doi:10.1111/cei.12001

To B or not to B cells-mediate a healthy start to life

T. G. Nguyen,^{*†} C. M. Ward[‡] and J. M. Morris^{*§}

*Perinatal Research, Kolling Institute of Medical Research, [†]Autoimmunity and Immunotherapy Research, Kolling Institute of Medical Research, [‡]Northern Blood Research Centre, Kolling Institute of Medical Research, and [§]Northern Clinical School of University of Sydney, Royal North Shore Hospital, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Accepted for publication 2 October 2012 Correspondence: T. G. Nguyen, Perinatal Research, Kolling Institute Building at Royal North Shore Hospital, Reserve Road, Sydney, NSW 2065, Australia.

E-mail: tommie.nguyen@sydney.edu.au or J. M. Morris, Perinatal Research, Kolling Institute Building at Royal North Shore Hospital, Reserve Road, Sydney, NSW 2065, Australia.

E-mail: jonathan.morris@sydney.edu.au

Summary

Maternal immune responses during pregnancy are critical in programming the future health of a newborn. The maternal immune system is required to accommodate fetal immune tolerance as well as to provide a protective defence against infections for the immunocompromised mother and her baby during gestation and lactation. Natural immunity and antibody production by maternal B cells play a significant role in providing such immunoprotection. However, aberrations in the B cell compartment as a consequence of maternal autoimmunity can pose serious risks to both the mother and her baby. Despite their potential implication in shaping pregnancy outcomes, the role of B cells in human pregnancy has been poorly studied. This review focuses on the role of B cells and the implications of B cell depletion therapy in pregnancy. It highlights the evidence of an association between aberrant B cell compartment and obstetric conditions. It also alludes to the potential mechanisms that amplify these B cell aberrances and thereby contribute to exacerbation of some maternal autoimmune conditions and poor neonatal outcomes. Clinical and experimental evidence suggests strongly that maternal autoantibodies contribute directly to the pathologies of obstetric and neonatal conditions that have significant implications for the lifelong health of a newborn. The evidence for clinical benefit and safety of B cell depletion therapies in pregnancy is reviewed, and an argument is mounted for further clinical evaluation of B cell-targeted therapies in high-risk pregnancy, with an emphasis on improving neonatal outcomes and prevention of neonatal conditions such as congenital heart block and fetal/neonatal alloimmune thrombocytopenia.

Keywords: autoantibodies, autoimmune, B cell, pregnancy, rituximab

The implications of maternal B cells in pregnancy outcomes

An individual's lifetime health is critically programmed during the gestational period. During pregnancy, the maternal immune system is required not only to accommodate the allogeneic fetus but also to maintain protection against harmful infections in the otherwise immunocompromised mother and immuno-incompetent fetus [1]. The roles of innate and cell-mediated immunity, including natural killer, T helper type 1 or 2 (Th1/Th2) cells and regulatory T cells (T_{reg}) are well documented in pregnancy [2,3]. In contrast, there has been little focus on the role of B cells and antibody-mediated immunity. This is surprising, given the

fundamental role of B cells as effectors and regulators of both innate and adaptive immune responses [4,5]. Maternal B cells also provide a vital source of antibody-mediated protective immunity for the mother and her baby during both pregnancy and lactation [6]. However, some maternal autoimmune conditions can be exacerbated during pregnancy and the production of deleterious autoantibodies by autoreactive maternal B cells can contribute directly to pregnancy complications that pose serious risks of morbidity and mortality to the mother and the fetus. *In-utero* exposure to these autoantibodies due to placental crossing can also result in permanent impairment to fetal development. These high-risk pregnancy conditions often result in poor outcomes such as preterm birth and low birth weight B cell depletion therapy has proven clinical benefits in the management of autoimmune conditions outside pregnancy. In this review, we will examine the available evidence of the possible contribution of B cells in shaping pregnancy outcomes and discuss the implication of B cell depletion in the clinical management of high-risk pregnancy.

B cell subsets and their functions

B cells, while known primarily for antibody production, also act as antigen-presenting cells and regulators of the innate and adaptive immune systems [4,5]. The murine B cell compartment consists of two general populations, namely B1 and B2 cells. These cells have major differences in their phenotypes, anatomical location and functional characteristics [11,12]. In humans, the existence of a human B1 subset is still a contentious subject, and the distinctions between B1 and B2 cells remain undefined [12]. Nevertheless, both murine B1 and human B1-like cells have been characterized as B cell subsets that spontaneously secret large amounts of polyreactive natural antibody IgM against double-stranded DNA (dsDNA), phosphorylcholine (PC) and low-density lipoproteins [11-14]. In the mouse, B1 cells have been characterized by a pattern of surface markers of B220^{low}, immunoglobulin (Ig)M^{hi}, IgD^{low}, CD5^{+/-}, CD43⁺ and CD23⁻ expression, whereas B2 cells generally express B220^{hi}, IgM^{hi/lo}, IgD^{hi}, CD43⁻ and CD23⁺ markers but not CD5 markers, although B2 cells have been shown to express low levels of CD5 following activation in vitro and in some studies CD5 expression has been shown on anergic B2 cells [12,13]. In humans, CD5 expression has been described on both B1-like and activated B2 cells [12]. Recently, it has been suggested that the human B1-like cell population may include the circulating CD5+/-CD20+CD27+CD43+IgM+IgD+ B cell subset [14]. However, the definitive markers for the general human B1 cell population remain to be determined. B2 cells are known as conventional B cells, which make up the majority of the splenic B cell population. Unlike B1 cells, which appear in fetal liver tissue as early as midgestation and are regenerated by self-renewal processes in the peritoneal cavity, B2 cells emerge from bone marrow stem cells during the late neonatal period and their clones are selected by a stringent process of clonal deletion and expansion in the germinal centre of the spleen [12,13]. Murine and human B2 cells act mainly as effectors of adaptive immune responses by differentiating into the mature marginal zone and follicular B cell populations that then develop into antibody-secreting memory B cells and plasma cells. In contrast, B1 cells are considered as specialized B cells of innate immunity [12]. The murine B1 and human B1-like cells secrete mainly natural IgM antibodies that are often polyreactive and low affinity in nature. These natural antibodies, while autoreactive, mediate protective immune surveillance and maintenance of tissue homeostasis by facilitating clearance of dead cell bodies [12,13]. Conversely, antibodies produced by murine and human B2 cells are less likely to be autoreactive but are high in specificity and affinity due to their ability to undergo affinity maturation, somatic hypermutations and clonal selection via B cell receptor (BCR) activation [15]. Mature murine and human B2 cells can also undergo class-switch DNA recombination (CSR) to give rise to the production of IgA, IgE and IgG antibody subclasses [11,15]. Murine B1 cells are also generally more sensitive to BCR activation-induced apoptosis when subjected to affinity maturation [12]. Thus, they are often prevented from differentiating into autoreactive memory B cells or plasma cells capable of secreting highaffinity autoantibodies. However, murine B1 cells can migrate to spleen, where they differentiate into splenic marginal zone (MZ) B cell precursors that can undergo somatic hypermutation and isotype switching to give rise to antibody-secreting memory B cells and plasma cells [16]. In addition, B1 cells have the capacity to respond and migrate to distal sites of inflammation, where they act as phagocytic cells or as immune regulators through the secretion of cytokines [17-19].

B cell alterations in pregnancy

Alterations in B cell compartment during normal pregnancy

B cell subsets during pregnancy are poorly studied. B celldeficient mice are not embryonic lethal and have normal litter sizes, suggesting that B cells are dispensable for normal murine pregnancy [20]. The treatment of mice and nonhuman primates with B cell-depleting agents also does not affect normal pregnancy [21-23]. During murine pregnancy, the formation of B cell precursors is suppressed selectively in the bone marrow [24]. This suppression occurs at the early stage of B lymphopoiesis and is driven by the pregnancy hormone oestrogen [24]. Maternal B cells that express autoantibodies specific for fetal antigens are also depleted during murine pregnancy, suggesting a mechanism of maternal-fetal immune tolerance [25-27]. However, oestrogen also has a positive effect on the survival of mature murine B cells [28], suggesting a compensatory effect of oestrogen at different stage of development to maintain a balance within the B cell compartment.

Similar changes in B cell compartment have been reported in a number of human pregnancy studies [29–36]. The absolute numbers and frequencies of circulating CD5⁺ B cells are decreased in normal human pregnancy (Table 1), and can persist for up to 1 month postpartum [29,33, 37,38]. Normal pregnancy can induce loss of responsiveness of B cells to mitogens and infectious agents in both human and animal studies [39–41]. Thus, these studies

| Pregnancy | | Reported changes in percentages or | |
|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------|
| conditions | B cell markers | absolute numbers | Ref. |
| Normal | CD5 ⁺ | Decreased [†] | [29,30] |
| | CD19 ⁺ | $Decreased^{\dagger}$ | [31,32] |
| | CD20 ⁺ | Decreased [†] | [33] |
| | Ia ⁺ /Ig ⁺ | $Decreased^{\dagger}$ | [34–36] |
| Post-partum | CD19 ⁺ | Decreased§ | [33,37,38] |
| | CD19 ⁺ CD5 ⁺ | Decreased§ | [29] |
| APS | CD19 ⁺ CD27-IgD ⁺ | Increased [‡] | [42] |
| | CD19 ⁺ CD5 ⁺ | Increased [‡] | [43] |
| RSA | CD19 ⁺ | Increased [‡] | [44] |
| | CD19 ⁺ CD5 ⁺ | Increased [‡] | [45-47] |
| | CD20 ⁺ CD5 ⁺ | Increased [‡] | [48-50] |
| Pre-eclampsia | CD19 ⁺ | Increased [‡] | [51] |
| | CD19 ⁺ CD27 ⁺ | Increased [‡] | [52] |
| Preterm birth | CD19 ⁺ | Increased [‡] | [53-55] |
| IUGR | CD19 ⁺ | Increased [‡] | [56–58] |
| PIH | Ig ⁺ | Increased [‡] | [59,60] |

 Table 1. Evidence of alterations in human B cell populations during normal pregnancy and obstetric complications.

[†]Compared to healthy non-pregnant women. [‡]Compared to gestational-match normal pregnant women. [§]Compared to normal gestation period. APS: anti-phospholipid syndrome; IUGR: intrauterine growth restriction; PIH: pregnancy-induced hypertension; RSA: recurrent spontaneous abortion; Ig: immunoglobulin.

suggest that the overall B cell compartment and its functions are suppressed partially during normal human pregnancy. The full biological significance of such suppression is unclear, but is believed to enable immune tolerance.

Aberrations in B cell compartment in adverse pregnancy

Aberrant B cell numbers and functions are associated with obstetric complications [42-59]. Earlier studies have shown that complicated pregnancies exhibit an abnormal increase in the frequencies or absolute numbers of circulating maternal B cells (Table 1). For instance, CD5⁺ B cell counts are significantly higher in patients with anti-phospholipid syndrome (APS) and recurrent spontaneous abortion (RSA) groups than in healthy controls [43,45-50]. This B cell subset is also increased in placental tissues of RSA patients [50]. The absolute number and percentages of CD19⁺ B cells are also increased in pregnancy complications [43,51-59], and a higher number of CD19⁺IgD⁺ B cell numbers are observed in APS mothers with associated risks of thrombotic events [42]. Increases in B cell activation markers and functions have also been reported in pre-eclampsia, intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) and pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH) cases in human studies [52,58,60,61]. Collectively, these studies present the evidence of an association between human pregnancy complications and an abnormal increase in B cell-activated functions and/or numbers.

Physiological factors of pregnancy may amplify B cell aberrations

It is not exactly clear what causes these anomalies in the B cell compartment of adverse pregnancies, and whether they simply represent an exacerbation of the pre-existing autoimmune conditions of the mother that is triggered by the physiological state of pregnancy. Under normal conditions, B lymphopoiesis is suppressed and autoreactive B cells are deleted during pregnancy to maintain maternalfetal immune tolerance [25-27]. However, these normal regulatory mechanisms are impaired in autoimmunity leading to the expansion of autoreactive B cell subsets and deleterious autoantibody production. This notion is supported strongly by observations of an abnormally increased number of CD19+CD5+, mature CD19+CD27+ and CD19⁺IgD⁺ B cells in a number of obstetric conditions (Table 1). Indeed, these B cell subsets are wellknown producers of autoantibodies such as rheumatoid factors, anti-thyroid, anti-ssDNA, anti-histone and antiphospholipid autoantibodies [14,43,48,62-65]. In particular, the autoantibody-producing CD19+CD5+ B cell populations, which possibly include both human B1-like or activated B2 cells, are often expanded in autoimmune conditions such as APS, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and primary Sjögren's syndrome [43,65,66], which are often exacerbated by pregnancy and linked strongly to risks of obstetric complications [9,10]. Thus, the strong link between CD19⁺CD5⁺ B cells and autoimmunity make them a prime candidate for further investigation in pregnancy conditions.

The underlying mechanisms that exacerbate autoimmunity during pregnancy are still unclear. Evidence from both animal models and human studies suggest that the elevated female sex hormone levels and a Th2-biased immunological state in pregnancy play a major role in promoting the expansion of autoreactive B cells. In mouse models of human SLE, both oestrogen and prolactin can exacerbate and accelerate autoimmune conditions by exerting a positive influence on the survival, proliferation, maturation and autoantibody production of the mature B cell population [28,67-70]. Such findings from animal models strongly reflect the evidence in human clinical studies where female populations have a significantly higher ratio of autoantibody-mediated autoimmune conditions (including SLE, APS, Grave's disease, myasthenia gravis, scleroderma and Sjögren's syndrome) than males, and these conditions are often exacerbated during pregnancy, where elevated levels of the female sex hormones occur [70]. The Th2biased state of pregnancy, which is influenced positively by high levels of oestrogen during pregnancy, is also well known to promote B cell proliferation, activation and antibody production in experimental animal models [70]. Evidence from animal studies and human B cell models show that the expansion and activation of autoreactive B cells can be amplified by mutual positive regulatory feedback loops between the oestrogen-receptor alpha (ER- α) pathway and other autoimmune-promoting cytokines such as interferon (IFN)- α and B cell-activating factor (BAFF) to promote survival, maturation and expansion of autoreactive B cells [71,72]. Data from animal models, in conjunction with evidence from human studies, suggest that these co-operative signalling pathways can also promote the antibody class-switching of polyreactive natural antibody IgM to a more pathogenic IgG autoantibody production by B1 cells [13,70-74]. The positive feedback loop and the production of IFN- α and BAFF may be activated and amplified through the innate pathways mediated by endogenous ligands and Toll-like receptors (TLRs) on B cells, monocytes and dendritic cells. Such endogenous ligands may consist of self-antigens, including lipoproteins, glycoprotein, singlestranded RNA (ssRNA) and dsDNA materials that are generated as a by-product from placental tissue-shedding during pregnancy. These endogenous ligands also provide a readily available source of autoantigens for the positive selection and activation of autoreactive B cell clones through BCR signals as well as the activation of TLRmediated innate responses that contribute further to the exacerbation of the maternal autoimmunity and expansion of pathogenic autoantibody production.

The implications of maternal antibodies in pregnancy outcomes

Evidence from epidemiological, clinical and experimental studies has established that autoantibodies produced by maternal B cells contribute directly to adverse pregnancy outcomes [9,10]. The transplacental transfer of maternal autoantibodies is implicated as a causative factor in a number of obstetric and neonatal conditions (Table 2). Such maternal immunological imprinting and *in-utero* exposure of the fetus resulting in adverse pregnancy outcomes are best exemplified in pregnancies with autoimmune conditions such as APS, SLE, myasthenia gravis and primary Sjögren's syndrome.

APS

Patients with APS often have anti-phospholipid autoantibodies that are reactive against phospholipid proteins, such as β 2-glycoprotein, cardiolipin, tissue plasminogen activator, thrombin, protein C and platelet antigens. The pathogenicity of anti-phospholipid autoantibodies is often associated with IgG classes and they target proteins that are involved in thrombosis, platelet and complement pathway activation, monocyte and endothelial cell functions [75]. These autoantibodies can be either agonistic or antagonistic

| Table 2. Autoimmune α | Table 2. Autoimmune conditions and adverse risks for mothers and neonates. | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| Maternal autoimmune | | | Risks for |
| conditions | Risks of maternal and obstetric complications | Causative maternal autoimmune factors | fetus and neonate |
| SLE | Exacerbation, anaemia, pre-eclampsia, thrombosis, | Autoantibodies, placental transfer of maternal | Fetal loss, still birth, preterm birth, low birth weight, |
| APS | naemorrnage, nypertension, kianey iailure Exacerbation, anaemia, pre-eclampsia, thrombosis, | autoantibocites aPL autoantibodies | developmental and neurological abnormanues, Crib Fetal loss, preterm birth, low birth weight |
| | haemorrhage, hypertension, kidney failure | | |
| TIDM | Pre-eclampsia, hypertension, hyperglycaemia, exacerbation of metabolic aberrations | Anti-insulin, islet, GAD | Fetal loss, stillbirth, preterm birth, congenital malformation, increased birth weight |
| Haemophilia A | Antenatal or postpartum haemorrhages | Anti-Factor VIII or IX | Acquired haemophilia A |
| Primary Sjögren's | Stable disease | Placental transfer of maternal anti-Ro/SSA and La/SSB | CHB, NLS, low birth weight |
| syndrome | | autoantibodies | |
| Graves' disease | Exacerbation | Placental transfer of maternal anti-TSHR autoantibodies | Neonatal Graves' disease, NMG |
| Systemic sclerosis | Exacerbation | Unknown | Fetal loss, preterm birth, low birth weight |
| ITP | Haemorrhage | Anti-platelet, placental transfer of maternal IgG autoantibodies | FNAIT, intracranial haemorrhage, fetal loss, low birth weight |
| Myasthenia Gravis | Exacerbation, difficult labour | Placental transfer of maternal anti-AChR autoantibodies | NMG, AMC, fetal loss, stillbirth |
| AChR: acetylcholine neonatal autoimmune th | receptor; AMC: arthrogryposis multiplex congenita; APS: rombocytopenia; NLS: neonatal lupus syndrome; NMG: n | AChR: acetylcholine receptor; AMC: arthrogryposis multiplex congenita; APS: anti-phospholipid syndrome; CHB: congenital heart block; ITP: idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura; FNAIT: fetal/ neonatal autoimmune thrombocytopenia; NLS: neonatal lupus syndrome; NMG: neonatal myasthenia gravis; TSHR: thyroid-stimulating hormone receptor; SLE: systemic lupus erythematous; T1DM: type | TP: idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura; FNAIT: fetal/ e receptor; SLE: systemic lupus erythematous; T1DM: type |

1 diabetes mellitus.

in nature. They contribute to the pathologies of APS by promoting thrombotic events, impairing endothelial cell function and provoking overt inflammatory responses in the maternal circulation and placental tissues. This may lead to vasoconstriction, impaired endothelial function and placental dysfunction that restrict blood supply to the placenta and result in placental ischaemia and/or hypertensive disorders. Such a cascade of events can lead to a range of poor pregnancy outcomes such as RSA, IUGR, pre-eclampsia or stillbirth. Mild to moderate thrombocytopenia is common in APS, and this can worsen in pregnancy [9]. The causes of APS-associated thrombocytopenia are poorly understood: unlike immune thrombocytopenia (ITP), specific antibodies against the major platelet adhesion receptors (GPIIb-IIIa or GPIb-V-IX) are uncommon.

SLE

Pregnant women with SLE carry not only a risk of maternal and fetal morbidity, but also risks of long-term disability to the newborn. The immunopathologies of SLE pregnancy display several features of those seen in APS. Thus, it is not surprising that SLE pregnancy shares many of the adverse risks and poor outcomes of APS, such as maternal morbidity, IUGR, pre-eclampsia, stillbirth or preterm birth [9]. In addition, the autoimmune conditions of SLE and APS are often exacerbated during pregnancy and contribute further to the disease burden and dysfunction of the maternal circulation and renal system. The deposition of anti-nuclear proteins, anti-dsDNA, anti-basement membrane autoantibodies and autoreactive antibodies in kidney glomeruli can cause nephritis that results in further damage to the already compromised kidney function. This, in turn, exacerbates the hallmark signs of pre-eclampsia, such as hypertension and proteinuria. In addition, neonates of mothers with SLE or primary Sjögren's syndrome are at risk of developing neonatal lupus syndrome and congenital heart block [9,10]. These neonatal conditions often occur in mothers who are seropositive for anti-Ro/SSA and/or anti-La/SSB autoantibodies. Although the risk of congenital heart block in a fetus from a seropositive mother is only 3-5%, suggesting that other co-factors may be involved, and the risk of recurrence in the same mother increases to 15-20%, clinical and experimental studies have demonstrated the causative role of the placental transfers of maternal IgG autoantibodies specific for Ro/SSA and /or La/SSB in the pathogenesis of these neonatal conditions [76,77]. In addition, children who are born to APS or SLE mothers have a significantly higher risk of developmental and neurological abnormality, with an increased rate of learning disabilities [78,79].

Myasthenia gravis

The disease is exacerbated more frequently during the first trimester of pregnancy and is believed to be due to the

effects of oestrogen on Th1 and autoreactive B cells [67,80]. Mothers with myasthenia gravis have autoantibodies that are specific for maternal acetylcholine receptor (AChR) at the neuromuscular junction. These autoantibodies target fetal AChR preferentially. The placental transfer of these autoantibodies results in a severe developmental abnormality that causes arthrogryposis multiplex congenita. This condition causes joint contracture in the fetus, resulting in a lack of movement *in utero* and, in severe cases, leading to a high risk of fetal death or stillbirth [67,81].

Other antibody-mediated conditions

Autoimmune diseases are not the only source of pathogenic autoantibodies that pose significant risks of maternal and neonatal complications. Women who are asymptomatic of autoimmune disease but seropositive for autoantibodies such as anti-nuclear proteins, anti-dsDNA and anti-thyroid antibodies also carry a similar risk of obstetric complications such as IUGR and pre-eclampsia [9,10]. The presence of anti-fetal human leucocyte antigen (HLA) antibodies in the maternal circulation is associated significantly with risk of preterm placental abruption [82]. The agonistic autoantibodies against the angiotensin receptor from pre-eclampsia mothers can directly induce hypertension and proteinuria in pregnant mice, suggesting their contribution to the pathologies of human pregnancy conditions [83,84]. Transplacental transfer of inhibitory antibodies against factor VIII from a haemophilic mother can cause life-threatening acquired haemophilia or the fetal/ neonatal alloimmune thrombocytopenia (NFAIT) condition in her baby [85]. Children from healthy mothers who are seropositive for maternal antibodies reactive to fetal brain proteins have a higher incidence of autism [86,87]. Injection of pooled maternal antibodies from mothers with autistic children into pregnant mice or non-human primates cause neurodevelopmental and neurobehavioural abnormalities similar to those of an autistic child in their progenies, and thereby demonstrate directly a pathogenic role of in-utero exposure to maternal antibodies in human autism [88,89].

Protective immunity during gestation and lactation

Not all exposure to maternal antibodies is detrimental to the health of the baby. In fact, there is a wealth of evidence from animal and human studies demonstrating the benefits of *in-utero* exposure to maternal antibodies and in early infancy by providing protective immunity against infection and reducing the risks of developing certain allergies and autoimmune immune conditions [90–92]. Contrary to animal models, children exposed to anti-islet autoantibodies from mothers with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) during pregnancy have a marginally reduced incidence of developing anti-islet autoantibodies and T1DM later in life [93,94]. Placental and breast-feeding transfer of maternal antibodies provides vital protective immunity for neonates during the first 6 months of life, where infants are immunologically defenceless against deadly pathogens such as tetanus, measles, pertussis and influenza [95-98]. In murine models, postpartum transfer of immunoglobulin through breast feeding prevents neonatal death and growth retardation of pups [21]. Interestingly, maternal antibodies can transfer protective immunity, yet can also suppress vaccination responses in early infants [99]. Breast milk antibodies can either inhibit or facilitate transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) to infants [100]. Taken together, these studies demonstrate clearly that exposure to maternal antibodies can carry some potential clinical benefits as well as burdens on pregnancy and the health outcome of a newborn.

Clinical evidence of B cell depletion in pregnancy

B cell depletion therapy with rituximab (Genentech, San Francisco, CA, USA), a chimeric monoclonal antibody directed against B cells surface antigen CD20, has been used successfully to treat B cell malignancies and a number of autoimmune conditions. Rituximab is combined routinely with chemotherapy in the treatment of high-grade lymphomas, and used as a single agent to prolong remissions in low-grade lymphoma. Rituximab has been used as a single agent to treat severe antibody-mediated conditions, and also combined with immunosuppressive agents, such as cyclophosphamide, corticosteroids and plasmapheresis. The clinical benefits of rituximab result from severe and sustained depletion of the B cells that leads to a reduction in serum levels of some autoantibodies and suppression of generic T cell responses [101].

B cell depletion therapy has shown promising benefits in the clinical management of high-risk pregnancies. Early evidence of the clinical benefits of rituximab in high-risk pregnancy has been demonstrated in non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) to maintain aggressive B cell lymphomas in remission until delivery [102]. Since then, there have been more reports of rituximab in the clinical management of B cell lymphoma and autoimmune conditions in high-risk pregnancies (Table 3). Currently, there have been 21 known reported uses of rituximab in the clinical management of high-risk cases of established pregnancies that involve Burkitt's lymphoma, NHL, diffuse large cell B lymphomas, autoimmune haemolytic anaemia, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) and ITP [102-112]. Gestational exposure to rituximab has been reported in all three trimesters [112]. Of the 21 known reported cases of antenatal rituximab, all but three cases were administered during the second or third trimesters [112]. In the majority of cases, maternal autoimmune conditions were managed successfully during pregnancy with reports of the reduction of risk of maternal morbidity and mortality.

| | | TITITC OF | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Maternal conditions Tre | Treatment | treatment | Outcomes | Terms (weeks) | Birth weights | Neonatal complications | Ref. |
| DLCBL Rituxim | Rituximab + CHOP | 21 weeks | Healthy | 35 | n.a. | Low B cell counts | [102] |
| Follicular B cell lymphoma Rituximab | lab | 1st trimester | Healthy | 40 | 3-6 kg | n.r. | [103] |
| AIHA Rituximab | lab | 7-10 weeks | Healthy | 38 | 3.1 kg | n.r. | [104] |
| DLCBL Rituxim | Rituximab + CHOP | 15 weeks | Healthy | 33 | Normal range | Low B cell counts | [105] |
| Burkitt lymphoma Rituxim | Rituximab + CHOP | 16 weeks | Healthy | 41 | 3.8 kg | High level of rituximab at birth | [106] |
| TTP Rituximab | lab | 27 weeks | Premature | 31 | 1-04 kg | Intensive care but normal development | [107] |
| ITP Rituxim | Rituximab + steroid | 30 weeks | Healthy | 38 | 3.8 kg | Low B cell counts | [108] |
| NHL Rituxim | Rituximab + CHOP | 18 weeks | Healthy | 33 | 2.5 kg | Low B cell counts | [109] |
| ITP Rituximab | lab | 26 weeks | Healthy | n.s. | n.s. | Low B cell counts | [110] |
| Atopic dermatitis Rituximab | lab | 1 st trimester | Healthy Twins | 36 | n.a. | n.r. | [111] |

lable 3. Reported cases and outcomes of rtuximab treatment in established pregnancy

The initial concern of B cell depletion is the potential for adverse effects on pregnancy outcomes due to a severe and sustained suppression of B cell numbers that may compromise the immunological defence of the mother and disrupt the finely balanced immunological state of pregnancy, resulting in unforeseeable consequences on pregnancy. However, accumulated data from the number of reports so far have eased this concern. Although the numbers of reported cases are still limited, the pregnancy outcomes for neonates exposed to rituximab during gestation have been encouraging [112]. There have been no reports of fetal losses, congenital malformations or serious infection. The majority of newborns in published case studies were reported to be healthy and normal (Table 3). Of the 21 known reported cases of antenatal rituximab, 15 babies were delivered with normal birth weight and at full term, with the remaining cases being delivered at between 31 and 35 weeks [112]. There is still little information on the effect of the timing of gestational exposure to rituximab on the newborn's immune system. There are three reported cases of placental transfer of antenatal rituximab, including one case that was received as early as week 16 [106], which were detected in cord or neonatal blood at birth [112]. The placental transfer of rituximab can therefore lead to depletion of neonatal B cells and may also explain the low neonatal B cell counts in several reported cases [102,105,108-110]. Of the 21 cases of antenatal rituximab, there are 11 reported cases of neonatal cytopenias that include B cell depletion, low white blood cells, neutropenia, lymphopenia, thrombocytopenia and anaemia [102,105-107,112]. Most cytopenia cases appeared to be transient and recovered spontaneously within 12-16 weeks in follow-up studies [105-107,112]. Despite the high incidence of haematological disturbance and significant reduction in B cell counts in neonates, there has been no report of infections associated with these cytopenia cases. All babies developed normally with an intact vaccine response [112].

Despite the possible clinical benefits of rituximab in high-risk pregnancy, exposure to rituximab during pregnancy is not recommended, except in the case of lifethreatening refractory diseases, because of the very limited data available on safety and efficacy [113]. From the limited data available, confounding factors such as concomitant exposure to other medications in reported cases also make it difficult to make a sound interpretation and recommendation on the efficacy and safety of rituximab in pregnancy [112]. Adverse drug infusion reactions and severe infections remain a concern with the general prescription of rituximab. Although the incidence is rare and dependent on the types of diseases being treated, B cell depletion with rituximab has a known risk of reactivating latent John Cunningham (JC) viral infection in treated patients, leading to the potentially fatal condition of progressive multi-focal leucoencephalopathy (PML) [114]. Sustained suppression of the B cell compartment can lead to impairment of T cell responses, resulting in a prolonged immunosuppressive state with an increased risk of vertical transmission of cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection from mother to fetus [112]. Pan-specific depletion of B cells can deplete autoantibodies as well as protective natural antibodies and regulatory B cell subsets [5]. Therefore, it is clear that carefully planned clinical trials are needed to evaluate the full benefits and harms of rituximab in pregnancy before it can be recommended for wider use in pregnancy.

Conclusion and future perspectives

The evidence presented in this review has clearly highlighted the important role of B cells in shaping pregnancy outcomes that have implications for long-term human health. Despite this, there are still limited data detailing the changes in the human B cell compartment, and the role of B cell subsets in pregnancy outcomes is poorly studied. This is due to the limited number of B cell markers used in earlier studies to describe changes in B cell subsets during pregnancy. Recent advances in B cell biology indicate clearly that these markers alone are not adequate in describing their full functions in human pregnancy. Further efforts should be dedicated to delineate the contribution of these B cell subsets in the maintenance of a healthy pregnancy as well as their roles in pregnancy complications.

In light of the potential benefits of rituximab in depleting autoreactive B cells and the emerging safety profile of rituximab in pregnancy, it is anticipated that B cell depletion therapies will eventually be trialled in obstetric complications that involve autoantibodies such as APS, SLE or ITP. It is reasonable to expect that rituximab will make some advances in the treatment of refractory conditions in pregnancy and provide a viable option that spares the use of high doses of chemotherapeutics and steroids in high-risk pregnancy to reduce risk of fetal toxicity [115], and thereby allows the pregnancy a better chance to develop to full term. Future pilot studies into the safety and efficacy of rituximab in pregnant patient cohorts are needed to provide a rational basis for larger studies. Although B cell depletion has demonstrated clinical benefits for maternal conditions in highrisk pregnancies, its potential benefits and risks for neonatal outcomes have not yet been investigated fully. It remains to be determined whether or not B cell depletion can improve neonatal outcomes on preterm birth, low birth weights, congenital malformations and their associated long-term health consequences. The potential benefit of B cell depletion therapy on neonatal outcomes will probably depend upon whether it can deplete autoreactive B cells and suppress placental transfer of maternal autoantibodies such as anti-platelet antibodies in maternal ITP or anti-Ro/SSA and/or anti-La/SSB autoantibodies, as well as co-culprit factors such as inflammation or other autoantibodies, in SLE and primary Sjögren's syndrome. This effect will depend probably on the properties of B cell-depleting

agents and the susceptibility of autoreactive B cell clones to the immunomodulatory activities of these agents. Equally important is the timing of the administration of B celldepleting agents, whereby it can deplete the pool of autoreactive B cells early enough before these cells develop into plasma or memory B cells which are capable of producing high levels of pathogenic autoantibodies of IgG classes that can cross the placental barrier in sufficient quantities to reach a threshold that can cause damage to the fetal tissues. Such effects may have a novel clinical application in preventing life-threatening conditions such as NFAIT or congenital malformations such as congenital heart block, a long-term condition that is currently unpreventable. The development of new B cell-targeted therapies may also improve the specificity of depletion of autoreactive B cells while sparing the beneficial regulatory B cell subsets and the protective natural antibody responses to maximize the benefits and minimize the risks of sustained suppression of the B cell compartment [116-118]. Therefore, lessons from future clinical studies and new developments in B celltargeted therapies are important and necessary to give the newborn of a high-risk pregnancy a better chance at a healthy start to life.

Review criteria

Our literature review was performed by searching in MEDLINE and PubMed database using search terms 'Autoimmune', 'B cell', 'B-cell depletion', 'Pregnancy' and 'Rituximab'. All included articles were in English-language, full-text papers published between 1975 and May 2012. We also searched reference list of these articles.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr Christopher Jackson for their critical reading of this manuscript. J.M.M. and C.W.W. are funded by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council.

Disclosure

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1 Chaouat G, Petitbarat M, Dubanchet S, Rahmati M, Ledee N. Tolerance to the foetal allograft. Am J Reprod Immunol 2010; **63**:624–36.
- 2 Moffett-King A. Natural killer cells and pregnancy. Nat Rev Immunol 2002; 2:656–63.
- 3 Saito S, Nakashima A, Shima T, Ito M. Th1/Th2/Th17 and regulatory T-cell paradigm in pregnancy. Am J Reprod Immunol 2010; 63:601–10.

- 4 Lund FE. Cytokine-producing B lymphocytes key regulators of immunity. Curr Opin Immunol 2008; **20**:332–8.
- 5 DiLillo DJ, Horikawa M, Tedder TF. B-lymphocyte effector functions in health and diseases. Immunol Res 2011; 49:281–92.
- 6 Goldman AS. The immune system of human milk: antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory and immunomodulating properties. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1993; **12**:664–71.
- 7 Le Clair C, Abbi T, Sandhu H, Tappia PS. Impact of maternal undernutrition on diabetes and cardiovascular disease risk in adult offspring. Can J Physiol Pharmacol 2009; **87**:161–79.
- 8 Rinaudo PF, Lamb J. Foetal origins of perinatal morbidity and/or adult disease. Semin Reprod Med 2008; 26:436–45.
- 9 Borchers AT, Naguwa SM, Keen CL, Gershwin ME. The implications of autoimmunity and pregnancy. J Autoimmun 2010; 34:J287–J299.
- 10 Gordon C. Pregnancy and autoimmune diseases. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2004; 18:359–79.
- 11 Martin F, Kearney JF. B1 cells: similarities and differences with other B cell subsets. Curr Opin Immunol 2001; **13**:195–201.
- 12 Baumgarth N. The double life of a B-1 cell: self-reactivity selects for protective effector functions. Nat Rev Immunol 2011; 11:34– 46.
- 13 Duan B, Morel L. Role of B-1a cells in autoimmunity. Autoimmun Rev 2006; 5:403–8.
- 14 Griffin DO, Holodick NE, Rothstein TL. Human B1 cells in umbilical cord and adult peripheral blood express the novel phenotype CD20+CD27+CD43+ CD70-. J Exp Med 2011; 208:67–80.
- 15 Nemazee D, Russell D, Arnold B *et al*. Clonal deletion of autospecific B lymphocytes. Immunol Rev 1991; **122**:117–32.
- 16 Kawahara T, Ohdan H, Zhao G, Yang YG, Sykes M. Peritoneal cavity B cells are precursors of splenic IgM natural antibodyproducing cells. J Immunol 2003; 171:5406–14.
- 17 Almeida SR, Aroeira LS, Frymuller E et al. Mouse B-1 cell-derived mononuclear phagocyte, a novel cellular component of acute nonspecific inflammatory exudate. Int Immunol 2001; 13:1193–201.
- 18 Sun CM, Deriaud E, Leclerc C, Lo-Man R. Upon TLR9 signaling, CD5+ B cells control the IL-12-dependent Th1-priming capacity of neonatal DCs. Immunity 2005; 22:467–77.
- 19 Matsushita T, Yanaba K, Bouaziz JD, Fujimoto M, Tedder TF. Regulatory B cells inhibit EAE initiation in mice while other B cells promote disease progression. J Clin Invest 2008; 118:3420–30.
- 20 Gustafsson E, Mattsson A, Holmdahl R, Mattsson R. Pregnancy in B-cell deficient mice: postpartum transfer of immunoglobulins prevents neonatal runting and death. Biol Reprod 1994; 51:1173– 80.
- 21 Mattsson R, Mattsson A, Sulila P. Allogeneic pregnancy in B-lymphocyte deprived CBA/CA mice-effects on maternal lymphoid organs and foetal survival. Dev Comp Immunol 1985; 9:709–17.
- 22 Vaidyanthan A, McKeever K, Anand B, Eppler S, Weinbauer GF, Beyer JC. Developmental immunotoxicology assessment of rituximab in cynomolgus monkeys. Toxicol Sci 2011; 119:116–25.
- 23 Auyeung-Kim DJ, Devalaraja MN, Migone TS, Cai W, Chellman GJ. Developmental and peri-postnatal study in cynomolgus monkeys with belimumab, a monoclonal antibody directed against B-lymphocyte stimulator. Reprod Toxicol 2009; 28:443–55.
- 24 Medina KL, Kincade PW. Pregnancy-related steroids are potential negative regulators of B lymphopoiesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994; 91:5382–6.

- 25 Ait-Azzouzene D, Gendron MC, Houdayer M *et al.* Maternal B lymphocytes specific for paternal histocompatibility antigens are partially deleted during pregnancy. J Immunol 1998; 161:2677–83.
- 26 Wang H, Shlomchik MJ. Maternal Ig mediates neonatal tolerance in rheumatoid factor transgenic mice but tolerance breaks down in adult mice. J Immunol 1998; **160**:2263–71.
- 27 Ait-Azzouzene D, Caucheteux S, Tchang F *et al.* Transgenic major histocompatibility complex class I antigen expressed in mouse trophoblast affects maternal immature B cells. Biol Reprod 2001; 65:337–44.
- 28 Grimaldi CM, Cleary J, Dagtas AS, Moussai D, Diamond B. Estrogen alters threshold for B-cell apoptosis and activation. J Clin Invest 2002; 109:1625–33.
- 29 Bhat NM, Mithal A, Bieber MM, Herzenberg LA, Teng NN. Human CD5+ B lymphocytes (B-1 cells) decrease in peripheral blood during pregnancy. J Reprod Immunol 1995; 28:53– 60.
- 30 Watanabe M, Iwatani Y, Kaneda T *et al.* Changes in T, B, and NK lymphocyte subsets during and after normal pregnancy. Am J Reprod Immunol 1997; **37**:368–77.
- 31 Mahmoud F, Abul H, Omu A, Al-Rayes S, Haines D, Whaley K. Pregnancy-associated changes in peripheral blood lymphocyte subpopulation in normal Kuwaiti women. Gynecol Obstet Invest 2001; 52:232–6.
- 32 Medina KL, Rossi MID, Landherr K, Kincade PW. Naïve B cells in peripheral blood are reduced during normal human pregnancy. Blood 1999; **94** (Suppl. 1):54b.
- 33 Iwatani Y, Amino N, Tachi J *et al.* Changes of lymphocyte subset in normal pregnant and post-partum women: post-partum increase in NK/K (Leu 7) cells. Am J Reprod Immunol Microbiol 1988; **18**:52–5.
- 34 Christiansen JS, Andersen AR, Osther K, Peitersen B, Bach-Mortensen N, Lebech PE. The relationship between pregnancy, HCS and B lymphocytes. Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand 1976; 84:313–8.
- 35 Moore MP, Carter NP, Redman CW. Lymphocyte subsets defined by monoclonal antibodies in human pregnancy. Am J Reprod Immunol 1983; 3:161–4.
- 36 Valdimarsson H, Mulholland C, Fridriksdottir V, Coleman DV. A longitudinal study of leucocyte blood counts and lymphocyte responses in pregnancy: a marked early increase of monocyte– lymphocyte ratio. Clin Exp Immunol 1983; 53:437–43.
- 37 Zimmer JP, Garza C, Butte NF, Goldman AS. Maternal blood B-cell (CD19+) percentages and serum immunoglobulin concentrations correlate with breast-feeding behaviour and serum prolactin concentration. Am J Reprod Immunol 1998; 40:57–62.
- 38 Glassman AB, Bennett CE, Christopher JB, Self S. Immunity during pregnancy: lymphocyte subpopulations and mitogen responsiveness. Ann Clin Lab Sci 1985; 15:357–62.
- 39 Birkeland SA, Kristoffersen K. Lymphocyte transformation with mitogens and antigens during normal human pregnancy: a longitudinal study. Scand J Immunol 1980; 11:321–25.
- 40 Vanderbeeken YE, Duchateau J, Gregoire M, Vandermeersch B, Collet H, Lucas A. Modulation of B cell stimulation of maternal serum. Immunol Invest 1991; **20**:287–304.
- 41 Carlier Y, Rivera MT, Truyens C *et al.* Pregnancy and humoral immune response in mice chronically infected by *Trypanosoma cruzi*. Infect Immun 1987; **55**:2496–501.
- 42 Carbone J, Gallego A, Lanio N et al. Quantitative abnormalities of

peripheral blood distinct T, B and natural killer cell subsets and clinical findings in obstetric antiphospholipid syndrome. J Rheumatol 2009; **36**:1217–25.

- 43 Velasquillo MC, Alocer-Varela J, Alarcón-Segovia D, Cabiedes J, Sanchez-Guerrero J. Some patients with primary antiphospholipid syndrome have increased circulating CD5+ B cells that correlate with levels of IgM antiphospholipid antibodies. Clin Exp Rheumatol 1991; **9**:501–5.
- 44 Jablonowska B, Palfi M, Matthiesen L, Selbing A, Kjellberg S, Ernerudh J. T and B lymphocyte subsets in patients with unexplained recurrent spontaneous abortion: IVIG versus placebo treatment. Am J Reprod Immunol 2002; **48**:312–8.
- 45 Kwak JY, Beaman KD, Gilman-Sachs A, Ruiz JE, Schewitz D, Beer AE. Up-regulated expression of CD56+, CD56+/CD16+, and CD19+ cells in peripheral blood lymphocytes in pregnant women with recurrent pregnancy losses. Am J Reprod Immunol 1995; 34:93–9.
- 46 Beer AE, Kwak JY, Ruiz JE. Immunophenotypic profiles of peripheral blood lymphocytes in women with recurrent pregnancy losses and in infertile women with multiple failed *in vitro* fertilization cycles. Am J Reprod Immunol 1996; **35**:376–82.
- 47 Darmochwal-Kolarz D, Leszczynska-Gorzelak B, Rolinski J, Oleszczuk J. Immunophenotype of patients with recurrent pregnancy loss. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2002; 103:53– 7.
- 48 Roberts J, Jenkins C, Wilson R *et al.* Recurrent miscarriage is associated with increased numbers of CD5/20 positive lymphocytes and an increased incidence of thyroid antibodies. Eur J Endocrinol 1996; 134:84–6.
- 49 Mahmoud F, Diejomaoh M, Omu AE, Abul H, Haines D. Lymphocyte subpopulation frequency and presence of anti-cardiolipin and anti-nuclear antibodies in peripheral blood of Kuwaiti women experiencing recurrent pregnancy loss. J Obstet Gynaecol 2001; 21:587–90.
- 50 Tamiolakis D, Anastasiadis P, Hatzimichael A *et al.* Spontaneous abortions with increased CD5 positive cells in the placental tissue during the first trimester of gestation. Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol 2001; **28**:261–5.
- 51 Matthiesen L, Berg G, Ernerudh J, Hakansson L. Lymphocyte subsets and mitogen stimulation of blood lymphocytes in preeclampsia. Am J Reprod Immunol 1999; 41:192–203.
- 52 Liao AH, Liu LP, Ding WP, Zhang L. Functional changes of human peripheral B-lymphocytes in preeclampsia. Am J Reprod Immunol 2009; 61:313–21.
- 53 Oleszczuk J, Darmochwal-Kolarz D, Leszczynska-Gorzelak B, Rolinski J. Alterations in the immune system of patients with imminent preterm labour. Gynecol Obstet Invest 2000; 49:110–3.
- 54 Sendag F, Itil IM, Terek MC, Yilmaz H. The changes of circulating lymphocyte sub-population in women with preterm labour: a case–controlled study. Aust NZ J Obstet Gynaecol 2002; **42**:358–61.
- 55 Blidaru I, Zugun F, Cianga C, Carasevici E. Maternal immunophenotypic profile in normal pregnancy and preterm birth. Rev Med Chir Soc Med Nat Iasi 2002; 107:343–7.
- 56 Milns NR, Gardner ID. Maternal T-cells and human pregnancy outcome. J Reprod Immunol 1989; 15:175–8.
- 57 Bartha JL, Comino-Delgado R. Lymphocyte subpopulation in intrauterine growth retardation in women with or without pregnancies. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 1999; 82:23–7.

- 58 Selvaggi L, Lucivero G, Iannone A *et al*. Analysis of mononuclear cell subsets in pregnancies with intrauterine growth retardation. Evidence of chronic B-lymphocyte activation. J Perinat Med 1983; 11:213–17.
- 59 Barnett MA, Rolland JM, Learmonth RP, Walters WA, Pihl E. Lymphocyte subclasses in pregnancy-induced hypertension. Aust NZ J Obstet Gynaecol 1984; 24:202–5.
- 60 Chen G, Wilson R, Cumming G, Walker JJ, McKillop JH. Immunological changes in pregnancy-induced hypertension. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 1994; 53:21–5.
- 61 Rosic B, Sulovic V, Juznic N, Lazarevic B, Milacic D, Vidanovic M. The complements and immunoglobulins in different media of healthy pregnant women and in pregnant women with increased blood pressure. Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol 1990; 17:31–5.
- 62 Casali P, Burastero SE, Nakamura M, Inghirami G, Notkins AL. Human lymphocytes making rheumatoid factor and antibody to ssDNA belong to Leu-1+ B-cell subset. Science 1987; 236:77–81.
- 63 Hardy RR, Hayakawa K, Shimizu M, Yamasaki K, Kishimoto T. Rheumatoid factor secretion from human Leu-1+ B cells. Science 1987; 236:81–3.
- 64 Duty JA, Szodoray P, Zheng NY *et al.* Functional anergy in a subpopulation of naïve B cells from healthy humans that express autoreactive immunoglobulin receptors. J Exp Med 2009; **206**:139–51.
- 65 Bohm I. Increased peripheral blood B-cells expressing the CD5 molecules in association to autoantibodies in patients with lupus erythematosus and evidence to selectively down-modulate them. Biomed Pharmacother 2004; 58:338–43.
- 66 Dauphinee M, Tovar Z, Talal N. B cells expressing CD5 are increased in Sjögren's syndrome. Arthritis Rheum 1988; 31:642– 47.
- 67 Nussinovitch U, Shoenfeld Y. The role of gender and organ specific autoimmunity. Autoimmun Rev 2012; **11**:A377–A385.
- 68 Grimaldi CM. Sex and systemic lupus erythematosus: the role of the sex hormones estrogen and prolactin on the regulation of autoreactive B-cells. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2006; **18**:456–61.
- 69 Saha S, Tieng A, Pepeljugoski KP, Zandamn-Goddard G, Peeva E. Prolactin, systemic lupus erythematosus, and autoreactive B-cells: lessons learnt from murine models. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol 2011; 40:8–15.
- 70 Pennell LM, Galligan CL, Fish EN. Sex affects immunity. J Autoimmun 2012; 38:J282–J291.
- 71 Panchanathan R, Shen H, Zhang X, Ho SM, Choubey D. Mutually positive regulatory feedback loop between interferons and estrogen receptor-alpha in mice: implications for sex bias in autoimmunity. PLoS ONE 2010; 5:e10868.
- 72 He B, Qiao X, Cerutti A. CpG DNA induces IgG class switch DNA recombination by activating human B cells through an innate pathway that requires TLR9 and cooperates with IL-10. J Immunol 2004; 173:4479–91.
- 73 Enghard P, Humrich JY, Chu VT *et al.* Class switching and consecutive loss of dsDNA-reactive B1a B cells from the peritoneal cavity during murine lupus development. Eur J Immunol 2010; 40:1809–18.
- 74 Li Q-Z, Zhou J, Lian Y *et al*. Interferon signature gene expression is correlated with autoantibody profiles in patients with incomplete lupus syndromes. Clin Exp Immunol 2010; **159**:281–91.
- 75 Mehdi AA, Uthman I, Khamashta M. Antiphospholipid syndrome: pathogenesis and a window of treatment opportunity in the future. Eur J Clin Invest 2010; **40**:451–64.

- 76 Salomonsson S, Strandberg L. Autoantibodies associated with congenital heart block. Scand J Immunol 2010; **72**:185–8.
- 77 Buyon JP, Winchester RJ, Slade SG *et al.* Identification of mothers at risk for congenital heart block and other neonatal lupus syndromes in their children. Comparison of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and immunoblot for measurement of anti-SS-A/Ro and anti-SS-B/La antibodies. Arthritis Rheum 1993; 36:1263–73.
- 78 Neri F, Chimini L, Bonomi F et al. Neuropsychological development of children born to patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Lupus 2004; 13:805–11.
- 79 Vinet E, Pineau CA, Bernatsky S, Gordon C, Clarke AE. Long term outcome of children born to mothers with SLE. Arthritis Rheum 2008; 57 (Suppl. 1):S804.
- 80 Delpy L, Douin-Echinard V, Garidou I, Bruand C, Saoudi A, Guery JC. Estrogen enhances susceptibility to experimental autoimmune myasthenia gravis by promoting type 1-polarized immune responses. J Immunol 2005; 175:5050–7.
- 81 Vincent A, Jacobson L, Plested P *et al.* Antibodies affecting ion channel function in acquired neuromyotonia, in seropositive and seronegative myasthenia gravis, and in antibody-mediated arthrogryposis multiplex congenita. Ann NY Acad Sci 1998; 841:482–96.
- 82 Steinborn A, Seidl C, Sayehli C *et al.* Anti-fetal immune response mechanisms may be involved in the pathogenesis of placental abruption. Clin Immunol 2004; **110**:45–54.
- 83 Herse F, Verlohren S, Wenzel K *et al.* Prevalence of agonistic autoantibodies against the angiotensin II type 1 receptor and soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 in a gestational age-matched case study. Hypertension 2009; 53:393–8.
- 84 Zhou CC, Zhang Y, Irani RA *et al*. Angiotensin receptor agonistic autoantibodies induce preeclampsia in pregnant mice. Nat Med 2008; 14:855–62.
- 85 Lulla RR, Allen GA, Zakarija A, Green D. Transplacental transfer of postpartum inhibitors to factor VIII. Haemophilia 2010; 6:14–7.
- 86 Singer HS, Morris CM, Gause CD, Gillin PK, Crawford S, Zimmerman AW. Antibodies against fetal brain in sera of mother with autistic children. J Neuroimmunol 2008; **194**:165–72.
- 87 Braunschweig D, Ashwood P, Krakowiak P *et al.* Autism: maternally derived antibodies specific for fetal brain protein. Neurotoxicology 2008; 29:226–31.
- 88 Singer HS, Morris C, Gause C, Pollard M, Zimmerman AW, Pletnikov M. Prenatal exposure to antibodies from mothers of children with autism produces neurobehavioural alteration: a pregnant dam mouse model. J Neuroimmunol 2009; 211:45– 8.
- 89 Martin LA, Ashwood P, Braunschweig D, Cabanlit M, Van de Water J, Amaral DG. Stereotypies and hyperactivity in rhesus monkeys exposed to IgG from mothers of children with autism. Brain Behav Immun 2008; 22:806–16.
- 90 Lemke H, Tanasa RI, Trad A, Lange H. Benefits and burden of the maternally-mediated immunological imprinting. Autoimmun Rev 2009; 8:394–9.
- 91 Zinkernagel RM. Maternal antibodies, childhood infections, and autoimmune diseases. N Engl J Med 2001; **345**:1331–5.
- 92 Fewtrell M, Wilson DC, Lucas A. When to wean? How good is the evidence for six months' exclusive breastfeeding. BMJ 2011; 342:209–12.
- 93 Greeley SA, Katsumata M, Yu L *et al.* Elimination of maternally transmitted autoantibodies prevents diabetes in non-obese diabetic mice. Nat Med 2002; 8:399–402.

- 94 Koczwara K, Bonifacio E, Zeigler AG. Transmission of maternal islet antibodies and risk of autoimmune diabetes in offspring of mothers with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes 2004; **53**:1–4.
- 95 Gill TJ III, Repetti CF, Metlay LA *et al.* Transplacental immunization of the human fetus to tetanus by immunization of the mother. J Clin Invest 1983; **72**:987–96.
- 96 Leuridan E, Van Damme P. Passive transmission and persistence of naturally acquired or vaccine-induced maternal antibodies against measles in newborns. Vaccine 2007; **25**:6296–304.
- 97 Ng CT, Jaworski JP, Jayaraman P *et al.* Passive neutralizing antibody controls SHIV viremia and enhances B cell responses in infant macaques. Nat Med 2010; **16**:1117–9.
- 98 Puleston RL, Bugg G, Hoschler K *et al.* Observational study to investigate vertically acquired passive immunity in babies of mothers vaccinated against H1N1v during pregnancy. Health Technol Assess 2010; 14:1–82.
- 99 Glezen WP. Effect of maternal antibodies on the infant immune response. Vaccine 2003; **21**:3389–92.
- 100 Van de Perre P, Simonon A, Hitimana DG *et al.* Infective and antiinfective properties of breast milk from HIV-1-infected women. Lancet 1993; **341**:914–8.
- 101 Leandro MJ, de la Torre I. Translational mini-review series on B cell-directed therapies: the pathogenic role of B-cells in autoantibody-directed autoimmune diseases-lessons from B celldepletion therapy. Clin Exp Immunol 2009; 157:191–7.
- 102 Herold M, Schnohr S, Bittrich H. Efficacy and safety of a combined rituximab chemotherapy during pregnancy. J Clin Oncol 2001; 19:3439.
- 103 Kimby E, Sverrisdottir A, Elinder G. Safety of rituximab therapy during the first trimester of pregnancy: a case history. Eur J Haematol 2004; 72:292–5.
- 104 Ojeda-Uribe M, Gilliot C, Jung G, Drenou B, Brunot A. Administration of rituximab during the first trimester of pregnancy without consequences for the newborn. J Perinatol 2006; 26:252–5.
- 105 Decker M, Rothermundt C, Hollander G, Tichelli A, Rochlitz C. Rituximab plus CHOP for treatment of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma during second trimester of pregnancy. Lancet Oncol 2006; 7:693–4.
- 106 Friedrichs B, Tiemann M, Salwender H, Verpoort K, Wenger MK, Schmitz N. The effects of rituximab treatment during pregnancy on a neonate. Haematologica 2006; 91:1426–7.

- 107 Scully M, Starke R, Lee R, Mackie I, Machin S, Cohen H. Successful management of pregnancy in women with a history of thrombotic thrombocytopenia purpura. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis 2006; 17:459–63.
- 108 Klink DT, van Elburg RM, Schreurs MW, van Well GT. Rituximab administration in third trimester of pregnancy suppresses neonatal B-cell development. Clin Dev Immunol 2008; doi: 10.1155/ 2008/271363.
- 109 Rey J, Coso D, Roger V *et al.* Rituximab combined with chemotherapy for lymphoma during pregnancy. Leuk Res 2009; **33**:e8–9.
- 110 Gall B, Yee A, Berry B *et al.* Rituximab for management of refractory pregnancy-associated immune thrombocytopenic purpura. J Obstet Gynaecol Can 2010; **32**:1167–71.
- 111 Ponte P, Paiva MJ. Apparent safe use of single dose rituximab for recalcitrant atopic dermatitis in the first trimester of a twin pregnancy. J Am Acad Dermatol 2010; 63:355–6.
- 112 Chakravarty EF, Murray ER, Kelman A, Farmer P. Pregnancy outcomes after maternal exposure to rituximab. Blood 2011; 117:1499–506.
- 113 Rituxan[®] [package insert]. Genentech and Biogen Idec Inc., South San Francisco, CA and Cambridge, MA.
- 114 Carson K, Focosi D, Major EO et al. Monoclonal antibodyassociated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in patients treated with rituximab, natalizumab, and efalizumab: a review from the Research on Adverse Drug Events and Reports (RADAR) Project. Lancet Oncol 2009; 10:814–24.
- 115 van Oers MH, Van Glabbeke M, Giurgea L et al. Rituximab maintenance treatment of relapsed/resistant follicular non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: long-term outcome of the EORTC 20981 phase III randomized intergroup study. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28:2853–8.
- 116 Nguyen TG, Little CB, Yenson VM *et al.* Anti-IgD antibody attenuates collagen-induced arthritis by selectively depleting mature B-cells and promoting immune tolerance. J Autoimmun 2010; **35**:86–97.
- 117 Stohl W, Scholz JL, Cancro MP. Targeting BLyS in rheumatic disease: the sometimes-bumpy road from bench to bedside. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2011; 23:305–10.
- 118 Vossenkamper A, Lutalo MPK, Spencer J. Translational Mini-Review Series on B cell subsets in disease. Transitional B cells in systemic lupus erythematosus and Sjögren's syndrome: clinical implications and effects of B cell-targeted therapies. Clin Exp Immunol 2012; 167:7–14.