

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Pflugers Arch. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 March 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Pflugers Arch. 2013 March ; 465(3): 409–417. doi:10.1007/s00424-012-1208-6.

Manipulating signaling at will: chemically-inducible dimerization (CID) techniques resolve problems in cell biology

Robert DeRose, **Takafumi Miyamoto**, and **Takanari Inoue**

Department of Cell Biology, Center for Cell Dynamics, School of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

Abstract

Chemically-inducible dimerization (CID) is a powerful tool that has proved useful in solving numerous problems in cell biology and related fields. In this review, we focus on case studies where CID was able to provide insight into otherwise refractory problems. Of particular interest are the cases of lipid second messengers and small GTPases, where the "signaling paradox" (how a small pool of signaling molecules can generate a large range of responses) can be at least partly explained through results gleaned from CID experiments. We also discuss several recent technical advances that provide improved specificity in CID action, novel CID substrates that allow simultaneous orthogonal manipulation of multiple systems in one cell, and several applications that move beyond the traditional CID technique of moving a protein of interest to a specific spatiotemporal location.

Keywords

Chemically-inducible dimerization; Rapamycin; Signaling paradox

Introduction

Chemically-inducible dimerization (CID) systems have been used as tools in cell biology for nearly 20 years. CID systems have the advantage of allowing manipulation of target molecules in a very spatially and temporally confined locale within the cell, which allows determination of interactions and pathways that would otherwise be difficult to study. In particular, CID allows us to probe the "signaling paradox", that is, how a cell is able to generate a very large repertoire of signaling pathways using only a relatively limited set of proteins and other signaling molecules. The key to this paradox lies in the ability of cells to activate specific signaling molecules in a limited region of the cell and for a short amount of time; CID allows us to recapitulate such localized events in ways that would be difficult otherwise. In the following review, we will focus on several important papers that highlight this ability of CID to illuminate cellular signaling pathways (see Table 1 for a list of studies discussed in this paper). We will also discuss several recent technical advances in CID systems that allow even greater specificity or that allow multiple CID systems to operate within the same cell at once. Unfortunately, a complete history of the field is beyond the scope of this article (for thorough recent reviews, see Fegan et al. [5] and Putyrski and Schultz [21]).

[©] Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013 Correspondence to: Takanari Inoue. jctinoue@jhmi.edu.

A typical CID system is shown in Fig. 1. Initially, one component (in this case, FRB) is localized and anchored at a specific cellular localization, while the other protein component (FKBP) is fused to a protein of interest (POI) and is diffusing freely in the cytoplasm. Upon addition of the dimerizer (rapamycin), a ternary complex of FKBP–rapamycin–FRB is formed, which has the result of bringing the POI to the target site. This translocation is typically rapid, on a timescale of seconds to minutes, and in current systems is essentially irreversible. Through careful choice of POI and targets, a wide variety of systems may be studied, as will be apparent in the following cases.

Lipids as targets of CID

The lipids of the plasma membrane have proved to be an attractive target for manipulation by CID. Given the importance of lipids as second messengers, and the involvement of PM in such fundamental processes as endocytosis, receptor trafficking, and cell migration, its potential interest as a target is obvious. Conventional techniques, such as the use of engineered kinase and phosphatase enzymes, including constitutively active and dominant negative versions, has yielded significant insight, but these techniques have limitations in the amount of time required for induction of an effect (timescale of hours) compared to the short period (seconds) that lipid signaling takes, and the difficulty of extrapolating from global effects of lipid manipulation to the highly localized signaling events often seen natively. Fortunately, the ready accessibility of plasma membrane to cytoplasmic proteins has allowed the development of a large number of CID probes targeted to this region.

Researchers have used CID to look at effects of the important second messenger phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate $(PI(4,5)P_2)$. Suh et al. [24] targeted Inp54p and PI(4)P 5-kinase to the plasma membrane to deplete or enhance, respectively, plasma membrane concentration of PI $(4,5)P_2$. The potassium channel KCNQ was rapidly inactivated by depletion of $PI(4,5)P_2$, even in the absence of other second messengers, and could be rapidly activated by increasing $PI(4,5)P_2$. Importantly, when a PI 3-kinase was used to increase $PI(3,4,5)P_3$ levels, no effect was seen on current through KCNQ channels, demonstrating once again the specificity of phosphoinositide effects. Another key study was performed by Varnai et al. [28]. PI(4,5)P₂ was known to be a crucial molecule in the plasma membrane. PI $(4,5)P₂$ was known to regulate many important proteins such as ion channels, phospholipases C and D, and also as the source of the second messengers diacylglycerol and inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate. A type IV 5-phosphatase domain was fused to FKBP, while plasma membrane-targeted FRB served as the anchor. Prior to addition of rapamycin, the 5 phosphatase fusion is in the cytoplasm, far from its target substrate, and thus effectively inactive. Addition of rapamycin induced rapid translocation to the PM and dephosphorylation of $PI(4,5)P_2$. This had a number of effects, including loss of ATPinduced Ca^{2+} influx, decreased activity of TRPM8 channels, and loss of receptor-mediated endocytosis (assayed by transferrin receptor uptake). As the depletion of $PI(4,5)P_2$ is rapid, and specific at the plasma membrane, these effects can be presumed to be directly due to $PI(4,5)P_2$ depletion, in contrast to previous results relying on long-term disruption of $PI(4,5)P₂$ levels which could cause secondary effects and were thus potentially difficult to interpret. This set the stage for further studies to characterize the effects of rapid gain or loss of specific phosphoinositides.

A different 5-phosphatase was used for several subsequent studies of $PI(4,5)P_2$ depletion and its effect on endocytosis. Zoncu et al. [30] reported that rapid loss of $PI(4,5)P_2$ caused disappearance of clathrin-coated pits. A follow-up study by Abe et al. [1], in contrast, found only a modest effect on clathrin assembly, although they did see serious disruption in receptor-mediated endocytosis. Specifically, transferrin receptor recycling was decreased, as

Rahdar et al. [22] examined the plasma membrane binding of the important tumor suppressor gene PTEN. Depletion of $PI(4,5)P_2$ in the plasma membrane by Inp54p resulted in rapid loss of PTEN binding to the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane. Finally, Inp54p was used in conjunction with a pharmacologic inhibitor of PI 3-kinase to test the plasma membrane phospholipid-binding specificity of proteins with polybasic clusters. Both $PI(4,5)P_2$ and $PI(3,4,5)P_3$ depletion was required for loss of membrane targeting of proteins with polybasic motifs, demonstrating that both phosphoinositides are used in targeting of these proteins to the plasma membrane.

The plasma membrane is not the only target for specific phosphoinositide depletion. Fili et al. [6] studied Rab5a-positive endosomes. With Rab5a-2xFKBP as the anchor, FRBmyotubularin phosphatase 1 (MTM1) could be recruited specifically to endosomes, where the MTM1 degraded PI3P specifically. This loss of PI3P resulted in delays in endosome maturation, giving a tubularized structure, and prevented recycling of transferrin receptor. EGF receptor cycling was delayed but not entirely lost. The crucial importance of specific phosphoinositides was thus shown.

Small GTPases as targets of CID

Small GTPase molecules have proved another major class of cellular molecules whose interactions can be teased apart by careful use of CID systems, in addition to such standard techniques as dominant negative and constitutively active mutants or pharmacological inhibition. One early such study, by Castellano et al. [3], examined the GTPase Rac1 in a model of phagocytosis. A cell-surface receptor, CD25, was fused to FKBP and introduced into cells along with FRB-tagged constitutively active Rac1. Latex beads were used to cluster the CD25 receptors, and thus lead to concentrated patches of Rac1 upon rapamycin stimulation. Recruitment of the active Rac1 caused phagocytosis of the CD25-bound latex beads in an actin-dependent fashion.

The involvement of the small GTPase HRas in neuronal development was also studied using CID by Fivaz et al. [7]. During neuronal development, polarity develops via a process of symmetry breaking resulting in one neurite being selected to grow rapidly to form the axon. The small GTPase HRas was known to activate PI3K in the developing neuron, and the hypothesis was formed that the two form a positive feedback loop. CID was used to target a cytosolic PI3K to the plasma membrane, which resulted in increased HRas activation (as seen by increase in the GTP-bound form). The precise mechanism of this feedback was not determined, although it is possible that the enhanced production of $PI(3,4,5)P_3$ at the plasma membrane as a result of PI3K activity may serve as a signal to HRas.

CID also proved useful in testing a positive feedback model of the polarization of migrating neutrophils [10]. According to the model, PI3K (activated by a chemoattractant), acting through a downstream effector Rac (a small GTPase), induces actin remodeling; the actin remodeling then feeds back to the PI3K, creating a positive feedback loop. CID was used to bring PI3K to the plasma membrane in the absence of chemoattractant, and neutrophils showed rapid $PI(3,4,5)P_3$ production, actin remodeling, and polarization. When Rac was directly activated, however (using the guanine nucleotide exchange factor Tiam1), there was no PI(3,4,5)P3 production or polarization observed. This, combined with pharmacologic data, suggested the existence of some other input besides chemoattractant signaling through G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) is necessary, in what the authors compared to an ANDgate. These CID results have supported the compartmentalization model of GTPase signaling, whereby the compartment- and time-specific activation of GTPases is key to their

signaling specificity [13]. For example, activation of Ras specifically at the plasma membrane can induce membrane ruffling, while activation of Ras at the Golgi has no effect on cell morphology [13]. This compartmentalization is in agreement with earlier models of Ras and MAPK signaling pathways [17, 19].

Other applications

An interesting early use of CID by Graef et al. [8] was to study requirements of membrane recruitment and orientation in activation of the non-receptor tyrosine kinase ZAP70. Recruitment of ZAP70 to the plasma membrane led to its phosphorylation and the activation of Ras/MAPK and Ca^{2+}/c alcineurin signaling. A series of different synthetic dimerizers (rapalogs) was also used in bringing ZAP70 to the membrane that would provide different conformations and different degrees of rotational freedom for the ZAP70 relative to the plasma membrane. It was found that merely bringing ZAP70 to the PM was not in itself sufficient to induce its activity. Rapamycin, which locks FKBP-FRB into a rigid structure, did not lead to activation of ZAP70 although it did bring it to the plasma membrane; only those rapalogs that allowed greater conformational freedom led to activation. Additionally, this study was the first report that the SH2 domain was involved only in membrane binding and not kinase activity, as the entire SH2 domain could be replaced with the CID system without affecting kinase activity.

Membrane recruitment of another kinase, Akt, was performed by Li et al. [14] to uncover the importance of membrane localization in the activation of this important anti-apoptotic factor. The PH domain of Akt was replaced with FKBP, which could then be recruited to a myristoylated FRB. Recruitment of the recombinant Akt to plasma membrane caused its phosphorylation and the activation of its downstream target NF-κB. The true functional ability of this reconstituted system was proved by exposing Jurkat cells with the induced Akt to apoptotic factors including staurosporine, anti-Fas antibody, and the DNA damage induced by etoposide.

Another early study was done by Terrillon and Bouvier [25], who studied the role of βarrestin2 in GPCR signaling. It was known that β-arrestin could associate with activated GPCRs, and that these β-arrestin-bound receptors were then internalized, but it was not clear whether β-arrestin bonding was sufficient to induce receptor uptake, or whether some additional signal was sent by the active (ligand-bound) receptor. By using CID, Terrillon and Bouvier were able to force β-arrestin to associate with two different vasopressin receptors, V2R or V1aR. Association of β-arrestin to the receptors was sufficient to induce their endocytosis in the absence of ligand, demonstrating that β-arrestin was acting as a signaling molecule for receptor uptake. Interestingly, when FRB-β-arrestin2 was recruited directly to PM via a myristoylated FKBP, this was sufficient to induce signaling leading to ERK1/2 phosphorylation, even without the involvement of GPCR receptors.

Stromal interaction molecule 1 (STIM1) is a Ca^{2+} sensor in the ER that plays an important role in Ca^{2+} release at ER-plasma membrane junctions. Luik et al. [16] used CID to induce oligomerization of STIM1 in the absence of Ca^{2+} signals. Oligomerization of STIM1 led to STIM1 accumulation at ER-plasma membrane junctions, and this oligomerization was sufficient to drive Ca^{2+} entry through CRAC channels.

Another example of localization driving function was shown by Bingol et al. [2]. The protein kinase CaMKIIα is abundant in the postsynaptic density of neurons, and was known to be important in synaptic plasticity. Its extremely high concentration in dendritic shafts led to speculation that it might be playing a scaffolding role. Using PSD95 as the anchor in a CID system, CaMKIIα was induced to translocate to postsynaptic sites. This localization of CaMKIIα was shown to drive recruitment of proteasomes to the postsynaptic density. This

finding is consistent with the involvement of CaMKIIα in synaptic plasticity, as proteasomes are expected to be present at a site of extensive cellular remodeling, but this requirement would have been difficult to determine directly without the use of CID.

New technologies

Photocaging of the dimerizer in a CID system potentially offers greater selection in the ability to induce dimerization in very specific regions of a cell, as the photoactivating light can be focused on only a small area of the target cell. Recently, two different groups have published different approaches to the same problem. Karginov et al. [12] modified rapamycin at the C40 hydroxyl position with a bulky acyl group to create pRap (Fig. 2a). However, pRap was able to induce dimerization between FKBP and FRB; a modified version of FKBP (iFKBP) was needed to discriminate between caged (pRap) and uncaged (Rap) dimerizer. Treatment of cells with pRap did not induce dimerization of iFKBP and FRB; irradiation with UV light at 365 nm for 1 min was sufficient to induce robust dimerization, as assayed by IP pulldowns, translocation of fluorescent protein-labeled FRB, and activation of kinase activity. While the ability to induce dimerization on only a small subcellular region was not demonstrated in this paper, and the requirement to replace FKBP with iFKBP in existing constructs may slightly hinder further applications, this remains an exciting technique.

A different strategy was used by Umeda et al. [27]. Modification of rapamycin at the C40 group was again performed, but this time, the caging group includes biotin, which has an extremely tight binding affinity for the protein streptavidin (Fig. 2b). The caged rapamycin– biotin–streptavidin conjugate (cRb-A) is excluded from entry into cells due to the bulky streptavidin protein, which does not cross the plasma membrane. UV irradiation releases the biotin–streptavidin conjugate, leaving free rapamycin which can then freely diffuse into the cell to induce CID. Importantly, highly localized spatial control was demonstrated: irradiation of a small portion of a cell was able to induce localization of a Tiam1 fusion protein (as assayed by induction of membrane ruffling) in only the irradiated quadrant of the cell, with very little spill-over into adjacent regions of the cell. This high specificity is a valuable asset, though unfortunately, due to the nature of the caging moiety, it is only useful if the protein of interest is active at or very near the plasma membrane.

It would be useful to have multiple, orthogonal dimerization systems available that could potentially be used in the same cell simultaneously to generate complex outputs. Two different groups have recently published novel CID systems that rely on plant hormones and their cognate binding proteins. Liang et al. [15] utilized the plant hormone S-(+)-abscisic acid (ABA) and its binding proteins PYL1 and ABI1 (Fig. 2c). When utilized in a manner equivalent to rapamycin and FKBP-FRB, the ABA system was able to induce protein localization in mammalian cells. The ABA system was shown to be orthogonal to the rapamycin system, as both could be used simultaneously in the same cells without interference. Miyamoto et al. [18] utilized a different phytohormone, gibberellic acid 3 (GA_3) and its cognate binding proteins GAI and GID1 (Fig. 2d). The GA_3 system was also fully orthogonal to rapamycin in mammalian cells; this was exploited to produce simple proof-of-concept AND and OR logic gates using GA₃ and rapamycin as inputs. Combination of one of the plant hormone-derived CID systems with rapamycin CID should allow the ability to probe multiple portions of signal transduction pathways simultaneously.

One intriguing application of CID is the selective activation of a protein kinase via an inserted CID site (Fig. 3a). Karginov et al. [11] demonstrated that insertion of iFKBP into the catalytic domain of focal adhesion kinase causes the resultant protein to be catalytically inactive. Rapamycin-induced dimerization with FRB, however, causes a conformational

change that restores catalytic activity without affecting other properties of the protein. This ability to specifically activate a kinase was shown to be generalizable when insertions of iFKBP in Src and p38 behaved similarly. This may be a useful strategy for induction of catalytic activity in any desired kinase.

One application of CID is to cross-link different membrane-bound organelles via FRB and FKBP that are tethered specifically to different organelles in the same cell (Fig. 3b, c) [13]. As this cross-linking requires that the organelles in question must already come into very close proximity, this is useful for studying cases where membranes from different organelles are naturally tethered already. This was utilized by Csordas et al. [4] to study the ER– mitochondrial junction, which is important for recruitment of Ca^{2+} stores from ER into mitochondrial matrix. A similar strategy was also used [29] to examine the ER–plasma membrane junction during Ca^{2+} release. Variation of linker sizes revealed an unsuspected requirement for some space between the ER protein STIM1 and the plasma membrane Ca^{2+} channel Orai1, implying that a previously unknown protein complex must bridge these two components. This result would have been difficult to achieve without the use of a CID to stabilize the relatively transient interaction between the two membranes.

Many proteins are only active at a specific cellular location, and can thus be inactivated by moving them to a different location within the cell. Haruki et al. [9] developed a CID system they dubbed the "Anchor-Away" technique to rapidly inactivate nuclear proteins (Fig. 3d). First, they used FKBP-fused plasma membrane proteins as the "anchor" to verify that FRBtagged proteins that shuttle between nucleus and cytoplasm could be sequestered by rapamycin addition. Next, taking advantage of the continuous flow of ribosomal proteins into and out of the nucleus, they fused FKBP to a ribosomal protein (the "anchor") and FRB to various nuclear proteins of interest. Addition of rapamycin would be expected to deplete the targeted gene from the nucleus, which they confirmed for over 40 different target genes involved in transcription, nuclear transport, and chromosome structure. Work by Robinson et al. [23] utilized mitochondrial-targeted FRB as the anchor and adaptor protein (AP) complex proteins from clathrin-coated vesicles as the target. The ability to rapidly (seconds to minutes time-scale) deplete AP-1 was used to show that AP-1 is involved in retrograde transport only, a point that had been unclear in previous studies relying on much more slowly-acting knockdown effects.

Unfortunately, when the POI in a CID system is an enzyme that is active at a particular membrane, there is a problem of background activity by the enzyme prior to localization to the target site. This is particularly likely when the POI is floating free in the cytoplasm and can thus come transiently in contact with the target membrane prior to the induction of CID. One recent technique resolves this problem by initially sequestering the POI to one organelle (specifically, the Golgi apparatus) and then upon addition of dimerizer sending the POI to its target at the plasma membrane (Fig. 3e) [20]. As the initial localization to the outer surface of the Golgi membrane is via binding of an FAPP (PH) domain to PI(4)P, which is relatively weak relative to the FRB-rapamycin-FKBP interaction, the POI is targeted to the plasma membrane without inducing cross-linking of plasma membrane and Golgi. Further developments should increase the repertoire of initial sequestration sites and final targets.

One final new technique, dubbed "liberation", is designed to get around the limitation of simply targeting phosphatases or kinases to increase or decrease specific membrane lipid concentrations (Fig. 3f) [26]. Rapid generation of $PI(4,5)P_2$ at the plasma membrane can be achieved by using CID to target a PI(4)P 5-kinase to the plasma membrane; however, in addition to increasing $PI(4,5)P_2$ levels, this inevitably also depletes the pool of $PI(4)P$, which may have unintended consequences. In the "liberation" technique, a PH domain from PLC δ is fused to FKBP. The PH domain specifically binds to PM PI(4,5)P₂, essentially

sequestering it from accessibility to other interacting proteins. An FRB is co-expressed that is mitochondria-anchored. Addition of rapamycin rapidly induces translocation of the PLCδ domain to mitochondria, "liberating" the $PI(4,5)P_2$ at the plasma membrane without affecting the level of PI(4)P or other metabolites. Indeed, while increased $PI(4,5)P_2$ synthesis (and concomitant PI(4)P loss) causes formation of actin comets, $PI(4,5)P_2$ liberation (without affecting PI(4)P level) induces membrane ruffling but no actin comet formation. These two different signaling pathways would have remained entangled without the use of this new technology to separate the effects of two different lipid second messengers.

Perspectives

CID is a robust tool for studying proteins in a highly subcellular localization specific fashion and in a highly temporally-limited manner (on a timescale of seconds). This has allowed us to get a handle on the "signaling paradox" to understand how cells are able to generate a large variety of signals using a relatively small number of fundamental signaling molecules. While CID has been most spectacularly used to study signaling pathways, particularly those involving membrane lipids and small GTPases, it has also proved useful in many other systems. Recent advances allow even greater specificity of localization or activation and allow the control of orthogonal signals at the same time. CID is expected to remain a major tool in the cell biologist's toolbox for many years to come. It is hoped that readers will be inspired to consider how CID may be useful in their own research.

Acknowledgments

The authors' research was supported by NIH grants GM092930 to T.I. We regret that due to length considerations, we could not discuss many worthwhile papers that have appeared in the literature over the years.

References

- 1. Abe N, Inoue T, Galvez T, Klein L, Meyer T. Dissecting the role of PtdIns(4,5)P2 in endocytosis and recycling of the transferring receptor. J Cell Sci. 2008; 121:1488–1494. [PubMed: 18411250]
- 2. Bingol B, Wang CF, Arnott D, Cheng D, Peng J, Sheng M. Autophosphorylated CaMKIIα acts as a scaffold to recruit proteasomes to dendritic spines. Cell. 2010; 140:567–578. [PubMed: 20178748]
- 3. Castellano F, Montcourrier P, Chavrier P. Membrane recruitment of Rac1 triggers phagocytosis. J Cell Sci. 2000; 113:2955–2961. [PubMed: 10934035]
- 4. Csordas G, Varnai P, Golenar T, Roy S, Purkins G, Schneider TG, Balla T, Hajnoczky G. Imaging interorganelle contacts and local calcium dynamics at the ER-mitochondrial interface. Mol Cell. 2010; 39:121–132. [PubMed: 20603080]
- 5. Fegan A, White B, Carlson JCT, Wagner CR. Chemically controlled protein assembly: techniques and applications. Chem Rev. 2010; 110:3315–3336. [PubMed: 20353181]
- 6. Fili N, Calleja V, Woscholski R, Parker PJ, Larjani B. Compartmental signal modulation: endosomal phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate controls endosome morphology and selective cargo sorting. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA. 2006; 103:15473–15478. [PubMed: 17030795]
- 7. Fivaz M, Bandara S, Inoue T, Meyer T. Robust neuronal symmetry breaking by Ras-triggered local positive feedback. Curr Biol. 2008; 18:44–50. [PubMed: 18158244]
- 8. Graef IA, Holsinger LJ, Diver S, Schreiber SL, Crabtree GR. Proximity and orientation underlie signaling by the non-receptor tyrosine kinase ZAP70. EMBO J. 1997; 16:5618–5628. [PubMed: 9312021]
- 9. Haruki H, Nishikawa J, Laemmli UK. The anchor-away technique: rapid, conditional establishment of yeast mutant phenotypes. Mol Cell. 2008; 31:925–932. [PubMed: 18922474]
- 10. Inoue T, Meyer T. Synthetic activation of endogenous PI3K and Rac identifies an AND-gate switch for cell polarization and migration. PLoS One. 2008; 3(8):e3068. [PubMed: 18728784]

- 11. Karginov AV, Ding F, Kota P, Dokholyan NV, Hahn KM. Engineered allosteric activation of kinases in living cells. Nat Biotechnol. 2010; 28:743–747. [PubMed: 20581846]
- 12. Karginov AV, Zou Y, Shirvanyants D, Kota P, Dokholyan NV, Young DD, Hahn KM, Deiters A. Light regulation of protein dimerization and kinase activity in living cells using photocaged rapamycin and engineered FKBP. J Am Chem Soc. 2011; 133:420–423. [PubMed: 21162531]
- 13. Komatsu T, Kukelyansky I, McCaffrey JM, Ueno T, Varela LC, Inoue T. Nat Method. 2010; 7:206–208.
- 14. Li B, Desai SA, MacCokle-Chosnek RA, Fan L, Spencer DM. A novel conditional Akt "survival switch" reversibly protects cells from apoptosis. Gene Ther. 2002; 9:233–244. [PubMed: 11896462]
- 15. Liang FS, Ho WQ, Crabtree GR. Engineering the ABA plant stress pathway for regulation of induced proximity. Sci Signal. 2011; 4(164):rs2. [PubMed: 21406691]
- 16. Luik RM, Wang B, Prakriya M, Wu MM, Lewis RS. Oligomerization of STIM1 couples ER calcium depletion to CRAC channel activation. Nature. 2008; 454:538–542. [PubMed: 18596693]
- 17. Marshall CJ. Specificity of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling: transient versus sustained extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation. Cell. 1995; 80:179–185. [PubMed: 7834738]
- 18. Miyamoto T, DeRose R, Suarez A, Ueno T, Chen M, Sun T, Wolfgang MJ, Mukherjee C, Meyers DJ, Inoue T. Rapid and orthogonal logic gating with a gibberellin-induced dimerization system. Nat Chem Biol. 2012; 8:465–470. [PubMed: 22446836]
- 19. Mor A, Philips MR. Compartmentalized Ras/MAPK signaling. Annu Rev Immunol. 2006; 24:771– 800. [PubMed: 16551266]
- 20. Phua SC, Pohlmeyer C, Inoue T. Rapidly relocating molecules between organelles to manipulate small GTPase activity. ACS Chem Biol. 2012 doi:10.1021/cb300280k.
- 21. Putyrski M, Schultz C. Protein translocation as a tool: the current rapamycin story. FEBS Lett. 2012 doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2012.04.061.
- 22. Rahdar M, Inoue T, Meyer T, Zhang J, Vazquez F, Devreotes PN. A phosphorylation-dependent intramolecular interaction regulates the membrane association and activity of the tumor suppressor PTEN. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA. 2009; 106:480–485. [PubMed: 19114656]
- 23. Robinson MS, Sahlender DA, Foster SD. Rapid inactivation of proteins by rapamycin-induced rerouting to mitochondria. Dev Cell. 2010; 18:324–331. [PubMed: 20159602]
- 24. Suh BC, Inoue T, Meyer T, Hille B. Rapid chemically induced changes of PtdIns(4,5)P2 gate KCNQ ion channels. Science. 2006; 314:1454–1457. [PubMed: 16990515]
- 25. Terrillon S, Bouvier M. Receptor activity-independent recruitment of βarrestin2 reveals specific signaling modes. EMBO J. 2004; 23:3950–3961. [PubMed: 15385966]
- 26. Ueno T, Falkenburger BH, Pohlmeyer C, Inoue T. Triggering actin comets versus membrane ruffles: distinctive effects of phosphoinositides on actin reorganization. Sci Signal. 2011; 4(203):ra87. [PubMed: 22169478]
- 27. Umeda N, Ueno T, Pohlmeyer C, Nagano T, Inoue T. A photocleavable rapamycin conjugate for spatiotemporal control of small GTPase activity. J Am Chem Soc. 2011; 133:12–14. [PubMed: 21142151]
- 28. Varnai P, Thyagarajan B, Rohacs T, Balla T. Rapidly inducible changes in phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate levels influence multiple regulatory functions of the lipid in intact living cells. J Cell Biol. 2006; 175:377–382. [PubMed: 17088424]
- 29. Varnai P, Toth B, Toth DJ, Hunyady L, Balla T. Visualization and manipulation of plasma membrane-endoplasmic reticulum contact sites indicates the presence of additional molecular components within the STIM1-Orai1 complex. J Biol Chem. 2007; 282:29678–29690. [PubMed: 17684017]
- 30. Zoncu R, Perera RM, Sebastian R, Nakatsu F, Chen H, Balla T, Ayala G, Toomre D, De Camilli PV. Loss of endocytic clathrin-coated pits upon acute depletion of phosphatidylinositol 4,5 bisphosphate. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA. 2007; 104:3793–3798. [PubMed: 17360432]

DeRose et al. Page 9

Fig. 1.

Schematic illustration of a typical CID experiment. Initially, one protein component (in this case, FRB) is anchored at a target site (in this case plasma membrane), while the other protein component (*FKBP*) is fused to a protein of interest (*POI*). In the absence of dimerizer, the POI-FKBP fusion protein diffuses freely in the cytoplasm. Upon addition of the dimerizer rapamycin, a ternary complex is formed of FKBP–rapamycin–FRB, which brings the POI to the target site

Fig. 2.

Variations on the CID theme: caged rapamycin and plant hormone CID systems. **a** Direct UV photocaging: the caged rapamycin derivative pRap is unable to induce FKBP-FRB dimerization. Activation by UV light releases rapamycin, which can then induce dimerization as normal. **b** Indirect UV photocaging: the cRb-A complex is unable to cross the cell membrane, and thus unable to interact with intracellular FRB or FKBP proteins. Activation by UV light releases HE-Rapa, which is able to cross the plasma membrane and induce FRB-FKBP dimerization. **c** Plant hormone CID: the plant hormone abscisic acid (ABA) is able to induce complex formation between the ABI and PYL protein moieties, in a manner analogous to rapamycin-induced dimerization of FRB and FKBP. **d** Plant hormone CID: The gibberellic acid derivative GA_3 -AM, upon crossing the plasma membrane, is rapidly cleaved by cytosolic esterases to release GA3, which can induce complex formation between GID1 and GAI proteins

Fig. 3.

Further variations on the CID theme. **a** Kinase activation: Insertion of the iFKBP into the kinase domain of a protein can cause the protein to be catalytically inactive. Binding of the iFKBP to rapamycin and FRB induces a conformational change that restores kinase activity. **b** and **c** Membrane cross-linking: FRB and FKBP proteins are anchored to different cellular membranes. Addition of rapamycin leads to cross-linking of the membranes. **d** "Anchor-Away" technique: The POI is initially at its active location. Addition of rapamycin induces translocation to a different domain where is sequestered and inactive. **e** Inactive reservoir: POI is initially sequestered at a location where it is inactive (in this case the Golgi) rather than floating freely in the cytoplasm where it can have background activity. Addition of dimerizer induces translocation to the active site without membrane cross-linking. **f** Lipid synthesis versus lipid liberation: standard targeting of a PI(4) 5-kinase to plasma membrane induces formation of $PI(4,5)P_2$, but also depletes the plasma membrane supply of $PI(4)P$. If instead the $PI(4,5)P_2$ at the plasma membrane is initially "hidden" with a PH domain, which is then removed by sequestration to the mitochondrial membrane, the concentration of available $PI(4,5)P_2$ at the plasma membrane is suddenly increased without affecting concentrations of other membrane lipids

Table 1

CID case studies resolving biological problems

