

Akihiro Hino¹, Masafumi Yano^{1*}, Takayoshi Kato¹, Masakazu Fukuda¹, Takeshi Suetomi¹, Makoto Ono¹, Wakako Murakami¹, Takehisa Susa¹, Shinichi Okuda¹, Masahiro Doi¹, Shigeki Kobayashi¹, Takeshi Yamamoto¹, Noritaka Koseki², Hiroyuki Kyushiki², Noriaki Ikemoto^{3,4}, and Masunori Matsuzaki¹

¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine and Clinical Science, Yamaguchi University Graduate School of Medicine, 1-1-1 Minamikogushi, Ube, Yamaguchi 755-8505, Japan; ²First Institute of New Drug Discovery, Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, 463-10 Kagasuno Kawauchi-cho, Tokushima 771-0192, Japan; ³Boston Biomedical Research Institute, Watertown, MA 02472, USA; and ⁴Department of Neurology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Received 25 January 2012; revised 7 August 2012; accepted 8 August 2012; online publish-ahead-of-print 14 August 2012

Time for primary review: 43 days

1. Introduction

The cardiac Ca^{2+} release channel of the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR), referred to as the ryanodine receptor (RyR2), is a huge scaffolding protein that is known to release a large amount of Ca^{2+} synchronously, producing the transient Ca^{2+} elevations required for muscle contraction on a beat-to-beat basis.¹ In heart failure (HF), protein kinase A-dependent hyperphosphorylation and subsequent dissociation of RyR[2](#page-9-0)-bound FKBP12.6,^{2,[3](#page-10-0)} as well as Ca^{2+}/cal calmodulin (CaM)- dependent protein kinase-II (CaMKII) activation, result in the leakage of Ca^{2+} through RyR2.^{[4,5](#page-10-0)} We have reported that in pacing-induced HF, the interaction between the N-terminal domain (aa 1–600) and the central domain (aa 2000 –2500) of RyR2, which acts as an implicit on/off switch (designated as the domain switch) for channel opening and closing, is aberrantly weak, resulting in Ca^{2+} leakage.⁶ We further demonstrated that oxidative stress is responsible for the defective inter-domain interaction and resultant Ca^{2+} leakage in failing hearts^{[7](#page-10-0)} and that either K201 or dantrolene, which bind the 2114–2149 and

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel: +81 836 22 2248; fax: +81 836 22 2246, Email: yanoma@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

Published on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. All rights reserved. © The Author 2012. For permissions please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

601 –620 regions of RyR2, respectively, can correct the configuration of the domain switch from the aberrant unzipped state to a normal zipped state, thus restoring normal inter-domain interaction and correcting diastolic Ca^{2+} leakage.^{[8](#page-10-0),[9](#page-10-0)}

CaM is one of the accessory proteins of RyR2. One molecule of CaM binds to one subunit of RyR2 in the normal state.¹⁰ CaM binds to the $3583 - 3603$ region of RyR2.^{[11](#page-10-0)} Meissner and colleagues^{[12](#page-10-0)} showed that RyR2-bound CaM was critical for normal muscle function and that CaM dissociation was involved in the pathogenesis of cardiac disorders. They generated a mouse with 3 amino acid substitutions in the CaM-binding domain (CaMBD) of RyR2 that abrogated the CaM binding to RyR2 and found that the mutant mouse developed hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with severely impaired contractile function and early death.^{[12](#page-10-0)}

In agreement with this report, we previously showed that RyR2 bound CaM, which acts essentially as an inhibitory modulator of channel gating over a wide $\lceil Ca^{2+} \rceil$ range, dissociates from RyR2 as a result of domain unzipping of the domain switch.^{13,14} Namely, the binding of CaM to RyR2 was markedly reduced in failing hearts^{[13](#page-10-0)} or the catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT)-type knock-in mouse model $(R2474S)^{14}$ $(R2474S)^{14}$ $(R2474S)^{14}$ causing aberrant Ca^{2+} release and leading to HF and lethal arrhythmia. Thus, these findings suggest that aberrant unzipping of the domain switch in cardiac disorder, such as HF and CPVT, causes weakened CaM binding to the RyR2, which in turn causes leaky Ca^{2+} channels.

The CaMBD (3614– 3643) of RyR1 has been reported to interact with the CaM-like domain (CaMLD: 4064-4210) of RyR1.^{[15](#page-10-0)} The aa 4020–4166 segment of RyR2 is homologous to the CaMLD of RyR1. Recently, Ikemoto and colleagues^{[16](#page-10-0)} showed that a Ca^{2+} dependent tight interaction between the CaMBD and the CaMLD (formation of an 'activation link') activates RyR channels. More recently, they demonstrated that CaM acts as a molecular 'wedge' to inhibit excessively tight formation of the CaMBD/CaMLD activation link in endothelin-1 (ET-1)-treated neonatal cardiomyocytes and thus prevents the hypertrophy that would otherwise develop in these cells.¹⁷ These results suggest that the excessively tight interaction between the CaMBD and the CaMLD results in the reduced CaM binding and an aberrant activation of the channel and diastolic Ca^{2+} leakage as seen in both HF and CPVT. Then, blockade of this excessively tight formation of the activation link will stabilize the channel in its closed state and will correct the channel leakage problem.

In the present study, we further investigated the underlying mechanism by which CaM dissociates from RyR2 in failing hearts and whether correction of the aberrant CaM –RyR2 interaction restores normal channel gating in failing RyR2.

2. Methods

For a detailed description, see also the expanded Materials and methods section in [Supplementary material online](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1).

2.1 Animal model

HF was induced in beagle dogs weighing 10-13 kg by continuous application of rapid ventricular pacing at 250 b.p.m. using an externally programmed miniature pacemaker (Taisho Biomed Instruments Co., Ltd) for 28 days, as described previously.^{[3](#page-10-0)} Dogs were deeply anaesthetized before implantation of pacemaker or removal of hearts. At the end of the study, each animal was deeply anaesthetized and euthanized with an isoflurane and intravenous injection of sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg). This study conformed to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 85-23, revised 1996). This study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Yamaguchi University School of Medicine, and the care of the animals and the protocols used were in accordance with the guidelines laid down by the Animal Ethics Committee of Yamaguchi University School of Medicine.

2.2 Expression and purification of CaM and Gly-Ser-His-CaM

Human CaM cDNA was PCR amplified with oligonucleotide primers designated to include two restriction enzyme sites (the forward primer 5′ -ACACAGGGGATCCCATATGGCTGAC-3′ and the reverse primer 5′ -CAAGCTTGGCTCGAGTCACTTTGC-3′). The cDNA was inserted into a pGEX4T-1 vector. The expression vector was transformed into $DH5\alpha$ Escherichia coli (Nippongene). The strain was preincubated with Lysogeny Broth (LB) ampicillin for 16 h at 30° C followed by 2 h incubation with 10 times the volumes of LB ampicillin at 37° C.

2.3 Preparation of SR vesicles

SR vesicles were prepared from dog left ventricle (LV) muscle as previous-ly described^{[3](#page-10-0)} with a slight modification. Briefly, LV homogenate was centrifuged at 5500 g and the resultant supernatant fraction was purified by further extensive centrifugation (12 000 g followed by three cycles at 143 000 g). The final protein concentration was $10-20$ mg protein/mL.

2.4 Peptides and peptide synthesis

The following RyR2 domain peptides were used: DPc10, which harbours a CPVT-type mutation site (R2474S), and DP4090– 4123, both of which have been described previously.^{6,18}

DPc10 (DP2460-2495): 2460-GFCPDHKAAMVLFLDRVYGIEVQDFLLH LLEVGFLP-2495 DP4090-4123: 4090-PAKDIGFNVAVLLTNLSEHMPNDTRLQTFLELAE-4123

Peptides were synthesized with an Applied Biosystems model 431A synthesizer using Fmoc (N-(9-fluorenyl)methoxycarbonyl) as the α -amino protecting group, as described previously.^{[19](#page-10-0)} Boldface italic letter means the position of CPVT-type mutation site.

2.5 Site-directed fluorescent labelling of the DPc10-binding site in RyR2

Specific fluorescent labelling of RyR2 was performed with the fluorescent conformational probe sulfosuccinimidyl-2-(7-azido-4-methylcoumarin-3-acetamido)ethyl-1,3′ -dithiopropionate (SAED, Pierce) with DPc10 as a site-specific carrier, as described previously.^{[6,8](#page-10-0)}

2.6 Preparation of isolated cardiomyocytes, measurement of cell shortening, and Ca^{2+} sparks

Cardiomyocytes were isolated from the canine LV as described previously.⁶ Briefly, a wedge of LV free wall was perfused with a collagenase-containing buffer solution and rod-shaped adult canine cardiomyocytes were prepared.

 $Ca²⁺$ sparks were measured in saponin-permeabilized cardiomyocytes using Fluo-3 with a laser-scanning confocal microscope (LSM-510, Carl Zeiss).^{[13](#page-10-0)} Ca²⁺ spark images were obtained in the presence of the CaMKII inhibitor KN-93 (1 µmol/L). Measurements of myocyte sarcomere shortening and intracellular Ca^{2+} were performed using fura-2 AM with cells stimulated by a field electric stimulator (IonOptix, MA, USA) by 0.5 Hz. Data were analysed with SparkMaster, an automated ana-lysis program that enables rapid and reliable spark analysis.^{[20](#page-10-0)}

2.7 Binding of CaM to RyR2

We assessed the binding of CaM to RyR2 using a photoreactive crosslinker, sulfosuccinimidyl-6-[4′ -azido-2′ -nitrophenylamino]hexanoate (Sulfo-SANPAH, Pierce), as described previously.¹³ To assess direct binding ability of CaM to the RyR2 in cardiomyocytes, we added the exogenous CaM, fluorescently labelled with Alexa Fluor 633 (Molecular Probes, OR, USA), to the saponin-permeabilized cardiomyocytes. To determine the binding of endogenous CaM to the RyR2 in intact cardiomyocytes, we placed isolated cardiomyocytes on glass-based dishes, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline for 10 min, followed by immunostaining with a monoclonal mouse anti-CaM antibody and a polyclonal rabbit anti-RyR antibody.

2.8 Immunoblot analysis

Immunoblot analyses for cytological detection of CaM and RyR2 were performed using an anti-CaM antibody (Millipore, CA, USA), an anti-RyR antibody [mouse monoclonal C3-33 (Sigma) or rabbit polyclonal C-terminal Ab4963 (Sigma)], and an antibody against the RyR2 CaMbinding domain (3583 – 3603) (Sigma).

2.9 Measurement of the binding of exogenous CaM to RyR2 in saponin-permeabilized cardiomyocytes

Exogenous CaM was fluorescently labelled with Alexa Fluor 633 (Molecu-lar Probes) as previously described^{[13](#page-10-0)} and added to saponin-permeabilized normal and failing cardiomyocytes under the same conditions described for the Ca^{2+} spark measurements. The subcellular distribution of CaM was then quantified by densitometric measurement of CaM-Alexa fluorescence.

2.10 Measurement of the binding of endogenous CaM to RyR2 in intact cardiomyocytes

Isolated cardiomyocytes were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized in 0.5% Triton X-100 and 1% bovine serum albumin. Co-localization of endogenous CaM and RyR2 was then detected by immunofluorescence.

2.11 Statistics

Unpaired t-tests were used for statistical comparison of data obtained under two different sets of conditions. Data are expressed as means \pm standard deviations (SD). A P-value of $<$ 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1 Characteristics of normal and failing heart and cardiomyocytes

After 4 weeks, pacing LV chamber size was dilated and fractional shortening was reduced (see [Supplementary material online,](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1) Table [S1](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1)). Both the width and the length of the isolated failing cardiomyocytes were larger than those of normal cardiomyocytes (see [Supple](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1)[mentary material online,](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1) Table S2). The number of cells yielded from one wedge of the heart was fewer in failing hearts $[n = 4: 155 \pm 28$ (\times 10³), P < 0.05] than in normal hearts [n = 4: 213 \pm 27 (\times 10³)].

3.2 Wild-type CaM and Gly-Ser-His-CaM enhance CaMKII activity to similar extents

We tested the hypothesis (cf. Section 1) that restoring the CaMbinding stabilizes the RyR2 channel, inhibits Ca^{2+} leakage, and corrects myocyte dysfunction in failing hearts. For this purpose, we examined whether Gly-Ser-His-CaM (GSH-CaM), which was previously reported to show higher binding affinity than wild-type CaM (WT-CaM) for $RyR1²¹$ $RyR1²¹$ $RyR1²¹$ also shows higher binding affinity for $RyR2$ and thereby corrects the defects in RyR2 function in failing hearts.

There are two major pathways by which CaM regulates RyR2 channel function. The first is the inhibition of the channel by a direct interaction between CaM and RyR2, which is the main subject of the present study (cf. Section 1). The other is the activation of the channel mediated by Ca^{2+}/CaM activation of CaMKII-dependent phosphorylation of RyR2 at Ser281[4](#page-10-0).⁴ In order to compare the specific effects of direct binding of GSH-CaM and WT-CaM on RyR2 channel inhibition, we inhibited CaMKII activity in cardiomyocytes with the CaMKII inhibitor KN-93 $(1 \mu \text{mol/L})$ in the present study.

We also performed the assays in the absence of the inhibitor KN-93 to determine whether there is any qualitative difference in the effects of CaM and GSH-CaM on CaMKII-mediated RyR2 activation. As shown in [Supplementary material online,](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1) Figure S1, the concentration dependence of CaMKII activation was indistinguishable between WT-CaM and GSH-CaM. This suggests that WT-CaM and GSH-CaM may have essentially the same affinity for CaMKII.

3.3 The affinity of GSH-CaM for RyR2 is higher than that of WT-CaM

We evaluated the affinity of binding of WT-CaM and GSH-CaM to RyR2 by densitometric analysis of immunoblots of CaM cross-linked to RyR2. Regardless of whether WT-CaM or GSH-CaM was used, the anti-CaM antibody detected only RyR2 out of the many proteins in the SR, indicating that the binding of both WT-CaM and GSH-CaM is very specific to RyR2 (Figure [1A](#page-3-0)). The binding of either WT-CaM or GSH-CaM to RyR2 in the SR was markedly inhibited by the addition of an antibody raised against the CaMBD (3583–3603) (Figure [1B](#page-3-0)). This suggests that the 3583–3603 domain is indeed the major CaM/ GSH-CaM-binding site of RyR2. Figure [2](#page-4-0)A shows that the apparent affinity of GSH-CaM for normal RyR2, which is estimated from the CaM concentration at half-maximal binding, is virtually indistinguishable from that of WT-CaM. In agreement with our previous finding,¹³ the apparent affinity of CaM for RyR2 was considerably reduced in failing SR compared with normal SR, as indicated by a significant rightshift of the concentration dependence of CaM binding to RyR2 from failing heart. The apparent affinity of GSH-CaM for RyR2 from the failing heart was, however, comparable with that of WT-CaM and GSH-CaM for RyR2 from normal SR (Figure [2A](#page-4-0); see also Figure [2B](#page-4-0), heart failure). Figure [2](#page-4-0)B shows that several previously reported probes that mimic pathological conditions also considerably reduce the binding of WT-CaM, but not GSH-CaM, to RyR2 from normal SR. Thus, DPc10, which mimics the aberrant unzipping of the domain switch induced by the R2474S CPVT-type mutation,^{[6,22](#page-10-0)} and $DP4090-4123$,¹⁸ which mimics the CPVT-linked defective interdomain interaction between the I domain $(3772-4610)^{23}$ $(3772-4610)^{23}$ $(3772-4610)^{23}$ and its

Figure I Cross-linking of CaM or GSH-CaM to the ryanodine receptor (RyR2). (A) Representative immunoblot of CaM or GSH-CaM cross-linked to RyR2. Note that the anti-CaM (or GSH-CaM) antibody detected only RyR2 among the many proteins in the SR. (B) Effect of the antibody against the CaM-binding domain (3583 – 3603; anti-CaMBD antibody) of RyR2 on CaM (or GSH-CaM) binding to RyR2.

putative partner domain, significantly reduced the binding of WT-CaM but only slightly reduced the binding of GSH-CaM to RyR2 (Figure [2B](#page-4-0)).

3.4 Binding of CaM but not GSH-CaM to RyR2 is inhibited by DPc10 and DP4090 – 4123 in isolated cardiomyocytes

To assess whether the high-affinity binding of GSH-CaM to RyR2 under pathological conditions that we observed in the isolated SR is also preserved in cardiomyocytes, we examined the effect of DP4090–4123 or DPc10 on the binding affinities of CaM and GSH-CaM for RyR2 in cells. We evaluated the binding of Alexalabelled WT-CaM or GSH-CaM to subcellular fractions of saponinpermeabilized cardiomyocytes (see [Supplementary material online,](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1) [Figure S2](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1)). Both WT-CaM-Alexa and GSH-CaM-Alexa co-localized with the anti-RyR2 immunostaining, suggesting that exogenous WT-CaM and GSH-CaM introduced into cardiomyocytes both bind to the RyR2 (see [Supplementary material online,](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1) Figure S2). When we plotted the intensity of the Alexa fluorescence along the sarcomeres (see Section 2) as a function of the concentration of the introduced CaM-Alexa or GSH-CaM-Alexa in normal myocytes (Normal), the concentration dependence of GSH-CaM binding was slightly shifted towards the lower concentrations below 100 nmol/L and unchanged above 100 nmol/L relative to the dependence of WT-CaM binding (Figure [3](#page-5-0)). In the cardiomyocytes treated with either DPc10 or DP4090–4123, however, the concentration dependence of WT-CaM binding was greatly shifted towards higher concentrations, while the binding affinity of GSH-CaM appeared unchanged. These data suggest that defective inter-domain interaction (domain unzipping) of either the domain switch or the near C-terminal region [the CaMLD (4020-4166) and its partner domain] commonly reduces the binding of CaM to RyR2, while GSH-CaM can maintain normal binding to RyR2 even in the presence of either peptide. GSH-CaM, but not WT-CaM, bound normally to RyR2 in failing cardiomyocytes. These results are consistent with the data for CaM-SANPAH binding to RyR2 in the SR vesicles (Figure [2](#page-4-0)).

3.5 Increased CaM binding affinity corrects elevated Ca^{2+} spark frequency in saponin-permeabilized failing cardiomyocytes

To examine whether correction of the defective interaction between CaM and RyR2 inhibits spontaneous Ca^{2+} release events, we measured the frequency of Ca^{2+} sparks in saponin-permeabilized cardiomyocytes (Figure [4](#page-6-0)). Both DPc10 and DP4090–4123 increased the $Ca²⁺$ spark frequency (SpF) in normal cardiomyocytes. Exogenous GSH-CaM, but not WT-CaM, blocked the increases in SpF induced by both peptides. The baseline Ca^{2+} SpF was significantly increased in failing cardiomyocytes. The addition of GSH-CaM, but not WT-CaM, blocked the increase in SpF. As shown in Figure [4B](#page-6-0), both the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) and full duration at halfmaximum (FDHM) increased under all pathological conditions (cardiomyocytes from failing hearts or normal myocytes treated with DPc10 or DP4090–4123), and GSH-CaM corrected both parameters to noticeable extents than did WT-CaM. In contrast to the greater spark frequencies observed under pathological conditions, the Ca^{2+} spark amplitudes were lower in both peptide-treated normal cardiomyocytes and failing cardiomyocytes, and GSH-CaM increased the Ca^{2+} spark amplitude to a greater extent than did WT-CaM.

As shown in the bottom panel of Figure [4B](#page-6-0), both peptides increased the SpF and decreased the SR Ca^{2+} content. GSH-CaM, but not WT-CaM, prevented the peptide-induced increase in SpF and decrease in SR Ca^{2+} content. Baseline SpF was increased and SR $Ca²⁺$ content decreased in failing cardiomyocytes, and both were restored to normal levels by GSH-CaM but not by WT-CaM.

3.6 GSH-CaM corrects defective Ca^{2+} transient and cell shortening in intact ('non-permeabilized') failing cardiomyocytes

3.6.1 Incorporation of WT-CaM or GSH-CaM into intact cardiomyocytes and effects of WT-CaM and GSH-CaM on peptide-treated normal cardiomyocytes and failing cardiomyocytes

Incorporation of either WT-CaM or GSH-CaM into intact cardiomyocytes was successfully achieved by the use of a protein delivery kit (see [Supplementary material online, Expanded Materials and](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1) [Methods\)](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1). The intracellular concentrations of GSH-CaM and CaM were $127 + 38$ nmol/L (n = 6 cells) and $131 + 55$ nmol/L (n = 7 cells), respectively (difference: statistically insignificant). As shown in [Supplementary material online,](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1) Figure S3, CaM was detected along the sarcomeres by immunostaining and co-localized with RyR2.

Figure 2 (A) CaM (or GSH-CaM) concentration dependence of the binding of CaM to normal RyR2 (top) and the summarized data (bottom). The immunoblot density of the CaM cross-linked to RyR2 was determined at various concentrations of CaM (or GSH-CaM)-SANPAH as indicated and expressed as the ratio to the maximum value obtained at 1 μ mol/L CaM (or GSH-CaM). Each datum point per concentration represents mean \pm SD of four SR preparations from four hearts, and the sigmoid concentration-dependent relationships for CaM binding was fitted by an equation: $y = aK^n x^n/(1 + K^n x^n)$, and the EC₅₀ values were calculated as 1/K. (Inset) Comparison of EC₅₀. Paired t-test was employed to determine the statistical significance of EC₅₀. The numerical value in the parenthesis means the number of concentration-dependent relationships for CaM binding. (B) Crosslinking of CaM or GSH-CaM (128 nmol/L) to RyR2 in DPc10 (30 µmol/L)- or DP4090-4123 (30 µmol/L)-treated SR vesicles from normal and failing hearts. Representative immunoblots of CaM bound to RyR2 (top) and summarized data (bottom) are shown. The immunoblot density of CaM crosslinked to RyR2 was measured and expressed as the ratio to the control. Data represent the means \pm SD obtained from four SR preparations.

Figure 3 The binding characteristics of exogenously introduced CaM in saponin-permeabilized cardiomyocytes. Delivery of various concentrations of CaM-Alexa or GSH-CaM-Alexa (left panel) and the summarized data (right panel) in normal cardiomyocytes and failing cardiomyocytes treated with RyR2 domain peptides (DPc10, 30 μmol/L, or DP4090-4123, 30 μmol/L). Either WT-CaM-Alexa or GSH-CaM-Alexa fluorescence was measured and expressed as the ratio to its maximum value. Each datum point per concentration represents mean \pm SD of 8-10 cells from three to four hearts, and the sigmoid concentration-dependent relationships for CaM binding was fitted by an equation: $y = aK^n x^n/(1 + K^n x^n)$, and the EC₅₀ values were calculated as 1/K. (Inset) Comparison of EC₅₀. Paired t-test was employed to determine the statistical significance of EC₅₀. The numerical value in the parenthesis means the number of concentration-dependent relationships for CaM binding.

Figure 4 Effect of WT-CAM (or GSH-CaM) (200 nmol/L) on Ca^{2+} SpF and SR Ca^{2+} content in (saponin-permeabilized) normal cardiomyocytes and failing cardiomyocytes treated with RyR2 domain peptides (DPc10, 30 μ mol/L, or DP4090-4123, 30 μ mol/L). SR Ca²⁺ content was measured by adding 10 mmol/L caffeine. Ca²⁺ spark images were obtained in the presence of the CaMKII inhibitor KN-93 (1 μ mol/L). (A) Representative images of Ca^{2+} sparks. (B) Summarized data of the FDHM, FWHM, Ca^{2+} spark amplitude, Ca^{2+} SpF, and SR Ca^{2+} content. Data represent the means \pm SD of 8-32 cells from each of three to four hearts.

Both DPc10 and DP4090–4123 decreased the binding of endogenous CaM to RyR2. GSH-CaM, but not WT-CaM, restored normal binding to RyR2; a similar pattern was seen in failing cardiomyocytes, in which CaM-GSH, but not WT-CaM, restored CaM binding to RyR2 (Figure 5).

As shown in [Supplementary material online,](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1) Figure S4, Ca SpF was increased and SR Ca^{2+} content was reduced in intact (nonpermeabilized) failing cardiomyocytes. Importantly, the incorporation of GSH-CaM (by protein delivery kit), but not WT-CaM, restored normal levels in the intact non-permeabilized cardiomyocytes, as in the case of permeabilized myocytes. KN93 was without an effect on Ca^{2+} SpF and SR Ca^{2+} content in both intact (non-permeabilized) normal and failing cardiomyocytes (see [Supplementary material online,](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1) Figure S5).

3.6.2 Effect of WT-CaM or GSH-CaM on Ca^{2+} transient and cell shortening in normal and failing cardiomyocytes

Figure [6](#page-8-0)B summarizes the characteristics of both Ca^{2+} transient and cell shortening in normal and failing cardiomyocytes. As shown, both Ca^{2+} transient and cell shortening were reduced in both DPc10- or DP4090–4123-treated normal cardiomyocytes and failing cardiomyocytes (Figure [6A](#page-8-0)). Incorporation of GSH-CaM but not WT-CaM improved both cell shortening and Ca^{2+} transient in DPc10- or DP4090–4123-treated normal cardiomyocytes and failing cardiomyocytes. KN93 was without the effect on Ca^{2+} transient and cell shortening in both intact (non-permeabilized) normal and failing cardiomyocytes (see [Supplementary material](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1) online, [Figure S6](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1)).

To investigate the kinetic response of the contractile proteins to changes in $[Ca^{2+}]$, we plotted per cent cell shortening as a function of Ca^{2+} transient (see [Supplementary material online,](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1) Figure S7). Although the area of the cell shortening- Ca^{2+} transient loop was reduced in DPc10- or DP4090–4123-added normal cardiomyocytes and failing cardiomyocytes, the slope during the deceleration phase of Ca^{2+} transient was virtually unchanged among all groups. This suggests that the dynamic Ca^{2+} sensitivity did not change by domain unzipping and subsequent Ca^{2+} leakage. GSH-CaM, but not WT-CaM, normally restored the area of the loop in DPc10- or DP4090– 4123-added normal cardiomyocytes and failing cardiomyocytes.

4. Discussion

Accumulated evidence concerning the pathogenic mechanism of HF suggests that abnormal intracellular Ca^{2+} handling leads to cardiac dysfunction and lethal arrhythmia.^{[24](#page-10-0)}

The most important aspect of the present study is the finding that correction of the reduced CaM binding in the 'destabilized' RyR2 to a

Figure 6 Effect of CaM or GSH-CaM on Ca²⁺ transient and cell shortening in normal and failing cardiomyocytes. (A) Representative tracings of Ca^{2+} transient and cell shortening in normal and failing cardiomyocytes. (B) The characteristics of cell shortening and Ca^{2+} transient in normal and failing cardiomyocytes treated with RyR2 domain peptides (DPc10, 30 μ mol/L, or DP4090-4123, 30 μ mol/L).

normal level restores normal channel gating and improves contractile function in failing hearts (see [Supplementary material online,](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1) Figure [S8](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1)). This indicates that increased binding of CaM serves as a 'natural therapeutic agent,' a hypothesis supported by the following evidence. GSH-CaM, which shows higher binding affinity than WT-CaM for RyR2, supported a normal level of CaM binding to RyR2 when added to CPVT-type defective RyR2 experimentally produced by DPc10 or DP4090–4123, where the binding affinity of WT-CaM is much reduced. Consequently, the increased CaM binding prevented diastolic Ca^{2+} leakage as indicated by the reduced frequency of spontaneous Ca^{2+} sparks. Similarly, the binding of GSH-CaM to RyR2 from failing cardiomyocytes restored normal spontaneous Ca^{2+} SpF, Ca^{2+} transient, and cell shortening.

The following important question then arises: what is the mechanism by which the RyR2-bound CaM controls RyR2 channel function? It has been postulated that the CaMLD/CaMBD interaction activates the $Ca²⁺$ channel, while competitive binding of CaM to the CaMBD suppresses channel activation and stabilizes the closed state of the channel (cf. Section 1).^{[16](#page-10-0)} Under pathological conditions such as HF and CPVT, the CaMLD/CaMBD interaction becomes excessively tight, which overcomes the intrinsic affinity CaM for its binding to the CaMBD. According to this scheme, increasing the CaM binding affinity over the intrinsic level restores the ability of CaM to 'wedge' and disrupts the excessively tight channel activation interaction between the CaMLD and the CaMBD. In the present study, the introduction of GSH-CaM, which has a significantly higher affinity than WT-CaM for RyR2, permitted us to demonstrate that this previously postulated mechanism in fact operates. Importantly, we have further shown that dantrolene, which corrects defective unzipping, restored a normal level of binding of WT-CaM and normal RyR2 function in these disease models.^{[13](#page-10-0),[14](#page-10-0)} These findings clearly indicate that the formation of an excessively tight CaMLD/CaMBD interaction, which causes aberrant channel activation, is mediated by unzipping (perhaps sustained unzipping) of the domain switch.

Ikemoto and colleagues 17 recently showed that hypertrophic stimulus of neonatal cardiomyocytes with ET-1 induced dissociation of CaM from RyR2; this leads to a sequence of intracellular events including increased frequency of spontaneous Ca^{2+} transients and translocation of CaM, CaMKII, and N-FAT to the nucleus. They further demonstrated that an anti-CaMBD antibody, used as a 'molecular wedge' to disrupt the CaMBD/CaMLD interaction, prevented all of these ET-1-induced pathological intracellular events and conse-quently the development of hypertrophy.^{[25](#page-10-0)} These data support the hypothesis that aberrant formation of the channel-activating interaction between the CaMBD and the CaMLD of RyR2 is an early key event leading to the development of hypertrophy in this cell model.

Thus, our recent and present findings suggest that unzipping of the domain switch and zipping of the CaMBD/CaMLD pair are coupled for the channel opening. According to George et $al₁^{23}$ $al₁^{23}$ $al₁^{23}$ channel function is regulated by the interaction between RyR cytoplasmic and transmembrane domains via amino acids residues 3722–4610 (designated as I-domain), and leaky Ca^{2+} channels are characterized by unstable interactions between the I domain and the transmembrane channel domain. The present finding that DP4090–4123, a peptide corresponding to a part of the I-domain, activated the channel presumably by interfering with a tight interaction of the I-domain with the channel domain, causing their unzipping. Summarizing the accumulated pieces of information, we propose a general hypothesis that in the systolic phase of normal channels, unzipping of (A) the domain switch (the N-terminal domain/central domain pair), zipping (formation of a tight link) of (B) the CaMBD/CaMLD pair, and unzipping of (C) the I-domain/channel domain pair are conformationally coupled in an allosteric manner to activate the channel. In the diastolic phase of normal operation, reversed conformational changes take place in these three interacting pairs, again in a tightly coupled manner. In disease models, and peptide-treated mimicries of the pathological state, destabilization of the zipped state of regions A and C and formation of a tighter link in the region B take place again in a conformationally coupled manner in the diastolic state, causing erroneous channel activation and diastolic Ca^{2+} leakage. This scheme of conformational coupling suggests the possibility that correcting the problem in any of these three regions (A, B, and C) might correct the defective conformational state in the other regions. However, according to our preliminary data (not shown), the addition of GSH-CaM to SR from failing hearts or SR treated with DPc10, which restored normal CaM binding and normal channel closing, could not fully restore the normal zipped state of the domain switch. This suggests that in pathological conditions, the conformational coupling from the region B to the region A is loose or incomplete presumably owing to a sustained, or chronic, unzipped state of the domain switch, which can be restored to normal zipped state only by the agents such as dantrolene and K201 that are directed to the domain switch.

Although the pacing-induced HF model is widely recognized as a representative model of human HF because of the structural and functional similarity, whether we can extrapolate the present findings to other HF (e.g. post-myocardial infarction, ischaemic cardiomyopathy, hypertensive heart disease, etc.) must wait for future studies.

In conclusion, the defective inter-domain interaction between the N-terminal domain and the central domain within RyR2, coupled with a second defective inter-domain interaction between the CaMBD and the CaMLD, plays a key role in the pathogenic mechanism by which the channel is destabilized. The reduced binding of CaM to RyR2 caused by the defective inter-domain interactions predisposes failing hearts to aberrant Ca^{2+} release and lethal arrhythmia. Correction of the reduced CaM binding to the failing RyR2 can stabilize the channel function in the diseased hearts.

Supplementary material

[Supplementary material is available at](http://cardiovascres.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs271/-/DC1) Cardiovascular Research online.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

Funding

This work was supported by grants-in-aid for scientific research from The Ministry of Education in Japan (grant nos 22659154 and 23390215 to M.Y., 23591082 to T.Y., and 23592256 to S.K.) and grant from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institutes (HL072841 to N.I.).

References

- 1. Bers DM. Macromolecular complexes regulating cardiac ryanodine receptor function. Mol Cell Cardiol 2004;37:417-429.
- 2. Marx SO, Reiken S, Hisamatsu Y, Jayaraman T, Burkhoff D, Rosemblit N et al. PKA phosphorylation dissociates FKBP12.6 from the calcium release channel (ryanodine receptor): defective regulation in failing hearts. Cell 2000;101:365 –376.
- 3. Yano M, Ono K, Ohkusa T, Suetsugu M, Kohno M, Hisaoka T et al. Altered stoichiometry of FKBP12.6 versus ryanodine receptor as a cause of abnormal Ca^{2+} leak through ryanodine receptor in heart failure. Circulation 2000;102:2131-2136.
- 4. Guo T, Zhang T, Mestril R, Bers DM. Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II phosphorylation of ryanodine receptor does affect calcium sparks in mouse ventricular myocytes. Circ Res 2006;99:398 –406.
- 5. Maier LS, Zhang T, Chen L, DeSantiago J, Brown JH, Bers DM. Transgenic CaMKIIdeltaC overexpression uniquely alters cardiac myocyte Ca^{2+} handling: reduced SR Ca^{2+} load and activated SR Ca^{2+} release. Circ Res 2003;92:904-911.
- 6. Oda T, Yano M, Yamamoto T, Tokuhisa T, Okuda S, Doi M et al. Defective regulation of interdomain interactions within the ryanodine receptor plays a key role in the pathogenesis of heart failure. Circulation 2005;111:3400-3410.
- 7. Yano M, Okuda S, Oda T, Tokuhisa T, Tateishi H, Mochizuki M et al. Correction of defective interdomain interaction within ryanodine receptor by antioxidant is a new therapeutic strategy against heart failure. Circulation 2005;112:3633 –3643.
- 8. Yamamoto T, Yano M, Xu X, Uchinoumi H, Tateishi H, Mochizuki M et al. Identification of target domains of the cardiac ryanodine receptor to correct channel disorder in failing hearts. Circulation 2008;117:762–772.
- 9. Kobayashi S, Yano M, Suetomi T, Ono M, Tateishi H, Mochizuki M et al. Dantrolene, a therapeutic agent for malignant hyperthermia, markedly improves the function of failing cardiomyocytes by stabilizing interdomain interactions within the ryanodine receptor. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;53:1993-2005.
- 10. Rodney GG, Williams BY, Strasburg GM, Beckingham K, Hamilton SL. Regulation of RyR1 activity by Ca^{2+} and calmodulin. Biochemistry 2000;39:7807-7812.
- 11. Yamaguchi N, Xu L, Pasek DA, Evans KE, Meissner G. Molecular basis of calmodulin binding to cardiac muscle Ca^{2+} release channel (ryanodine receptor). J Biol Chem 2003;278:23480 –23486.
- 12. Yamaguchi N, Takahashi N, Xu L, Smithies O, Meissner G. Early cardiac hypertrophy in mice with impaired calmodulin regulation of cardiac muscle Ca release channel. J Clin Invest 2007;117:1344 –1353.
- 13. Ono M, Yano M, Hino A, Suetomi T, Xu X, Susa T et al. Dissociation of calmodulin from cardiac ryanodine receptor causes aberrant Ca^{2+} release in heart failure. Cardiovasc Res 2010;87:609 – 617.
- 14. Xu X, Yano M, Uchinoumi H, Hino A, Suetomi T, Ono M et al. Defective calmodulin binding to the cardiac ryanodine receptor plays a key role in CPVT-associated channel dysfunction. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2010;394:660-666.
- 15. Xiong L, Zhang $|Z|$, He R, Hamilton SL, A Ca^{2+} -binding domain in RyR1 that interacts with the calmodulin binding site and modulates channel activity. Biophys / 2006;90: 173 –182.
- 16. Gangopadhyay JP, Ikemoto N. Interaction of the Lys(3614)-Asn(3643) calmodulinbinding domain with the Cys(4114)-Asn(4142) region of the type 1 ryanodine receptor is involved in the mechanism of $Ca^{2+}/$ agonist-induced channel activation. Biochem J 2008;411:415 –423.
- 17. Gangopadhyay JP, Ikemoto N. Aberrant interaction of calmodulin with the ryanodine receptor develops hypertrophy in the neonatal cardiomyocyte. Biochem J 2011;438: 379 –387.
- 18. Tateishi H, Yano M, Mochizuki M, Suetomi T, Ono M, Xu X et al. Defective domain– domain interactions within the ryanodine receptor as a critical cause of diastolic Ca^{2+} leak in failing hearts. Cardiovasc Res 2009;81:536 – 545.
- 19. Yamamoto T, Ikemoto N. Peptide probe study of the critical regulatory domain of the cardiac ryanodine receptor. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2002;291:1102-1108.
- 20. Picht E, Zima AV, Blatter LA, Bers DM. SparkMaster: automated calcium spark analysis with ImageJ. Am | Physiol 2007;293:c1073-c1081.
- 21. Moore CP, Rodney G, Zhang JZ, Santacruz-Toloza L, Strasburg G, Hamilton SL. Apocalmodulin and Ca^{2+} calmodulin bind to the same region on the skeletal muscle Ca^{2+} release channel. Biochemistry 1999;38:8532-8537.
- 22. Uchinoumi H, Yano M, Suetomi T, Ono M, Xu X, Tateishi H et al. Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia is caused by mutation-linked defective conformational regulation of the ryanodine receptor. Circ Res 2010;106:1413 –1424.
- 23. George CH, Jundi H, Thomas NL, Scoote M, Walters N, Williams AJ et al. Ryanodine receptor regulation by intramolecular interaction between cytoplasmic and transmembrane domains. Mol Biol Cell 2004:15:2627-2638.
- 24. Yano M, Ikeda Y, Matsuzaki M. Altered intracellular Ca^{2+} handling in heart failure. J Clin Invest 2005;115:556 – 564.
- 25. Gangopadhyay JP, Ikemoto N. Intracellular translocation of calmodulin and $Ca^{2+}/$ calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II during the development of hypertrophy in neonatal cardiomyocytes. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2010;396:515–521.