The Yeast GAL11 Protein Is Involved in Regulation of the Structure and the Position Effect of Telomeres

YURIKO SUZUKI† AND MASAFUMI NISHIZAWA*

Department of Microbiology, Keio University School of Medicine, Shinjuku, Tokyo 160, Japan

Received 11 August 1993/Returned for modification 24 September 1993/Accepted 2 March 1994

GAL11 is an auxiliary transcription factor that functions either positively or negatively, depending on the structure of the target promoters and the combination of DNA-bound activators. In this report, we demonstrate that a gal11 Δ mutation caused a decrease in the length of the telomere C₁₋₃A tract, a derepression of URA3 when it is placed next to telomere, and an increase in accessibility of the telomeric region to dam methylase, indicating that GAL11 is involved in the regulation of the structure and the position effect of telomeres. The defective position effect in a gal11 Δ strain was suppressed by overproduction of SIR3, whereas overexpression of GAL11 failed to restore the telomere position effect in a sir3 Δ strain. Hyperproduced GAL11 could partially suppress the defect in silencing at HMR in a sir1 Δ mutant but not that in a sir3 Δ mutant, suggesting that GAL11 can replace SIR1 function partly in the silencing of HMR. Overproduced SIR3 also could restore silencing at HMR in sir1 Δ cells. In contrast, SIR1 in a multicopy plasmid relieved the telomere position effect, especially in a gal11 Δ mutant. Since chromatin structure is thought to play a major role in the silencing at both the HM loci and telomeres, GAL11 is likely to participate in the regional regulation of transcription by modulating the chromatin structure.

Chromatin is known to play a role in transcriptional regulation (reference 15 and references therein). When chromatin structure is studied by DNase I digestion, active genes in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, like those in higher eukaryotes, display enhanced sensitivity to DNase I at sites neighboring the active genes. Using Escherichia coli dam methylase expressed in yeast cells, Singh and Klar have shown that transcriptionally active genes are methylated more efficiently in their promoter and coding regions than are inactive genes, indicating that active genes (chromatin) have an increased accessibility to this enzyme as well (46). Studies of S. cerevisiae have provided both biochemical and genetic evidence that nucleosomes, the primary components of chromatin, affect transcription: derepression of the PHO5 gene under low-phosphate conditions removes two nucleosomes, positioned both upstream and downstream of an upstream activating sequence of the gene (9). SNF2 (identical to SWI2) and SNF5 cause alteration in chromatin structure in the SUC2 promoter, leading to transcriptional activation (17). SIN4 (TSF3) exerts positive or negative effects on transcriptional activation of different genes, and mutations in SIN4 alter the superhelical density of plasmids which stem from changes in chromatin structure (6, 20).

S. cerevisiae has two major transcriptionally inactive loci, the HM silent mating-type loci (2) and the telomere regions (14), both of which exert a position effect on the transcription of neighboring genes. The silencers flanking both HML and HMR loci are composed of multiple elements, including an autonomously replicating sequence and RAP1- and ABF1-binding sites (26). In addition, SIR1, SIR2, SIR3, SIR4, NAT1, ARD1, and HHF1/HHF2 are required in trans for HM silencing (19, 22, 30, 38, 53). A deletion removing amino acids 4 to 19 from histone H4 results in loss of silencing at both HML and HMR,

indicating involvement of chromatin structure in *HM* silencing (22). *SIR1* and *SIR3* were shown to interact genetically with histone H4 in silencing (21, 47). Additional evidence for the involvement of chromatin in silencing was provided by nuclease sensitivity analysis of *HML* and *HMR*, showing that the *HM* loci assume a distinct chromatin structure that is dependent on the *SIR* genes (31). Gottschling et al. (14) demonstrated that genes placed adjacent to the telomere $C_{1-3}A$ repeat are transcriptionally repressed and that the seven genes involved in the *HM* silencing, except *SIR1*, are also required for the telomere position effect (1). From these results, they suggested that telomeres, like the *HM* loci in *S. cerevisiae*, exist in a distinct chromatin structure. In accord with this hypothesis, yeast telomeres were shown to assume a nonnucleosomal chromatin structure (54).

The GAL11 gene can exert either a positive or negative effect on gene expression (10, 16, 35, 50), and we have found that the effects of GAL11 depend on the structure of promoter and a combination of DNA-bound factors (35a). In a study of the GAL11 effect on expression of the SUC genes, we found that GAL11 regulates SUC2 differently from SUC7, which lies proximal to a telomere. GAL11 is required for efficient transcriptional activation of SUC2 (51); expression of both SUC2 and SUC7 is regulated by glucose, and their promoter sequences are conserved in the regions containing the TATA box and the upstream regulatory sequences (41). However, their chromosomal locations are different; SUC7 is located very close to telomere (4 kbp away from the Y' element), whereas SUC2 is at least 14 kbp from telomere-adjacent sequences (4). In fact, SUC2 is an exceptional member of the SUC gene family (SUC1 through SUC5 and SUC7), the other members of which are located very close to telomeres (4). This raises a possibility that SUC7 is under the telomere position effect and that GAL11 affects SUC7 expression by altering the telomere position effect. GAL11 is required for transcriptional activation of $MAT\alpha$ and PYK1 by the RAP1 protein (11, 23, 33, 35), and RAP1 binds to the telomere $C_{1-3}A$ repeat and is involved in regulation of both telomere length and the position effect (3, 8, 24, 25, 28, 45, 48). These considerations prompted us to

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Microbiology, Keio University School of Medicine, 35 Shinanomachi, Shinjuku, Tokyo 160, Japan. Phone: 81-3-3353-1211, ext. 2695. Fax: 81-3-3353-0145.

[†] Present address: Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, Keio University School of Medicine, Shinjuku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

TABLE 1. Genotypes and sources of yeast strains used

Strain	Genotype	Source	
HSY5-3C	MAT _a ade1 his1 leu2 trp1 ura3- 52 SUC2 SUC7	Our laboratory (35a)	
HSY5-3B	HSY5-3C gal11 Δ ::LEU2	Our laboratory (35a)	
MCY1094	MATa ade2-101 ura3-52 SUC2	M. Carlson	
YS60	MCY1094 gal11Δ::URA3	This work	
MCY526	MATα his4-539 lys2-801 ura3-52 SUC7 GAL ⁺	M. Carlson	
YS26	MCY526 gal11 Δ ::URA3	This work	
MCY517	MATa his4-539 lys2-801 ura3-52 SUC2 SUC7 GAL ⁺	M. Carlson	
YS 17	MCY517 gal11Δ::URA3	This work	
YS38	HSY5-3C sir1 \Delta::LEU2	This work	
YS39	HSY5-3C sir3∆::LEU2	This work	
YS40	HSY5-3C sir4∆::LEU2	This work	
YS41	YS38 hmra::TRP1	This work	
YS43	YS39 hmra::TRP1	This work	
YS44	YS40 hmra::TRP1	This work	
YS92	HSY5-3C URA3 ⁺	This work	
YS99	HSY5-3C URA3 ⁺ gal11Δ::LEU2	This work	
YS93	HSY5-3C gal11 Δ ::URA3	This work	
YS94	HSY5-3C adh4::TEL-URA3	This work	
YS95	HSY5-3C gal11∆::LEU2 adh4::TEL-URA3	This work	
YS97	HSY5-3C sir3∆::LEU2 adh4::TEL-URA3	This work	
YS98	HSY5-3C sir4Δ::LEU2 adh4::TEL-URA3	This work	

examine whether *GAL11* is involved in the regulation of the structure and function of telomeres. The results presented in this report demonstrate that *GAL11* is required for maintenance of the normal length of the telomere $C_{1-3}A$ repeat and for the telomere position effect and that *GAL11* alters chromatin structure near the telomere. We further show a possible participation of *GAL11* in *HMR* silencing. From these results, we present a model for the mechanisms by which *GAL11* regulates transcription of genes at telomeres as well as at the promoters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Media and strains. E. coli HB101 and JM109 were used to construct plasmids and were grown in Luria-Bertani medium supplemented with ampicillin (80 μ g/ml) when necessary. S. cerevisiae strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. YS38, YS39, and YS40 were constructed by using plasmids pJH570, containing the SIR1 gene, pJH107.1, containing sir3::LEU2 disruption, and pKAN59, containing the SIR4 gene, obtained from J. M. Ivy via S. Harashima (19). Plasmid pJH570 was cut with XhoI and BglII, and the 1.1-kbp fragment was replaced with a fragment with corresponding ends carrying a LEU2 marker. The resulting plasmid was cut with PstI and then used to transform S. cerevisiae HSY5-3C cells. Plasmid pJH107.1 was cut with BamHI before being used to transform HSY5-3C cells. Plasmid pKAN59 was digested with PvuII to create a gap that was filled with a DNA fragment containing the LEU2 marker. The resulting plasmid was cut with SphI and then used to transform S. cerevisiae HSY5-3C cells. Disruption of the HMR locus in strains YS38, YS39, and YS40 was carried out essentially as described previously (39, 48): an XbaI-BglII fragment containing X and Ya regions was replaced by an EcoRI-BglII fragment containing TRP1 to generate strains YS41, YS43, and YS44, respectively. Strains YS17, YS26,

YS60, and YS93 were constructed by replacing a 2.5-kbp SacI-EcoRV fragment containing the coding region of GAL11 (50) in MCY517, MCY526, MCY1094, and HSY5-3C, respectively, with a URA3 marker carried on a 1.1-kbp HindIII fragment. Strain YS92 was constructed by replacing the ura3-52 locus with the wild-type URA3 gene carried on a 1.1-kbp HindIII fragment. Strain YS99 was then constructed by disrupting the GAL11 locus of YS92 through replacement of a 2.5-kbp SacI-EcoRV fragment containing the coding region of GAL11 (50) with a DNA fragment containing the LEU2 marker. Strains YS94, YS95, YS97, and YS98 were constructed by introducing URA3 into the terminus of the left arm of chromosome VII by using plasmid pVII-L URA3-TEL (a gift of D. E. Gottschling) (14) in strains HSY5-3C, HSY5-3B, YS39, and YS40, respectively.

Construction of plasmids. DNA manipulations and genetic techniques, including procedures for E. coli and yeast transformations, were carried out as described previously (40, 44). A YCp-based plasmid containing the E. coli dam methylase gene carried on a 1.5-kbp HindIII-PvuII fragment from plasmid pMFH1 (a gift of R. E. Malone) (18) was constructed by replacing the XhoI-BamHI fragment of plasmid pMF527C (35a). Plasmid pYS81, containing the full-length GAL11 and dam genes, was constructed by introducing the 1.5-kbp HindIII-PvuII fragment carrying the dam gene into an XhoI site of plasmid pMF761, a YCp plasmid containing full-length GAL11 (35a). Plasmid pYS83, containing both the SIR3 gene and the dam gene in a YCp vector, was constructed by introducing a 4.5-kbp BamHI-SalI fragment with the SIR3 gene from pKAN63 (19) into plasmid pMF527C (35a) previously cut with BamHI and XhoI. To the XhoI site of the resulting plasmid, the fragment encoding the dam methylase with ends converted to XhoI sites was inserted. Plasmids carrying the SIR3 gene in a TRP1-marked (pYS43) and a URA3-marked (pYS86) YEp vector were constructed, respectively, by inserting a 6.8-kbp BamHI fragment of pKAN63 (19) into plasmid pMF527 (35a) previously cut with XhoI and BamHI and into a BamHI site of YEp24. Plasmid pMF858 containing the SIR1 gene in a TRP1-marked YEp plasmid was constructed by replacing the URA3 marker in plasmid pES13B (a gift of R. Sternglanz) (47) with TRP1 carried on an 850-bp EcoRI-Bg/II fragment. Plasmid pMF859 carrying the SIR4 gene in a URA3-marked YEp vector was constructed by introducing a ca. 5-kbp EcoRI-SalI SIR4 fragment from pKAN59 into YEp24.

Plasmids pSUZ1 and pSUZ2 contain the *lacZ* gene driven by the *SUC2* and *SUC7* promoters, respectively, in a YEp vector with a *TRP1* marker. pSUZ1 was constructed by inserting a 1.1-kbp *Eco*RI-*Bam*HI fragment encoding the *SUC2* promoter and the signal peptide of invertase from plasmid pSMF3 (34) into plasmid pMC1403 (5) previously cut with the corresponding enzymes, followed by insertion of a 2.8-kbp *Eco*RI fragment containing a 2µm replication origin and a *TRP1* marker. Plasmid pSUZ2 was constructed by replacing the *Eco*RI-*Bam*HI fragment of pSUZ1 with a fragment composed of a 740-bp *Xba1-Hind*III fragment from pRT85-3 (a gift of M. Carlson) (41), a 37-bp *Hind*III fragment of pRT62-30 (a gift of M. Carlson) (41), and a 70-bp *Hind*III-*Bam*HI fragment from pSMF3 (34).

Enzyme and protein assays. Invertase and β -galactosidase were assayed as described previously (12, 33). To repress or derepress the *SUC2* and *SUC7* promoters, cells harboring the appropriate reporter were grown overnight in synthetic complete medium containing 5% glucose and lacking tryptophan (Trp; to maintain *TRP*⁺ plasmids), harvested, washed twice with water, and resuspended in fresh synthetic complete

TABLE 2. GAL11 and invertase production

Strain	Genotype ^a	Invertase activity ^b (μmol of glucose/min/ml/A ₆₀₀)	
		High glucose	Low glucose
HSY5-3C	GAL11 SUC2 SUC7	450	3,220
HSY5-3B	gal11∆ SUC2 SUC7	2,150	4,320
MCY1094	GAL11 SUC2	130	4,115
YS60	gal11 Δ SUC2	155	782
MCY526	GAL11 SUC7	85	1,155
YS26	gal11 Δ SUC7	140	1,483
MCY517	GAL11 SUC2 SUC7	90	3,195
YS17	gal11∆ SUC2 SUC7	280	2,265

^{*a*} Only the relevant genotypes of each strain are shown. *SUC* genes other than those shown are not present. For the complete genotypes, see Table 1.

^b Values are averages of at least four independent experiments. Standard errors were <20%. High and low glucose designate 5 and 0.1% glucose, respectively. For details of the experiment, see Materials and Methods.

medium containing either 5 or 0.1% glucose. After incubating at 30°C for 3 h, cells were harvested, washed twice with water, and subjected to enzyme assays. Proteins were assayed by Bradford method, using immunoglobulin G as the standard.

Telomere position effect. Expression of telomeric URA3 (TEL-URA3) was determined as resistance to 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) as described by Gottschling et al. (14). Cells were grown in synthetic complete medium overnight, and serial dilutions were plated onto synthetic complete medium or medium containing 0.04% 5-FOA. The numbers of colonies on a plate were counted after incubation at 30°C for 3 days. 5-FOA resistance was determined by at least four independent experiments and is expressed as the average ratio of colonies formed on synthetic complete medium. For strains harboring a TRP^+ plasmid, colonies formed on synthetic complete medium. For strains harboring a tildutions were plated onto complete medium lacking Trp were suspended in water, and 10-fold serial dilutions were plated onto complete medium either lacking Trp or containing 5-FOA but lacking Trp.

Measurement of the telomere $C_{1-3}A$ repeat length. To measure the length of the telomere $C_{1-3}A$ repeat, total DNA was isolated from $GAL11^+$, $gal11\Delta$, or appropriate mutant cells as described previously (44) and digested with *XhoI*, which cuts the DNA at a site within the Y' telomere element (28). The digested DNA was separated on a 0.8% agarose gel and subject to Southern analysis using a TG_{1-3} 41-mer oligonucleotide (a gift of A. Lustig) (28) labeled with digoxigenin (Boehringer Mannheim) as a probe.

Analysis of dam methylation sites. Total yeast DNA was isolated from yeast cells harboring the dam gene in a YCp plasmid as described previously (44) and was cut with BamHI and HindIII (13) and/or other restriction enzymes. Following separation by gel electrophoresis on 0.7% agarose, the fragments were subjected to Southern blot hybridization performed as described previously (40), using a 780-bp EcoRV-SmaI URA3 fragment as a probe (13).

RESULTS

A gal11 Δ mutation reduces the expression of SUC2 but not of SUC7. During work on the effect of GAL11 on the regulation of SUC genes, we found that a gal11 Δ mutant strain, HSY5-3B, derepressed invertase production under high-glucose conditions and produced an even higher level of the enzyme than the isogenic GAL11⁺ strain (HSY5-3C) did under low-glucose conditions (Table 2). This result is not consistent with the previous report by Vallier and Carlson that GAL11 is required for SUC2 expression (51). Since this strain has both SUC2 and SUC7 (data not shown), we next analyzed GAL11 effect on invertase production in strains that have either SUC2 or SUC7. As shown in Table 2, a gal11 Δ mutation reduces invertase production by cells having SUC2 alone (YS60), which confirms the requirement of GAL11 for full activation of SUC2 (51). In contrast, a gal11 Δ $SUC7^+$ strain (YS26) produced invertase at an even higher level than an isogenic $GAL11^+$ $SUC7^+$ strain (MCY526) did under both high- and low-glucose conditions (Table 2). When both SUC2 and SUC7 are present, gal11 Δ mutant cells (YS17) increase invertase production threefold compared with wild-type cells (MCY517) under high-glucose conditions (Table 2).

Taken together, these results suggest that SUC2 and SUC7 are differently regulated by GAL11. The promoter sequences of the two SUC genes, including the upstream regulatory sequences, are highly homologous, which implies that they should be similarly regulated (41, 42). However, SUC2 and SUC7 are distinct in their chromosomal locations: SUC7 is very close to a telomere (4 kbp away from the Y' element), whereas SUC2 is not (4). We assume that the difference in chromosomal locations of the two genes is responsible for the difference in regulation by GAL11. To test this idea, we assayed the promoter activities of SUC2 and SUC7 in plasmids pSUZ1 and pSUZ2 (Table 3). The activities of β -galactosidase expressed under control of the SUC2 and SUC7 promoters in plasmids pSUZ1 and pSUZ2, respectively, were reduced by a gal11 Δ mutation (HSY5-3B; Table 3), indicating that SUC7 requires GAL11 for full transcriptional activation when in a plasmid. This finding suggests the possibility that expression of SUC7 is position dependent.

Transcription of genes located next to telomeres is repressed; this is known as the telomere position effect (14). We inferred that SUC7 is under the telomere position effect and that a gall1 Δ mutation might affect SUC7 expression by altering the position effect. Therefore, we started to examine whether GAL11 is involved in the position effect at telomeres.

GAL11 is required to maintain the normal length of the telomere $C_{1-3}A$ tract. The termini of chromosomes are specified by $C_{1-3}A$ repeat sequences that are normally 250 to 350 bp in length (43, 52). There must be a close relation between the structural integrity of telomeres and the telomere position effect; therefore, we first investigated whether a gal11 Δ mutation causes an alteration in the length of the $C_{1-3}A$ repeat by Southern analysis of XhoI-digested genomic DNA isolated from isogenic GAL11⁺ or gal11 Δ cells. As shown in Fig. 1, the telomeric $C_{1-3}A$ repeat became shorter by approximately 120 bp in gal11 Δ mutant cells (lanes 3 and 4 compared with lanes 1 and 2). This alteration was corrected by introducing a plasmid expressing wild-type GAL11 in a YCp plasmid (lane 6)

 TABLE 3. GAL11 and the promoter activities of SUC2 and SUC7

Reporter plasmid	GAL11 locus ^a	β-Galactosidase activity ^b (U/mg of protein)	
		High glucose	Low glucose
pSUZ1 (SUC2-lacZ)	GAL11 ⁺	3	170
	$gal11\Delta$	4	3
pSUZ2 (SUC7-lacZ)	$GAL11^+$	9	69
,	$gal11\Delta$	17	25

" Strains used were HSY5-3C ($GAL11^+$) and HSY5-3B ($gal11\Delta$).

^b Values are averages of at least six transformants. Standard errors were <15%. For other details, see Table 2, footnote b.



FIG. 1. Effect of a gal11 Δ mutation on the length of the telomere C₁₋₃A tract. Chromosomal DNA was cleaved with XhoI, separated on a 0.8% agarose gel, and analyzed by Southern blotting, using the TG₁₋₃ 41-mer (28) as a probe. An arrow indicates the position of the C₁₋₃A tract. Lanes contain DNA from two different isolates of HSY5-3C (*GAL11*⁺; lanes 1 and 2) or HSY5-3B (gal11 Δ ; lanes 3 and 4) cells harboring the vector, from HSY5-3B (gal11 Δ) cells harboring gal11 lacking codons 866 to 910 in a YEp plasmid (lane 5), and from HSY5-3B (gal11 Δ) cells harboring *GAL11* in a YCp (lane 6) or YEp (lane 7) plasmid. Positions of molecular size markers are shown on the left.

but not by a plasmid expressing a GAL11 derivative lacking the 866 to 910 region (lane 5) that is required for transcriptional activation of GAL11-dependent promoters (35a). We also analyzed the length of the telomeric $C_{1-3}A$ repeat in gal11 Δ mutant cells with a different genetic background (YS11 [50]) and found that it is similarly reduced by the mutation (data not shown). These results indicate that functional GAL11 is required for the maintenance of normal telomere structure and that the domain of GAL11 essential for this function is the same as that required for transcriptional activation of GAL11dependent genes. It should be noted that overexpression of GAL11 in a YEp plasmid failed to complement fully the shortening of the $C_{1-3}A$ repeat (lane 7). Hyperproduced GAL11 showed a similar defect in complementation of transcriptional activation of GAL7 and PYK1 promoters (35a). We think that an excess amount of the GAL11 protein is inhibitory to its proper function in some cases.

GAL11 is required for the telomere position effect. Gottschling et al. reported that expression of URA3 placed at the terminus of chromosome was repressed, as judged by frequency of 5-FOA-resistant colonies (14), and that SIR3, SIR4, ARD1, NAT1, and HHF genes are required for this position effect (1). We therefore examined whether GAL11 plays a role in the telomere position effect by measuring numbers of 5-FOA-resistant colonies of GAL11⁺ or gal11 Δ strains harboring URA3 at the terminus of chromosome VII. As shown in Table 4, when URA3 is at its original locus, both GAL11⁺ and gal11 Δ cells (YS92 and YS99) were sensitive to 5-FOA. Since the URA3 locus is far away from the terminus of chromosome V (37), expression of URA3 at this locus is free from the telomere position effect. While GAL11⁺ TEL-URA3 cells showed resistance to 5-FOA, the isogenic gal11 Δ TEL-URA3 cells showed about a 1,600-fold decrease in the number of 5-FOA-resistant colonies, indicating that expression of TEL-URA3 was derepressed in the absence of GAL11; that is, the telomere position effect was relieved by a gal11 Δ mutation. Himmelfarb et al. (16) reported that GAL11 is required for full transcriptional activation of URA3. The fivefold increase in the number of 5-FOA-resistant colonies of strain YS99 relative to that of strain YS92 may reflect this. If it were also the case for telomeric URA3 expression, we should have observed an increase in 5-FOA-resistant cells resulting from a gall1 Δ mutation. However, the fact that we obtained the opposite result suggests that GAL11 is dispensable for transcriptional activation of TEL-URA3 when the telomere position effect is impeded.

GAL11 and the accessibility of dam methylase to telomeres. The terminal region of the yeast chromosome is proposed to assume a specific chromatin structure responsible for the telomere position effect (1, 14). Gottschling reported that mutations in SIR3, SIR4, and HHF2, genes required for the telomere position effect, altered the accessibility of E. coli dam methylase to TEL-URA3: a susceptible site was more often methylated in the respective mutant cells, demonstrating that the chromatin structure can be altered as a consequence of any of these mutations (13). Therefore, we also examined whether a gal11 Δ mutation alters the accessibility of the GATC site in TEL-URA3 to dam methylase. To evaluate the extent of methylation at this site, total DNA from $GAL11^+$ and $gal11\Delta$ cells was cleaved with either DpnI or MboI, and the efficiencies of cleavage were compared. DpnI cleaves DNA at GATC methylated in both strands, whereas MboI is unable to cut when either strand is methylated; Sau3AI can cut DNA regardless of the methylation state. The results are shown in Fig. 2. In the gal11 TEL-URA3 strain (YS95; Fig. 2B, lane 3), the GATC site within TEL-URA3 was cleaved with DpnI more efficiently than it was in the $GAL11^+$ counterpart (YS94; lane 2; compare fragments C and D in lanes 2 and 3). The opposite was observed with MboI cleavage. Sau3AI could cleave the GATC sites completely. Thus, a gal11 Δ mutation altered the accessibility of the dam methylase to TEL-URA3, indicating that the chromatin structure near the chromosome terminus was modulated by the mutation. Fragments C and D were not detected in YS93 (lane 1), since a HindIII site was not regenerated at the end of the URA3 gene in the gal11 locus. Instead, an E fragment larger than the D fragment was obtained by cleavage at the GATC sites, one in URA3 and the other in the gal11 locus (DpnI and Sau3AI panels, lanes 1). The signals detected between fragments A and C in DpnIcleaved samples and between fragments C and D in MboIcleaved samples may be partial digests of fragment A. In the DpnI panel, a gal11 Δ mutation caused an increase in fragment

TABLE 4. Effects of a gal11 Δ mutation on the 5-FOA resistance of strains having URA3 at telomeric and nontelomeric loci

Strain	Location of URA3"	GAL11 locus	5-FOA resistance ^b (range)
YS92	Normal locus (V)	GAL11 ⁺	$<1 \times 10^{-6}$
YS99	Normal locus (V)	$gal11\Delta$	$5.3 imes 10^{-6}$
YS94	Telomere (VII-L)	GAL11 ⁺	0.46 (0.37-0.56)
YS95	Telomere (VII-L)	$gal11\Delta$	7.5×10^{-4} (4×10^{-4} - 9×10^{-4})

^{*a*} The roman numerals designate which chromosome carries the experimental *URA3* locus, and L indicates that *URA3* is on the left arm of the chromosome. ^{*b*} Determined from at least four independent experiments as described in Materials and Methods and expressed as the average ratio of colonies formed on synthetic complete medium containing 5-FOA to those formed on synthetic complete medium.



FIG. 2. Effect of a gal11 Δ mutation on in vivo methylation of the TEL-URA3. (A) Partial restriction map of the ura3-52, TEL-URA3, and gal11A::URA3 loci. Note that the map is not drawn to a scale. GATC stands for the GATC site susceptible to dam methylation. A black bar indicates a SmaI-EcoRV fragment used as a probe. Fragments to be generated by restriction enzyme cleavage (A to E) are indicated. (B) Southern analysis of DNA from YS93 (gal11A::URA3; lane 1), YS94 (GAL11⁺ TEL-URA3; lane 2), and YS95 (gal11\Delta::LEU2 TEL-URA3; lane 3) strains expressing E. coli dam methylase from a YCp plasmid. DNA was first cleaved with BamHI and HindIII and then digested with DpnI, MboI, or Sau3AI or not subsequently digested as indicated. The fragments depicted in panel A are indicated on the right. The band between fragments A and C in the DpnI panel and that between fragments C and D in the MboI panel (lane 2) may correspond to the partial digests of fragment A; the former was cut at the GATC site in the ura3-52 locus, and the latter was cut at the site to the right of the ura3-52 locus.

B and a decrease in the fragment between fragments A and C (lanes 2 and 3), suggesting that the accessibility to the methylase of the GATC site at the right-hand side of the ura3-52 locus was also affected by the mutation. Note that this site is not in the URA3 gene but is in the Ty sequence whose insertion generated the ura3-52 allele.

Interaction of GAL11 with SIR3 at telomeres. The fact that GAL11 is involved both in the maintenance of the normal length of the $C_{1-3}A$ repeat and in the telomere position effect prompted us to test the interaction of GAL11 with SIR3 and SIR4, which are known to affect the telomere position effect (1). We examined whether overexpression of GAL11 in a sir3 Δ or sir4 Δ strain restores transcriptional repression at telomeres; the converse experiment was also done. The sir1 Δ mutation was not tested, since SIR1 is not involved in the telomere

position effect (1). We assayed the telomere position effect by plating serial 10-fold dilutions of the respective transformants. When this method was used with strains having URA3 at telomeric versus nontelomeric loci, the results shown in Fig. 3A coincided well with those in Table 4. Overproduction of SIR3 in gal11 Δ cells could completely suppress the defect in repression of URA3 at telomeres (Fig. 3B, row 3). Introduction of SIR3 in a YCp plasmid, however, failed to restore repression of TEL-URA3 (data not shown). Overproduction of GAL11 could not suppress expression of TEL-URA3 in sir3 Δ or sir4 Δ cells (Fig. 3B, rows 5 and 8), while it could restore repression in gal11 Δ cells (row 2). Hyperproduction of SIR3 also failed to restore repression in $sir4\Delta$ cells (row 9). These results indicate that GAL11, SIR3, and SIR4 are all involved, though to different extents, in the telomere position effect and in chromatin structure near telomeres. The differences in the efficiency of derepression of TEL-URA3 in different mutants and in multicopy suppression imply that SIR3 is more directly involved in the telomere position effect than GAL11 is.

Since SIR3 is a limiting factor of the telomere position effect (37), the apparent GAL11 effect on the structure and function of telomeres may be exerted through the dosage effect by SIR3; that is, a gal11 Δ mutation causes a reduction in SIR3 expres-



FIG. 3. Effect of a gal11 Δ mutation (A) and effects of overexpression of GAL11 or SIR3 (B) and of SIR1 (C) on URA3 expression of either telomeric or nontelomeric location. (A) Strains from the top are YS92 (GAL11 URA3), YS99 (gal11 Δ URA3), YS94 (GAL11 TEL-URA3), and YS95 (gal11 Δ TEL-URA3). Strains YS94 (GAL11 TEL-URA3), and YS98 (sir4 Δ) (B) and strains YS94 and YS95 (C) were tested for TEL-URA3 expression when they carry a YEp plasmid as indicated. Colonies of each strain grown on rich medium (A) or synthetic complete medium minus Trp (B and C) for 3 days at 30°C were suspended in sterile water at a concentration of ca. 10⁸ cells per ml, and serial 10-fold dilutions were plated onto synthetic complete medium minus Trp with or without 5-FOA (B and C) as described in Materials and Methods. After 3 days at 30°C, plates were photographed.

sion. We tested this possibility by measuring the amount of *SIR3* message by Northern (RNA) analysis and found that there was no significant difference in the level of *SIR3* expression between $GAL11^+$ and $gal11\Delta$ cells (data not shown).

GAL11 and the silencing of the HMRa locus. Since SIR3 and SIR4 were originally identified as genes required for maintenance of transcriptional repression of the HMR and HML loci (38), we tested whether GAL11 is also involved in silencing at the HM loci. Our previous report demonstrated that HMR information is not expressed in MAT α gal11 Δ cells (35), which makes it unlikely that a gall1 Δ mutation derepresses HMRa. However, it is possible that GAL11 plays a minor role in the silencing at HMR such that HMRa was derepressed to a level undetected by our Northern analysis. Alternatively, the silencing mechanism may be redundant, including a GAL11-independent mechanism. Therefore, we constructed a $\Delta hmr::TRP1$ disruption (48) in sir1 Δ and sir3 Δ strains and tested whether multiple copies of GAL11 in these strains can restore repression of TRP1 expression. Overexpression of GAL11 partially restored silencing of the HMR locus in the $sir1\Delta$ mutant (Fig. 4A, row 3) but failed to do so in the sir3 Δ mutant (Fig. 4B, row 3). Hyperproduced GAL11 also failed to suppress expression of TRP1 in the HMR locus in sir4 Δ cells (data not shown). Overexpression of SIR3 could restore the silencing in sir1 Δ cells more efficiently than GAL11 could, whereas SIR4 in a YEp plasmid failed to do so (Fig. 4A, rows 4 and 5). In a control experiment, SIR1 and SIR3 in a YEp plasmid complemented the sir1 Δ and sir3 Δ mutant phenotypes, respectively (Fig. 4A and B, rows 2). These results indicate that GAL11 may be involved in the silencing of the HMR locus and that SIR3 also exerts a dosage effect on the silencing at HMR.

This finding prompted us to examine whether overproduced SIR1 can restore the telomere position effect in a gal11 Δ mutant although SIR1 plays no role in repression of transcription at telomeres in GAL11⁺ cells (1). We found, on the contrary, that SIR1 in a YEp plasmid relieved the silencing at telomeres (Fig. 3C), and the effect appeared to be more significant in gal11 Δ cells than in GAL11⁺ cells. These results suggest that SIR1, when overproduced, antagonizes transcriptional repression at telomeres.

DISCUSSION

In this report, we present evidence that GAL11 is involved in the maintenance of telomere structure and the telomere position effect: a gal11 Δ mutation caused (i) alteration in the length of the $C_{1-3}A$ repeat, (ii) derepression of TEL-URA3 expression, and (iii) an increase in accessibility of dam methylase to a gene placed near telomere. These results suggest that GAL11 plays a role in regulation of regional gene expression. The observation that hyperproduced GAL11 could partially restore silencing at HMR in a sirl Δ mutant also supports this conclusion. However, the fact that URA3 at a telomere was not fully derepressed by a gal11 Δ mutation implies that GAL11 is an auxiliary factor for the position effect and that an additional factor(s) has to be removed for full derepression. Similarly, a gal11 Δ mutation alone was not sufficient to render the GATC site near a telomere fully susceptible to dam methylation (Fig. 2). Alternatively, GAL11 is required for TEL-URA3 expression as well as repression, since GAL11 is required for expression of URA3 at its native locus (16), and Renauld et al. showed that the strength of a gene's promoter is a major determinant for transcriptional repression at telomeres (37). Nevertheless, the fact that URA3 at a telomere was expressed to a significant level in a gal11 Δ mutant indicates that GAL11 does not play a major role in transcriptional activation of TEL-URA3. In other



FIG. 4. Effects of GAL11, SIR3, or SIR4 overexpression on the defective silencing at HMR in sir1 Δ hmr::TRP1 (A) and sir3 Δ hmr::TRP1 (B) mutants. Each strain harboring vector alone or GAL11, SIR1, SIR3, or SIR4 in a YEp plasmid as indicated was grown on synthetic complete medium lacking uracil. After 2 days at 30°C, colonies were suspended in sterile water at a concentration of ca. 10⁸ cells per ml, and serial 10-fold dilutions were spotted onto synthetic complete medium lacking the appropriate nutrient as indicated at the top. Plates were incubated at 30°C for 3 days and then photographed.

words, when closed chromatin structure at a telomere is relieved, TEL-URA3 transcription can be activated in the absence of GAL11 (discussed below).

Although the different effects of a gal11 Δ mutation on SUC2 and SUC7 expression led us to initiate this work that has revealed the involvement of GAL11 in regulation of regional gene expression, the effect of a gal11 Δ mutation on SUC7 expression may not be explained solely by the telomere position effect. The SUC2 and SUC7 promoters may respond differently to GAL11: under derepressing conditions, the two promoters in a plasmid showed distinct sensitivity to loss of GAL11 (Table 3). In spite of the conservation of their upstream sequences (up to -500 for SUC2), including the upstream regulatory region for SUC2 expression, the two sequences become diverged beyond -500 (41). In this region, SUC2 has a binding site for SKO1, one of the factors necessary for glucose repression of SUC2, at -627, whereas SUC7 does not (32, 41). It is possible that GAL11 negatively regulates SUC7 at its normal locus by a mechanism yet to be identified. It also should be noted that SUC7 is located at least ca. 11 kbp from the terminus of a chromosome (4) and that URA3 at a distance of 10 kbp from the telomere of the right arm of chromosome V was not repressed (37). Although the strength of repression varies with different chromosomal environments (SUC7 is embedded in the telomere-associated X and Y' sequences, whereas the right arm of chromosome V lacks the telomere-associated sequence X [4, 14, 37]), more experiments are needed to determine whether SUC7 is under the telomere position effect.

A number of proteins, including RAP1, SIR2, SIR3, SIR4, histone H4, NAT1, and ARD1, affect the structure of and the

position effect at telomeres (1, 24, 28, 36, 48). RAP1 binds to the telomere $C_{1-3}A$ repeat (3, 8, 45), and mutations in *RAP1* cause an alteration, either a lengthening or diminution, in the length of the $C_{1-3}A$ repeat (8, 28, 48). Recently, Kyrion et al. reported that the C-terminal portion of RAP1 is responsible for regulation of the structure and the position effect of telomeres (24, 25). These functional similarities of GAL11, RAP1, and SIR proteins raise the possibility that they act cooperatively in the maintenance of the structure and function of telomeres. However, their contributions appear to be different: the rap1-17 allele exhibits a drastic alteration in the length of the $C_{1-3}A$ repeat (700 to 2,000 bp [25]), whereas a gall1 Δ mutation caused a modest alteration (120 bp; Fig. 1). Both rap1-17 and sir3 Δ mutations relieve the silencing at telomeres fully and alter chromatin structure near telomeres drastically (25), while a gal11 Δ mutation did so partially (Table 4, Fig. 2, and Fig. 3). And overproduction of SIR3 could suppress expression of TEL-URA3 in a gal11 Δ mutant (Fig. 3). These results suggest that RAP1 and the SIR proteins function as a core complex in repression and that GAL11 is an auxiliary factor of the complex. SIR3 and SIR4 have been shown genetically to interact with each other and exert a dosage effect in silencing (26, 29, 49; this work). GAL11 may function by helping assembly of the complex. This GAL11 function can be explained by either protein-protein interaction or alteration in chromatin structure. Although there is no direct evidence for physical interaction between GAL11 and factors involved in the position effect, genetic evidence suggests their interaction (35; this work). Alternatively, GAL11, when combined with RAP1 and SIR proteins, may alter chromatin structure to aid the assembly of the repression complex and/or to establish closed chromatin at telomeres.

Transcriptional repression mechanisms at telomeres and at silencers are similar, involving common factors (1) and chromatin structure (13, 22, 31, 46). In our previous report, however, GAL11 did not appear to be involved in silencing at the HM loci; no derepression of HMRa information was observed in MAT α gal11 Δ cells, and a MAT α gal11 Δ strain mates normally (35). Thus, GAL11 and SIR1 appear to function reciprocally; SIR1 is involved in the HM silencing but not in the telomere position effect (1, 38). Nevertheless, GAL11 and SIR1 exhibit similarities in their functional roles. For example, $gal11\Delta$ mutation does not lead to full derepression of TEL-URA3, just as a sir1 Δ mutation does not fully relieve silencing of the HM loci (reference 38 and this work). Overproduced GAL11 and SIR1 failed to restore the position effect at telomeres (GAL11) and silencing at the HM loci (SIR1) in a sir3 Δ mutant (47; this work). We imagine that at telomeres, GAL11 fulfills a role like the role that SIR1 plays in transcriptional repression at the silencers of the HM loci. In this process, SIR1 is suggested to act as "glue" (47) which can be replaced in part by hyperproduced GAL11. The observation that hyperproduced SIR3 could suppress defects in silencing both at a telomere by $\Delta gal11$ and at HMR by $\Delta sir1$ supports this hypothesis. However, the effects of overexpressed GAL11 and SIR1 were distinct; the former could partially compensate for the loss of SIR1 in the HMR silencing, whereas the latter relieved the position effect at telomeres. This finding suggests an alternative model in which two mechanisms, SIR1 dependent and independent, are involved in silencing at HMR. The results from genetic studies described above are also consistent with this model. Both mechanisms require the other SIR proteins, and the SIR1-independent pathway may be shared with the silencing at telomeres, using GAL11 as an auxiliary factor. According to this model, a gal11 Δ mutation does not affect the SIR1-dependent pathway and therefore does not

appear to alter the silencing state at the HM loci. Overexpression of GAL11 would stimulate the SIR1-independent pathway, resulting in partial restoration of silencing at HMR in the absence of SIR1, whereas excess SIR1 protein might perturb the assembly of the repression complex at telomeres (by titrating out its components?), especially in the absence of GAL11. Recently, Chien et al. (7) showed that the telomere position effect can be enhanced by tethering a GAL4 DNAbinding domain-SIR1 chimeric protein to the telomere and concluded that the unstability of the position effect at telomeres is caused by the inability of telomeres to recruit the SIR1 protein. According to their model, SIR1 plays a central role in establishing the transcriptionally silent state by recruiting other SIR proteins or by modifying the function of SIR proteins. We assume that GAL11 also can help the assembly of the repression complex at telomeres, though less efficiently than the DNA-bound SIR1 can.

GAL11 is required for accurate regulation of transcription of a diverse set of genes (10, 16, 35, 50). At promoters where GAL11 functions positively, GAL11 may help DNA-bound activators to assemble and/or stabilize the initiation complex (16, 35). It is possible that GAL11 itself can interact with the basal factors, since it can activate transcription when tethered to DNA (16, 35a). These functions, however, can be carried out through alteration in chromatin structure at promoters, since nucleosomes are inhibitory to the assembly of the initiation complex. Therefore, we propose that GAL11, like the SNF2-SNF5-SNF6 complex (27), plays roles both in alteration of chromatin structure and in stimulation of the transcription machinery and that GAL11 function, either positive or negative, is determined by a combination of DNA-bound factors and the structures of the target promoters (35a). When GAL11 is combined with RAP1 (and other factors), it functions as a negative regulator at telomeres and as a positive factor at the PYK1 promoter (35). For TEL-URA3 expression, GAL11 may act both positively in conjunction with PPR1 (16) and negatively by maintaining the closed chromatin structure with RAP1 and SIR proteins.

One may argue, however, that GAL11's effect is indirect: the alteration in chromatin structure at TEL-URA3 in a gal11 Δ mutant may be caused by transcription of URA3, since transcriptional activation is accompanied with displacement of nucleosome, or GAL11 affects expression of genes encoding factors involved in the position effect at telomeres. It is difficult to think of a mechanism by which loss of GAL11 directly activates the URA3 promoter, since GAL11 is required for full transcriptional activation of URA3 by PPR1 (16). We cannot, however, rule out the possibility that transcription of an unknown gene adjacent to TEL-URA3 is activated by a gall1 Δ mutation, resulting in an alteration in the chromatin structure and a consequent expression of TEL-URA3. Also, it is still possible that GAL11 affects expression of a gene encoding a limiting factor other than SIR3, although SIR3 expression is not regulated by GAL11.

GAL11 plays a role in transcriptional regulation as an auxiliary factor at both promoters and telomeres and is likely to function in conjunction with DNA-bound factors. Although the presence of such a factor may add more complexity to the regulation mechanism of transcription, its identification forms another connection between structure and biological functions of DNA, including transcription, replication, and recombination.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. Carlson, D. Gottschling, S. Harashima, A. Lustig, R. Malone, R. Sternglanz, and B.-K. Tye for strains and plasmids. We also

thank A. Matsubara for technical assistance and J. Tkacz for reading the manuscript.

Part of this work was supported by a grant-in-aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (to M.N.) from the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture of Japan.

REFERENCES

- 1. Aparicio, O. M., B. L. Billington, and D. E. Gottschling. 1991. Modifiers of position effect are shared between telomeric and silent mating-type loci in S. cerevisiae. Cell 66:1279–1287.
- Brand, A. H., L. Breeden, J. Abraham, R. Sternglanz, and K. Nasmyth. 1985. Characterization of a 'silencer' in yeast: a DNA sequence with properties opposite to those of a transcriptional enhancer. Cell 41:41–48.
- Buchman, A. R., W. J. Kimmerly, J. Rine, and R. D. Kornberg. 1988. Two DNA-binding factors recognize specific sequences at silencers, upstream activating sequences, autonomously replicating sequences, and telomeres in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 8:210–225.
- Carlson, M., J. L. Celenza, and F. J. Eng. 1985. Evolution of the dispersed SUC gene family of Saccharomyces by rearrangements of chromosome telomeres. Mol. Cell. Biol. 5:2894–2902.
- Casadaban, M. J., A. M. Arias, S. T. Shapira, and J. Chou. 1983.
 β-Galactosidase gene fusions for analyzing gene expression in *Escherichia coli* and yeast. Methods Enzymol. 100:293–308.
- 6. Chen, S., R. W. West, Jr., S. L. Johnson, H. Gans, B. Kruger, and J. Ma. 1993. TSF3, a global regulatory protein that silences transcription of yeast *GAL* genes, also mediates repression by $\alpha 2$ repressor and is identical to SIN4. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13:831–840.
- Chien, C.-T., S. Buck, R. Sternglanz, and D. Shore. 1993. Targeting of SIR1 protein establishes transcriptional silencing at *HM* loci and telomeres in yeast. Cell 75:531–541.
- Conrad, M., J. Wright, A. Wolf, and V. Zakian. 1990. RAP1 protein interacts with yeast telomeres in vivo: overproduction alters telomere structure and decreases chromosome stability. Cell 63:739-750.
- Fasher, K.-D., J. Schmitz, and W. Horz. 1990. Role of transactivating proteins in the generation of active chromatin at the *PHO5* promoter in S. cerevisiae. EMBO J. 9:2523–2528.
- Fassler, J. S., and F. Winston. 1989. The Saccharomyces cerevisiae SPT13/GAL11 gene has both positive and negative regulatory roles in transcription. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9:5602–5609.
- 11. Giesman, D., L. Best, and K. Tatchell. 1991. The role of RAP1 in the regulation of the $MAT\alpha$ locus. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11:1069–1079.
- Goldstein, A., and J. O. Lampen. 1975. β-D-Fructofuranoside fructohydrolase from yeast. Methods Enzymol. 42:504–511.
- Gottschling, D. E. 1992. Telomere-proximal DNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae is refractory to methyltransferase activity in vivo. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:4062–4065.
- Gottschling, D. E., O. M. Aparicio, B. L. Billington, and V. A. Zakian. 1990. Position effect at S. cerevisiae telomeres: reversible repression of polII transcription. Cell 63:751-762.
- Grunstein, M. 1990. Histone function in transcription. Annu. Rev. Cell Biol. 6:643–678.
- Himmelfarb, H. L., J. Pearlberg, D. H. Last, and M. Ptashne. 1990. GAL11P: a yeast mutation that potentiates the effect of weak GAL4-derived activators. Cell 63:1299–1309.
- Hirschhorn, J. N., S. A. Brown, C. D. Clark, and F. Winston. 1992. Evidence that SNF2/SWI2 and SNF5 activate transcription in yeast by altering chromatin structure. Genes Dev. 6:2288–2298.
- Hoekstra, M. F., and R. E. Malone. 1985. Expression of the Escherichia coli dam methylase in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: effect of in vivo adenine methylation on genetic recombination and mutation. Mol. Cell. Biol. 5:610–618.
- Ivy, J. M., A. J. S. Klar, and J. B. Hicks. 1986. Cloning and characterization of four *SIR* genes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6:688–702.
- Jiang, Y. W., and D. J. Stillman. 1992. Involvement of the SIN4 global transcriptional regulator in the chromatin structure of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 12:4503–4514.
- Johnson, L. M., P. S. Kayne, E. S. Kahn, and M. Grunstein. 1990. Genetic evidence for an interaction between SIR3 and histone H4 in the repression of silent mating type loci in Saccharomyces

cerevisiae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87:6286-6290.

- Kayne, P. S., U. J. Kim, M. Han, J. R. Mullen, F. Yoshizaki, and M. Grunstein. 1988. Extremely conserved histone H4 N-terminus is dispensable for growth but essential for repressing the silent mating type loci in yeast. Cell 55:27–39.
- Kurtz, S., and D. Shore. 1991. RAP1 protein activates and silences transcription of mating-type genes in yeast. Genes Dev. 5:616–628.
- 24. Kyrion, G., K. A. Boakye, and A. J. Lustig. 1992. C-terminal truncation of RAP1 results in the deregulation of telomere size, stability, and function in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 12:5159–5173.
- Kyrion, G., K. Liu, C. Liu, and A. J. Lustig. 1993. RAP1 and telomere structure regulate telomere position effects in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genes Dev. 7:1146–1159.
- Laurenson, P., and J. Rine. 1992. Silencers, silencing, and heritable transcriptional states. Microbiol. Rev. 56:543–560.
- Laurent, B. C., and M. Carlson. 1992. Yeast SNF2/SWI2, SNF5, and SNF6 proteins function coordinately with the gene specific transcriptional activators GAL4 and bicoid. Genes Dev. 6:1707–1715.
- Lustig, A. J., S. Kurtz, and D. Shore. 1990. Involvement of the silencer and UAS binding protein RAP1 in regulation of telomere length. Science 250:549–553.
- Marshall, M., D. Mahoney, A. Rose, J. B. Hicks, and J. R. Broach. 1987. Functional domains of *SIR4*, a gene required for position effect regulation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 7:4441–4452.
- Mullen, J. R., P. S. Kayne, R. P. Moerschell, S. Tsunasawa, M. Gribskov, M. Colavito-Shepanski, M. Grunstein, F. Sherman, and R. Sternglanz. 1989. Identification and characterization of genes and mutants for an N-terminal acetyltransferase from yeast. EMBO J. 8:2067–2075.
- Nasmyth, K. A. 1982. The regulation of yeast mating-type chromatin structure by SIR: an action at a distance affecting both transcription and transposition. Cell 30:567–578.
- 32. Nehlin, J. O., M. Carlberg, and H. Ronne. 1992. Yeast *SKO1* gene encodes a bZIP protein that binds to the CRE motif and acts as a repressor of transcription. Nucleic Acids Res. 20:5271–5278.
- 33. Nishizawa, M., R. Araki, and Y. Teranishi. 1989. Identification of an upstream activating sequence and an upstream repressible sequence of the pyruvate kinase gene of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9:442–451.
- Nishizawa, M., F. Ozawa, and F. Hishinuma. 1987. Construction of an expression and secretion vector for the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Agric. Biol. Chem. 51:515–521.
- 35. Nishizawa, M., Y. Suzuki, Y. Nogi, K. Matsumoto, and T. Fukasawa. 1990. Yeast Gal11 protein mediates the transcriptional activation signal of two different transacting factors, Gal4 and general regulatory factor I/repressor/activator site binding protein 1/translation upstream factor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87:5373–5377.
- 35a.Nishizawa, M., S. Taga, and A. Matsubara. Submitted for publication.
- Palladino, F., T. Laroche, E. Gilson, A. Axelrod, L. Pillus, and S. M. Gasser. 1993. SIR3 and SIR4 proteins are required for the positioning and integrity of yeast telomeres. Cell 75:543-555.
- 37. Renauld, H., O. M. Aparicio, P. D. Zierath, B. L. Billington, S. K. Chhablani, and D. E. Gottschling. 1993. Silent domains are assembled continuously from the telomere and are defined by promoter distance and strength, and by SIR3 dosage. Genes Dev. 7:1133-1145.
- Rine, J., and I. Herskowitz. 1987. Four genes responsible for a position effect on expression from HML and HMR in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 116:9–22.
- Rothstein, R. J. 1983. One-step gene disruption in yeast. Methods Enzymol. 101:202–210.
- 40. Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Sarokin, L., and M. Carlson. 1985. Comparison of two yeast invertase genes: conservation of the upstream regulatory region. Nucleic Acids Res. 13:6089-6103.
- 42. Sarokin, L., and M. Carlson. 1986. Short repeated elements in the upstream regulatory region of the *SUC2* gene of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6:2324–2333.

- Shampay, J., J. W. Szostak, and E. H. Blackburn. 1984. DNA sequences of telomeres maintained in yeast. Nature (London) 310:154–157.
- 44. Sherman, F., G. R. Fink, and J. B. Hicks. 1986. Laboratory course manual for methods in yeast genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 45. Shore, D., and K. Nasmyth. 1987. Purification and cloning of a DNA-binding protein that binds to both silencer and activator elements. Cell 51:721–732.
- 46. Singh, J., and A. J. S. Klar. 1992. Active genes in budding yeast display enhanced in vivo accessibility to foreign DNA methylases: a novel in vivo probe for chromatin structure of yeast. Genes Dev. 6:186–196.
- 47. Stone, E. M., M. J. Swanson, A. M. Romeo, J. B. Hicks, and R. Sternglanz. 1991. The *SIR1* gene of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and its role as an extragenic suppressor of several mating-defective mutants. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11:2253–2262.
- Sussel, L., and D. Shore. 1991. Separation of transcriptional activation and silencing functions of the *RAP1*-encoded repressor/ activator protein 1: isolation of viable mutants affecting both silencing and telomere length. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88: 7749–7753.

- Sussel, L., D. Vannier, and D. Shore. 1993. Epigenetic switching of transcriptional states: *cis-* and *trans-*acting factors affecting establishment of silencing at the *HMR* locus in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13:3919–3928.
- Suzuki, Y., Y. Nogi, A. Abe, and T. Fukasawa. 1988. GAL11 protein, an auxiliary transcription activator for genes encoding galactose-metabolizing enzymes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 8:4991–4999.
- Vallier, L. G., and M. Carlson. 1991. New SNF genes, GAL11 and GRR1 affect SUC2 expression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 129:675–684.
- Walmsley, R. W., C. S. M. Chan, B.-K. Tye, and T. D. Petes. 1984. Unusual DNA sequences associated with the ends of yeast chromosomes. Nature 310:157–160.
- 53. Whiteway, M., R. Freedman, A. S. Van, J. W. Szostak, and J. Thorner. 1987. The yeast *ARD1* gene product is required for repression of cryptic mating-type information at the *HML* locus. Mol. Cell. Biol. 7:3713–3722.
- Wright, J. H., D. E. Gottschling, and V. A. Zakian. 1992. Saccharomyces telomeres assume a non-nucleosomal chromatin structure. Genes Dev. 6:197–210.