# Regions on Adenylyl Cyclase VII Required for Selective Regulation by the $G_{13}$ Pathway<sup>S</sup>

Lily I. Jiang, Jennifer E. Wang, and Paul C. Sternweis

Department of Pharmacology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas Received September 14, 2012; accepted December 10, 2012

# ABSTRACT

Regulation of multiple adenylyl cyclases (AC) provides unique inputs to mediate the synthesis of cAMP, a ubiquitous second messenger that controls many aspects of cellular function. On stimulation by  $G_s$ , the activities of ACs can be further selectively modulated by other pathways to ensure precise control of intracellular cAMP responses to specific stimuli. Recently, we reported that one of the AC isoforms, AC7, is uniquely regulated by the  $G_{13}$  pathway. To understand more fully the molecular mechanism of this regulation, we compared the regulation of

# Introduction

Regulation of cAMP metabolism plays important roles in many aspects of cellular function (Chin et al., 2002; Pierre et al., 2009; Sadana and Dessauer, 2009; Mosenden and Tasken, 2011). One of the ways to ensure the precise temporal and spatial control of this essential second messenger is through the regulation of its synthesis by adenylyl cyclases (ACs). Whereas mammalian ACs 1–9 are all activated by  $G_s$ , the activities of specific isoforms are regulated by diverse signaling pathways such as the  $G_i$ ,  $G_q/Ca^{2+}$ , and  $G_{13}$  pathways (Sunahara and Taussig, 2002; Cooper, 2003; Jiang et al., 2007, 2008). Thus, these enzymes serve as ideal integrators for translating inputs from multiple stimuli into coordinated cAMP responses.

The nine membrane-associated ACs share a common topology, which consists of a short amino terminus, followed by six membrane spans (M1), a large cytoplasmic domain (C1), a second set of six transmembrane regions (M2), and another cytoplasmic domain (C2) (Sunahara et al., 1997; Tesmer et al., 1997; Patel et al., 2001). The C1 domain is further divided into subdomains a and b based on sequence homology. The C1a and C2 domains share 60% identity in amino acid (aa) sequence and are absolutely required for the expression of cyclase activity (Tang and Gilman, 1995). The C1b domain, diverse in sequence and dispensable for the enzyme activity, is important for modulating inputs from other pathways as AC7 with that of AC2 in bone marrow–derived macrophages devoid of AC7. Although both enzymes could fully restore regulation of cAMP by  $G\beta\gamma$ , activation of the  $G_{13}$  pathway preferentially synergized with AC7. Exchange of domains between the two isoforms indicates that the C1b domain and the N-terminus of the C1a domain are important for directing selective regulation of AC7 by the  $G_{13}$  pathway. A mutagenesis screen identified more specific regions of AC7 that differentially mediate its regulation by distinct pathways.

evidenced by identified sites for interaction of  $G\alpha_i$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$  and calmodulin (CaM), and  $G\beta\gamma$  subunits on selected AC isoforms (Wu et al., 1993; Chen et al., 1997; Scholich et al., 1997; Diel et al., 2006).

A novel regulation of adenylyl cyclase by the  $G_{13}$  pathway was recently described (Jiang et al., 2007, 2008). In macrophage and other hematopoietic cell lineages, stimulation from ligands that activate the  $G_{13}$  pathway greatly enhances intracellular cAMP in conjunction with stimulation of  $G_s$ . Although both AC2 and AC7 isoforms share high sequence identity and similar functional attributes (such as sensitivity to  $G\beta\gamma$  stimulation but inhibition by  $G_i$ ) (Sunahara and Taussig, 2002), we found that regulation from the  $G_{13}$  pathway requires the specific AC isoform AC7. Furthermore, this regulation is distinct from that by  $G\beta\gamma$  subunits as overexpression of the Grk2 PH domain failed to block stimulation of AC7 from the  $G_{13}$  pathway (Jiang et al., 2008).

To understand more clearly the molecular mechanism of this regulation by the  $G_{13}$  pathway, we made use of bone marrow-derived primary macrophage (BMDM) cells with the AC7 allele deleted genetically (Jiang et al., 2012). In wild-type BMDMs, AC7 plays a crucial role in integrating cAMP responses from multiple G protein pathways (Jiang et al., 2008). BMDM cells deficient of the AC7 gene, although capable of generating intracellular cAMP responses on stimulation of  $G_s$ , completely fail to respond to further stimulation from all other G protein pathways, including  $G_i$  (C5a),  $G_q$  (UDP), and  $G_{13}$  (sphingosine-1-phosphate, or S1P). We used AC7-deficient BMDM cells to compare the activity of AC7 with that of AC2, an isoform highly homologous to AC7 in primary amino acid sequence and reported to be regulated by  $G\beta\gamma$  in vitro (Diel

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health National Institute of General Medical Sciences [Grant GM084098]; and the Alfred and Mabel Gilman Chair in Molecular Pharmacology (to P.C.S.). dx.doi.org/10.1124/mol.112.082446.

<sup>[</sup>S] This article has supplemental material available at molpharm. aspetjournals.org.

**ABBREVIATIONS:** aa, amino acid; AC, adenylyl cyclase; BMDM, bone marrow derived macrophages; BRET, bioluminescence resonance energy transfer; CAMYEL, cAMP BRET sensor using YFP-Epac-Luciferase; ISO, isoproterenol; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PFAHL, ProPheAlaHisLeu; S1P, sphingosine-1-phosphate.

et al., 2006). We found that exogenous expression of AC7 in AC7-deficient BMDMs rescued all of the defects in cAMP responses caused by absence of the isoform; however, over-expression of AC2 only rescued the cAMP response to the  $G_i/G\beta\gamma$  pathway but not to the  $G_{13}$  pathway. Distinct response profiles from the two AC isoforms allowed us to identify regions on AC7 that are important for its regulation by different pathways using domain swapping and a mutagenesis screen.

# **Materials and Methods**

**Reagents.** Isoproterenol, complement C5a, and sphingosine-1phosphate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL). Reagents for molecular cloning were purchased from Life Technologies (Grand Island, NY) and New England Biolabs (Ipswitch, MA).

Molecular Constructs. Human AC7 cDNA was tagged with an N-terminal Flag epitope or a C-terminal myc epitope. Rat AC2 cDNA with the Flag epitope at the C terminus was a gift from Dr. Ronald Taussig. Chimeric AC2/AC7 cyclases were generated by sewing polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The boundaries of the C1a, C1b, and C2 domains are aa197-aa454, aa455-aa595, and aa864-aa1080, respectively, according to the human AC7 protein (NP\_001105.1). The AC7-2C1aN construct was a gift from Dr. Masami Yoshimura (Yoshimura et al., 2006). The constructs containing the NAAIRS (Asn-Ala-Ala-Ile-Arg-Ser) sequence were generated by site-directed mutagenesis PCR of the targeted regions followed by cloning of the mutated fragments into pFBneo-AC7-myc vector using two unique restriction enzymes. The bioluminescent resonance energy transfer (BRET) sensor for cAMP, CAMYEL, was described previously (Jiang et al., 2007). All constructs were delivered into BMDMs via retroviral infection.

Isolation of BMDMs and Retroviral Infection. Knockout mice with a conditional deletion of AC7 in the myeloid lineage were generated in our laboratory (Jiang et al., 2012). Mice deficient of  $G\alpha_{13}$ in the myeloid lineage were derived through backcross of mouse strain LysMcre;  $G\alpha_{12}^{-/-}$ ;  $G\alpha_{13}^{\text{fl/fl}}$ , a gift from Dr. Nina Wettschureck (Moers et al., 2003), to C57BL/6J. All experimental procedures involving animals in this study were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Research Advisory Committee at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center. Bone marrowderived primary macrophages were isolated from mouse femurs (mixed sex) and cultured as described (Takeshita et al., 2000). Procedures for retroviral infection of BMDMs were as described (Jiang et al., 2008). When the CAMYEL sensor and  $G\alpha_{13}$  or AC7 constructs were both introduced into BMDMs, the constructs were cotransfected into the packaging cells, and the retroviruses were produced simultaneously and subsequently used for infecting BMDMs.

Assay of BRET in Live Cells. BRET assays for measuring cAMP in live cells were done as described (Jiang et al., 2007). For reporting errors, an independent experiment refers to assays done using BMDMs isolated from a particular mouse, typically two assays per ligand condition; therefore, an error from two or more independent experiments represents four or more individual assays.

Assessment of Protein Expression. Western blot analysis was used to assess the expression level of proteins. Antibodies used in the experiments include anti-G $\alpha_{13}$  (B-860) (Singer et al., 1994), anti-Flag (Sigma-Aldrich), and anti-myc (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA).

### Results

Stimulation of cAMP by Sphingosine-1-Phosphate in Bone Marrow-Derived macrophage Cells is Mediated by  $G\alpha_{13}$ . The bioactive phospholipid S1P synergistically enhances increases in intracellular cAMP in conjunction with G<sub>s</sub> stimulation in several hematopoietic cell lines (Jiang et al., 2007). We showed that this synergy is mediated by  $G\alpha_{13}$  in cultured cell lines using an RNAi approach. In the primary macrophage cells, BMDMs, we have inferred that this response is also mediated by  $G\alpha_{13}$  because inactivation of the G<sub>i</sub> pathway by pertussis toxin or removal of intracellular calcium by thapsigargin and EGTA did not change the effect of S1P on cAMP responses (Jiang et al., 2008). Here we tested this directly by using  $G\alpha_{13}$ -deficient BMDMs isolated from knockout mice deficient of  $G\alpha_{13}$  specifically in the myeloid lineage (Moers et al., 2003). The efficiency of  $G\alpha_{13}$  deletion was ~80% as measured by the amount of  $G\alpha_{13}$  protein expressed in populations of isolated BMDMs (Supplemental Fig. 1). As shown in Fig. 1,  $G\alpha_{13}$ -deficient BMDMs display a normal cAMP response on activation of the G<sub>s</sub> pathway by stimulation of endogenous  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptors with isoproterenol (ISO).



Fig. 1.  $G\alpha_{13}$ -deficient BMDMs fail to generate a cAMP response to stimulation with S1P. Wild-type (WT) or  $G\alpha_{13}$ -deficient BMDMs were infected with the cAMP BRET sensor, CAMYEL, alone or together with wild-type  $G\alpha_{13}$  cDNA as indicated. The cells were stimulated with 10 nM ISO at time 0, followed by the addition of 50 nM C5a (A) or 4 nM S1P (B) at 120 s; cAMP responses were measured by changes in BRET and calculated as described (Jiang et al., 2007). Error bars indicate the standard deviation of at least three independent experiments. Errors are similar for all conditions and shown on only one trace for clarity.

When C5a was used to stimulate the  $G_i$  pathway following the addition of ISO, a second peak of cAMP was elicited in both wild-type and  $G\alpha_{13}$ -deficient BMDMs. Thus,  $G\alpha_{13}$ -deficient BMDMs retained functional  $G_s$  and  $G_i$  pathways for regulation of cAMP. When S1P was added after stimulation with ISO, it provoked a robust second peak of cAMP in wild-type BMDMs; however, the response was ablated in  $G\alpha_{13}$ -deficient BMDMs (Fig. 1). Expression of exogenous wild-type  $G\alpha_{13}$  partially rescued the cAMP response to S1P without affecting the C5a response in  $G\alpha_{13}$ -deficient BMDMs. This result confirms that S1P regulates intracellular cAMP in BMDMs in a  $G\alpha_{13}$ -dependent manner.

The Activity of Adenylyl Cyclase VII is Uniquely **Regulated by the G** $\alpha_{13}$  **Pathway in BMDMs.** When G<sub>s</sub> is activated in BMDMs, stimulation with ligands that are coupled to the G<sub>i</sub> or G<sub>13</sub> pathways elicits synergistic increases in cAMP in an AC7 dependent manner. This is most easily shown as a burst of intracellular cAMP by sequential activation of G<sub>i</sub> or G<sub>13</sub> after the initial stimulus of G<sub>s</sub> (Jiang et al., 2008) (Fig. 1). BMDMs deficient in AC7 are devoid of these synergistic responses, with only minor effects on stimulation of cAMP by G<sub>s</sub> pathways. This apparent convergence of regulation on AC7 could be due to its abundant expression or its unique regulation in BMDMs. Geneexpression profiling of AC isoforms in BMDMs by reverse transcriptase PCR revealed abundant amplification of AC7 but weak expression of AC2 (Duan et al., 2010). AC2 and AC7 belong to the same subclass of ACs because of their sequence homology and enhancement of their activities by  $G\beta\gamma$  in conjunction with Gs stimulation (Sunahara and Taussig, 2002; Diel et al., 2006). We expect that if the convergence of cAMP regulation on AC7 is due to its abundance in BMDMs, overexpression of AC2 would be able to rescue at least the C5a/Gi pathway synergism missing in AC7-deficient BMDMs.

This idea was tested by expressing AC2 or AC7 in AC7deficient BMDMs isolated from a conditional knockout mouse strain generated in our laboratory, in which AC7 was specifically deleted in the myeloid lineage (Jiang et al., 2012). Stimulation of cAMP was measured in live cells using a BRET sensor. Expression of AC2 and AC7 was assessed indirectly as shown in Supplemental Fig. 2A. Expression of AC7 using human AC7 cDNA rescued all the cAMP response defects in AC7-deficient BMDMs. As shown in Fig. 2, the response to the G<sub>i</sub> pathway stimulus, C5a, is essentially restored to that observed with wild-type cells; the response to the G<sub>13</sub> pathway stimulus, S1P, is restored to  $\sim$ 50% of wild-type cells. The latter rescue is specific to the  $G_{13}$  pathway as overexpression of AC7 in  $G\alpha_{13}$ deficient BMDMs failed to restore the S1P effect on cAMP response (Supplemental Fig. 2B). These results confirm that the defects in cAMP responses present in AC7-deficient BMDMs are direct consequences of the loss of AC7 and that AC7 acts downstream of  $G\alpha_{13}$  to mediate the stimulation of cAMP by S1P in BMDMs.

Overexpression of AC2 also rescued the second peak of cAMP in response to C5a (Fig. 2). This response is sensitive to pertussis toxin treatment and is presumably mediated by  $G\beta\gamma$  on activation of the G<sub>i</sub> pathway. Therefore, both AC2 and AC7 are capable of mediating  $G\beta\gamma$  regulation from the G<sub>i</sub> pathway in BMDMs. However, overexpression of AC2 in AC7-deficient BMDMs produced only a small response to the G<sub>13</sub> pathway stimulus, S1P, about 20% of that induced by overexpression of



**Fig. 2.** Rescue of cAMP responses in AC7-deficient BMDMs. Wild-type (WT) or AC7-deficient BMDMs were infected with the CAMYEL sensor alone or together with wild-type human AC7 or rat AC2 cDNA, as indicated. The cells were stimulated with 10 nM ISO at time 0, followed by the addition of 50 nM C5a (A) or 4 nM S1P (B) at 120 s as in Fig. 1, and cAMP responses were measured. Error bars for selected traces indicate the standard deviations from at least three independent experiments.

AC7 in the same type of cells (Fig. 2). These results indicate that the S1P/G<sub>13</sub> pathway regulates cAMP responses preferentially via AC7, consistent with our previous assessment (Jiang et al., 2008).

The C1b Domain and the N-Terminus of the C1a Domain Are Important for Mediating Regulation of AC7 by the G<sub>13</sub> Pathway. The two highly homologous AC isoforms, AC2 and AC7, appear to be equivalently regulated by  $G\beta\gamma$ , yet their abilities to mediate the regulation from the G<sub>13</sub> pathway differ. To identify the domains required for regulation of AC7 by the G<sub>13</sub> pathway, we used the distinct cAMP response profiles generated by expression of AC2 and AC7 in AC7-deficient BMDMs. We reasoned that regulatory regions could be identified by testing chimeras of these two homologous proteins for their abilities to mediate regulation by G<sub>13</sub> in this system. Since both ACs are equally capable of mediating the regulation of cAMP synthesis by  $G\beta\gamma$ , all



**Fig. 3.** Domain mapping for the regulation of AC7 by  $G_{13}$ . (A) Schematic drawing of AC2/AC7 chimeric cyclases generated by domain substitution. (B, C) AC7-deficient BMDMs were infected with the CAMYEL sensor, together with chimeric cyclases as indicated. The cells were stimulated with 10 nM ISO at time 0, followed by the addition of 50 nM C5a (B) or 4 nM S1P (C) at 120s; cAMP responses were measured as described (Fig. 1). (D) Summary of cAMP responses to C5a and S1P generated by expression of AC2/AC7 chimeric cyclases in AC7-deficient BMDMs. The response to C5a or C5a or

functional chimeric proteins should rescue the second peak of cAMP stimulated by the  $C5a/G_i$  pathway. This response would also serve as a control for normalizing the expression level of the enzymes and provide a baseline for their functional efficacy.

The chimeric cyclase constructs shown in Fig. 3A were expressed in AC7-deficient BMDMs. Their abilities to mediate enhancement of cAMP by the C5a/Gi and S1P/G13 pathways were assessed by the induction of a second peak of cAMP after initial stimulation with ISO. When the C2 domain of AC7 was replaced by the matching domain of AC2 (AC7-2C2), the chimeric cyclase behaved like wild-type AC7 (Fig. 3); it rescued both C5a- and S1P-induced cAMP responses in AC7-deficient BMDMs. When both the C1 and C2 domains of AC7 were replaced by the corresponding domains from AC2 (AC7-2C1-2C2), the resulting cyclase behaved like AC2; the C5a-induced second peak of cAMP was fully restored, and the S1P-induced second peak was small (about 25% of that induced by expression of wild-type AC7) as expected for wild-type AC2 (Fig. 3). Replacing the C1 domain of AC7 with that of AC2 resulted in an inactive enzyme (unpublished data; Yoshimura et al., 2006). Replacing the membrane domains of AC7 with those of AC2 yielded a functional cyclase, AC7-2M1-2M2. This cyclase rescued both C5a- and S1P-induced cAMP responses to the same extent; the peak response to either ligand is about 60% of that generated by expression of wild-type AC7 (Fig. 3; Supplemental Fig. 3). The proportional reduction in both C5a and S1P responses could be caused by reduced expression or reduced cyclase activity. Importantly, this chimeric cyclase is capable of mediating regulation from both pathways. Together, these results indicate that regulation of AC7 activity by the G<sub>13</sub> pathway is mediated through the intracellular domains of AC7 and suggest that the C1 domain is important for the selectivity of this regulation, whereas the C2 domain contains no unique information.

The C1 domain is divided into two subdomains based on sequence homology among AC isoforms (Sunahara and Taussig, 2002). The C1a domain is highly conserved and is essential to enzymatic activity, and the C1b domain is more divergent in sequence and has been proposed to mediate isoform specific regulation (Wu et al., 1993; Chen et al., 1997; Scholich et al., 1997; Diel et al., 2006). When the C1a domain of AC7 was replaced by the corresponding domain of AC2 (AC7-2C1a-2C2), the chimera fully rescued the C5a/G<sub>i</sub>mediated cAMP response. However, its response to stimulation by S1P was reduced to half of that mediated by wild-type AC7 but clearly greater than that restored by AC2 (Fig. 3; Supplemental Fig. 3). This result implies that both the C1a and C1b domains are important for regulation of AC7 by the

S1P is defined by peak response to each ligand (at ~170–180s) less the concentration of intracellular cAMP before the addition of the second ligand (~110–120s). All responses were normalized to the responses generated by the expression of wild-type AC7 in AC7-deficient BMDMs. Error bars for selected responses indicate the range of standard deviations from at least two independent experiments. Based on Student *t* test, the S1P response generated by hAC7-2C1-2C2 is significantly different from that of AC7 (P < 0.001) but not different from that of AC2 (P = 0.6); the S1P response generated by hAC7-2C1a-2C2 is significantly different from that of AC7 (P < 0.001) and that of AC2 (P < 0.001). There is no statistically significant difference between responses generated by hAC7-2C1a-2C2 and by hAC7-2C1a-N.

 $G_{13}$  pathway. Replacing the C1b domain of AC7 by that of AC2 rendered the enzyme inactive, thus preventing a direct test of its regulatory impact. When ~70 amino acids at the Nterminus of the C1a domain (aa 197–269) were replaced by that of AC2, the resulting cyclase behaved similarly to AC7-2C1a-2C2, suggesting the importance of the N-terminus of C1a domain.

A Mutagenesis Scan in the C1b Domain Reveals Specific Amino Acid Residues Important for the Regulation of AC7 by Different Pathways. To assess the role of the C1b domain in the regulation of AC7 activity directly, a mutagenesis scan with the NAAIRS sequence was used to replace blocks of six amino acids at a time. The NAAIRS sequence is flexible enough to adopt various secondary structures without affecting the overall protein conformation and has been used to probe protein activities and their regulation, including that of AC2 by  $G\beta\gamma$  (Diel et al., 2006).

A total of 21 mutants covering the entire C1b domain were generated by NAAIRS replacement (Fig. 4). Mutation in two regions elicited cAMP response profiles different from that of wild-type AC7. The first region is around aa477-aa499. The four mutants across this region all showed an enhanced cAMP response to stimulation with ISO alone (Supplemental Fig. 4), suggesting enhanced cyclase activation by G<sub>s</sub>. However, their responses to stimulation with C5a/Gi and S1P/G13 were hampered. The AC7-477 mutant showed normal cAMP responses to S1P stimulation, but its response to C5a was reduced by 40%. Two mutants, AC7-491 and AC7-494, displayed a 40-60% reduction in response to S1P stimulation with normal responses to C5a. AC7-485 displayed reduced responses both to C5a and more severely to S1P (Fig. 4; Supplemental Fig. 4). The second region is around aa564-aa569; the NAAIRS mutant in this region, AC7-564, also showed enhanced cAMP response to ISO but a dampened response to C5a and more severely to S1P (Fig. 4; Supplemental Fig. 4). A third region showed an equivalent reduction to stimulation with both the  $\beta\gamma$  and G<sub>13</sub> pathways, likely reflecting reduced effective expression or activity of the two mutants. Collectively, the

mutations with NAAIRS confirm the importance of the C1b domain in the regulation of AC7 activity by the  $G_{13}$  pathway.

## Discussion

The novel regulation of AC7 by the  $G_{13}$  pathway was recently reported in several hematopoietic cell lines (Jiang et al., 2007, 2008). In this study, we further confirmed this regulation in primary macrophages using BMDMs deficient of  $G\alpha_{13}$  or AC7. BMDMs deficient of either protein failed to increase intracellular cAMP concentration in response to S1P, and the phenotype can be rescued by re-expression of the respective missing protein. Moreover, overexpression of AC7 failed to rescue the S1P induced cAMP response in  $G_{13}$ deficient BMDMs, proving genetically that  $G_{13}$  acts upstream of AC7 to regulate its activity (Figs. 1 and 2; Supplemental Fig. 2).

Analyses of a series of chimeric cyclases generated through domain substitution between AC7 and the highly homologous isoform AC2 identified two intracellular domains in AC7 that are important for mediating its regulation by the  $G_{13}$ pathway. The two domains, C1b and the N-terminus of C1a, are outside the essential catalytic core of the enzyme and display the greatest sequence divergence among the intracellular domains of AC isoforms. A mutagenesis screen using substitution with the NAAIRS sequence further identified specific regions in AC7 that are preferentially sensitive to disruption of regulation by the  $G\beta\gamma$  or the  $G_{13}$  pathways. Several novel observations derive from these studies.

First, the sites of action for  $G\beta\gamma$  regulation of AC7 and AC2 appear to differ. Based on studies of AC2, it has been proposed that  $G\beta\gamma$  regulation of the type II cyclases (AC2, AC4, AC7) is mediated by the highly conserved ProPheAlaHisLeu (PFAHL) motif in their C1b domains. NAAIRS replacement of this region on AC2 rendered it insensitive to  $G\beta\gamma$  stimulation in membrane-based in vitro assays (Diel et al., 2006). However, identical mutations in AC7 yielded only a partial or no defect in stimulation by  $G\beta\gamma$ . The PFAHL motif in AC7 corresponds



Fig. 4. Mutagenesis scan using NAAIRS replacement in the C1b domain. (A) Sequence of the C1b domain of human AC7. NAAIRS replacement mutants are indicated by underline; the number indicates the amino acid position of the first residue replaced. (B) Summary of cAMP responses to C5a and S1P generated by the expression of AC7-NAAIRS mutants in AC7-deficient BMDMs. The response to C5a or S1P is defined by the peak response to each ligand as described in Fig. 3. All responses were normalized to the responses generated by expression of wild-type AC7 in AC7deficient BMDMs. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of two independent experiments. Black asterisks indicate that the response to C5a generated by expression of mutant AC7 is significantly smaller than wild-type AC7 (P < 0.001, t test). Gray asterisks indicate that the response to S1P generated by expression of mutant AC7 is significantly smaller than wild type AC7 (P < 0.001, t test).

to aa484-aa500. Of the three NAAIRS mutants encompassing this region, one (AC7-485) displayed ~50% reduction in response to stimulation with C5a; the other two mutants (AC7-491 and AC7-494) at the C-terminal end of the motif showed no defect to  $G\beta\gamma$  regulation (Fig. 4 and Supplemental Fig. 4). Mutation of the sequence immediately N-terminal to the PFAHL motif (AC7-477) also showed a partial reduction in response to the  $\beta\gamma$  pathway, similar to the equivalent mutation in AC2 (AC2. $\Delta$ 490) (Diel et al., 2006). At this point, it is not clear whether the differences in  $\beta\gamma$  recognition by AC7 are due to actual conformational differences in the two cyclases or the study of AC7 in living cells versus the analysis of AC2 in vitro. Nevertheless, our results suggest that the PFAHL motif is only one of several regions that are involved in mediating the regulation of AC2 and AC7 by  $G\beta\gamma$ , and the relative contribution of each region to the regulation may be different between the two cyclases. This notion is consistent with a recent study reporting additional sites on AC2 for mediating its regulation by  $G\beta\gamma$  (Boran et al., 2011). It is also consistent with the fact that the PFAHL motif alone could not render an AC isoform sensitive to  $G\beta\gamma$  stimulation (Diel et al., 2006).

A key observation is that the site of action for  $G_{13}$  regulation of AC7 appears to be distinct from that of  $G\beta\gamma$ . Four mutants in the C1b domain displayed preferential defects in response to stimulation by S1P/G<sub>13</sub>. Three of these mutants are clustered at aa485–aa499, part of the PFAHL motif. Whereas the overall signatures for recognition of the  $G\beta\gamma$  or  $G_{13}$ pathways are unique in AC7, the overlap in the PFAHL region emphasizes its importance in regulating AC activities and the potential use of a common mechanism emanating from this region for mediating synergistic increases in activity. Differential recognition by regulatory pathways may then allow unique response profiles in the context of the specific AC isoforms. However, the overlap in the sites for the  $\beta\gamma$  and  $G_{13}$  pathways in AC7 also suggests that an individual cyclase molecule could not interact simultaneously with both pathways.

A third observation is that the NAAIRS mutants that displayed large differential defects in response to stimulation with S1P/G<sub>13</sub> versus C5a/ $\beta\gamma$  could not explain the preferential coupling of the G<sub>13</sub> pathway to the specific AC7 isoform by simple sequence differences. One likely reason is that multiple regions are involved for effective interaction, as in the case of  $G\beta\gamma$  regulation. Indeed, a NAAIRS scan in the N-terminal C1a domain of AC7 indicated additional regions that may contribute preference to its regulation by the  $G\beta\gamma$  or G13 pathways (Supplemental Fig. 5). The collective signature of those regions residing in the three-dimensional conformation of the enzyme then provides the selectivity or capability for differential regulation of AC7 and AC2. A more complete understanding of the selectivity for individual AC isoforms will require structural information on the C1b and the N-terminal C1a domains.

An alternative explanation for differential signaling in the in vivo experiments reported here is that the subcellular localization of AC7 is distinct from that of AC2, and this localization favors coupling of AC7 with the  $G_{13}$  pathway. This notion is supported by the fact that overexpression of AC2 in AC7-deficient BMDMs resulted in a small but significant response to S1P stimulation (Fig. 2). Recent studies have demonstrated specific interactions between various A-kinase anchoring proteins and AC isoforms as a mechanism of compartmentalized signaling (Dessauer, 2009; Delint-Ramirez et al., 2011). Although interactions between A-kinase anchoring proteins and AC2 have been reported (Piggott et al., 2008), such coupling remains to be determined for AC7. Since AC7 responds well to the  $G_i$  pathway, this would suggest that AC2 has to be largely excluded from compartments with functional S1P/G<sub>13</sub> signaling.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Ronald Taussig for the rat AC2 and human AC7 cDNAs, Dr. Masami Yoshimura for the AC7-2C1aN construct, and Dr. Nina Wettschureck for LysMcre,  $G\alpha_{12}^{-/-}$ ,  $G\alpha_{13}^{-//4}$  knockout mice. The authors thank Dr. Taussig for many stimulating discussions.

#### **Authorship Contributions**

Participated in research design: Jiang, Wang, and Sternweis.

- Conducted experiments: Jiang and Wang.
- Performed data analysis: Jiang and Wang.

Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript: Jiang and Sternweis.

#### References

- Boran AD, Chen Y, and Iyengar R (2011) Identification of new Gβγ interaction sites in adenylyl cyclase 2. *Cell Signal* 23:1489–1495.Chen Y, Weng G, Li J, Harry A, Pieroni J, Dingus J, Hildebrandt JD, Guarnieri F,
- Chen Y, Weng G, Li J, Harry A, Pieroni J, Dingus J, Hildebrandt JD, Guarnieri F, Weinstein H, and Iyengar R (1997) A surface on the G protein beta-subunit involved in interactions with adenylyl cyclases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 94: 2711–2714.
- Chin K-V, Yang W-L, Ravatn R, Kita T, Reitman E, Vettori D, Cvijic ME, Shin M, and Iacono L (2002) Reinventing the wheel of cyclic AMP: novel mechanisms of cAMP signaling. Ann N Y Acad Sci 968:49–64.
- Cooper DM (2003) Regulation and organization of adenylyl cyclases and cAMP. Biochem J 375:517–529.
- Delint-Ramirez I, Willoughby D, Hammond GV, Ayling LJ, and Cooper DM (2011) Palmitoylation targets AKAP79 protein to lipid rafts and promotes its regulation of calcium-sensitive adenylyl cyclase type 8. J Biol Chem 286:32962–32975.
- Dessauer CW (2009) Adenylyl cyclase—A-kinase anchoring protein complexes: the next dimension in cAMP signaling. Mol Pharmacol 76:935–941.
- Diel S, Klass K, Wittig B, and Kleuss C (2006) Gbetagamma activation site in adenylyl cyclase type II: adenylyl cyclase type III is inhibited by Gbetagamma. J Biol Chem 281:288–294.
- Duan B, Davis R, Sadat EL, Collins J, Sternweis PC, Yuan D, and Jiang LI (2010) Distinct roles of adenylyl cyclase VII in regulating the immune responses in mice. *J Immunol* 185:335–344.
- Jiang LI, Collins J, Davis R, Fraser ID and Sternweis PC (2008) Regulation of cAMP responses by the G12/13 pathway converges on adenylyl cyclase VII. J Biol Chem 283:23429–23439.
- Jiang LI, Collins J, Davis R, Lin KM, DeCamp D, Roach T, Hsueh R, Rebres RA, Ross EM, and Taussig R et al. (2007) Use of a cAMP BRET sensor to characterize a novel regulation of cAMP by the sphingosine 1-phosphate/G13 pathway. J Biol Chem 282:10576–10584.
- Jiang LI, Sternweis PC, and Wang JE (2012) Zymosan activates protein kinase A via adenylyl cyclase VII to modulate innate immune responses during inflammation. *Mol Immunol* 54:14–22.
- Moers A, Nieswandt B, Massberg S, Wettschureck N, Grüner S, Konrad I, Schulte V, Aktas B, Gratacap MP, and Simon MI et al. (2003) G13 is an essential mediator of platelet activation in hemostasis and thrombosis. Nat Med 9:1418–1422.
- Mosenden R and Taskén K (2011) Cyclic AMP-mediated immune regulation: overview of mechanisms of action in T cells. *Cell Signal* 23:1009–1016.
- Patel TB, Du Z, Pierre S, Cartin L, and Scholich K (2001) Molecular biological approaches to unravel adenylyl cyclase signaling and function. *Gene* 269:13–25.
- Pierre S, Eschenhagen T, Geisslinger G, and Scholich K (2009) Capturing adenylyl cyclases as potential drug targets. Nat Rev Drug Discov 8:321-335.
- Piggott LA, Bauman AL, Scott JD, and Dessauer CW (2008) The A-kinase anchoring protein Yotiao binds and regulates adenylyl cyclase in brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:13835-13840.
- Sadana R and Dessauer CW (2009) Physiological roles for G protein-regulated adenylyl cyclase isoforms: insights from knockout and overexpression studies. *Neurosignals* 17:5–22.
- Scholich K, Wittpoth C, Barbier AJ, Mullenix JB, and Patel TB (1997) Identification of an intramolecular interaction between small regions in type V adenylyl cyclase that influences stimulation of enzyme activity by Gsalpha. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:9602-9607.
- Singer WD, Miller RT, and Sternweis PC (1994) Purification and characterization of the alpha subunit of G13. J Biol Chem 269:19796–19802.
- Sunahara RK and Taussig R (2002) Isoforms of mammalian adenylyl cyclase: multiplicities of signaling. *Mol Interv* 2:168–184.
- Sunahara RK, Tesmer JJ, Gilman AG, and Sprang SR (1997) Crystal structure of the adenylyl cyclase activator Gsalpha. Science 278:1943–1947.
- Takeshita S, Kaji K, and Kudo A (2000) Identification and characterization of the new osteoclast progenitor with macrophage phenotypes being able to differentiate into mature osteoclasts. J Bone Miner Res 15:1477-1488.

Tang WJ and Gilman AG (1995) Construction of a soluble adenylyl cyclase activated

- Fang W5 and Griskolin. Science 268:1769–1772.
  Tesmer JJ, Sunahara RK, Gilman AG, and Sprang SR (1997) Crystal structure of the catalytic domains of adenylyl cyclase in a complex with Gsalpha.GTPgammaS. Science 278:1907–1916.
- Wu Z, Wong ST, and Storms DR (1993) Modification of the calcium and calmodulin sensitivity of the type I adenylyl cyclase by mutagenesis of its calmodulin binding domain. J Biol Chem **268**:23766–23768.

Yoshimura M, Pearson S, Kadota Y, and Gonzalez CE (2006) Identification of ethanol responsive domains of a denylyl cyclase. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 30:1824-1832.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Paul Sternweis, University of Texas-Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Blvd, Dallas, Texas, USA 74390-9041. E-mail: Paul.Sternweis@UTSouthwestern.edu