoplasm $(\times 10^2$ counts per min per 100 ml of culture)	Cvtoplasm (X10 ² counts per min per 100 ml of culture)	Radioac- tivity in cytoplasm
$Ad 2-$ trans- formed rat embryo	${<}0.1$ 0.2 0.5	8,636 7,385 8.210	248 285 234	2.9 3.9 2.8
KВ	0.1 0.2 0.5	7,685 8,096 7.302	169 154 196	2.2 1.9 2.7

^a Cells in suspension $(2 \times 10^5$ to 5×10^5 /ml) were labeled with ³H-thymidine (0.5 μ Ci/ml, 15.5 Ci/mmole, thymidine-methyl-3H, Schwarz BioResearch) for 16 to 18 hr at 37 C. Nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions were prepared, and acid-insoluble radioactivity of whole cells and cytoplasmic fractions was measured after precipitation with 2.5% perchloric acid.

Labeling time (min)

FiG. 1. Incorporation of 3H-uridine into nuclear and cytoplasmic virus-specific RNA. Ad 2-transformed rat embryo cells (106/ml) were labeled with $8 \mu Ci$ of $3H$ -uridine per ml (uridine-5-T, Amersham, 22.7 Ci/mmole) at 37 C. Samples were harvested at indicated intervals, and nuclear and cytoplasmic RNA was prepared as described in the text. The amounts of labeled viral RNA were estimated by hybridization with excess viral DNA immobilized on nitrocellulose filter (Fig. la). In Fig. Ib, the ratio of labeled viral RNA in the nucleus to that in the cytoplasm was plotted against the time of labeling. Nuclear 3H-RNA $(\bullet \rightarrow \bullet);$ cytoplasmic viral $H-RNA$ $(\circ \rightarrow \circ).$

transformed rat embryo cells with 3H-uridine for 12 hr at 37 C, the medium was replaced with medium containing unlabeled uridine and actinomycin D and the cells were incubated further at 37 C. Samples were removed after the indicated periods of time. As shown in Fig. 2, nuclear viral 3 H-RNA decreased 41% at 1 hr, 79% at 2 hr, and 95% at 4 to 24 hr. The faster decrease of nuclear viral RNA than cytoplasmic viral RNA was reproducible in several experiments.

Relationship between nuclear and cytoplasmic viral RNA. Hybridization competition analysis was performed with RNA isolated from the nucleus and cytoplasm of Ad 2-transformed rat embryo cells. Unlabeled nuclear and cytoplasmic

formed rat embryo cells (10⁶/ml) were labeled with 8 μ Ci of ³H-uridine per ml at 37 C for 12 hr. The me-
dium was replaced and 1 μ g of actinomycin D per tablished as an entity having the character of ml and 5×10^{-4} M unlabeled uridine were added.
Cells were incubated further at 37 C, and samples specific localization in the nucleus, rapid turn-Cells were includied further at 37 C, and samples over rate, heterogeneous high molecular weight,
were removed after 0, 1, 2, 4, 8, and 24 hr. The amount and high hybridizability to cellular DNA (17). of labeled viral RNA in the nuclear and cytoplasmic
fractions was estimated by hybridization with excess viral DNA. Nuclear ${}^{3}H-RNA$ (\bullet ---- \bullet); cytoplasmic viral ${}^{3}H-RNA$ (\circ --- \circ).

RNA inhibited hybrid formation between labeled cytoplasmic ³H-RNA and viral DNA over 80% cytoplasmic ³H-RNA and viral DNA over 80% unpublished data). A hybrid molecule of viral (Table 2). Similarly, unlabeled cytoplasmic and RNA covalently linked to cellular RNA seems nuclear RNA inhibited hybrid formation be-
to be synthesized in the nucleus of the same tween labeled nuclear RNA and Ad 2 DNA transformed cells (2). These results suggest that over 90%, and the same nuclear and cytoplasmic viral RNA is transcribed as a bubrid RNA over 90% , and the same nuclear and cytoplasmic viral RNA is transcribed as a hybrid RNA RNA of uninfected KB cells did molecule bound covalently to cellular RNA not inhibit hybrid formation significantly (Table and at least a portion including viral RNA seems 2). Unlabeled nuclear and cytoplasmic RNA to be transported into the cytoplasm.

inhibited equally well hybrid formation be-

It will therefore be interesting to inve inhibited equally well hybrid formation be-
the unit therefore be interesting to investigate in
tween labeled cytoplasmic ³H-RNA and cellular detail the transport mechanism of viral RNA tween labeled cytoplasmic ³H-RNA and cellular detail the transport mechanism of viral RNA DNA (Fig. 3). On the contrary, the hybrid for-
trom nucleus to cytoplasm in relation to that of mation between labeled nuclear ³H-RNA and

cellular DNA was inhibited to 90% by un- $100¹$ labeled nuclear RNA, but only to 30% by unlabeled cytoplasmic RNA (Fig. 4), indicating that most of the nuclear RNA synthesized is restricted to the nucleus. In both cases, KB cell RNA did not inhibit hybrid formation. These results strongly suggest that most, if not all, of the nucleotide sequences of nuclear and cytoplasmic virus-specific RNA species are identical.

DISCUSSION

nucleus by the incorporation of ³H-uridine. The ratio of labeled viral RNA in the nucleus to that in the cytoplasm decreased with increas ing labeling time. Chased with unlabeled Ur and actinomycin D, labeled viral RNA started
to decrease in the nucleus earlier than in the cytospecific RNA is synthesized in the nucleus and transported into the cytoplasm. Hybridization plasm. These results strongly suggest that virus-
specific RNA is synthesized in the nucleus and
transported into the cytoplasm. Hybridization
competition experiments between nuclear and cytoplasmic viral RNA species show that they However, difference in minor components cannot
be excluded, for the condition of DNA excess is
not perfectly attained in hybridization competi-
tion. From the above results, we conclude that most of the virus-specific RNA species synthe- $\begin{array}{ccccccc}\n0 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 24 \\
\end{array}$ sized in the nucleus are transported into the cytoplasm. Therefore, virus-specific RNA differs from most cellular nuclear RNA, of which over Time after chase (hour) 80% is retained in the nucleus of the cells. Ex-FIG. 2. Stability of labeled viral RNA. Ad 2-trans-

rmed rat embryo cells (10⁶/ml) were labeled with has been previously reported in L cells (16).

> tablished as an entity having the character of Recently, nuclear viral RNA has been demonstrated in the cells transformed by SV-40, which has a molecular size larger than corresponding to the whole viral genome (13). Similar viral RNA has been observed in the nucleus of Ad 2-
transformed rat embryo cells (Sekikawa et al., RNA covalently linked to cellular RNA seems molecule bound covalently to cellular RNA,

> from nucleus to cytoplasm in relation to that of cellular RNA.

Labeled RNA (input counts/min)	Cold RNA $(\mu g/f\text{l} \text{t} \text{t} \text{r})$	Ad 2 DNA $(\mu$ g/filter)	Counts/min bound ^b	Percent of control ^c
Ad 2 cytoplasmic ^{d}	Ad 2 cytoplasmic 1,500	0.2	98	29
(5.10×10^6)	1,200	0.2	106	32
	800	0.2	174	52
	Ad 2 nuclear ^e 600	0.2	63	19
	400	0.2	123	37
	60	0.2	287	86
	KB cytoplasmic [/] 1.500	0.2	324	96
	KB nuclear ^o 600	0.2	332	99
	$2 \times$ SSC-0.1\% SDS	0.2	336	100
Ad 2 nuclear (9.86×10^5)	Ad 2 cytoplasmic 1,500	0.4	12	6
	1,200	0.4	23	12
	800	0.4	21	11
	Ad 2 nuclear 600	0.4	14	7
	400	0.4	33	17
	60	0.4	40	21
	KB cytoplasmic 1,500	0.4	152	78
	KB nuclear 600	0.4	164	84
	$2 \times$ SSC-0.1\% SDS	0.4	196	100

TABLE 2. Nucleotide sequence homology between virus-specific RNA species present in nucleus and cyto $plasm: hybridization$ competition experiment^a

^a Labeled RNA was isolated from the nucleus and cytoplasm of Ad 2-transformed rat cells (10⁶/ml) labeled for 6 hr with ³H-uridine (8 μ Ci/ml, 22.7 Ci/mmole). Ad 2 DNA was hybridized first with different amounts of unlabeled RNA (cold RNA) for ²⁰ hr at ⁶⁶ C. The DNA filter was incubated further for ²⁰ hr at ⁶⁶ C with labeled RNA in the presence of cold RNA. Hybrid formation of labeled RNA with viral DNA was measured as described in the text.

 b Background (counts per minute bound to an empty filter) subtracted.</sup>

- \cdot Radioactivity bound to DNA filter preincubated in 2 \times SSC-0.1% SDS was normalized to 100%.
- d Cytoplasmic RNA from adenovirus type 2-transformed rat embryo cells.
- ^e Nuclear RNA from adenovirus type 2-transformed rat embryo cells.
- ^f Cytoplasmic RNA from KB cells.
- ⁹ Nuclear RNA from KB cells.

FIG. 3. Hybridization competition of cellular RNA: cytoplasmic RNA with nuclear or cytoplasmic RNA. Hybridization competition was performed as described in Methods and Materials. Cellular DNA (0.4 μ g/ filter) of Ad 2-transformed rat cells was incubated with the indicated amount of cold RNA for ²⁰ hr at 66 C. After adding cytoplasmic ³H-RNA (133 μ g, 6 \times 10⁶ counts per min per filter) of the same cells, incubation was performed for another 20 hr at 66 C. The filters were washed, treated with ribonuclease, washed, and counted. Counts bound to DNA filters incubated with $2 \times SSC-0.1\%$ SDS instead of unlabeled RNA were normalized to 1.0. Unlabeled RNA added: KB cell RNA (A); cytoplasmic RNA of Ad 2-transformed cells (\bullet) ; and nuclear RNA of Ad 2-transformed cells (\bigcirc) .

FIG. 4. Hybridization competition of cellular RNA: nuclear RNA with nuclear or cytoplasmic RNA. DNA $(0.1 \mu g/filter)$ of Ad 2-transformed rat cells was incubated for ²⁰ hr at 66 C with different amounts of unlabeled RNA. After adding nuclear 3H-RNA (46.7 μ g, 4.1 \times 10⁶ counts per min per filter) of the same cells, incubation was continued for another 20 hr at 66 C. The filters were washed, treated with ribonuclease, washed, and counted. Counts bound to DNA filters incubated with $2 \times SSC-0.1\%$ SDS instead of unlabeled RNA were normalized to 1.0. Unlabeled RNA added: nuclear RNA of Ad 2-transformed rat cells (O) ; cytoplasmic RNA of the same cells (\bullet) ; and KB cell RNA (\triangle).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The excellent technical assistance of Y. Miyake, T. Yoshida, and K. Adachi is gratefully acknowledged. We are also indebted to Maurice Green for critically reviewing the manuscript.

This work was supported by a contract from the National Cancer Institute (NIH-69-96) under the SVCP, and a grant from Ministry of Education of Japan.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Borun, T. W., M. D. Scharff, and E. Robbins. 1967. Preparation of mammalian polyribosomes with the detergents Nonidet P-40. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 149:303-304.
- 2. Dean, T., K. Fuginaga, and M. Green. 1972. The mechanism of viral carcinogenesis by DNA mammalian viruses, RNA transcripts containing viral and highly reiterated cellular base sequences in adenovirus-transformed cells. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 69:427-430.
- 3. Freeman, A. E., P. H. Black, E. A. Vanderpool, H. P. Henry, J. B. Austin, and R. J. Huebner. 1967. Transformation of primary rat embryo cells by adenovirus type 2. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 58:1205-1212.
- 4. Fujinaga, K., and M. Green. 1966. The mechanism of viral carcinogenesis by DNA mammalian viruses. I. Viralspecific RNA in polyribosomes of adenovirus tumor and transformed cells. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 55:1567- 1574.
- 5. Fujinaga, K., and M. Green. 1967. Mechanism of viral carcinogenesis by DNA mammalian viruses. II. Viral specific RNA in tumor cells induced by "weakly" oncogenic human adenoviruses. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 57:806-812.
- 6. Fujinaga, K., and M. Green. 1967. Mechanism of viral carcinogenesis by deoxyribonucleic acid mammalian viruses. IV. Related virus-specific ribonucleic acids in tumor cells induced by "highly" oncogenic adenoviruses type 12, 18, and 31. J. Virol. 1:576-582.
- 7. Fujinaga, K., and M. Green. 1968. Mechanism of viral

carcinogenesis viral-specific RNA from human adenovirusinduced tumor cells. J. Mol. Biol. 31:63-73.

- 8. Fujinaga, K., and M. Green. 1970. The mechanism of viral carcinogenesis by DNA mammalian viruses. VII. Viral genes transcribed in adenovirus type 2 infected and transformed cells. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 65:375-382.
- 9. Fujinaga, K., M. Pina, and M. Green. 1969. The mechanism of viral carcinogenesis by DNA mammalian viruses. VI. A new class of virus-specific RNA molecules in cells transformed by group C human adenoviruses. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 64:255-262.
- 10. Gillespie, D., and S. Spiegelman. 1965. A quantitative assay for DNA-RNA hybrids with DNA immobilized on ^a membrane. J. Mol. Biol. 12:829-842.
- 11. Green, M., and M. Pina. 1963. Biochemical studies on adenovirus multiplication. IV. Isolation, purification, and chemical analysis of adenovirus. Virology 20:199-208.
- 12. Green, M., and M. Pina. 1964. Biochemical studies on adenovirus multiplication. VI. Properties of highly purified tumorigenic human adenoviruses and their DNA's. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 51:1251-1259.
- 13. Lindberg, U., and J. E. Darnell. 1970. SV40-specific RNA in the nucleus and polyribosomes of transformed cells. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 65:1089-1096.
- 14. Marmur, J. 1961. A procedure for the isolation of deoxyribonucleic acid from micro-organisms. J. Mol. Biol. 3: 208-218.
- 15. Penman, S. 1966. RNA metabolism in the HeLa cell nucleus. J. Mol. Biol. 17:117-130.
- 16. Shearer, R. W., and B. J. McCarthy. 1968. Evidence for ribonucleic acid molecules restricted to the cell nucleus. Biochemistry 6:283-289.
- 17. Soeiro, R., and J. E. Darnell. 1969. Competition hybridization by pre-saturation of HeLa cell DNA. J. Mol. Biol. 44: 551-562.
- 18. Warner, J., R. Soeiro, H. C. Birnboim, M. Girard, and J. E. Darnell. 1966. Rapidly labeled HeLa cell nuclear RNA. I. Identification by zone sedimentation of a heterogeneous fraction separate from ribosomal precursor RNA. J. Mol. Biol. 19:349-361.