

# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Antibiot (Tokyo). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 November 01.

## Published in final edited form as:

J Antibiot (Tokyo). 2012 November ; 65(11): 541–549. doi:10.1038/ja.2012.71.

# Revisiting the enniatins: a review of their isolation, biosynthesis, structure determination, and biological activities

Arlene A. Sy-Cordero<sup>1</sup>, Cedric J. Pearce<sup>2</sup>, and Nicholas H. Oberlies<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, Greensboro, NC, USA

<sup>2</sup>Mycosynthetix Inc., Hillsborough, NC, USA

# Abstract

Enniatins are cyclohexadepsipeptides isolated largely from *Fusarium* species of fungi, although they have been isolated from other genera, such as *Verticillium* and *Halosarpheia*. They were first described over 60 years ago, and their range of biological activities, including antiinsectan, antifungal, antibiotic, and cytotoxic, drives contemporary interest. To date, 29 enniatins have been isolated and characterized, either as a single compound or mixtures of inseparable homologs. Structurally, these depsipeptides are biosynthesized by a multifunctional enzyme, termed enniatin synthetase, and are composed of six residues that alternate between *N*-methyl amino acids and hydroxy acids. Their structure elucidation can be challenging, particularly for enniatins isolated as inseparable homologs; however, several strategies and tools have been utilized to solve these problems. Currently, there is one drug that has been developed from a mixture of enniatins, fusafungine, which is used as a topical treatment of upper respiratory tract infections by oral and/ or nasal inhalation. Given the range of biological activities observed for this class of compounds, research on enniatins will likely continue. This review strives to digest the past studies, as well as, describe tools and techniques that can be utilized to overcome the challenges associated with the structure elucidation of mixtures of enniatin homologs.

# Keywords

enniatin; Fusarium; cyclohexadepsipeptide; homolog; enniatin synthetase; hydroxyisovaleric acid

# A. Introduction and History of Enniatins

Enniatins are cyclohexadepsipeptides composed of alternating residues of three *N*-methyl amino acids, commonly valine (Val), leucine (Leu), and isoleucine (Ile), and three hydroxy acids, typically hydroxyisovaleric acid (Hiv). These secondary metabolites have been observed frequently from *Fusarium* species of fungi, although they have been isolated from a few other genera, as well (Table 1). The first enniatin [enniatin A (1)] was reported from the fungus *Fusarium orthoceras* var. *enniatinum* by Gaumann *et al.* in 1947,<sup>1</sup> and **1** was shown to be active against several mycobacteria *in vitro*. Shortly thereafter, another group working independently, isolated a compound they named lateritiin-I from *Fusarium lateritium*, which was found to be identical to  $1.^{2,3}$  In 1974, Audhya and Russel<sup>4</sup> identified that previously reported enniatin A was actually a mixture of *threo* and *erythro* optical isomers. At that time only enniatin B had been observed pure from a natural source.<sup>5</sup>

Correspondence: Dr. NH Oberlies, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, 435 Sullivan Sciences Building, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, Greensboro, NC 27402, USA, Nicholas\_Oberlies@uncg.edu.

studying its ionophoric properties, which had started to emerge as the probable mechanism of action for enniatins.<sup>5</sup> One isolation study<sup>4,6</sup> yielded a mixture ofenniatins A (1), A<sub>1</sub> (3), B (2), and B<sub>1</sub> (4), while an earlier insecticidal assay-guided study revealed that an enniatin A and A<sub>1</sub>-rich complex was the active component in a fraction toxic to spruce budworm larvae.<sup>7</sup> However, in 1992 the first report on the purification of enniatins A (1), A<sub>1</sub> (3), B (2), B<sub>1</sub> (4), and new analog A<sub>2</sub> (5),<sup>8</sup> was published. From that point on, the isolation and characterization of enniatins expanded to include: enniatins B<sub>2</sub> (6), B<sub>3</sub> (7) and B<sub>4</sub> (8)<sup>9</sup> [D (8), which has the same structure as B<sub>4</sub>, was published in the same year]<sup>10</sup>; C (9);<sup>11</sup> E<sub>1</sub> (10), E<sub>2</sub> (11) and F (12);<sup>10</sup> G (13);<sup>12</sup> H (14) and I (15) together with biosynthesized analogs G (13), C (9), and MK1688 (16);<sup>13</sup> J<sub>1</sub> (17), J<sub>2</sub> (18), J<sub>3</sub> (19), and K<sub>1</sub> (20);<sup>14</sup> L (21), M<sub>1</sub> (22), M<sub>2</sub> (23), and N (24);<sup>15</sup> O<sub>1</sub> (25), O<sub>2</sub> (26), and O<sub>3</sub> (27);<sup>11</sup> and most recently, P<sub>1</sub> (28) and P<sub>2</sub> (29).<sup>16</sup> Enniatin C (9) was reported first as a synthetic product,<sup>4</sup> then as a biosynthetic product,<sup>13</sup> before it was isolated as a natural product.<sup>11</sup>

There are at least two other cyclohexadepsipeptides that resemble enniatins structurally, notably the destruxins<sup>17</sup> and the beauvericins.<sup>18</sup> What distinguishes these three is that the enniatins have alternating amino acid and hydroxy acid residues. Conversely, destruxins contain only one hydroxy acid and five amino acid residues, while beauvericins are comprised of alternating *N*-methylphenylalanine and hydroxy acid residues. Although all three types of cyclohexadepsipeptides have gained attention, likely due to their range of interesting biological activities,<sup>19,20</sup> the chemistry and the wide-ranging biological activities of the enniatins have not been reviewed.

#### **B.** Biosynthesis of Enniatins

The biosynthesis of enniatins was reviewed in 2002.<sup>21</sup> Briefly, enniatins are biosynthesized by a 347-kDa multifunctional enzyme, termed enniatin synthetase (ESyn).<sup>22,23</sup> The initial biosynthetic step involves adenylation, which activates substrates as thioesters; this is followed by N-methylation and peptide bond formation. Once formed, the dipeptidol unit condenses with two other dipeptidols in an iterative fashion to form a linear hexadepsipeptide, which cyclizes in the final step to form the enniatin.<sup>24</sup> ESyn has low substrate specificity for L-amino acids, thus permitting incorporation of different amino acids and contributing to the chemical diversity of the enniatins (Figure 1). Despite variability in the amino acid units, distinct Fusarium strains seem to incorporate preferentially some amino acids over others, as evidenced by the fact that certain enniatins can be isolated from only a particular species or strain of fungus. For example, Fusarium sambucinum preferably produces enniatin A (1) while Fusarium scirpi preferably makes enniatin B (2). <sup>25</sup> The D-hydroxy acid units are incorporated via D-2-hydroxyisovalerate dehydrogenase. This enzyme has high substrate specificity, as D-hydroxyisovaleric acid is conserved in most enniatins, except for enniatins H (14), I (15), L (21), M<sub>1</sub> (22), M<sub>2</sub> (23), and N (24) (Figure 1), and is present in most enniatin-producing fungi.<sup>26,27</sup> To date, most enniatins have been biosynthesized naturally from producing fungi; however, enniatins C (9), G (13), and MK1688 (16) were produced via precursor-directed biosynthesis.<sup>13</sup> It is conceivable that as more advanced knowledge is generated on the biosynthesis of these compounds, other enniatins could be biosynthesized by altering the insertion of either (or both) the amino acid or hydroxy acid substrates.

## C. Chemistry: Inseparable Mixtures of Enniatins

Eighteen out of the 29 known enniatins have been isolated as single compounds. The other 11 have been isolated as five mixtures of homologs [enniatins E [re-examined below as enniatin  $E_1$  (10) and  $E_2$  (11)];  $J_2$  (18),  $J_3$  (19);  $M_1$  (22),  $M_2$  (23);  $O_1$  (25),  $O_2$  (26),  $O_3$  (27);

and  $P_1$  (28), and  $P_2$  (29)], despite the use of modern chromatographic techniques. Thus, their structures were characterized as mixtures.

#### **Revisiting Enniatin E**

In 1992, enniatins  $E_1(10)$  and  $E_2(11)$  were the first reported inseparable mixture of enniatins (reported as enniatin E).<sup>10</sup> Isolated with enniatins D (8) and F (12), the mixture of enniatins  $E_1$  (10) and  $E_2$  (11) was active as acyl-CoA: cholesterol acyltranferase (ACT) inhibitor.<sup>28</sup> These showed double the resonances in the NMR spectra when compared with enniatins D and F. The authors<sup>10</sup> reported this as a mixture of two nonequivalent isomers after noting two key observations: (1) failing to see any inter-convertible spots on a silica gel TLC plate even at higher temperature, and (2) verifying by chemical degradation the amino acid and hydroxy acid components. While two homologs were proposed, a more efficient method is proposed below that serves to verify the identities based on mass spectrometry without the need for chemical degradation and illustrates, in general, some of the practical challenges of working with enniatin homologs. The difficulties in isolating and elucidating the individual components in the mixtures are oftentimes understated in the literature and, in our experience, daunting.

Recent studies on enniating  $E_1$  (10) and  $E_2$  (11) emerged in our ongoing program to examine filamentous fungi for anticancer drug leads,<sup>29,30</sup> when promising cytotoxicity was observed in an extract of culture MSX 44957 [Fusarium sp., sequence deposited in Genbank (JQ809513)]. This extract yielded dipeptidols **30** and **31** (discussed below) and enniatins B<sub>1</sub> (4), D (8), and I (15). An additional compound, pseudo-enniatin E, was isolated as well that behaved like a dimer of enniatins, since it displayed twice the number of expected resonances in the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra when compared to compounds 4, 8, and 15 and generated a quasi molecular ion of m/z = 1335, essentially twice the mass of most enniatins. Importantly, this compound displayed a single peak when examined with at least eight different HPLC/UPLC columns, including both normal- and reverse-phase chemistries and a chiral column and via testing a suite of solvent conditions (examples are illustrated in Figure 2). These observations led to a tentative initial structure with double the number of amino acids and hydroxy acids of the known enniatins, although there were some challenges using 2D NMR experiments to finalize the structure. However, upon re-running and re-analyzing the mass spectral data, a consistent molecular ion was observed at m/z = 668 for  $[M+H]^+$ . These data were acquired independently in two different mass spectrometry laboratories, and we suspect that the initial observation of m/z = 1335 was an artifact, likely representing  $[2M+H]^+$ . The molecular ion matched seven known enniatins in literature: A<sub>1</sub> (3), E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>2</sub> (10 and 11), G (13), I (15), and O<sub>1</sub> (25), O<sub>2</sub> (26), and O<sub>3</sub> (27). Of these, the enniatin O homologs could be ruled out, as they were described as a mixture of three inseparable homologs,<sup>11</sup> and our NMR data displayed patterns that were most similar to enniatins E<sub>1</sub> (10) and  $E_2$  (11), although the original paper reported it as simply enniatin E.<sup>10</sup>

To further characterize this isolated compound and compare and contrast differences with the literature on enniatin  $E_1$  (10) and  $E_2$  (11),<sup>10</sup> a full suite of 1- and 2D NMR data were acquired in different solvents, including CD<sub>3</sub>OD, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, and acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>, to see whether better resolution could be achieved. NMR data (Table 2) acquired in acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub> resulted in <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals that were more spread out and better resolved. However, <sup>1</sup>H NMR data in the literature<sup>10</sup> were acquired in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and compared favorably to the data on "pseudo-enniatin E" acquired also in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. <sup>13</sup>C NMR data in acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub> also yielded the best data set, followed by DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, as most of the α-carbon resonances were individual peaks [12 peaks in acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>, as opposed to just six resonances when analyzed in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (Table 2)]. DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, with a boiling point of 189 °C, was utilized for VT NMR experiments, which permitted NMR spectra acquisition at higher temperatures, as compared

to other more volatile NMR solvents. The VT NMR experiments probed whether the compounds were a result of conformers (if signals coalesce at higher temperature)<sup>31</sup> or homologous (if coalescence was not observed at any point as the temperature was elevated). In the case of the pseudo-enniatin E, coalescence was not observed. In fact, at some higher temperatures, the peaks appeared even sharper than at room temperature (Figure 3), further evidence that pseudo-enniatin E was a mixture of homologs and not conformers.

To determine the configuration of pseudo-enniatin E, acid hydrolysis was performed using methods described previously.<sup>32</sup> The sample was extracted thrice with  $CH_2Cl_2$ , and both the aqueous and organic extracts were subjected to chiral HPLC analyses by comparing them to standards, which demonstrated that pseudo-enniatin E had the same composition of amino acids and hydroxy acids, all with the same configurations, as enniatins  $E_1$  (10) and  $E_2$  (11) (Hiv, *N*-MeIle and *N*-MeLeu).

Analysis of the mass fragmentation (Figure 4) through MS<sup>n</sup> experiments for pseudo-enniatin E (m/z = 690 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>) showed fragments consistent with the sequential loss of *N*-MeIle or *N*-MeLeu [the two amino acids being isomeric (m/z = 563)], Hiv (m/z = 463), *N*-MeVal (m/z = 350), and another Hiv (m/z = 250). Since enniatins have alternating amino acid and hydroxy acid residues, the initial sequential loss of residues revealed that *N*-MeVal was flanked by two Hiv units. The Hiv units had to be flanked by the two other amino acid residues - *N*-MeIle and *N*-MeLeu - which in turn were separated by one last Hiv unit. A second pattern emerged from the same mass spectrum that displayed sequential loss of *N*-MeVal (m/z = 577), followed by Hiv + *N*MeLeu (or *N*MeIle) (m/z = 350). Hence, in order for enniatin E to display double the resonances in the NMR spectra, the two homologs, which we now suggest should be termed enniatin E<sub>1</sub> (10) and E<sub>2</sub> (11) (Figure 1), had the following cyclic order: (1) *N*-MeIle-Hiv-*N*-MeVal-Hiv-*N*-MeLeu-Hiv (E<sub>1</sub>) and (2) *N*-MeLeu-Hiv-*N*-MeVal-Hiv-*N*-MeIle-Hiv (E<sub>2</sub>) (Figure 4).

#### Isolation of 1,4-perhydrooxazine-2,5-dione compounds 30 and 31

Two other compounds (**30** and **31**) were isolated together with the enniatins from the same *Fusarium* species (MSX 44957). These dipeptidols, characterized based on HRESIMS and NMR data (Table 3), may represent building blocks of enniatins in cyclized form.<sup>33</sup> Compound **30** showed m/z = 214.1452 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, corresponding to a molecular ion of C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (calculated for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>; 214.1443), while compound **31** showed m/z = 228.1599 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, corresponding to a molecular ion of C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (calculated for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>; 228.1608). To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports on the isolation of these as natural products, although compound **30** was obtained as a by-product upon hydrolysis and lactonization of *N*- $\alpha$ -bromoisovaleryl-*N*-MeVal in a synthesis paper.<sup>33</sup>

There are likely several plausible explanations for the existence of these dipeptidols in cultures of MSX 44957. Compounds **30** and **31** could be synthesized by a mutated enzyme, where instead of proceeding to an elongation step, the enzyme terminates the process and cyclizes at the dipeptidol stage. One could envisage them as the result of limited substrates, although we never limited nutrients intentionally. Alternatively, they may have formed spontaneously due to thermodynamically stable six-membered rings or could be a by-product of metabolism. Regardless, their identification concomitant with a series of enniatins may be valuable for future biosynthetic considerations.

#### Structure Elucidation: Techniques and Challenges

The structures of the enniatins have been determined by a variety of physical and chemical methods. With respect to X-ray crystallography, only enniatins B  $(2)^{34}$  and N  $(24)^{15}$  have been reported in the literature. Alternatively, the use of NMR spectroscopy is more

prominent. The 18-membered cyclic backbone of all enniatins consists of carbonyl carbons (three amides and three esters) and six  $\alpha$ -carbons, three of which are oxygenated ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  73 ~ 77 ppm) and three of which are connected to nitrogen atoms ( $\delta_C$  56 ~ 65 ppm). An  $\alpha$ -proton  $(\delta_{\rm H} 4.44 \sim 5.32 \text{ ppm})$  is connected to each  $\alpha$ -carbon in the backbone, while a methyl group is connected to each nitrogen in the amino acid portion ( $\delta_H$  3.08 ~ 3.18 ppm), except for enniatins B<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>3</sub>, which have one and two less *N*-methyl moieties, respectively.<sup>9</sup> The connectivities of each amino and hydroxy acid residue are established by HMBC data, which typically show correlations from the  $\alpha$ -protons to two carbonyl carbons, and from the *N*-methyl protons to the ester carbonyl carbon that acylates that particular amino acid. The identity of each residue can be determined by COSY and TOCSY data and by multiplicities and J-values. Although signals for the methyl groups of Val, Leu, and Ile are typically overlapped in an enniatin <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, a careful integration of the area will facilitate counting the number of methyl groups in the structure, and these are reported typically as interchangeable values. The chemical shifts of the  $\beta$ -protons for amino acids depend on whether the structure has a Val ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.20 ~ 2.30 ppm), Leu ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.70 ~ 1.80 ppm), or Ile ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  $2.09 \sim 2.11$ ). The multiplicity of an  $\alpha$ -proton of an Ile (triplet) would also appear different from that of a Leu (doublet) or Val (doublet). Moreover, the β-carbon of Ile differs in chemical shift from Leu ( $\delta_C$  33 ~ 35 ppm versus 37 ~ 38 ppm, respectively). Also, the DEPT-135 experiment can differentiate between Ile and Leu based upon the chemical shift of the carbon that changed phase. Biosynthetic considerations can also assist in the structure elucidation process. Although the enniatin synthases were mentioned to have low substrate specificity for L-amino acids, a study also showed that this enzyme preferentially incorporates only certain sizes of amino acid side chains.<sup>24</sup> Thus, alanine and aaminobutyric acid are the only other amino acids shown to be incorporated into a naturally produced enniatin, specifically enniatins  $J_1$  (17),  $J_2$  (18),  $J_3$  (19) and  $K_1$  (20).<sup>14</sup> In summary, if the enniatin will not form crystals suitable for X-ray analysis, NMR spectroscopy may be the best solution, although the spectrum will appear crowded and overlapped in certain diagnostic regions. Focusing on how resonances can be ascribed to distinct amino acid or hydroxy acid residues, particularly Val, Leu, Ile, and Hiv, should narrow the work involved considerably.

The modern challenge in the structure elucidation of enniatins arises when homologs are isolated. These enniatins co-elute and appear as a single peak in chromatographic separations (e.g. Figure 2), even using modern stationary phases, a suite of mobile phases, and even a chiral column. As inseparable mixtures, they display double (as exhibited by enniatins  $E_1/E_2$ ,  $J_2/J_3$  and  $M_1/M_2$ ) or triple (as shown by enniatins  $O_1/O_2/O_3$ ) the resonances in the NMR spectra.<sup>10,11,14,15</sup> In these situations, neither a change in solvent nor the use of variable temperature experiments results in simplification of resonances or coalescence of signals, thus ruling out the possibility of conformational isomers, as shown with compounds like bassianolide.<sup>31</sup> Also, mass spectral data suggests a molecular weight in the range of typical enniatins (i.e. 611 to 683 a.m.u.). For certain enniatins, the structures of such homologs were elucidated in different ways, and a few cogent examples are discussed below.

#### Enniatin J<sub>2</sub>/J<sub>3</sub><sup>14</sup>

In conjunction with NMR data, mass spectrometry played a key role in the structure elucidation of enniatins  $J_2$  (18) and  $J_3$  (19), particularly the use of ESIMS<sup>n</sup> to determine the sequence of residues. Isolated together with enniatins  $J_1$  (17) and  $K_1$  (20) from an unidentified *Fusarium* strain, these homologs displayed a m/z of 626.3972 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. NMR data and fragmentation information via ESIMS<sup>2-4</sup> indicated the presence of *N*-MeAla (m/z = 85), *N*-MeVal (m/z = 113), *N*-MeIle (m/z = 127), and Hiv (m/z = 100). The sequence was determined by subjecting the homologs to partial acid hydrolysis to obtain linear

depsipeptides, which generated two major fractions that were subjected to further ESIMS<sup>n</sup>. One fraction displayed the sequential loss of *N*-MeIle followed by *N*-MeAla, after the initial loss of Hiv. Keeping in mind that enniatins have alternating amino acid-hydroxy acid residues, these results indicated that *N*-MeIle and *N*-MeAla had to be on opposite ends of the linear depsipeptide and that *N*-MeVal separated both amino acids. In support of this finding, the other fraction showed a loss of *N*-MeIle had to be between *N*-MeAla, after the initial loss of Hiv. Using the same logic, the *N*-MeIle had to be between *N*-MeVal and *N*-MeAla. Coupling these finding together distinguished the sequences of the two homologs (Figure 1).

#### Enniatin M<sub>1</sub>/M<sub>2</sub><sup>15</sup>

Isolated from an unidentified fungus and reported as one of the first enniatins with a hydroxyl group in the side chain of the Hiv portion of the structure [the others being enniatins L (21) and N (24)], enniatins  $M_1$  (22) and  $M_2$  (23) were isolated as a single peak by reversed phase HPLC. However, this isolate displayed twice the expected resonances in both the <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra for a single enniatin molecule. Extensive 1- and 2D NMR analyses revealed that these homologs contained six units of *N*-MeVal, two units of Hiv, two units of 2,3-dihydroxy-3-methylpentanoic acid (Dmp), and two units of 2-hydroxy-3-methylpentanoic acid (Dmp). Even though there was no direct evidence for the exact sequence of residues for homologs  $M_1$  (22) and  $M_2$  (23), the authors suggested that there had to be a difference in their connectivities in order for these two homologs to display different NMR resonances. Indirectly, the NMR data from the related compound, enniatin N (24),<sup>15</sup> from which a crystal structure was obtained, showing the presence of Hmp and Dmp residues in the hydroxy acid portion of the molecule, was used to match the resonances observed in enniatins  $M_1$  (22) and  $M_2$  (23) (Figure 1).

#### Enniatin O<sub>1</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>3</sub><sup>11</sup>

Showing not just two but three sets of NMR resonances, enniatins  $O_1$  (25),  $O_2$  (26) and  $O_3$  (27) were obtained from *Verticillium hemipterigenum*, whose fermentation conditions were optimized for enniatin production. NMR data (both <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C spectra) revealed that these homologs consisted of six *N*-MeVal, three *N*-MeLeu, six Hiv, and three Hmp residues. Further structural analyses by NMR and mass spectrometry were performed on four amide fragments, produced from partial degradation using LiBH<sub>4</sub> followed by acetylation. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of the fragments matched similar data obtained from known enniatins [B (2), B<sub>4</sub> (8), and H (14)] subjected to the same reaction. In short, the absolute configuration and the sequences of the amino and hydroxy acid residues were derived by comparison with known compounds and by mass spectral analyses of the fragments, thus establishing the structures for enniatins  $O_1$  (25),  $O_2$  (26), and  $O_3$  (27).

#### Identification of the configuration of the amino acid and hydroxy acid residues

The amino acid residues in all of the 29 enniatins described to date have the L-configuration, likely because the biosynthetic enzyme, ESyn, has a preference over the D-isomer. In a similar fashion, all of the hydroxy acids have the D-configuration, possibly due to the preference of the D-2-hydroxyisovalerate dehydrogenase. Although some of the enniatin literature is scant on experimental results, it may be a fair assumption, at least initially, that the L-amino acids and D-hydroxy acids are incorporated based largely on biosynthetic considerations. However, a simple acid hydrolysis can be performed on just a milligram quantity of the material and compared with standards using a chiral column [see discussion of enniatin  $E_1$  (10) and  $E_2$  (11)]. In this way, no derivatizations are required that would diminish the yield of the "product". Moreover, in cases where inseparable homologs are present, acid hydrolysis can help determine the identity of the residues [see discussion of enniatin  $E_1$  (10) and  $E_2$  (11)]. Also, partial degradation using LiBH<sub>4</sub> followed by acetylation

with acetic anhydride yielded fragments that were analyzed by NMR and mass spectrometry, as exemplified by enniatins  $O_1$  (25),  $O_2$  (26), and  $O_3$  (27).<sup>10</sup> In summary, although the biosynthetic considerations for the configuration of the residues have been consistent for 29 enniatins, to date, taking the research a step further and determining the configurations experimentally is straight forward and should be pursued; compounds with an unanticipated configuration could be uncovered in the future.

# **D. Biological Activities**

#### **Antimicrobial Activities**

Enniatins have been shown to exhibit a wide array of biological activities, and one of the earliest reported was antimicrobial activity towards *Mycobacterium* spp., *Staphylococcus* spp., *Bacillus* spp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Escherichia coli*.<sup>1,35,36</sup> Enniatins B (**4**), B<sub>4</sub> (**8**), H (**14**), I (**15**), G (**13**), C (**9**), MK1688 (**16**), O<sub>1</sub> (**25**), O<sub>2</sub> (**26**) and O<sub>3</sub> (**27**) were shown to inhibit the activity of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.<sup>11,13</sup> Furthermore, a recent study focused on the ability of enniatins to inhibit mycotoxigenic molds, such as *Fusarium verticillioides*, *F. sporotrichioides*, *F. oxysporum*, *F. poae*, *F. tricinctum*, *F. proliferatum*, *Beauveria bassiana*, *Trichoderma harzianum*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. parasiticus*, *A. fumigatus*, *A. ochraceus*, and *Penicillium expansum*.<sup>37</sup> Interestingly, of the four enniatins used in that study [A (**1**), A<sub>1</sub> (**3**), B (**4**), B<sub>1</sub> (**4**)], only enniatin B (**2**) displayed inhibition of some of the molds. Enniatins were also tested against *Botrytis cinerea*, a fungal phytopathogen that causes gray mold to many host species and infects seedlings stored in humid conditions. Of the series of enniatins tested, enniatins B (**2**), B<sub>4</sub> (**8**), and K<sub>1</sub> (**20**) were found to partially inhibit spore germination of *B. cinerea*.<sup>14</sup>

One mixture of enniatins, obtained from *Fusarium lateritium* WR, strain 437,<sup>38</sup> has been developed as an antimicrobial, fusafungine, and is administered as a topical treatment of upper respiratory tract infections by oral and/or nasal inhalation.<sup>38</sup> The drug is sold under the trade names Locabiotal (United Arab Emirates and Taiwan), Bioparox (Poland and Slovakia), Locabiosol (USA and Canada), and Fusaloyos (Spain) and is manufactured by Laboratoires Servier, a Frenchpharmaceutical company. Fusafungine showed bacteriostatic activity against a suite of microorganisms, such as *Mycoplasma pneumoniae, Legionella pneumophila, Streptococcus pneumoniae, S. pyogenes*, and *Staphylococcus aureus*, including methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*.

#### **Enzyme Inhibitors**

Enniatins A (1), A<sub>1</sub> (3), B (2), B<sub>1</sub> (4), D (8), E<sub>1</sub>/E<sub>2</sub> (10 and 11) and F (12) have been probed, at least in a limited fashion, as enzyme inhibitors, particularly acyl-CoA: cholesterol acyltransferase (ACAT), although their activities were moderate compared to beauvericin, one of the most potent ACAT inhibitors of microbial origin.<sup>28</sup> Nevertheless, such inhibitions could be significant in the treatment and prevention of atherosclerosis and hypercholesterolemia. In a separate study, the hypolipidaemic action of enniatin B (2) could be ascribed both to ACAT inhibition and a reduction of triglyceride synthesis, thus diminishing the pool of the free fatty acid in cells.<sup>39</sup> Also, enniatin B (2) was found to inhibit 3',5'-cyclo-nucleotide phosphodiesterase and was able to bind calmodulin.<sup>40,41</sup> This mode of action suggested an altering of signal transduction mechanisms of enzymes that are dependent on calmodulin, such as cyclic nucleotidase and protein kinases. In summary, although the number of studies of enniatins vs enzymes is somewhat limited, they seem to possess activities that warrant further exploration.

#### **Cytotoxicity and Anticancer Properties**

Towards cancer research, a study found enniatins B (2),  $B_1$  (4), and D (8) to inhibit pleiotropic drug resistance 5 protein (Pdr5p), an ATP-binding cassette that is one of the major causes of drug resistance against a variety of compounds, including drugs like tamoxifen and doxorubicin.<sup>42</sup> While many inhibitors of multidrug resistance (MDR) proteins have been described, these enniatins are important because they are found to be potent and specific for Pdr5p but are less toxic than other such leads.<sup>42</sup> In another cancerrelated study, enniatins A<sub>1</sub> (3) and B<sub>1</sub> (4) were found to induce apoptotic cell death and disrupt extracellular regulated protein kinase (ERK), a mitogen-activated protein kinase associated with cell proliferation,<sup>43</sup> while a mixture of enniatins A (1), A<sub>1</sub> (3), B (2), and B<sub>1</sub> (4) also induced apoptosis in several human cancer cell lines at low molar concentrations after 24 hours of treatment.<sup>44</sup>

Our studies on enniatins were initiated based on cytotoxicity of an extract of the fungus *Fusarium* sp. (MSX 44957) tested against a panel of three human cell lines in culture (the methods and strategy for such studies have been outlined previously<sup>32,45</sup>). As described earlier in this manuscript, a series of compounds were isolated and characterized, including enniatins  $E_1$  (10),  $E_2$  (11),  $B_1$  (4), D (8), I (15), and compounds 30 and 31. Of these, the enniatins were more potent than the related building blocks, i.e. compounds 30 and 31, with the homolog mixture of enniatins  $E_1$  (10) and  $E_2$  (11) being the most potent (see Table 4). Despite these encouraging results, the activity of the enniatins was still approximately one to two orders of magnitude less potent than the positive control, camptothecin.

#### **Other Biological Activities**

Not surprising for a series of compounds first described in the 1940s, the enniatins have shown a variety of other biological activities; a recent review highlights several others not covered in this manuscript.<sup>46</sup> In particular, enniatin A (1) was shown to possess anthelmintic properties against *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis, Trichinella spiralis,* and *Heterakis spumosa.*<sup>47</sup> Moreover, analogs of enniatin A (1) were synthesized, and two new derivatives were shown to possess strong *in vivo* activity against *H. contortus* in sheep.<sup>48</sup> A mixture of enniatins A (1), A<sub>1</sub> (3), B (2), B<sub>1</sub> (4) was cytotoxic against the *Spodoptera frugiperda* cell line, which is an insect cell line used to probe for *in vivo* cytotoxicity of fungal metabolites.<sup>49</sup> Several of the enniatins [B (2), B<sub>4</sub> (8), H (14), I (15), G (13), C (9), MK1688 (16), O<sub>1</sub> (25), O<sub>2</sub> (26) and O<sub>3</sub> (27)] were found to inhibit proliferation of the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum.*<sup>11,13</sup> Given this diverse range of activities, future researchers should be encouraged to examine the enniatins against a broad range of biological targets.

#### **Enniatins as lonophores**

Given the pore shape of the cyclodepsipeptide core of enniatins, their ionophoric behavior has been suggested since the 1960s.<sup>50</sup> More recently, a study on the electrophysiological properties of an enniatin mixture containing A (1), A<sub>1</sub> (3), B (2), B<sub>1</sub> (4) revealed that they incorporate easily into the cell membrane as a passive channel and form cation selective pores.<sup>40</sup> By forming complexes with cations like K<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup>, enniatins evoke changes in intracellular ion concentration, disrupting cell function. Indeed, this may explain the broad range of biological activities observed for the enniatins.

# E. Conclusion

Enniatins are secondary metabolites that have an interesting cyclohexadepsipeptide structure and that are produced, primarily, by *Fusarium* species of fungi. Although 29 of these have been described in the literature, it is anticipated that other analogs exist in nature,

particularly given the diverse biosynthetic possibilities in the side chains of these compounds. Moreover, mixtures of homologs, where the position of amino acid units have been shuffled, will continue to challenge the skills and resolve of natural products chemists. Studies on these compounds have been, and likely will be in the future, driven by their broad range of biological activities. To date, one pharmaceutical product, fusafungine, has been derived from the enniatins; the future awaits other applications.

#### Acknowledgments

This research was supported by P01 CA125066 from the National Cancer Institute/National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA. We thank Ms. Audrey Adcock and Dr. David J. Kroll, North Carolina Central University, for the cytotoxicity measurements. We also thank the David H. Murdock Research Institute (Kannapolis, NC), the High Resolution Mass Spectrometry Facility at the University of Iowa (Iowa City, IA), and the Proteomics and Metabolomics Facility at Colorado State University (Fort Collins, CO) for some of the mass spectrometry data.

#### References

- Gaumann E, Roth S, Ettlinger L, Plattner PA, Nager U. Ionophore antibiotics produced by the fungus *Fusarium orthoceras* var. enniatum and other. Fusaria Experientia. 1947; 3:202–203.
- Plattner PA, Nager U, Boller A. Wilting agents and antibiotics. VII Isolation of new type antibiotics from. Fusaria Helv Chim Acta. 1948; 31:594–602.
- 3. Cook AH, Cox SF, Farmer TH. Antibiotics produced by fungi, and a new phenomenon in optical resolution. Nature. 1948; 162:61. [PubMed: 18867716]
- 4. Audhya TK, Russell DW. Natural enniatin A, a mixture of optical isomers containing both *erythro*and *threo-N*-methyl-L-isoleucine residues. J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 1. 1974; 7:743–746.
- 5. Audhya TK, Russel DW. Production of enniatin A. Can J Microbiol. 1973; 19:1051–1054. [PubMed: 4752338]
- Deol BS, Ridley DD, Singh P. Isolation of cyclodepsipeptides from plant pathogenic fungi. Aust J Chem. 1978; 31:1397–1399.
- Strongman DB, Strunz GM, Giguere P, Yu CM, Calhoun L. Enniatins from *Fusarium avenaceum* isolated from balsam fir foliage and their toxicity to spruce budworm larvae, *Choristoneura fumiferana* (Clem) (Lepidoptera, Tortricidae). J Chem Ecol. 1988; 14:753–764.
- Blais LA, Apsimon JW, Blackwell BA, Greenhalgh R, Miller JD. Isolation and characterization of enniatins from *Fusarium avenaceum* Daom-196490. Can J Chem. 1992; 70:1281–1287.
- 9. Visconti A, Blais LA, Apsimon JW, Greenhalgh R, Miller JD. Production of enniatins by *Fusarium acuminatum* and *Fusarium compactum* in liquid culture: isolation and characterization of three new enniatins, B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>3</sub>, and B<sub>4</sub>. J Agric Food Chem. 1992; 40:1076–1082.
- Tomoda H, et al. New cyclodepsipeptides, enniatins D, E and F produced by *Fusarium* sp FO-1305. J Antibiot. 1992; 45:1207–1215. [PubMed: 1399840]
- Supothina S, Isaka M, Kirtikara K, Tanticharoen M, Thebtaranonth Y. Enniatin production by the entomopathogenic fungus *Verticillium hemipterigenum* BCC 1449. J Antibiot. 2004; 57:732–738. [PubMed: 15712668]
- 12. Lin YC, et al. A novel compound enniatin G from the mangrove fungus *Halosarpheia* sp (strain 732) from the South China Sea. Aust J Chem. 2002; 55:225–227.
- Nilanonta C, et al. Unusual enniatins produced by the insect pathogenic fungus *Verticillium hemipterigenum*: isolation and studies on precursor-directed biosynthesis. Tetrahedron. 2003; 59:1015–1020.
- Pohanka A, et al. Enniatins of *Fusarium* sp strain F31 and their inhibition of *Botrytis cinerea* spore germination. J Nat Prod. 2004; 67:851–857. [PubMed: 15165149]
- 15. Vongvilai P, et al. Isolation and structure elucidation of enniatins L, M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, and N: novel hydroxy analogs. Helv Chim Acta. 2004; 87:2066–2073.
- Uhlig S, Ivanova L, Petersen D, Kristensen R. Structural studies on minor enniatins from *Fusarium* sp VI 03441: novel *N*-methyl-threonine containing enniatins. Toxicon. 2009; 53:734–742. [PubMed: 19249325]

- 17. Pedras MS, Irina Zaharia L, Ward DE. The destruxins: synthesis, biosynthesis, biotransformation, and biological activity. Phytochemistry. 2002; 59:579–596. [PubMed: 11867090]
- Hamill RL, Higgens CE, Boaz HE, Gorman M. Structure of beauvericin, a new depsipeptide antibiotic toxic to *Artemia salina*. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969:4255–4258.
- Scherkenbeck J, Jeschke P, Harder A. PF1022A and related cyclodepsipeptides a novel class of anthelmintics. Curr Top Med Chem. 2002; 2:759–777. [PubMed: 12052189]
- Anke, H. Insecticidal and Nematicidal Metabolites from Fungi. In: Hofrichter, M., editor. The Mycota. 2. Vol. X. Springer-Verlag; Berlin Heidelberg, Germany: 2010. p. 151-163.
- Hornbogen T, Glinski M, Zocher R. Biosynthesis of depsipeptide mycotoxins in *Fusarium*. Eur J Plant Pathol. 2002; 108:713–718.
- Herrmann M, Zocher R, Haese A. Enniatin production by *Fusarium* strains and its effect on potato tuber tissue. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1996; 62:393–398. [PubMed: 16535227]
- Zocher R, Keller U, Kleinkauf H. Enniatin synthetase, a novel type of multifunctional enzyme catalyzing depsipeptide synthesis in *Fusarium oxysporum*. Biochemistry. 1982; 21:43–48. [PubMed: 6895851]
- 24. Krause M, et al. Directed biosynthesis of new enniatins. J Antibiot. 2001; 54:797–804. [PubMed: 11776434]
- 25. Pieper R, Kleinkauf H, Zocher R. Enniatin synthetases from different *Fusaria* exhibiting distinct amino acid specificities. J Antibiot. 1992; 45:1273–1277. [PubMed: 1399848]
- Feifel SC, et al. *In vitro* synthesis of new enniatins: probing the alpha-D-hydroxy carboxylic acid binding pocket of the multienzyme enniatin synthetase. Chembiochem. 2007; 8:1767–1770. [PubMed: 17712809]
- Lee C, Gorisch H, Kleinkauf H, Zocher R. A highly specific D-hydroxyisovalerate dehydrogenase from the enniatin producer *Fusarium sambucinum*. J Biol Chem. 1992; 267:11741–11744. [PubMed: 1601849]
- Tomoda H, et al. Inhibition of acyl-CoA: cholesterol acyltransferase activity by cyclodepsipeptide antibiotics. J Antibiot. 1992; 45:1626–1632. [PubMed: 1473990]
- Orjala, J.; Oberlies, NH.; Pearce, CJ.; Swanson, SM.; Kinghorn, AD. Discovery of potential anticancer agents from aquatic cyanobacteria, filamentous fungi, and tropical plants. In: Triginali, C., editor. Bioactive Compounds from Natural Sources. Natural Products as Lead Compounds in Drug Discovery. 2. Taylor & Francis; London, UK: 2012. p. 37-63.
- Kinghorn AD, et al. Discovery of anticancer agents of diverse natural origin. Pure Appl Chem. 2009; 81:1051–1063. [PubMed: 20046887]
- 31. Kanaoka M, et al. Bassianolide, a new insecticidal cyclodepsipeptide from *Beauveria bassiana* and *Verticillium lecanii*. Agric Biol Chem. 1978; 42:629–635.
- Sy-Cordero AA, et al. Cyclodepsipeptides, sesquiterpenoids, and other cytotoxic metabolites from the filamentous fungus *Trichothecium* sp (MSX 51320). J Nat Prod. 2011; 74:2137–2142. [PubMed: 21978324]
- Cook AHC, SF. 2:5-Diketomorpholines, their synthesis and stability. J Chem Soc. 1949:2347– 2351.
- 34. Kratky C, Dobler M. The crystal structure of enniatin B. Helv Chim Acta. 1985; 68:1798–1803.
- Jestoi M. Emerging *Fusarium*-mycotoxins fusaproliferin, beauvericin, enniatins, and moniliformin - a review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2008; 48:21–49. [PubMed: 18274964]
- 36. Vesonder, RF.; Golinski, P. Metabolites of *Fusarium*. In: Chelkowski, J., editor. Fusarium: Mycotoxins, Taxonomy and Pathogenicity. Elsevier; Amsterdam: 1989. p. 110-114.
- 37. Meca G, et al. Antifungal effects of the bioactive compounds enniatins A, A<sub>1</sub>, B, B<sub>1</sub>. Toxicon. 2010; 56:480–485. [PubMed: 20417654]
- German-Fattal M. Fusafungine, an antimicrobial with anti-inflammatory properties in respiratory tract infections - review, and recent advances in cellular and molecular activity. Clin Drug Investig. 2001; 21:653–670.
- Trenin AS, et al. The hypolipidemic action of antibiotic 86/88 (enniatin B) in a hepatoblastoma G2 cell culture. Antibiot Khimioter. 2000; 45:6–9. [PubMed: 10851642]

- 40. Kamyar M, Rawnduzi P, Studenik CR, Kouri K, Lemmens-Gruber R. Investigation of the electrophysiological properties of enniatins. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2004; 429:215–223. [PubMed: 15313225]
- 41. Mereish KA, Solow R, Bunner DL, Fajer AB. Interaction of cyclic peptides and depsipeptides with calmodulin. Pept Res. 1990; 3:233–237. [PubMed: 1724618]
- 42. Hiraga K, Yamamoto S, Fukuda H, Hamanaka N, Oda K. Enniatin has a new function as an inhibitor of Pdr5p, one of the ABC transporters in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2005; 328:1119–1125. [PubMed: 15707993]
- 43. Watjen W, et al. Enniatins A<sub>1</sub>, B and B<sub>1</sub> from an endophytic strain of *Fusarium tricinctum* induce apoptotic cell death in H4IIE hepatoma cells accompanied by inhibition of ERK phosphorylation. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2009; 53:431–440. [PubMed: 19065580]
- 44. Dornetshuber R, et al. Enniatin exerts p53-dependent cytostatic and p53-independent cytotoxic activities against human cancer cells. Chem Res Toxicol. 2007; 20:465–473. [PubMed: 17326668]
- 45. Ayers S, et al. Cytotoxic xanthone-anthraquinone heterodimers from an unidentified fungus of the order Hypocreales (MSX 17022). J Antibiot. 2012; 65:3–8. [PubMed: 22068158]
- Firakova S, Proksa B, Sturdikova M. Biosynthesis and biological activity of enniatins. Pharmazie. 2007; 62:563–568. [PubMed: 17867547]
- 47. Pleiss U, et al. Synthesis of a radiolabeled enniatin cyclodepsipeptide [H-3-methyl]JES 1798. Journal of Labelled Compounds & Radiopharmaceuticals. 1996; 38:651–659.
- 48. Jeschke P, et al. Synthesis and anthelmintic activity of cyclohexadepsipeptides with (*S,S,S,R,S,R*)-configuration. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2003; 13:3285–3288. [PubMed: 12951110]
- Fornelli F, Minervini F, Logrieco A. Cytotoxicity of fungal metabolites to lepidopteran (Spodoptera frugiperda) cell line (SF-9). J Invertebr Pathol. 2004; 85:74–79. [PubMed: 15050836]
- 50. Shemyakin MM, et al. Cyclodepsipeptides as chemical tools for studying ionic transport through membranes. J Membr Biol. 1969; 1:402–430.





Figure 1. Enniatins and their amino acid and hydroxy acid compositions

| enniatin                             | <b>R</b> <sub>1</sub> | <b>R</b> <sub>2</sub> | R <sub>3</sub> | R <sub>4</sub> | R <sub>5</sub> | R <sub>6</sub> |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| A (1)                                | sBu                   | sBu                   | sBu            | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            |
| A <sub>1</sub> ( <b>3</b> )          | sBu                   | iPr                   | sBu            | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            |
| A <sub>2</sub> ( <b>5</b> )          | sBu                   | iBu                   | sBu            | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            |
| B (2)                                | iPr                   | iPr                   | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            |
| B <sub>1</sub> ( <b>4</b> )          | iPr                   | sBu                   | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            |
| ${}^{a}\mathrm{B}_{2}\left(6\right)$ | iPr                   | iPr                   | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            |
| ${}^{a}\mathrm{B}_{3}\left( 7 ight)$ | iPr                   | iPr                   | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            |
| $B_4 = D(8)$                         | iPr                   | iPr                   | iBu            | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            |
| C ( <b>9</b> )                       | iBu                   | iBu                   | iBu            | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            |
| *E <sub>1</sub> ( <b>10</b> )        | iPr                   | iBu                   | sBu            | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            |
| *E <sub>2</sub> (11)                 | iPr                   | sBu                   | iBu            | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            |
| F ( <b>12</b> )                      | iBu                   | sBu                   | sBu            | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            |
| G (13)                               | iBu                   | iBu                   | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            |
| H ( <b>14</b> )                      | iPr                   | iPr                   | iPr            | sBu            | iPr            | iPr            |
| I ( <b>15</b> )                      | iPr                   | iPr                   | iPr            | sBu            | sBu            | iPr            |
| MK1688 (16)                          | iPr                   | iPr                   | iPr            | sBu            | sBu            | sBu            |
| J <sub>1</sub> ( <b>17</b> )         | iPr                   | iPr                   | Me             | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            |
| * J <sub>2</sub> (18)                | sBu                   | iPr                   | Me             | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            |
| * J <sub>3</sub> (19)                | Me                    | iPr                   | sBu            | iPr            | iPr            | iPr            |

| enniatin                                  | <b>R</b> <sub>1</sub> | <b>R</b> <sub>2</sub> | R <sub>3</sub> | R <sub>4</sub> | <b>R</b> <sub>5</sub> | R <sub>6</sub> |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| K <sub>1</sub> ( <b>20</b> )              | iPr                   | iPr                   | Et             | iPr            | iPr                   | iPr            |
| L ( <b>21</b> )                           | iPr                   | iPr                   | iPr            | iPr            | iPr                   | Hy-sBu         |
| <sup>*</sup> M <sub>1</sub> ( <b>22</b> ) | iPr                   | iPr                   | iPr            | iPr            | sBu                   | Hy-sBu         |
| *M <sub>2</sub> ( <b>23</b> )             | iPr                   | iPr                   | iPr            | iPr            | Hy-sBu                | sBu            |
| N (24)                                    | iPr                   | iPr                   | iPr            | sBu            | sBu                   | Hy-sBu         |
| <sup>*</sup> O <sub>1</sub> ( <b>25</b> ) | iBu                   | iPr                   | iPr            | sBu            | iPr                   | iPr            |
| *O <sub>2</sub> ( <b>26</b> )             | iBu                   | iPr                   | iPr            | iPr            | sBu                   | iPr            |
| *O <sub>3</sub> ( <b>27</b> )             | iBu                   | iPr                   | iPr            | iPr            | iPr                   | sBu            |
| $b^{*b} P_1(28)$                          | iPr                   | iPr                   | Hy-Et          | iPr            | iPr                   | iPr            |
| $b^{*b}P_{2}(29)$                         | iBu                   | iPr                   | Hy-Et          | iPr            | iPr                   | iPr            |

 $^{a}B_{2}$  and  $B_{3}$  have one and two N-Methyls, respectively, in the  $R_{1}$  and the  $R_{1}$  and  $R_{2}$  positions.

 $^{b}$ The stereochemistry of the Hy-Et side chain was not determined.<sup>16</sup>

\* Homologous among enniatins with the same "letter".



#### Figure 2.

Representative profile of enniatin E homologs when examined using an Acquity UPLC (Waters Corp., Milford, MA USA) and a solvent system of 20–100% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O using four different columns (all from Waters Corp.): A) HSS-C18 (1.8  $\mu$ m; 50 × 2.1 mm); B) BEH-C18 (1.7  $\mu$ m; 50 × 2.1 mm); C) BEH-Phenyl (1.7  $\mu$ m; 50 × 2.1 mm); D) HSS-T3 (1.8  $\mu$ m; 100 × 2.1 mm).





<sup>1</sup>H NMR profile of enniatin E homologs ( $\alpha$ -proton region) at three temperatures in DMSO*d*<sub>6</sub> (500 MHz).



#### Figure 4.

 $MS^n$  mass fragmentation of homologs of enniatin E. The boxes and circles highlight where *N*-MeIle and *N*-MeLeu were reversed in the two homologs.

#### Table 1

Sources and bioactivities of enniatins derived from the literature.

| Enniatin   | Biological Source <sup>a</sup>  | Bioactivities  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| A (1)  | <i>Fusarium avenaceum</i> , <sup>50</sup><br><i>Fusarium</i> sp. FO-1305 <sup>10</sup>  | Cytotoxic against Hep G2, MRC-5; ACAT inhibition               |  |
| A <sub>1</sub> ( <b>3</b> )                          | <i>Fusarium avenaceum</i> , <sup>50</sup><br><i>Fusarium</i> sp. FO-1305 <sup>10</sup>  | Cytotoxic against Hep G2, MRC-5; ACAT inhibition               |  |
| A <sub>2</sub> ( <b>5</b> )                          | Fusarium avenaceum <sup>50</sup>  | Cytotoxic against Hep G2, MRC-5                                |  |
| B (2)  | Fusarium avenaceum; <sup>50</sup><br>Fusarium sp. FO-1305; <sup>10</sup><br>Verticillium hemipterigenum <sup>13</sup>           | Cytotoxic against Hep G2, MRC-5; ACAT inhibition               |  |
| B <sub>1</sub> ( <b>4</b> )                          | <i>Fusarium avenaceum</i> , <sup>50</sup><br><i>Fusarium</i> sp. FO-1305 <sup>10</sup>  | Cytotoxic against Hep G2, MRC-5; ACAT inhibition               |  |
| B <sub>2</sub> ( <b>6</b> )                          | $\begin{array}{c} \textit{Fusarium acuminatum}^9\\ A, A_1, B, B_1, B_2, B_4 \end{array}$  | Cytotoxic against Hep G2, MRC-5;                               |  |
| B <sub>3</sub> (7)                                   | Fusarium acuminatum9  | Cytotoxic against Hep G2, MRC-5                                |  |
| $B_4 = D$ (8)<br>Because both were published in 1992 | <i>Fusarium acuminatum;</i> 9<br><i>Fusarium</i> sp. FO-1305; <sup>10</sup><br><i>Verticillium hemipterigenum</i> <sup>13</sup> | ACAT inhibition  |  |
| C (9)  | <i>Verticillium hemipterigenum</i> <sup>13</sup><br>B, B4, G, C   | Antimalarial, antituberculous, cytotoxic                       |  |
| E <sub>1</sub> ( <b>10</b> )                         | <i>Fusarium</i> sp. FO-1305 <sup>10</sup>   | ACAT inhibition  |  |
| E <sub>2</sub> ( <b>11</b> )                         | Fusarium sp. FO-1305 <sup>10</sup>  | ACAT inhibition  |  |
| F ( <b>12</b> )                                      | <i>Fusarium</i> sp. FO-1305 <sup>10</sup>   | ACAT inhibition  |  |
| G ( <b>13</b> )                                      | Halosarpheia sp. $(strain732)^{12}$<br>B, B <sub>4</sub>  | Heps 7402  |  |
| H ( <b>14</b> )                                      | <i>Verticillium hemipterigenum</i> <sup>13</sup><br>B, B <sub>4</sub> , G, C  | Antimalarial, antituberculous, cytotoxic                       |  |
| I ( <b>15</b> )                                      | <i>Verticillium hemipterigenum</i> <sup>13</sup><br>B, B <sub>4</sub> , G, C  | Antimalarial, antituberculous, Cytotoxic                       |  |
| MK1688 ( <b>16</b> )                                 | <i>Verticillium hemipterigenum</i> <sup>13</sup><br>B, B <sub>4</sub> , G, C  | Antimalarial, antituberculous, Cytotoxic                       |  |
| J <sub>1</sub> ( <b>17</b> )                         | $\begin{matrix} \textit{Fusarium} \text{ sp. F31}^{14} \\ \text{B, B}_1, \text{B}_2, \text{B}_4 \end{matrix}$                   | Against Botrytis cinerea                                       |  |
| J <sub>2</sub> ( <b>18</b> )                         | $\begin{array}{c} \textit{Fusarium} \text{ sp. F31}^{14} \\ \text{B, B}_1, \text{B}_2, \text{B}_4 \end{array}$                  | Against Botrytis cinerea                                       |  |
| J <sub>3</sub> ( <b>19</b> )                         | <i>Fusarium</i> sp. $F31^{14}$<br>B, B <sub>1</sub> , B <sub>2</sub> , B <sub>4</sub>   | Against Botrytis cinerea                                       |  |
| K <sub>1</sub> (20)                                  | <i>Fusarium</i> sp. $F31^{14}$<br>B, B <sub>1</sub> , B <sub>2</sub> , B <sub>4</sub>   | Against Botrytis cinerea                                       |  |
| L ( <b>21</b> )                                      | Unidentified fungus BCC2629 <sup>15</sup><br>B, B <sub>4</sub> , H, I   | Antimalarial, antituberculous, cytotoxic                       |  |
| M <sub>1</sub> ( <b>22</b> )                         | Unidentified fungus BCC2629 <sup>15</sup><br>B, B <sub>4</sub> , H, I   | Antimalarial, antituberculous, cytotoxic                       |  |
| M <sub>2</sub> ( <b>23</b> )                         | Unidentified fungus BCC262915   | Antimalarial, antituberculous, cytotoxic                       |  |
| N (24)   | Unidentified fungus BCC262915   | BCC2629 <sup>15</sup> Antimalarial, antituberculous, cytotoxic |  |

| Enniatin                     | Biological Source <sup>a</sup>   | Bioactivities                            |
|------------------------------|--|--|
|                              | $B, B_4, H, I$   |  |
| O <sub>1</sub> (25)          | Verticillium hemipterigenum <sup>11</sup><br>B, B <sub>4</sub> , G, C, H, I        | Antimalarial, antituberculous, cytotoxic |
| O <sub>2</sub> ( <b>26</b> ) | <i>Verticillium hemipterigenum</i> <sup>11</sup><br>B, B <sub>4</sub> , G, C, H, I | Antimalarial, antituberculous, cytotoxic |
| O <sub>3</sub> ( <b>27</b> ) | <i>Verticillium hemipterigenum</i> <sup>11</sup><br>B, B <sub>4</sub> , G, C, H, I | Antimalarial, antituberculous, cytotoxic |
| P <sub>1</sub> ( <b>28</b> ) | Unidentified Fusarium sp. VI 03441 <sup>16</sup>                                   | -  |
| P <sub>2</sub> ( <b>29</b> ) | Unidentified Fusarium sp. VI 03441 <sup>16</sup>                                   | -  |

 $^{a}\ensuremath{\mathsf{O}}\xspace$  Other enniatins that were isolated simultaneously are listed as well, where appropriate.

#### Table 2

NMR Data for Enniatin  $E_1$  (10) and  $E_2$  (11) (500 MHz in Acetone- $d_6$  and CDCl<sub>3</sub>)

| Position     | Acetone-                                   | Acetone-d <sub>6</sub> |  |                |
|--------------|--|------------------------|--|----------------|
|              | $\delta_{\rm H}$ , Mult. ( <i>J</i> in Hz) | δ <sub>C</sub>         | $\delta_{\rm H}$ , Mult. ( <i>J</i> in Hz) | δ <sub>C</sub> |
| Hiv1         |  |                        |  |                |
| 1            | -  | 170.3                  | -  | 170.5          |
| 2            | 5.15, d (9.0)                              | 75.0/74.9              | 4.95, d (9.0)                              | 77.2           |
| 3            | 2.18–2.22, m                               | 30.0                   | 2.20–2.30, m                               | 30.4           |
| 4            | 0.92–0.98, m                               | 18.9                   | 0.88–0.99, m                               | 19.1           |
| 5            | 0.92–0.98, m                               | 18.7                   | 0.88–0.99, m                               | 19.0           |
| N-MeVal      |  |                        |  |                |
| 6            | -  | 169.0/168.6            | -  | 170.2          |
| 7            | 4.88/4.51, d (10)                          | 60.8/62.5              | 4.91, d (9.5)                              | 61.3           |
| 8            | 2.18–2.22, m                               | 31.9                   | 2.02, m                                    | 33.6           |
| 9            | 0.92–0.98, m                               | 20.6                   | 0.88–0.99, m                               | 20.2           |
| 10           | 0.92–0.98, m                               | 19.4                   | 0.88–0.99, m                               | 19.6           |
| <i>N</i> -Me | 3.13, s                                    | 30.9/32.3              | 3.14, s                                    | 34.3           |
| Hiv2         |  |                        |  |                |
| 11           | -  | 170.8/170.7            | -  | 170.4          |
| 12           | 5.15, d (9.0)                              | 74.7/74.4              | 5.10, d (8.0)                              | 75.3           |
| 13           | 2.18–2.22, m                               | 29.8                   | 2.20–2.30, m                               | 30.0           |
| 14           | 0.92–0.98, m                               | 18.0                   | 0.88–0.99, m                               | 20.2           |
| 15           | 0.92–0.98, m                               | 18.0                   | 0.88–0.99, m                               | 19.2           |
| N-MeIle      |  |                        |  |                |
| 16           | -  | 169.1/168.7            | -  | 168.7          |
| 17           | 5.01/4.71, d (10)                          | 59.1/60.5              | 5.04, d (10)                               | 59.8           |
| 18           | 2.03, m                                    | 33.9                   | 2.20–2.30, m                               | 30.0           |
| 19           | 0.92–0.98, m                               | 17.7                   | 0.88–0.99, m                               | 16.0           |
| 20           | 2.18–2.22, m                               | 24.9                   | 2.20–2.30, m                               | 27.8           |
| 21           | 0.84–0.89, m                               | 9.8/10.2               | 0.83–0.87, m                               | 11.1           |
| <i>N</i> -Me | 3.10/3.11, s                               | 30.8/31.9              | 3.11, s                                    | 33.8           |
| Hiv3         |  |                        |  |                |
| 22           | -  | 169.3/169.4            | -  | 170.9          |
| 23           | 5.29/5.25, d (8.0)                         | 74.5/74.4              | 5.20, d (9.0)                              | 75.5           |
| 24           | 2.18–2.22, m                               | 30.3                   | 2.20–2.30, m                               | 30.0           |
| 25           | 0.92–0.98, m                               | 18.2                   | 0.88–0.99, m                               | 19.6           |
| 26           | 0.92–0.98, m                               | 18.1                   | 0.88–0.99, m                               | 18.9           |
| N-MeLeu      |  |                        |  |                |
| 27           | -  | 170.2/170.4            | -  | 169.0          |
| 28           | 5.10, d (10)                               | 54.6/54.4              | 4.57, m                                    | 57.3           |
| 29           | 1.85, m;1.64, m                            | 37.5                   | 1.80, m; 1.74, m                           | 38.1           |
| 30           | 1.52, m                                    | 24.8                   | 1.53, m                                    | 25.5           |

| Position     | Acetone-d <sub>6</sub>                     |                | CDCl <sub>3</sub>                          |                |
|--------------|--|----------------|--|----------------|
|              | $\delta_{\rm H}$ , Mult. ( <i>J</i> in Hz) | δ <sub>C</sub> | $\delta_{\rm H}$ , Mult. ( <i>J</i> in Hz) | δ <sub>C</sub> |
| 31           | 1.02, m                                    | 19.7           | 1.01, d (7.0)                              | 20.5           |
| 32           | 1.45, m                                    | 25.2           | 1.35, m                                    | 25.0           |
| <i>N</i> -Me | 3.15/3.16, s                               | 31.4/31.5      | 3.07, s                                    | 31.9           |

 $^{a}\mathrm{Values}$  before and after slashes are chemical shifts for the individual homologs

#### Table 3

NMR data for compounds 30 and 31 (500 MHz in CDCl<sub>3</sub>)





30

| 2 | 4 |  |
|---|---|--|
| J | I |  |

| Position | 30   |            | 31                                 |            |  |
|----------|--|------------|------------------------------------|------------|--|
|          | $\delta_{\rm H}$ , Mult. ( <i>J</i> in Hz) | <b>б</b> С | $\delta_{\rm H}$ , Mult. (J in Hz) | <b>б</b> С |  |
| 1        | -  | 170.4      | -                                  | 170.3      |  |
| 2        | 4.47, d (9.0)                              | 63.5       | 4.66, d (9.0)                      | 61.8       |  |
| 3        | -  | 169.4      | -                                  | 169.2      |  |
| 4        | 5.11, d (8.0)                              | 75.9       | 5.15, d (9.0)                      | 75.6       |  |
| 5        | 2.27, m                                    | 28.1       | 2.08, m                            | 34.0       |  |
| 6        | 0.86, d (7.0)                              | 19.5       | 1.45, m; 1.11, m                   | 25.3       |  |
| 7        | 1.03, d (7.0)                              | 18.9       | 1.00, d (7.0)                      | 16.3       |  |
| 8        | -  | -          | 0.89, t (8.0)                      | 11.0       |  |
| 9        | 2.27, m                                    | 30.1       | 2.30, m                            | 29.9       |  |
| 10       | 0.96, d (7.0)                              | 20.7       | 1.02, d (7.0)                      | 18.7       |  |
| 11       | 0.93, d (7.0)                              | 18.7       | 0.97, d (7.0)                      | 18.5       |  |
| 12       | 3.10, s                                    | 33.5       | 3.13, s                            | 33.1       |  |

#### Table 4

Cytotoxicity of enniatins and other compounds isolated from *Fusarium* sp. (MSX 44957) against a panel of human tumor cell lines.

|  | IC <sub>50</sub> values (in µM) <sup>a</sup> |       |       |  |
|--|--|-------|-------|--|
| Compound   | MCF-7  | H460  | SF268 |  |
| Enniatin B <sub>1</sub> ( <b>4</b> )                     | 1.4  | 1.2   | 2.2   |  |
| Enniatin E <sub>1</sub> /E <sub>2</sub> ( <b>10/11</b> ) | 0.5  | 0.7   | 2.6   |  |
| Enniatin D (8)   | 2.7  | 2.8   | 4.0   |  |
| Enniatin I (15)  | 1.0  | 1.3   | 1.4   |  |
| 30   | 8.4  | 8.9   | 10    |  |
| 31   | 3.4  | 3.2   | 4.3   |  |
| Camptothecin <sup>b</sup>                                | 0.07   | 0.008 | 0.50  |  |

 ${}^{a}$ IC<sub>50</sub> values were determined as the concentration required to reduce cellular proliferation by 50% relative to untreated controls following 72 h or continuous exposure using methods described in detail previously.<sup>51,52</sup>

*b* Positive control.