

# **RESEARCH PAPER**

# NF-κB-dependent IL-8 induction by prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> receptors EP<sub>1</sub> and EP<sub>4</sub>

# F Neuschäfer-Rube<sup>1</sup>, A Pathe-Neuschäfer-Rube<sup>1</sup>, S Hippenstiel<sup>2</sup>, M Kracht<sup>3</sup> and GP Püschel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut für Ernährungswissenschaft, Universität Potsdam, Nuthetal, Germany, <sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine/Infectious Diseases and Pulmonary Medicine, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany, and <sup>3</sup>Rudolf-Buchheim-Institut für Pharmakologie, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Gießen, Germany

#### Correspondence

Dr F Neuschäfer-Rube, Institut für Ernährungswissenschaft, Universität Potsdam, Arthur-Scheunert-Allee 114-116, D14558 Nuthetal, Germany. E-mail: fneusch@uni-potsdam.de

The first two authors contributed equally to the paper.

#### **Keywords**

prostaglandin receptor; NF-κB; IL-8 transcription; signal transduction

#### Received

16 December 2011 Revised 29 June 2012 Accepted 14 August 2012

#### BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

Recent studies suggested a role for  $PGE_2$  in the expression of the chemokine IL-8.  $PGE_2$  signals via four different GPCRs,  $EP_1-EP_4$ . The role of  $EP_1$  and  $EP_4$  receptors for IL-8 induction was studied in HEK293 cells, overexpressing  $EP_1$  (HEK- $EP_1$ ),  $EP_4$  (HEK- $EP_4$ ) or both receptors (HEK- $EP_1$  +  $EP_4$ ).

#### **EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH**

IL-8 mRNA and protein induction and IL-8 promoter and NF-κB activation were assessed in EP expressing HEK cells.

#### **KEY RESULTS**

In HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> and HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> but not HEK or HEK-EP<sub>4</sub> cells, PGE<sub>2</sub> activated the IL-8 promoter and induced IL-8 mRNA and protein synthesis. Stimulation of HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells with an EP<sub>1</sub>-specific agonist activated IL-8 promoter and induced IL-8 mRNA and protein synthesis. Simultaneous stimulation of HEK- EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells with both agonists activated IL-8 promoter and induced IL-8 mRNA and protein synthesis. Simultaneous stimulation of HEK- EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells with both agonists activated IL-8 promoter and induced IL-8 mRNA to the same extent as PGE<sub>2</sub>. In HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells, PGE<sub>2</sub>-mediated IL-8 promoter activation and IL-8 mRNA induction were blunted by inhibition of IkB kinase. PGE<sub>2</sub> activated NF-kB in HEK-EP<sub>1</sub>, HEK-EP<sub>4</sub> and HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells. In HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells, simultaneous activation of both receptors was needed for maximal PGE<sub>2</sub>-induced NF-kB activation. PGE<sub>2</sub>-stimulated NF-kB activation by EP<sub>1</sub> was blocked by inhibitors of PLC, calcium-signalling and Src-kinase, whereas that induced by EP<sub>4</sub> was only blunted by Src-kinase inhibition.

#### CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

These findings suggest that PGE<sub>2</sub>-mediated NF-κB activation by simultaneous stimulation of EP<sub>1</sub> and EP<sub>4</sub> receptors induces maximal IL-8 promoter activation and IL-8 mRNA and protein induction.

#### Abbreviations

AP-1, activator protein 1; C/EBP, CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein; CaMKII, Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II; CXCR, CXC motif chemokine receptor; EP<sub>1-4</sub>, PGE<sub>2</sub> receptor subtype 1–4; G protein, guanine nucleotide-binding protein; GAPDH, glycerinaldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; IKK, IκB kinase; InsP<sub>3</sub>, inositol trisphosphate; MIP, macrophage inflammatory protein; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3 kinase; Wort, wortmannin

# Introduction

Interleukin-8 (IL-8, CXCL8) is a 72 amino acid proinflammatory CXC chemokine, which is expressed in many different cell types, including endothelial and epithelial cells as well as inflammatory cells such as monocytes, macrophages and T-cells. One of its major physiological functions is the recruitment of neutrophils from the blood to the tissue



after infection or injury. Through its function as potent chemoattractant peptide, IL-8 plays a major role in the initiation and maintenance of inflammatory responses (Harada *et al.*, 1994). In addition, IL-8 was shown to be involved in angiogenesis and tumour progression (Waugh and Wilson, 2008). In adipocytes IL-8 attenuated insulin-stimulated Aktphosphorylation by a MAPK-dependent pathway (Kobashi *et al.*, 2009). IL-8 mediates its biological effects by binding to two different GPCRs CXCR1 and CXCR2. Both receptors signal predominantly through Goi, and pertussis toxin attenuates most IL-8 functions (Hall *et al.*, 1999).

IL-8 expression is regulated predominantly on the transcriptional level. The transcription rate is controlled by a short promoter region reaching from -11 to -133 within the 5'-flanking region of the IL-8 gene (Hoffmann et al., 2002). Binding sites for the transcription factors NF-kB, activator protein 1 (AP-1) and CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein (C/EBP) are located in this short promoter. Whereas AP-1 and C/EBP activation are not always needed for IL-8 induction, NF-kB activation is required for IL-8 expression in nearly all cell types studied (Hoffmann et al., 2002). IL-8 expression varies over a considerable range within the same cell type. In some cell types, IL-8 expression is induced more than 100fold in response to pro-inflammatory stimuli such as TNFa and IL-1 (Roebuck, 1999; Hoffmann et al., 2002). At the site of inflammation, both resident and infiltrating cells produce prostaglandins in addition to these cytokines (Rajakariar et al., 2006). Experiments with non-selective COX inhibitors and COX-2-selective inhibitors have suggested that prostanoids have a role in the regulation of IL-8 synthesis. Thus, the non-specific COX-1/COX-2 inhibitor aspirin suppressed TNFα-stimulated IL-8 expression in human umbilical vein endothelial cells (Yang et al., 2004). Similarly, the COX-2 inhibitor NS398 suppressed IL-8 formation in Helicobacter pylori-treated gastric cancer cells and inhibited IL-8 expression in bradykinin-stimulated airway epithelial cells. (Rodgers et al., 2002; Takehara et al., 2005). PGE<sub>2</sub>, which is the most abundant prostaglandin at sites of inflammation, acts via binding to four specific G protein-coupled PGE<sub>2</sub> receptors called PGE<sub>2</sub> receptor subtype 1-4 (EP<sub>1</sub>-EP<sub>4</sub>). EP<sub>1</sub> is coupled to an as yet unknown G protein. Binding of PGE<sub>2</sub> to EP<sub>1</sub> leads to a transient increase in intracellular calcium concentrations (Katoh et al., 1995) as well as to activation of PLC presumably by coupling to a Gq protein (Ji et al., 2010). EP<sub>2</sub> and EP<sub>4</sub> are coupled to Gs, and activation of theses receptors leads to an increase in cAMP and activation of PKA (Breyer et al., 2001). In addition, activation of EP<sub>4</sub> receptors but not EP<sub>2</sub>, can stimulate phosphoinositide 3 kinase (PI3K), which subsequently leads to phosphorylation and activation of Akt kinase (Fujino et al., 2003). The G protein coupling of EP<sub>3</sub> is more promiscuous. This receptor has different C-terminal splice variants that signal via a decrease in cAMP (Gicoupling) and/or an increase in inositol trisphosphate (InsP<sub>3</sub>) and Ca<sup>2+</sup> (Gq-coupling).

The aim of the current study was therefore, firstly, to elucidate if  $PGE_2$  is able to activate NF- $\kappa$ B via distinct EP receptors leading to IL-8 expression and, secondly, to analyse the signal transduction pathways linking G protein-coupled EP receptors to NF- $\kappa$ B activation. To this end, EP<sub>1</sub> and EP<sub>4</sub>, which were shown in previous studies to be involved in PGE<sub>2</sub>-stimulated IL-8 formation (Caristi *et al.*, 2005; Dey and

Chadee, 2008; Vij *et al.*, 2008; Dey *et al.*, 2009), were overexpressed in HEK293 cells alone or in combination. Then  $PGE_2$ stimulated activation of the IL-8 promoter as well as IL-8 mRNA and protein induction were determined, and activation of NF- $\kappa$ B in these cells was analysed. It was found that the activation of both EP<sub>1</sub>- and EP<sub>4</sub>-dependent signal chains by PGE<sub>2</sub> was needed to elicit maximal activation of the transcription factor NF- $\kappa$ B, maximal IL-8 promoter activation as well as IL-8 mRNA and protein induction.

# **Methods**

### Materials

All chemicals were purchased from commercial sources indicated throughout the text. Oligonucleotides were customsynthesized by Eurofins MWG/Operon (Ebersberg, Germany).

EP receptor specific agonists ONO-D1-004 (EP<sub>1</sub> agonist) and ONO-AE1-329 (EP<sub>4</sub> agonist) (Suzawa *et al.*, 2000) were kindly provided by ONO Pharmaceutical Co, Ltd, Osaka, Japan. Antibodies used were phospho-IKK $\alpha$  (Ser<sup>181</sup>)/IKK $\beta$  (Ser<sup>181</sup>), IkB kinase (IKK)  $\alpha$ , IKK $\beta$ , phospho-Src (Tyr<sup>416</sup>) and Src from cell signalling (Frankfurt, Germany).

### Cell culture and treatment

HEK293 cells were cultured in DMEM containing 10% FCS and antibiotics. HEK293 cells stably expressing human EP<sub>1</sub> or EP<sub>4</sub> were established as described previously (Neuschäfer-Rube *et al.*, 2004) and maintained in HEK293 culture medium supplemented with 0.5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> G-418 as selection marker. Double transgenic cells expressing human EP<sub>1</sub> and EP<sub>4</sub> were obtained by transfecting HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> cells with a pcDNA3.1-Zeo-hEP4 expression construct. Double transgenic cell clones were selected by the addition of 0.1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> Zeocin (CAYLA, Toulouse, France) as a second selection marker.

# Cell surface ligand binding

Cells in 24-well plates (1 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells per well) were washed once with a HEPES buffered salt solution (15 mM HEPES, 4,7 mM KCl, 1,2 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mM glucose, 2.2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>) and incubated for 2 h at 4°C with 100 µL of 5 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]-PGE<sub>2</sub> ± 10 µM PGE<sub>2</sub> to determine non-specific binding in the same buffer. To determine the contribution of EP<sub>1</sub> or EP<sub>4</sub> receptors to total [<sup>3</sup>H]-PGE<sub>2</sub> binding in HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells, 1 µM of specific agonists were added in addition to labelled PGE<sub>2</sub>. Plates were washed three times with ice-cold HEPES buffered salt solution and cell-associated radioactivity was released by lysing cells in 400 µL 0.3 M NaOH containing 1% (w v<sup>-1</sup>) SDS. The radioactivity in the cell lysates was counted in 5 mL Rotiszint solution (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany).

# *Real-time reverse transcription (RT)-PCR*

Cells were stimulated with  $1 \mu M PGE_2$ ,  $1 \mu M$  of EP receptor agonists or 50 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> TNF $\alpha$  for the time indicated and washed with PBS. Total RNA was isolated from treated cells using GeneMatrix Universal RNA kit (EURx, Gdansk, Poland). The 1–5  $\mu$ g total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using a mixture of oligo dT and random nucleotide primers and a M-MuLV reverse transcriptase (Fermentas, St. Leon Rot, Germany). Hot start real-time PCR for the quantification of



# Table 1

Oligonucleotide primers for qPCR

Gene	Forward	Reverse
GAPDH	5'-TGATGACATCAAGAAGGTGG	5'-TTACTCCTTGGAGGCCATGT
IL-8	5'-CAGTTTTGCCAAGGAGTGCTAA	5'-AACTTCTCCACAACCCTCTGC
EP1	5'-TCGCTTCGGCCTCCACCTTCTTTG	5'-CGTTGGGCCTCTGGTTGTGCTTAG
EP <sub>2</sub>	5'-CGAGACGCGACAGTGGCTTCC	5'-CGAGACGCGGCGCTGGTAGA
EP <sub>3</sub>	5'-CGGGGCTACGGAGGGGATGC	5'-ATGGCGCTGGCGATGAACAACGAG
EP <sub>4</sub>	5'-TCGCGCAAGGAGCAGAAGGAGACG	5'-GGACGGTGGCGAGAATGAGGAAGG

Accession numbers for the genes were: GAPDH (AB062273), IL-8 (AK311874), EP<sub>1</sub> (L22647), EP<sub>2</sub> (NM\_000956), EP<sub>3</sub> (E15918) and EP<sub>4</sub> (NM\_000958).

each transcript was carried out using 2× Maxima SybrGreen qPCR mix (Fermentas), 0.25 µM of each primer and 2.5 µL-5 µL of cDNA that was diluted 1:10. PCR was performed with an initial enzyme activation step at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 42 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 57°C (GAPDH and IL-8) or 63°C (EP receptor) for 30 s and extension at 72°C for 1 min in a real-time DNA thermal cycler (iCycler<sup>TM</sup>, 20  $\mu$ L reaction volume or CFX96<sup>TM</sup>, 10  $\mu$ L reaction volume, BIO-RAD, Munich, Germany). The oligonucleotides used are listed in Table 1. The expression level was calculated as n-fold induction of the gene of interest (int) in treated versus control cells with GAPDH (gap) as a reference gene. The calculation is based on the differences in the threshold cycles between control (c) and treated (t) groups according to the formula: fold induction =  $2^{(c - t)int}/2^{(c - t)gap}$ . For the calculation of EP receptor copy numbers, plasmids with cloned cDNAs coding for EP receptor and GAPDH were used as a template to prepare standard curves with defined copy numbers.

# IL-8 ELISA

Cells were stimulated with 1  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub>, 1  $\mu$ M EP receptor agonists or 50 ng ml<sup>-1</sup> TNF $\alpha$  for the time indicated. After the incubation, supernatants were collected and processed for IL-8 quantification by sandwich ELISA as previously described (Hippenstiel *et al.*, 2000).

# *Cell transfection and luciferase reporter gene assay*

Cells were transfected with pGL3-basic based luciferase reporter gene plasmids NF- $\kappa$ B-Luc (Clontech, Madison, WI, USA) or IL-8prom-Luc (Nourbakhsh *et al.*, 2001). HEK293 cells, and HEK293 cells stably expressing EP<sub>1</sub>, EP<sub>4</sub> or both receptors were transfected using a modified calcium phosphate transfection protocol. Twenty hours after transfection, cells were treated with 1  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub>, 1  $\mu$ M EP receptor agonists or 50 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> TNF $\alpha$  for the time indicated. At the end of the experiment, cells were lysed in 100  $\mu$ L lysis buffer, and firefly luciferase activity was measured in 25  $\mu$ L of cell lysate using the Fluostar Optima (BMG Labtech, Offenburg, Germany).

# Western blot analysis

HEK293-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells were stimulated with 1  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub> or EP receptor specific agonist for the time indicated. In some experi-

ments, cells were incubated with  $10\,\mu\text{M}$  of the Src kinase (4-amino-5-(4-chlorophenyl)-7-(dimethylethyl) inhibitor pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine (PP2) for 1 h before agonist stimulation. Cells were lysed in lysis buffer [20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% (v v<sup>-1</sup>) Triton X-100, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM β-glycerolphosphate, 50 mM NaF, protease inhibitors and 1 mM sodium orthovanadate], homogenized by sonication, and insoluble material was removed by centrifugation  $(10\ 000 \times g,\ 15\ min,\ 4^{\circ}C)$ . Protein content was determined. Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane. Membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat dry milk in 20 mM Tris, 136 mM NaCl and 0.1% (v v-1) Tween (TBS/ Tween) for 1 h at room temperature and incubated with the first antibody in TBS/Tween containing 5% BSA overnight at 4°C and a horseradish-peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG for 2 h at room temperature. Visualization of immune complexes was performed by using chemoluminescence reagent.

### Statistical analysis

Unless otherwise indicated, data were analysed by Student's two sided *t*-test for unpaired samples in either homoscedastic or heteroscedastic mode, as appropriate. The threshold for significance was set at P < 0.05.

# Results

# *Characterization of* EP<sub>1</sub> *or/and* EP<sub>4</sub> *expressing HEK293 cells*

HEK, HEK-  $EP_1$ , HEK-  $EP_4$  or HEK-  $EP_1 + EP_4$  cells were cultured for 24 h and then EP receptor mRNA copy numbers were determined by real-time RT-PCR using defined copy numbers of EP receptor/GAPDH containing plasmids for the preparation of standard curves. Untransfected HEK cells expressed all four EP receptor mRNAs at a very low level in the order:  $EP_1$  $< EP_3 < EP_2 = EP_4$  (Table 2). The absolute copy numbers indicate that untransfected HEK cells express no functional  $EP_1$  or  $EP_3$ , but they might express low amounts of functional  $EP_2$ and  $EP_4$ . In HEK-  $EP_1$  and HEK-  $EP_4$  cells, the respective EP receptor mRNAs were highly overexpressed. Overexpression of either  $EP_1$  or  $EP_4$  left  $EP_2$  and  $EP_3$  mRNA levels unaffected. The  $EP_4$  mRNA copy number in HEK-  $EP_4$  cells was twice the



### Table 2

EP receptor mRNA profiles in HEK, HEK-EP<sub>1</sub>, HEK-EP<sub>4</sub> and HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells

Cells	EP1 (EP1 mRNA × 1000/GAPDH mRNA)	EP <sub>2</sub> (EP <sub>2</sub> mRNA × 1000/GAPDH mRNA)	EP3 (EP3 mRNA × 1000/GAPDH mRNA)	EP4 (EP4 mRNA × 1000/GAPDH mRNA)
НЕК	0.01 ± 0	0.23 ± 0.14	0.03 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.11
HEK-EP1	169 ± 51	$0.25\pm0.1$	$0.03\pm0.01$	$0.19\pm0.05$
HEK-EP4	$0.02\pm0.01$	0.2 ± 0.11	$0.01 \pm 0$	313 ± 91
HEK-EP1 + EP4	117 ± 55	$0.25\pm0.13$	$0.01\pm0$	291 ± 135

HEK293 cells stably expressing EP<sub>1</sub>, EP<sub>4</sub> or EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> were cultured for 24 h. EP receptor mRNA and GAPDH mRNA were measured by real-time RT-qPCR as described in Methods. Plasmids ( $10^2-10^8$  copies) containing EP receptor or GAPDH cDNAs were used for preparing standard curves for the calculation of EP receptor or GAPDH mRNA copy numbers. Data represent the mean ± SEM of at least three independent RNA preparations. EP receptor mRNA contents are expressed as copy number EP receptor mRNA × 1000/copy number GAPDH mRNA.

### Table 3

Competition of cell surface [<sup>3</sup>H]-PGE<sub>2</sub>-binding by PGE<sub>2</sub> and receptor-specific agonist in HEK, HEK-EP<sub>1</sub>, HEK-EP<sub>4</sub> and HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells

Cells	Competition of [ <sup>3</sup> H]-PGE <sub>2</sub> binding by PGE <sub>2</sub> (fmol per 10 <sup>s</sup> cells)	Competition of [³H]-PGE₂ binding by EP₁ agonist (fmol per 10 <sup>5</sup> cells)	Competition of [³H]-PGE₂ binding by EP₄ agonist (fmol per 10⁵ cells)
HEK	$0.05 \pm 0.09$	-	-
HEK-EP <sub>1</sub>	3.99 ± 0.71	-	_
HEK-EP <sub>4</sub>	$15.76 \pm 0.63$	-	-
$HEK-EP_1+EP_4$	$6.15 \pm 0.41$	0.37 ± 0.10	5.81 ± 0.39

HEK, HEK-EP<sub>1</sub>, HEK-EP<sub>4</sub> or HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells in 24-well plates ( $1 \times 10^5$  cells per well) were incubated with 5 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]-PGE<sub>2</sub> ± 1  $\mu$ M of EP receptor-specific agonists for 2 h at 4°C. Non-specific cell surface binding was determined in the presence of 10  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub>. Unbound ligand was removed, and cell surface bound [<sup>3</sup>H]-PGE<sub>2</sub> was measured by lysing the cells and counting the radioactivity in the lysate. The [<sup>3</sup>H]-PGE<sub>2</sub> binding by specific EP receptors was determined by subtracting [<sup>3</sup>H]-PGE<sub>2</sub> binding in the presence of agonists from specific [<sup>3</sup>H]-PGE<sub>2</sub> binding. Data represent the mean ± SEM of three independent experiments.

EP<sub>1</sub> mRNA copy number in HEK- EP<sub>1</sub> cells. In HEK-EP1 + EP4 cells mRNAs of each individual receptor was similar to the copy number in mono-transgenic cells (Table 2). To verify that up-regulation of EP1/EP4 mRNAs resulted in overexpression of functional receptor proteins, cell surface [<sup>3</sup>H]-PGE<sub>2</sub> binding was measured. In accordance with the low expression level of EP receptor mRNAs, untransfected HEK cells showed only little specific [<sup>3</sup>H]-PGE<sub>2</sub> binding. (Table 3). By contrast, HEK- EP<sub>1</sub> cells bound about 4 fmol [<sup>3</sup>H]-PGE<sub>2</sub> per 10<sup>5</sup> cells (80-fold more than untransfected HEK cells) and HEK-EP<sub>4</sub> about 16 fmol [<sup>3</sup>H]-PGE<sub>2</sub> per 10<sup>5</sup> cells (315-fold more than untransfected HEK cells). HEK- EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells bound about 6 fmol [<sup>3</sup>H]-PGE<sub>2</sub> per 10<sup>5</sup> cells; thus, the total number of specific PGE<sub>2</sub> binding sites was 123-fold higher than in untransfected HEK cells. The increase in mRNA levels following double transfection was hence not translated into a similar increase in total PGE<sub>2</sub>-binding sites. In addition, competition binding experiments with EP1 or EP4 specific agonists in HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells revealed that most of the specific [<sup>3</sup>H]-PGE<sub>2</sub> binding sites consisted of EP<sub>4</sub> (94%) and EP<sub>1</sub> contributed to only a small extent (6%) (Table 3). Nevertheless, the results show that EP1 and EP4 were functionally overexpressed in the respective cell lines and that functional EP4 expression was higher than EP<sub>1</sub> expression in monotransgenic cells as well as in the double-transgenic cell line.

#### *Induction of IL-8 protein and mRNA synthesis and activation of the IL-8 promoter by* PGE<sub>2</sub> *in* HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> *but not in* HEK or HEK-EP<sub>4</sub> *cells*

HEK, HEK- EP<sub>1</sub> and HEK- EP<sub>4</sub> cells were cultured for 20 h in the presence of 1 μM PGE<sub>2</sub> or 50 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> TNFα. IL-8 protein in the supernatant of treated cells was quantified by ELISA, and the induction level of IL-8 mRNA was determined by real-time RT-PCR. In HEK and HEK-EP<sub>4</sub> cells PGE<sub>2</sub>-stimulation did not change IL-8 protein or mRNA levels, whereas TNFα, a prototypical IL-8-inducing cytokine, induced IL-8 protein (HEK: 21-fold, HEK- EP<sub>4</sub> 24-fold) and IL-8 mRNA (HEK: 20-fold, HEK- EP<sub>4</sub> 21-fold) (Figure 1A and B). In both cell lines, TNFα induced IL-8 mRNA and protein synthesis to a comparable extent. By contrast, stimulation of HEK- EP<sub>1</sub> cells with PGE<sub>2</sub> induced a large increase in IL-8 protein (30-fold) and IL-8 mRNA (61-fold) levels that exceeded that induced by TNFα. An increase in IL-8 mRNA in HEK- EP<sub>1</sub> cells was also observed after stimulation with the EP<sub>1</sub>-specific agonist ONO-







PGE<sub>2</sub> induces IL-8 protein and mRNA synthesis and IL-8 promoter activation in HEK-EP1 but not in HEK or HEK-EP4 cells. HEK, HEK-EP1 and HEK-EP<sub>4</sub> cells were stimulated with 1  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub> or 50 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> TNF $\alpha$  for 20 h. For IL-8 promoter activation studies, cells were transfected with an IL-8 minimal promoter luciferase reporter gene plasmid before stimulation. (A) IL-8 protein: IL-8 released in the medium was measured by ELISA. Data shown are means  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. Statistics: Student's t-test for unpaired samples. a: significantly higher than control (P < 0.05). (B) IL-8 mRNA: IL-8 mRNA content was measured by gPCR as described in the Methods section with GAPDH as reference gene. Data shown are means  $\pm$  SEM of four independent experiments performed in triplicate. Statistics: Student's t-test for unpaired samples. a: significantly higher than control (P < 0.05). (C) IL-8 promoter activity: luciferase activity was measured in lysates as described in the Method section. Luciferase activity in control samples of each cell line was set at100%. Data shown are means  $\pm$  SEM of three to six independent experiments performed in triplicate. Statistics: Student's t-test for unpaired samples. a: significantly higher than control (P < 0.05).

DI-004, whereas the EP<sub>4</sub>-specific agonist ONO-AE1-329 did not affect IL-8 expression in these cells (not shown). The TNFα-dependent increase was lower than in HEK and HEK-EP<sub>4</sub> cells (IL-8 protein: sixfold, IL-8 mRNA: fivefold). Thus, in stably transfected HEK cells, IL-8 synthesis was efficiently induced by PGE<sub>2</sub> via EP<sub>1</sub> but not via EP<sub>4</sub> receptors. To determine whether PGE<sub>2</sub>-stimulated IL-8 mRNA transcription was a consequence of IL-8 promoter activation, HEK, HEK- EP1 and HEK- EP<sub>4</sub> cells were transfected with a reporter gene construct expressing firefly luciferase under the control of an IL-8 promoter fragment. Sixteen hours after transfection, cells were treated with  $1 \mu M PGE_2$  or  $50 \text{ ng mL}^{-1}$  TNF $\alpha$  for 20 h. PGE<sub>2</sub> did not activate the IL-8 promoter in HEK cells. It activated the IL-8 promoter only slightly (but not statistically significant) in HEK- EP<sub>4</sub> cells (Figure 1C). By contrast, PGE<sub>2</sub> stimulation of HEK- EP1 cells led to a pronounced IL-8 promoter activation (14-fold) that exceeded the activation by TNF $\alpha$  (ninefold), which also activated the IL-8 promoter in HEK (10-fold) and HEK- EP4 cells (fivefold). These results show that despite the sensitivity for TNFa-dependent IL-8 promoter activation observed in all three cell lines, PGE2dependent IL-8 promoter activation, as well as IL-8 mRNA and protein induction was dependent on EP<sub>1</sub> expression in HEK- EP<sub>1</sub> cells.

#### Joint activation of $EP_1$ and $EP_4$ is essential for maximal $PGE_2$ -stimulated IL-8 promoter activation and IL-8 mRNA and protein induction in HEK- $EP_1 + EP_4$ cells

Previous studies with human T-lymphocytes indicated a potential role for EP4 in the regulation of IL-8 synthesis; however, PGE<sub>2</sub> did not by itself stimulate IL-8 synthesis in HEK cells expressing EP<sub>4</sub> receptors. Therefore, we investigated whether EP<sub>4</sub> receptors modulate the EP<sub>1</sub>-dependent induction of IL-8 by PGE<sub>2</sub>. Double transgenic HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells were created to analyse any potential crosstalk between both EP receptors. In HEK EP1 + EP4 cells, PGE2 induced IL-8 production, IL-8 mRNA and IL-8 promoter activity. A significant induction was observed even after 10 h, whereas maximal activation was observed at around 20 h (Figure 2). In HEK- $EP_1 + EP_4$  cells, PGE<sub>2</sub> activates both receptors. At 20 h, it induced a 49-fold increase in IL-8 protein and a 39-fold increase in IL-8 mRNA (Figure 3A and B). Activation of EP<sub>1</sub> by an EP<sub>1</sub>-specific agonist increased IL-8 mRNA and protein significantly but to a much lesser extent than PGE<sub>2</sub> (IL-8 protein: fourfold, IL-8 mRNA: 12-fold), whereas the EP<sub>4</sub>-specific agonist only slightly, but not significantly, increased IL-8 (Figure 3A and B). A robust increase in IL-8 mRNA and protein, which reached the IL-8 mRNA levels stimulated by PGE<sub>2</sub>, was achieved when HEK-  $EP_1 + EP_4$  cells were stimulated with both receptor agonists together (IL-8 protein: 19-fold, IL-8 mRNA: 34-fold). These results show that the simultaneous activation of EP1 and EP4 receptors was necessary for maximal IL-8 induction by PGE<sub>2</sub>. This could also be observed at the IL-8 promoter level. The EP4 agonist activated the IL-8 promoter slightly but not significantly (3.2-fold), whereas stimulation with the EP<sub>1</sub> agonist led to a strong and significant activation of the IL-8 promoter (6.5-fold) but did not reach the activation levels observed in cells stimulated with PGE<sub>2</sub> (12-fold) (Figure 3C). Stimulation with both agonists







Time-dependence of PGE<sub>2</sub>-induced IL-8 protein and mRNA synthesis and IL-8 promoter activation in HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells. HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells were stimulated with 1  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub> for the times indicated. For IL-8 promoter activation studies, cells were transfected with an IL-8 minimal promoter luciferase reporter gene plasmid before stimulation. (A) IL-8 protein: IL-8 released into the medium was measured by ELISA. (B) IL-8 mRNA: IL-8 mRNA content was measured by qPCR as described in the Methods section with GAPDH as reference gene. (C) IL-8 promoter activity: luciferase activity was measured in lysates as described in the Methods section. Luciferase activity in samples of cells treated with PGE<sub>2</sub> for 20 h was set at100%. Data shown are means  $\pm$  SEM of five independent experiments performed in triplicate. Statistics: Student's *t*-test for unpaired samples. a: significantly higher than control; b: significantly lower than 20 h PGE<sub>2</sub> (*P* < 0.05). together activated the IL-8 promoter to the same extent as  $PGE_2$  (11-fold). The results show that for maximal activation of the IL-8 promoter and maximal  $PGE_2$ -mediated induction of IL-8 expression both  $EP_1$  and  $EP_4$  signal chains needed to be activated.

# *PGE*<sub>2</sub>-stimulated IL-8 promoter activation and IL-8 mRNA induction in HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + $EP_4$ cells depends on NF- $\kappa$ B activation

Induction of IL-8 transcription by most stimuli is controlled by the transcription factor NF-κB. NF-κB activation is dependent on phosphorylation of I-κB by IKK complex, which directs I-κB to ubiquination and proteasomal degradation. To determine whether NF-κB activation is involved in PGE<sub>2</sub>stimulated IL-8 induction via EP<sub>1</sub> and EP<sub>4</sub> activation, HEK- EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells were treated with the IKK inhibitor BMS-34551 at the same time as stimulation with PGE<sub>2</sub>. BMS-34551 had no effect on the basal IL-8 mRNA level and IL-8 promoter activity in these cells but completely blocked PGE<sub>2</sub>-mediated IL-8 mRNA induction and IL-8 promoter activation (Figure 4A and B). This shows that PGE<sub>2</sub>-stimulated IL-8 induction was dependent on NF-κB activation in HEK- EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells.

# *NF-* $\kappa$ *B activation by PGE*<sub>2</sub>*-binding to EP*<sub>1</sub> *and EP*<sub>4</sub>

As PGE<sub>2</sub>-mediated IL-8 promoter activation and IL-8 mRNA induction in HEK- EP1 + EP4 cells was dependent on IKK activation, NF-KB activation by PGE<sub>2</sub> was analysed in HEK, HEK-  $EP_1$ , HEK-  $EP_4$  and HEK-  $EP_1$  +  $EP_4$ -cells. To this end, cells were transfected with a NF-kB-luciferase reporter gene plasmid that encodes firefly luciferase under the transcriptional control of an artificial promoter containing multiple NF-kB binding sites. NF-kB activation was quantified by measurement of luciferase activity in extracts from cells stimulated with  $PGE_2$  or  $TNF\alpha$  for 20 h.  $PGE_2$  did not activate NF- $\kappa B$  in HEK cells (Figure 5A). By contrast stimulation of HEK cells with TNFa (which activates NF-kB via TNFa-receptor 2 subtype signal chain) led to a sustained NF-kB activation (39-fold). In contrast to parental HEK cells, PGE<sub>2</sub> activated NF-κB reporter activity in HEK- EP<sub>1</sub> cells (13.5-fold). TNFα activated NF-KB reporter activity in HEK- EP1 cells 17.9-fold, similar to the activation level induced by PGE<sub>2</sub> (Figure 5A). Stimulation of HEK- EP4 cells with PGE2 activated NF-KB only slightly (threefold), whereas  $TNF\alpha$  led to the same strong NF-KB activation as in untransfected HEK cells (40-fold). Together, the experiments show that PGE<sub>2</sub> can activate NF-κB via EP1 and less efficiently via EP4. This is in contrast to results obtained in HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells expressing both receptors, where EP<sub>1</sub> and EP<sub>4</sub> specific agonists activated NF-κB reporter activity to a comparable extent (EP1 agonist 10-fold and EP4 agonist 7.8-fold; Figure 5B). When cells were stimulated with both agonists at the same time, NF-kB-activation was similar (21-fold) to the activation obtained after PGE<sub>2</sub>-stimulation (26-fold). These results led to the hypothesis that simultaneous stimulation of EP1 and EP4 led to maximal NF-kB activation, which causes maximal PGE<sub>2</sub>-dependent IL-8 promoter activation as well as IL-8 mRNA and protein induction.

To further corroborate the hypothesis that activation of  $EP_1$  and  $EP_4$  resulted in a direct activation of NF- $\kappa$ B, the phosphorylation and hence activation of the upstream





kinase, IKK was analysed by employing phosphospecific antibodies. Stimulation of HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells with PGE<sub>2</sub> resulted in a rapid and sustained phosphorylation of IKK (Figure 6A); phosphorylation was observed after 5 min and the enzyme remained phosphorylated over the subsequent 30 min. In HEK- EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells, the receptor subtype specific agonists both increased IKK phosphorylation slightly albeit not significantly (Figure 6B). Only simultaneous stimulation of the cells with both agonists resulted in significant IKK phosphorylation that was similar to that observed after stimulation with PGE<sub>2</sub> (Figure 6B).

# NF- $\kappa$ B was activated by different EP<sub>1</sub>/EP<sub>4</sub> signal chains

 $EP_1$  +  $EP_4$  are both GPCRs. The mechanism linking  $PGE_2$  stimulation to  $NF{\cdot}\kappa B$  activation is currently unknown. To

#### Figure 3

Simultaneous activation of EP1 and EP4 is essential for maximal PGE2stimulated IL-8 promoter activation and IL-8 mRNA and protein induction in HEK-EP1 + EP4 cells. HEK-EP1 + EP4 cells were stimulated with 1 µM PGE<sub>2</sub> or 1 µM of the EP receptor specific agonists ONO-DI-004 (EP1) or ONO-AE1-329 (EP4) or a combination of both agonists for 20 h. For IL-8 promoter activation studies, cells were transfected with an IL-8 minimal promoter luciferase reporter gene plasmid before stimulation. (A) IL-8 protein: IL-8 released in the medium was measured by ELISA. Data shown are means  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. Statistics: Student's t-test for unpaired samples. a: significantly higher than control and b: significantly lower than  $PGE_2$  (P < 0.05). (B) IL-8 mRNA: IL-8 mRNA content was measured by qPCR as described in the Methods section with GAPDH as reference gene. Data shown are means ± SEM of five independent experiments performed in triplicate. Statistics: Student's t-test for unpaired samples. a: significantly higher than control and b: significantly lower than  $PGE_2$  (P < 0.05). (C) IL-8 promoter activity: luciferase activity was measured in lysates as described in the Methods section. Luciferase activity in control samples of each cell line was set at100%. Data shown are means  $\pm$ SEM of three to six independent experiments performed in triplicate. Statistics: Student's t-test for unpaired samples. a: significantly higher than control and b: significantly lower than  $PGE_2$  (P < 0.05).

analyse the signal chains involved in NF-kB activation HEK- $EP_1$  cells and HEK-  $EP_1$  +  $EP_4$  cells were transfected with the NF-kB reporter gene plasmid and treated with inhibitors of intracellular signal chains along with PGE<sub>2</sub>, EP receptor agonists or TNF $\alpha$  for 20 h before luciferase activity was measured. EP<sub>1</sub> is coupled to an increase in intracellular calcium concentration via activation of the InsP3 receptor and/or modulating calcium channels (Katoh et al., 1995; Ji et al., 2010). The PLC inhibitor U73122 as well as the Ca2+-chelator EGTA and the Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) inhibitor KN-62 significantly reduced PGE<sub>2</sub>-dependent NF-κB activation in HEK-EP1 cells but did not prevent activation by TNFa (Figure 7). Inhibition of PKC with the PKC inhibitor bisindolylmaleimide (BIM) had no effect on PGE2 or TNFamediated NF-kB activation in HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> cells. In contrast, NF-KB activation with the PKC activator PMA was completely inhibited after treatment with BIM (data not shown). These results show that activation of PLC as well as Ca<sup>2+</sup>-signalling, but not PKC, mediate PGE<sub>2</sub>-stimulated NF-κB activation by EP1. Another signal molecule, which can phosphorylate and therefore activate IKK/NF-κB, is the tyrosine kinase Src. As Src can be activated by Ca<sup>2+</sup>-signalling via CaMKII, the function of Src in EP1-mediated NF-KB activation was analysed. As shown in Figure 7, Src inhibition by the inhibitor PP2 attenuated EP<sub>1</sub> but not TNFα receptor-mediated NF-κB activation. This confirms the involvement of Src in EP<sub>1</sub>-stimulated NF-κB activation.

EP<sub>4</sub> couples to cAMP increase via Gs. In addition, activated EP<sub>4</sub> can form a complex with β-arrestin, which leads to activation of Src and subsequent activation of PI3K and Akt kinase by transactivation of the EGF receptor (Buchanan *et al.*, 2006). To find out which EP<sub>4</sub> signal chains are involved in PGE<sub>2</sub>-mediated NF-κB-activation, HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells were treated with the PKA inhibitor H89, the Src inhibitor PP2 or the PI3K inhibitor wortmannin before stimulation with PGE<sub>2</sub> or EP receptor-specific agonists. Neither the PKA inhibitor





PGE<sub>2</sub>-stimulated IL-8 promoter activation and IL-8 mRNA induction in HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells depend on NF-κB activation. HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells were stimulated with  $1 \mu M PGE_2$  in the absence or presence of the I-κB inhibitor BMS-34551 (10 μM) for 20 h. For IL-8 promoter activation studies, cells were transfected with an IL-8 minimal promoter luciferase reporter gene plasmid before stimulation. (A) IL-8 mRNA: IL-8 mRNA content was measured by qPCR as described in the Methods section with GAPDH as reference gene. Data shown are means  $\pm$  SEM of five independent experiments performed in triplicate. Statistics: Student's t-test for unpaired samples. a: significantly higher than control and b: significant lower than  $PGE_2$  (P < 0.05). (B) IL-8 promoter activity: luciferase activity was measured in lysates as described in the Methods section. Luciferase activity in control samples of each cell line was set at100%. Data shown are means  $\pm$ SEM of three to six independent experiments performed in triplicate. Statistics: Student's t-test for unpaired samples. a: significantly higher than control and b: significantly lower than  $PGE_2$  (P < 0.05).

H89 nor the PI3K inhibitor wortmannin affected NF- $\kappa$ B activation by PGE<sub>2</sub> or EP receptor-specific agonists (Figure 8). In contrast, treatment of the cells with the Src inhibitor PP2 significantly reduced NF- $\kappa$ B activation by PGE<sub>2</sub> and both EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> specific agonists (Figure 8), whereas PP2 did not affect TNF $\alpha$ -induced NF- $\kappa$ B activation (results not shown). This showed that EP<sub>4</sub>-mediated activation of NF- $\kappa$ B was not PKA-or PI3K-dependent but was dependent on Src, which is also involved in NF- $\kappa$ B activation by EP<sub>1</sub>. PP2 also inhibited the PGE<sub>2</sub>-dependent phosphorylation and activation of IKK upstream of the NF- $\kappa$ B activation and the PGE<sub>2</sub>-dependent induction of IL-8 mRNA downstream of the NF- $\kappa$ B activation (Figure 9A and B).



#### Figure 5

PGE<sub>2</sub> binding to EP<sub>1</sub> and EP<sub>4</sub> leads to NF-κB activation. HEK, HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> and HEK-EP<sub>4</sub> cells (A) as well as HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells (B) were transfected with a reporter gene plasmid with firefly luciferase under the control of multiple NF-κB binding sites. After 20 h, cells were stimulated with 1 µM PGE<sub>2</sub>, 50 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> TNFα or 1 µM of EP<sub>1</sub> and EP<sub>4</sub>specific agonists for a further 20 h. Luciferase activity was measured in lysates as described in the Methods section. Luciferase activity in control samples of each cell line was set at100%. Data shown are means ± SEM of three to six independent experiments performed in triplicate. Statistics: Student's *t*-test for unpaired samples. a: significantly higher than control and b: significantly lower than PGE<sub>2</sub> (*P* < 0.05).

To further analyse the involvement of Src, the activation of Src was determined with antibodies against phospho tyrosine 416, which is phosphorylated upon activation of the enzyme and hence is a marker of Src activation. Although treatment of HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells with either EP<sub>1</sub> or EP<sub>4</sub> agonists resulted in a slight but non-significant phosphorylation of Src, the combined administration of EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> agonists or stimulation with PGE<sub>2</sub>, which activates both receptor subtypes, resulted in similar and significant phosphorylation of Src (Figure 9C).





Requirement of simultaneous activation of EP<sub>1</sub> and EP<sub>4</sub> for maximal short-term activation of I- $\kappa$ B kinase (IKK) in HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells. HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells were incubated with 1  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub> for the times indicated (A) or with 1  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub> or receptor-specific agonists for 10 min (B). Proteins were extracted from cells with SDS sample buffer containing fluoride and vanadate to inhibit phosphatases. Phosphorylated and total IKKs were determined by Western blot using specific antibodies, peroxidase-coupled secondary antibodies and a luminogenic substrate. Band intensity was quantified luminometrically and expressed as ratio between phosphorylated and total protein. Values are means  $\pm$  SEM of a minimum of three independent experiments. Statistics: Student's *t*-test for unpaired samples a: significantly higher than unstimulated control cells; b: significantly lower than cells stimulated with PGE<sub>2</sub>, *P* < 0.05. Representative blots are shown.



#### Figure 7

NF-κB activation by EP<sub>1</sub> is dependent on PLC, Ca<sup>2+</sup> signalling and Src but not on PKC. HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> cells were transfected with a reporter gene plasmid with firefly luciferase under the control of multiple NF-κB binding sites. After 20 h, cells were stimulated with 1 μM PGE<sub>2</sub> or 50 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> TNFα in the absence or presence or of the PLC inhibitor U73122 (10 μM), the Ca<sup>2+</sup> chelator EGTA (2 mM), the CaMKII inhibitor KN-62 (10 μM), the Src kinase inhibitor PP2 (10 μM) or the PKC inhibitor BIM (0.15 μM) for a further 16 h. Luciferase activity was measured in lysates as described in the Methods section. Luciferase activity in control samples of each cell line was set at 100%. Data shown are means ± SEM of three to six independent experiments performed in triplicate. Statistics: Student's *t*-test for unpaired samples. b: significantly lower than naive (*P* < 0.05).

# Discussion

The results of the current study show that simultaneous activation of  $EP_1 + EP_4$  signal chains was necessary for PGE<sub>2</sub>induced maximal activation of IL-8 in HEK293 cells. Although  $EP_1$  activation alone was sufficient to induce a significant increase in IL-8, additional activation of  $EP_4$ enhanced the level of IL-8 induced but did not affect IL-8 expression by itself. In contrast to studies describing PGE<sub>2</sub>enhanced IL-8 formation in T-lymphocytes activated by CD3/CD28 antibodies (Caristi *et al.*, 2005), which were independent of NF- $\kappa$ B but dependent on the transcription factor C/EBP homologues protein (CHOP), PGE<sub>2</sub>-triggered IL-8 formation in the current study was NF- $\kappa$ B dependent.

### *Role of EP receptors in PGE*<sub>2</sub>*-stimulated IL-8 induction*

Inflammation is characterized by the infiltration of neutrophils, macrophages and lymphocytes into the injured tissue. The chemokine IL-8 is a potent chemoattractant for neutrophils and leukocytes. It was shown that IL-8 is elevated in a number of inflammatory diseases like asthma (Gibson *et al.*, 2001), colitis (Uguccioni *et al.*, 1999) and rheumatoid arthritis (Hwang *et al.*, 2004). IL-8 has been shown to be induced by many different stimuli. TNF $\alpha$  and IL-1 $\beta$  are known as highly potent stimulators of NF- $\kappa$ B-dependent IL-8 expression in various cell types. In addition to IL-8, TNF $\alpha$  and





NF- $\kappa$ B activation by EP<sub>1</sub> and EP<sub>4</sub> is dependent on Src but not on PKA or PI3K. HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells were transfected with a reporter gene plasmid with firefly luciferase under the control of multiple NF- $\kappa$ B binding sites. After 20 h, cells were stimulated with 1  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub> or EP<sub>1</sub>/EP<sub>4</sub>-specific agonists in the presence of the Src inhibitor PP2 (10  $\mu$ M), the PKA inhibitor H89 (10  $\mu$ M) or the PI3K inhibitor wortmannin (Wort; 0.1  $\mu$ M) for a further 20 h. Luciferase activity was measured in lysates as described in the Methods section. Luciferase activity in control samples of each cell line was set at 100%. Data shown are means ± SEM of three to six independent experiments performed in triplicate. Statistics: Student's *t*-test for unpaired samples. b: significantly lower than naive (*P* < 0.05).

IL-1 $\beta$  induce COX-2, the key regulatory enzyme in prostanoid synthesis from arachidonic acid (Vlahos and Stewart, 1999). As a consequence, the concentration of prostaglandins, mainly PGE<sub>2</sub>, is elevated in inflamed tissues. The actions of PGE<sub>2</sub> are mediated by its binding to four different GPCRs, EP<sub>1</sub>-EP<sub>4</sub>, which activate different G proteins and signal chains. EP<sub>1</sub> couples to Gq and Ca<sup>2+</sup>-signalling (Ji et al., 2010) whereas  $EP_2$  and  $EP_4$  couple to Gs and  $EP_3$  to Gi (Breyer *et al.*, 2001). The role of PGE<sub>2</sub> in inflammation is controversial. A number of studies have demonstrated anti-inflammatory actions of PGE<sub>2</sub> including suppression of T-cell induction (van der Pouw Kraan et al., 1995) and prevention of natural killer cell activation (Joshi et al., 2001). In human macrophages PGE<sub>2</sub> suppresses LPS-induced formation of the chemokines IL-8, macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1α, MIP-1β and monocyte chemotactic protein-1 by binding to EP4 (Takayama et al., 2002). In addition, PGE<sub>2</sub> suppresses TNFαformation in mouse macrophages in a PKA-dependent manner and inhibits LPS-induced TNFα-formation in mouse Kupffer cells via Gs-coupled EP2 and EP4 receptors (Fennekohl et al., 2002; Wall et al., 2009).

In contrast,  $PGE_2$  has been shown to stimulate IL-8 formation in human T-lymphocytes (Caristi *et al.*, 2005), cystic fibrosis airway epithelia cells (Vij *et al.*, 2008) and human colonic epithelial cells (Dey and Chadee, 2008). Interestingly,  $PGE_2$  was also shown to be involved in IL-8 formation induced by the peptide hormone bradykinin in human airway smooth muscle cells. In these cells, the COX inhibitor indomethacin inhibited bradykinin-stimulated IL-8 formation, whereas exogenous PGE<sub>2</sub> activated the IL-8 promoter and enhanced IL-8 formation (Zhu et al., 2003). In the present study, PGE<sub>2</sub> induced IL-8 formation only in EP<sub>1</sub> but not in EP<sub>4</sub> expressing cells. In HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub>, stimulation with a specific EP1 agonist but not an EP4 agonist activated IL-8 formation, whereas activation of both receptors was necessary for maximal IL-8 formation. The role of the EP<sub>4</sub> receptor, therefore, seems to be to enhance IL-8 formation triggered by EP<sub>1</sub> signal chains rather than to directly activate the induction of IL-8. These results are in line with experiments in T-lymphocytes where activation of both EP1 and EP4 was necessary for maximal PGE<sub>2</sub>-induced IL-8 formation (Caristi et al., 2005). In other cell types, EP4 activation alone was found to be sufficient for PGE<sub>2</sub>-mediated IL-8 induction. In Caco-2 cells overexpression of EP4 but not of the EP2 led to PGE<sub>2</sub>-stimulated IL-8 formation (Dey et al., 2009). In addition, stimulation of untransfected Caco-2 cells with an EP<sub>4</sub>specific agonist but not with an EP<sub>2</sub> agonist led to the same significant increase in IL-8 formation as stimulation with PGE<sub>2</sub>. The fact that EP<sub>4</sub> activation induced IL-8 expression in Caco-2 but not in HEK293 cells may be due to activation of different signal chains by EP<sub>4</sub> in these cells. In addition to activation of the cAMP signal pathway, EP<sub>4</sub> was reported to signal via the Src-dependent EGF receptor transactivation and subsequent activation of PI3K (Buchanan et al., 2006). Another possibility is that in Caco-2 but not in HEK293 cells PGE<sub>2</sub> may activate the release of IL-8-inducing mediators, whose IL-8-inducing effect may be enhanced by PGE<sub>2</sub>mediated EP<sub>4</sub> activation. Nevertheless, the results of our study clearly demonstrate that PGE2-mediated IL-8 formation is directly induced by EP1 signal chains in our experimental system. In contrast to EP4, which is widely expressed throughout the body,  $EP_1$  is expressed mainly in the colon and kidney. Therefore, PGE<sub>2</sub>-stimulated IL-8 formation in EP<sub>1</sub>/EP<sub>4</sub> expressing cells in these organs, which trigger inflammatory processes, is quite a likely paradigm.

# *Targets of* $EP_1 + EP_4$ *-dependent signal chains in* $PGE_2$ *-stimulated IL-8 formation*

IL-8 expression is mainly regulated on the transcriptional level. A core IL-8 promoter region spanning nucleotides -1 to -133 is essential and sufficient for transcriptional regulation of the gene. The core promoter includes potential binding sides for the transcription factors AP-1, C/EBP and NF-KB (Hoffmann et al., 2002). Whereas the NF-kB site is essential for IL-8 activation by various stimuli in most cell lines, the AP-1 and C/EBP sites are not required for primary induction but for maximal gene expression (Hoffmann et al., 2002). In addition to these three transcription factors, IL-8 induction by PGE<sub>2</sub> in cystic fibrosis cells or T-Lymphocytes was mediated by activation of transcription factor CHOP (Caristi et al., 2005). A CHOP responsive element is located between bases -130 and -137 in the IL-8 promoter, which overlaps with the AP-1 site. Surprisingly, in cystic fibrosis cells and T-cells, PGE<sub>2</sub>stimulated IL-8 formation was independent of NF-KB; the NF-kB inhibitor caffeic acid did not prevent PGE2-stimulated IL-8 formation. It was also shown in these studies that CHOP binds to the IL-8 promoter after PGE<sub>2</sub> stimulation and that deletion of the CHOP responsive element inhibited PGE2stimulated activation of the IL-8 promoter. By contrast, the



Involvement of PGE<sub>2</sub>-mediated activation of Src kinase in HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells in PGE<sub>2</sub>-dependent IL-8 induction. (A) HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells were stimulated with 1  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub> in the absence or presence of the Src kinase inhibitor PP2 (10  $\mu$ M) for 20 h. IL-8 mRNA content was measured by qPCR as described in the Methods section with GAPDH as reference gene. (B and C): HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells were pre-incubated with PP2 (10  $\mu$ M) for 1 h and subsequently stimulated with 1  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub> or receptor-specific agonists for 10 min. Proteins were extracted from cells with SDS sample buffer containing fluoride and vanadate to inhibit phosphatases. Phosphorylated and total IKKs (B) or Src kinase (C) were determined by Western blot using specific antibodies, peroxidase-coupled secondary antibodies and a luminogenic substrate. Band intensity was quantified luminometrically and expressed as ratio between phosphorylated and total protein. Values are means  $\pm$  SEM of a minimum of three independent experiments. Statistics: Student's *t*-test for unpaired samples a: significantly higher than non-stimulated control cells; b: significant lower than cells stimulated with PGE<sub>2</sub>, *P* < 0.05. Representative blots are shown.

results of the present study demonstrated that  $PGE_2$ -mediated IL-8 formation by activation of  $EP_1 + EP_4$  were dependent on NF- $\kappa$ B activation. This conclusion is based on several lines of evidence. Firstly,  $PGE_2$ -stimulated activation of the IL-8 promoter as well as IL-8 mRNA induction was completely abol-

ished by the IKK-inhibitor BMS-34551. Secondly, stimulation with PGE<sub>2</sub> increased NF- $\kappa$ B activity in HEK293 cells overexpressing EP<sub>1</sub> and/or EP<sub>4</sub> but not in untransfected cells. Thirdly, PGE<sub>2</sub> activation of NF- $\kappa$ B in HEK-EP<sub>1</sub>, HEK-EP<sub>4</sub> and HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells has the same profile as PGE<sub>2</sub> activation of





Model of  $PGE_2/EP_1 + EP_4$ -mediated NF- $\kappa$ B activation and IL-8 induction.  $PGE_2$ -bound  $EP_1$  activates PLC and/or  $Ca^{2+}$ -channels in the plasma membrane by Gq and/or an as yet unknown G protein. This leads to a transient increase in intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$ , that thereby activates CamKII. CamKII directly or indirectly via tyrosine kinase Src phosphorylates IKKs and signals I- $\kappa$ B degradation. Src-mediated IKK-phosphorylation is also triggered by PGE<sub>2</sub>-binding to the Gs-coupled EP<sub>4</sub>, which leads to maximal I- $\kappa$ B degradation. The released NF- $\kappa$ B translocates to the nucleus and promotes IL-8 transcription by binding to the IL-8 promoter.

the IL-8 promoter, induction of IL-8 mRNA and IL-8 protein expression. NF- $\kappa$ B and IL-8 induction was predominantly activated by EP<sub>1</sub>, whereas stimulation of EP<sub>4</sub> had only a minor effect on NF- $\kappa$ B activation and IL-8 formation. Maximal NF- $\kappa$ B activation as well as IL-8 induction was observed when both receptors were stimulated at the same time in HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells.

# *EP receptor signal chains leading to NF-κB activation*

A number of GPCRs have been shown to activate NF-κB. They include receptors for adenosine (Liu and Wong, 2004), bradykinin (Xie et al., 2000) and somatostatin (Liu and Wong, 2005). These receptors activate NF-KB via the regulation of Gi, Gq and Gq-related G proteins like G14 or G16. G protein coupling of EP<sub>1</sub> is controversial. Although EP<sub>1</sub> activation led to a robust increase in Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration, there was only a very modest increase in InsP<sub>3</sub> generation. It was, therefore, suggested that EP1 is not coupled to Gq but to activation of Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels via an as yet undefined G protein (Katoh et al., 1995). However, Gq coupling of the EP1 receptor in HEK cells has also been reported (Ji et al., 2010). Our study suggests that both signal chains are involved in PGE<sub>2</sub>-stimulated NF-κB activation by EP<sub>1</sub>, because NF-κB activation was significantly inhibited by the PLC $\beta$  inhibitor U73122, the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-chelator EGTA and the CaMKII inhibitor KN-62. Elevation of intracellular calcium concentration can activate IKK activity

through the action of CaMKII. The CaMKII inhibitor KN-62 blocks IKK/NF-κB activation by the somatostatin receptor sst<sub>2</sub> (Liu and Wong, 2004); however, the exact mechanism by which CaMKII regulates IKK activity remains unclear. Compared to the role of CaMKII, activation of PKC was not involved in EP<sub>1</sub>-mediated NF-κB activation because the specific PKC inhibitor BIM did not alter PGE<sub>2</sub>-stimulated NF-κB activity. This differs from the regulation of NF-κB by sst<sub>2</sub> where PKC activation. In contrast to the PKC-independent activation of NF-κB by PGE<sub>2</sub>, NF-κB activation by the phorbol ester PMA in HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> cells was completely abolished by BIM, showing that PKC-dependent NF-κB activation occurs in these cells and that the inhibitor BIM was functional.

In addition to the identification of PLC $\beta$  and CaMKII as transducers of EP<sub>1</sub>-mediated NF- $\kappa$ B activation, it was shown that inhibition of the tyrosine kinase Src significantly attenuated EP<sub>1</sub>-mediated NF- $\kappa$ B activation in HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> and in HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells. Src inhibition also blocked EP<sub>4</sub> agonist-stimulated IKK phosphorylation, NF- $\kappa$ B activation and induction of IL-8 mRNA in HEK-EP<sub>1</sub> + EP<sub>4</sub> cells, whereas inhibitors of PKA and PI3K, which have been described as signal transduction targets activated by EP<sub>4</sub>, were ineffective. These results indicate a central role for Src in PGE<sub>2</sub>-stimulated NF- $\kappa$ B activation. Once activated, Src was shown to associate directly with the IKK complex, leading to IKK phosphorylation, I- $\kappa$ B degradation and NF- $\kappa$ B activation (Lee *et al.*, 2007). Both EP<sub>1</sub> and EP<sub>4</sub> have been demonstrated to be activators of



Src (Tang *et al.*, 2005; Dey *et al.*, 2009). Although activation of Src by EP<sub>1</sub> most likely occurred via activation of PLC $\beta$  and CaMKII, activation by EP<sub>4</sub> was dependent on the formation of an EP<sub>4</sub>/ $\beta$ -arrestin/Src 'signalosome complex'. Because Src can be activated by both receptors via different signal chains leading to NF- $\kappa$ B activation, Src might be the signal molecule that links PGE<sub>2</sub>-stimulated IL-8 formation induced by EP<sub>1</sub> and to that activated by EP<sub>4</sub>stimulation (Figure 10). Overall, our data indicate that simultaneous stimulation of EP<sub>1</sub> and EP<sub>4</sub> receptors by PGE<sub>2</sub> induces a marked elevation in the expression of IL-8.

# Acknowledgement

The excellent technical assistance of Ínes Kahnt, Annika Kühn and Doris Stoll is gratefully acknowledged.

# **Conflict of interest**

No conflicts of interests to declare.

### References

Breyer RM, Bagdassarian CK, Myers SA, Breyer MD (2001). Prostanoid receptors: subtypes and signaling. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 41: 661–690.

Buchanan FG, Gorden DL, Matta P, Shi Q, Matrisian LM, DuBois RN (2006). Role of beta-arrestin 1 in the metastatic progression of colorectal cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 1492–1497.

Caristi S, Piraino G, Cucinotta M, Valenti A, Loddo S, Teti D (2005). Prostaglandin  $E_2$  induces interleukin-8 gene transcription by activating C/EBP homologous protein in human T lymphocytes. J Biol Chem 280: 14433–14442.

Dey I, Chadee K (2008). Prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> produced by *Entamoeba histolytica* binds to EP4 receptors and stimulates interleukin-8 production in human colonic cells. Infect Immun 76: 5158–5163.

Dey I, Giembycz MA, Chadee K (2009). Prostaglandin E(2) couples through EP(4) prostanoid receptors to induce IL-8 production in human colonic epithelial cell lines. Br J Pharmacol 156: 475–485.

Fennekohl A, Sugimoto Y, Segi E, Maruyama T, Ichikawa A, Püschel GP (2002). Contribution of the two Gs-coupled PGE<sub>2</sub>-receptors EP2-receptor and EP4-receptor to the inhibition by PGE<sub>2</sub> of the LPS-induced TNF $\alpha$ -formation in Kupffer cells from EP2or EP4-receptor-deficient mice. Pivotal role for the EP4-receptor in wild type Kupffer cells. J Hepatol 36: 328–334.

Fujino H, Xu W, Regan JW (2003). Prostaglandin  $E_2$  induced functional expression of early growth response factor-1 by EP4, but not EP2, prostanoid receptors via the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and extracellular signal-regulated kinases. J Biol Chem 278: 12151–12156.

Gibson PG, Simpson JL, Saltos N (2001). Heterogeneity of airway inflammation in persistent asthma: evidence of neutrophilic inflammation and increased sputum interleukin-8. Chest 119: 1329–1336.

Hall DA, Beresford IJ, Browning C, Giles H (1999). Signalling by CXC-chemokine receptors 1 and 2 expressed in CHO cells: a comparison of calcium mobilization, inhibition of adenylyl cyclase and stimulation of GTPgammaS binding induced by IL-8 and GROalpha. Br J Pharmacol 126: 810–818.

Harada A, Sekido N, Akahoshi T, Wada T, Mukaida N, Matsushima K (1994). Essential involvement of interleukin-8 (IL-8) in acute inflammation. J Leukoc Biol 56: 559–564.

Hippenstiel S, Soeth S, Kellas B, Fuhrmann O, Seybold J, Krüll M *et al.* (2000). Rho proteins and the p38-MAPK pathway are important mediators for LPS-induced interleukin-8 expression in human endothelial cells. Blood 95: 3044–3051.

Hoffmann E, Dittrich-Breiholz O, Holtmann H, Kracht M (2002). Multiple control of interleukin-8 gene expression. J Leukoc Biol 72: 847–855.

Hwang SY, Kim JY, Kim KW, Park MK, Moon Y, Kim WU *et al.* (2004). IL-17 induces production of IL-6 and IL-8 in rheumatoid arthritis synovial fibroblasts via NF-kappaB- and PI3-kinase/Akt-dependent pathways. Arthritis Res Ther 6: 120–128.

Ji R, Chou CL, Xu W, Chen XB, Woodward DF, Regan JW (2010). EP1 prostanoid receptor coupling to G i/o up-regulates the expression of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha through activation of a phosphoinositide-3 kinase signaling pathway. Mol Pharmacol 77: 1025–1036.

Joshi PC, Zhou X, Cuchens M, Jones Q (2001). Prostaglandin  $E_2$  suppressed IL-15-mediated human NK cell function through down-regulation of common gamma-chain. J Immunol 166: 885–889.

Katoh H, Watabe A, Sugimoto Y, Ichikawa A, Negishi M (1995). Characterization of the signal transduction of prostaglandin E receptor EP1 subtype in cDNA-transfected Chinese hamster ovary cells. Biochim Biophys Acta 1244: 41–48.

Kobashi C, Asamizu S, Ishiki M, Iwata M, Usui I, Yamazaki K *et al.* (2009). Inhibitory effect of IL-8 on insulin action in human adipocytes via MAP kinase pathway. J Inflamm 6: 25.

Lee HS, Moon C, Lee HW, Park EM, Cho MS, Kang JL (2007). Src tyrosine kinases mediate activations of NF-kappaB and integrin signal during lipopolysaccharide-induced acute lung injury. J Immunol 179: 7001–7011.

Liu AM, Wong YH (2004). G16-mediated activation of nuclear factor kappaB by the adenosine A1 receptor involves c-Src, protein kinase C, and ERK signaling. J Biol Chem 279: 53196–53204.

Liu AM, Wong YH (2005). Activation of nuclear factor kappa B by somatostatin type 2 receptor in pancreatic acinar AR42J cells involves G alpha14 and multiple signaling components: a mechanism requiring protein kinase C, calmodulin-dependent kinase II, ERK, and c-Src. J Biol Chem 280: 34617–34625.

Neuschäfer-Rube F, Hermosilla R, Rehwald M, Rönnstrand L, Schülein R, Wernstedt C *et al.* (2004). Identification of a Ser/Thr cluster in the C-terminal domain of the human prostaglandin receptor EP4 that is essential for agonist-induced beta-arrestin1 recruitment but differs from the apparent principal phosphorylation site. Biochem J 379: 573–585.

Nourbakhsh M, Kalble S, Dorrie A, Hauser H, Resch K, Kracht M (2001). The NF-kappa b repressing factor is involved in basal repression and interleukin (IL)-1-induced activation of IL-8 transcription by binding to a conserved NF-kappa b-flanking sequence element. J Biol Chem 276: 4501–4508.

van der Pouw Kraan TC, Boeije LC, Smeenk RJ, Wijdenes J, Aarden LA (1995). Prostaglandin- $E_2$  is a potent inhibitor of human interleukin 12 production. J Exp Med 181: 775–779.



Rajakariar R, Yaqoob MM, Gilroy DW (2006). COX-2 in inflammation and resolution. Mol Interv 6: 199–207.

Rodgers HC, Pang L, Holland E, Corbett L, Range S, Knox AJ (2002). Bradykinin increases IL-8 generation in airway epithelial cells via COX-2-derived prostanoids. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 283: L612–L618.

Roebuck KA (1999). Regulation of interleukin-8 gene expression. J Interferon Cytokine Res 19: 429–438.

Suzawa T, Miyaura C, Inada M, Maruyama T, Sugimoto Y, Ushikubi F *et al.* (2000). The role of prostaglandin E receptor subtypes (EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4) in bone resorption: an analysis using specific agonists for the respective EPs. Endocrinology 141: 1554–1559.

Takayama K, García-Cardena G, Sukhova GK, Comander J, Gimbrone MA Jr *et al.* (2002). Prostaglandin  $E_2$  suppresses chemokine production in human macrophages through the EP4 receptor. J Biol Chem 277: 44147–44154.

Takehara H, Iwamoto J, Mizokami Y, Takahashi K, Ootubo T, Miura S *et al.* (2005). Involvement of cyclooxygenase-2 – prostaglandin  $E_2$  pathway in interleukin-8 production in gastric cancer cells. Dig Dis Sci 51: 2188–2197.

Tang CH, Yang RS, Fu WM (2005). Prostaglandin  $E_2$  stimulates fibronectin expression through EP1 receptor, phospholipase C, protein kinase Calpha, and c-Src pathway in primary cultured rat osteoblasts. J Biol Chem 280: 22907–22916.

Uguccioni M, Gionchetti P, Robbiani DF, Rizzello F, Peruzzo S, Campieri M *et al.* (1999). Increased expression of IP-10, IL-8, MCP-1, and MCP-3 in ulcerative colitis. Am J Pathol 155: 331–336.

Vij N, Amoako MO, Mazur S, Zeitlin PL (2008). CHOP transcription factor mediates IL-8 signaling in cystic fibrosis bronchial epithelial cells. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 38: 176–184.

Vlahos R, Stewart AG (1999). Interleukin-1alpha and tumour necrosis factor-alpha modulate airway smooth muscle DNA synthesis by induction of cyclo-oxygenase-2: inhibition by dexamethasone and fluticasone propionate. Br J Pharmacol 126: 1315–1324.

Wall EA, Zavzavadjian JR, Chang MS, Randhawa B, Zhu X, Hsueh RC *et al.* (2009). Suppression of LPS-induced TNF-alpha production in macrophages by cAMP is mediated by PKA-AKAP95-p105. Sci Signal 16: 28.

Waugh DJ, Wilson C (2008). The interleukin-8 pathway in cancer. Clin Cancer Res 14: 6735–6741.

Xie P, Browning DD, Hay N, Mackman N, Ye RD (2000). Activation of NF-kappa B by bradykinin through a Galpha(q)- and Gbeta gamma-dependent pathway that involves phosphoinositide 3-kinase and Akt. J Biol Chem 275: 24907–24914.

Yang YY, Hu CJ, Chang SM, Tai TY, Leu SJ (2004). Aspirin inhibits monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 and interleukin-8 expression in TNF-alpha stimulated human umbilical vein endothelial cells. Atherosclerosis 174: 207–213.

Zhu YM, Bradbury DA, Pang L, Knox AJ (2003). Transcriptional regulation of interleukin (IL)-8 by bradykinin in human airway smooth muscle cells involves prostanoid-dependent activation of AP-1 and nuclear factor (NF)-IL-6 and prostanoid-independent activation of NF-kappaB. J Biol Chem 31: 29366–29375.