Interleukin-6- and Leukemia Inhibitory Factor-Induced Terminal Differentiation of Myeloid Leukemia Cells Is Blocked at an Intermediate Stage by Constitutive c-myc

BARBARA HOFFMAN-LIEBERMANN* AND DAN A. LIEBERMANN

Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6059

Received 9 November 1990/Accepted 26 January 1991

Interleukin-6 (IL-6) and leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), two multifunctional cytokines, recently have been identified as physiological inducers of hematopoietic cell differentiation which also induce terminal differentiation and growth arrest of the myeloblastic leukemic M1 cell line. In this work, it is shown that c-myc exhibited a unique pattern of expression upon induction of Ml terminal differentiation by LIF or IL-6, with an early transient increase followed by ^a decrease to control levels by ¹² h and no detectable c-myc mRNA by ¹ day; in contrast, c-myb expression was rapidly suppressed, with no detectable $c\text{-}m\gamma b$ mRNA by 12 h. Vectors containing the c-myc gene under control of the I-actin gene promoter were transfected into Ml cells to obtain M1myc cell lines which constitutively synthesized c-myc. Deregulated and continued expression of c-myc blocked terminal differentiation induced by IL-6 or LIF at an intermediate stage in the progression from immature blasts to mature macrophages, precisely at the point in time when c-myc is normally suppressed, leading to intermediate-stage myeloid cells which continued to proliferate in the absence of c-myb expression.

Terminal differentiation of eucaryotic cells involves the regulated progression of cells through successive stages of differentiation and growth inhibition, ultimately resulting in growth arrest. A profound example of this process, which continues throughout life, is the complex formation of blood cells (44). The establishment of in vitro culture systems for the clonal development of bone marrow cells (6, 34) and the availability of the Ml myeloid leukemia cell line, which can be induced for differentiation (M1D+) by physiological myelopoietic differentiation inducers (38), provide an excellent biological system with which to study the molecular biology of normal cell growth and differentiation, and lesions that afflict it, leading to oncogenesis and its progression (18, 19, 25, 26). Recently it has been shown that interleukin-6 (IL-6) and leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), two multifunctional cytokines (2, 43, 45), also act as hematopoietic differentiation inducers which induce terminal differentiation and growth arrest of Ml cells (15, 41).

The c-myc proto-oncogene is expressed in almost all proliferating normal cell types and is down-regulated in many cell types when they are induced to terminally differentiate, and abnormal expression has been associated with many naturally occurring neoplasms (9, 21). However, the function of the c-myc protein remains controversial (11). We have shown that the expression of c-myc, which is high in both normal and Ml leukemic myeloid precursor cells during cell proliferation, is suppressed following induction of terminal differentiation and growth arrest by conditioned medium of mouse lungs (LUCM) (24), a potent physiological source of hematopoietic growth and differentiation inducers that has recently been shown to contain LIF and IL-6 (16a, 41). Forced expression of c-myc in several cell types, including preadipocyte, erythroleukemia, myogenic, and embryonic F9 teratocarcinoma cells, which are induced for differentiation by either undefined (low serum) or chemical

differing results reflect the type of nonphysiological inducer used or variability in the role of c-myc in different cell types is not clear. The availability of the Ml myeloblastic leukemia cells that can be induced for terminal differentiation and growth arrest

inducers, was shown to block differentiation in some cells (10, 12, 14, 35) but not in others (32, 40). Whether these

by LIF or IL-6, physiological inducers of myeloid differentiation, in conjunction with the multitude of immediate-early to late genetic and morphological markers that have been identified to be associated with the different stages of myeloid maturation (24, 28, 29, 31), provides a unique opportunity both to better understand the molecular controls of IL-6- and LIF-induced terminal differentiation and to ascertain the role of c-myc suppression in this process. In this work, vectors containing the c-myc gene under control of the 1-actin gene promoter have been transfected into Ml cells to obtain Mlmyc cell lines which constitutively synthesized c-myc. Our results show that c-myc exhibited a unique pattern of expression upon induction of Ml terminal differentiation by LIF or IL-6 and that deregulated and continued expression of c-myc inhibited terminal differentiation. Differentiation was blocked at an intermediate stage in the progression from immature blasts to mature macrophages, resulting in intermediate-stage myeloid cells which continued to proliferate in the absence of c-myb expression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and cell culture. The murine Ml myeloid leukemic cell line was obtained from E. R. Stanley (Albert Einstein College of Medicine). It was recloned in soft agar, and clones were tested for differentiation-associated properties as described previously (27, 28). Ml differentiation-competent clone 6 (M1D+) (24, 28), induced for differentiation by IL-6 or LIF, was used in this study. Cells were cultured as described previously (27, 28). Viable cell numbers were

^{*} Corresponding author.

determined by trypan blue dye exclusion and counted in a hemacytometer.

Cytokines and other compounds used. Serum-free LUCM was prepared with LiCl (20) and used at a concentration of 10%. Purified human recombinant IL-6 (0.5 mg/ml) was a gift from L. Souza, Amgen, Inc., Thousand Oaks, Calif., and was used at a concentration of 100 ng/ml. Murine recombinant LIF in Cos cell conditioned medium was prepared by using the expression vector pcD-LIF (F. Lee, DNAX) and was used at a concentration of 10 U/ml (27). The cytokines were titrated for differentiation-inducing and growth inhibitory activities as described previously (24, 27, 28). Optimum concentrations of human recombinant IL-6 and murine recombinant IL-6 gave identical responses with M1D+ cells, and purified human recombinant IL-6 was used in the experiments.

Assays for differentiation-associated properties. Fc and C3 receptors (27, 30), lysozyme activity in cell extracts and in the growth medium (22, 27), and cell attachment (27) were determined as described previously. Morphological differentiation was determined by counting at least 300 cells on May-Grünwald-Giemsa-stained cytospin smears and scoring the proportion of immature blast cells, cells at intermediate monocyte stages of differentiation, and mature macrophages (27, 28).

Flow cytometry. Samples of 2×10^6 cells were stained with propidium iodide (Calbiochem) at a final concentration of 50 μ g/ml in the presence of 0.1% Triton X-100 and 90 U of RNase (Worthington) per ml. Cells were analyzed in a fluorescence-activated cell sorter (Becton Dickinson FACS IV

General recombinant DNA techniques and DNA probes. Plasmid preparations, restriction enzyme digestions, DNA fragment preparations, and agarose gel electrophoresis were as described before (24, 27, 28). Probes for c-myc, c-myb, and the β -actin gene were the same as used previously (24). junB ($MyD21$) and $MyD88$ probes were cDNAs cloned in this laboratory (28, 29). Murine ferritin light chain was also cloned in this laboratory from ^a cDNA library of myeloidenriched bone marrow, sequenced by S. Suggs (Amgen), and found to match the known sequence (3). DNA for probes was labeled by random priming to a specific activity equal to or greater than 10^9 cpm/ μ g (13).

Construction of pAc.Myc. The 1.5-kb SstI-HindIII restriction fragment containing 60 bp of untranslated region and all of the coding region (exons ² and 3) of ^a mouse c-myc cDNA (33) was cloned into the HindIII site of pHb APr-1-neo (17) so that c-myc was under control of the β -actin gene promoter. The SstI and HindIII sites were blunt ended with T4 polymerase (IBI).

DNA transfections. Transfections were performed by electroporation (Bio-Rad Gene Pulsor). A pulse was delivered to a 0.7-ml suspension containing 1.5×10^7 cells and 50 μ g of linearized plasmid DNA. The cells were appropriately diluted and after 48 h were subjected to selection in growth medium containing $400 \mu g$ of geneticin (G418 sulfate; GIBCO) per ml. After 4 weeks, surviving cells were diluted and then cloned in agar in the presence of geneticin. Transfectants were maintained in 200 μ g of drug per ml.

Genomic DNA extraction, Southern blotting, and hybridization. DNA was extracted as described previously (39). Following the appropriate restriction enzyme digestions, 20 μ g of DNA was size fractionated on a 1% agarose gel and blotted onto Gene Screen Plus according to recommended procedures (NEN). Filters were prehybridized in hybridization buffer (10% dextran sulfate, ¹ M NaCl, 1% sodium

FIG. 1. Detailed time course of c-myc RNA expression in Ml cells following induction of differentiation by IL-6 or LIF. Northern blots of RNA, isolated following treatment with IL-6 or LIF for the designated times, were hybridized to a c-myc probe.

dodecyl sulfate) at 65°C for 2 to 3 h and, following removal of the buffer, hybridized at 65°C for 12 to 16 h in additional buffer containing denatured probe at 2×10^6 cpm/ml and sheared salmon sperm DNA at $100 \mu g/ml$. Blots were washed according to recommended procedures for Gene Screen Plus (NEN) and exposed to X-ray film at -80° C.

RNA extraction, Northern (RNA) blotting and hybridization. RNA was extracted by the method of Chomczynski and Sacchi (7), using guanidinium thiocyanate. Total RNA (5 μ g/lane) was electrophoresed on 1% agarose-formaldehyde gels. Preparation of Northern blots, hybridization and washing conditions, and stripping blots of probe to rehybridize were done as described previously (27). For quantitation of individual mRNAs, films were exposed for periods during which band intensity was linear with respect to time, and relative intensities of hybridization signals were measured at 560 nm with the gel scan program of a Beckman DU7 spectrophotometer.

RESULTS

c-myc expression analysis in Ml following IL-6 or LIF induction of terminal differentiation and growth arrest. In a previous study, we showed that c-myc transcripts are barely detectable 1 day following induction of terminal differentiation of Ml myeloid leukemia cells, using LUCM (24). Since in this study we used IL-6 and LIF to induce differentiation, it was necessary to ascertain whether c-myc expression was down-regulated with these cytokines as well. Also, to analyze the effects of deregulated and constitutive expression of c-myc expression on IL-6- or LIF-induced myeloid differentiation and growth arrest, it was necessary to examine c-myc RNA levels at earlier times. In exponentially growing Ml cells treated with either IL-6 or LIF, steady-state levels of c-myc transcripts increased rapidly and transiently (Fig. 1). Expression peaked by 3 h at a level 10 times that of the control and gradually declined thereafter (Fig. 1). By 12 h the level of c-myc was similar to that of untreated Ml control cells, and by ¹ day c-myc was no longer detectable.

Construction and analysis of Mlmyc cell lines constitutively expressing c-myc RNA. The vector pAc.Myc (Fig. 2A), in which the coding region of c-myc is under control of the 3-actin gene promoter (see Materials and Methods), was transfected via electroporation into the recipient myeloid leukemic Ml cell line. Transfectants (Mlmyc) were selected for resistance, conferred by the Neo^r gene, to the drug geneticin. In addition, transfectants obtained by using the vector without the c-myc insert (Mlneo) and selected for resistance to geneticin also were isolated as controls. Ten Mlmyc clones and five Mlneo clones were isolated and established as clonal cell lines.

Southern blot analysis of genomic DNA showed two myc-hybridizing bands for clone Mlmyc2 (Fig. 2B), one corresponding to the endogenous c-myc gene and the other

FIG. 2. (A) pAc.Myc vector used for transfection. For construction, see Materials and Methods. (B) Southern blot analysis of genomic DNA demonstrating that Mlmyc2 (Ml transfected with pAC.myc) contains both the endogenous and exogenous c-myc genes. For the analysis, 20 μ g of genomic DNA and 0.1 μ g of pAC.myc were digested with NcoI, resolved on a 1% agarose gel, transferred to Gene Screen Plus (NEN), and hybridized to a c-myc DNA fragment. (C) Analysis of c-myc RNA expression in Ml and Mlmyc2 cells before and after treatment with IL-6 and LIF at the indicated times. Northern blots were hybridized to a c-myc fragment.

corresponding to the exogenous c-myc gene. Similar results were obtained for all Mlmyc cell lines that expressed exogenous c-myc mRNA.

Cloned Mlmyc cell lines were analyzed for the expression of exogenous c-myc transcripts, readily distinguished from endogenous transcripts by size. Northern blot analysis of Mlmyc2 RNA clearly showed the presence of both c-myc transcripts (Fig. 2C). For four representative Mlmyc cell lines, including Mlmyc2, the exogenous transcript levels were considerably higher than endogenous levels; however, for each cell line the steady-state level of total c-myc

transcripts was similar to that in Ml control cells, since the endogenous level was lower than the Ml control level (Fig. 2C). Thus, high expression of the exogenous gene leads to down-regulation of the endogenous c-myc gene, in contrast to results obtained with murine erythroleukemia cells (10). In six other Mlmyc cell lines, the exogenous c-myc transcript levels were lower than endogenous c-myc levels.

Analysis of RNA from Mlmyc2 cells before and at various times after treatment with IL-6 and LIF demonstrated that endogenous c-*mvc* was down-regulated just like for M1 cells; however, the steady-state levels of exogenous c-myc transcripts remained high (Fig. 2C). In Mlmyc2 cells both the endogenous and exogenous c-myc transcripts were elevated by 3 h following treatment with either IL-6 or LIF, and the steady-state level of exogenous c-myc remained higher than that of untreated Mlmyc cells for at least ³ days (Fig. 2C). Therefore, c-myc was constitutively expressed in Mlmyc2 cells. For all other Mlmyc cell lines studied, the results were similar to what has been shown for Mlmyc2.

Constitutive, elevated expression of c-myc and terminal myeloid differentiation. We wanted to ascertain whether constitutive, elevated levels of c-myc expression affected the induction of terminal myeloid differentiation using physiological inducers. In addition to IL-6 and LIF, LUCM was used, although it is not completely defined, because its inducing effects are stronger and the intent was to challenge the Mlmyc cells to differentiate with the most potent inducers available. Detailed results are presented for Mlmyc2, which expressed elevated levels of c-myc, and compared with results for the parental Ml cell line. Five Mlneo cell lines gave results similar to those for Ml; three Mlmyc cell lines, which expressed high levels of exogenous $c-myc$ mRNA, behaved similarly to Mlmyc2.

In contrast to the results obtained with the parental Ml cell line, there were no mature cells present in the Mlmyc2 cell line following stimulation with either LUCM, IL-6, or LIF (Table 1); 65 to 75% of the cells resembled cells at an intermediate stage of differentiation, and the remainder were blasts. It is clear that even with LUCM the Mlmyc2 cells did not undergo terminal differentiation. The difference in the response to differentiation factors of Ml compared with Mlmyc2 can be readily seen by examining the cell morphology 4 days after treatment with IL-6 (Fig. 3a to d). Similar results were seen with LIF.

parental Ml and Mlmyc2 cells

			transcripts (Fig. $2C$). For four representative M1myc cell lines, including M1myc2, the exogenous transcript levels were considerably higher than endogenous levels; however, for each cell line the steady-state level of total c-myc		parental M1 and M1myc2 cells	results were seen with LIF. Analysis of M1myc cell lines which constitutively ex- pressed low levels of c-myc also showed no induction of mature cells following treatment with IL-6, LIF, or LUCM TABLE 1. Induction of terminal differentiation, growth inhibition and differentiation-associated properties by LUCM, IL-6, and LIF in				
Clone	Inducer ^a	Cell no. b (10 ⁶)	Cell adher- ence ^b $(\%)$	Cells in G_0/G_1^c (%)	Fc receptor ^b (%)	$C3$ receptor ^b (%)	Lysozyme b,d	Cell type $(\%)^b$		
								Blast	Intermediate	Mature
M1	None	2.1	$<$ 1	48.2	0.5	1.2	0.13	>99	\leq 1	0
	LUCM	0.22	89	64.8	42	63	11.8		23	74
	IL6	0.39	67	63.4	35	56	8.9	9	57	34
	LIF	0.38	63	63.2	33	57	7.9	10	59	31
M1myc2	None	2.3	$<$ 1	40.4	0.4	1.4	0.11	>99	<1	
	LUCM	1.2	8	41.6	40	19.3	0.39	28	72	
	IL6	1.5	6	42.9	37	17.1	0.42	31	69	
	LIF	1.3	4	41.1	32	11.8	0.46	34	66	

^a LUCM was used at a concentration of 10% (vol/vol), IL-6 was used at 100 ng/ml, and LIF was used at 10 U/ml. Cells were seeded at a density of 0.1 × 10⁶.
^b Determined 4 days after the cells were seeded. All values

Cytofluorometric analysis was performed after 3 days (see Materials and Methods), and the percentages of cells in G_0/G_1 , S, and G_2/M were ascertained. ^d Given in microgram equivalents per 5×10^6 cells.

FIG. 3. Comparison of Ml and Mlmyc cells following treatment with IL-6. Shown are photomicrographs of May-Grünwald-Giemsastained cytospin smears of Ml and Mlmyc2 cells without (a and b) and with (c and d) IL-6 for 4 days (magnification, \times 420). Blasts are characterized by scant cytoplasm and round or oval nuclei; mature cells have large amounts of cytoplasm, irregularly shaped nuclei, and vacuoles. (e to h) Ml and Mlmyc cells in culture without (e and f) and with (g and h) IL-6 for 4 days (magnification, \times 90).

except for Mlmyc9, which resulted in 2% mature cells. Thus, even continued expression of low levels of c-myc blocked terminal differentiation.

By ¹ day following induction for myeloid differentiation, many of the Ml cells formed aggregates and ^a few (5%) of the cells adhered to the tissue culture dish. By day 2, most of the aggregates had disassociated and a larger percentage (30 to 50%) of the cells were adhering. By 4 days, the majority of the Ml cells were adhering to the tissue culture dish (Table

FIG. 4. Growth of Ml and Mlmyc2 cells following treatment with LUCM, IL-6, or LIF. (A) Cells $(0.15 \times 10^6/\text{ml})$ were seeded with or without the appropriate treatment, and viable cell counts were determined at the indicated times. (B) On day 3, cells were seeded at a final concentration of 0.3×10^6 (in some instances cells were concentrated; in other cases they were diluted) in fresh medium with the appropriate treatment, and again viable cell counts were determined at the indicated times. Each time point is the average of three experiments, with a standard deviation up to 15%.

1). In contrast, for Mlmyc2 very few cells were sticking to the culture dish (Table 1), and many of the cells were arranged in large aggregates (Fig. 3e to h show cells treated with IL-6; similar results were obtained with LIF). This is further evidence that Mlmyc2 cells treated with physiological inducers for myeloid differentiation were blocked at an intermediate stage of differentiation.

Effects of constitutive, elevated expression of c-myc on growth arrest associated with terminal differentiation and of withdrawal from the cell cycle. Myeloid leukemic M1 cells induced for terminal differentiation by LUCM, IL-6, or LIF became growth arrested (Table 1). By 4 days following stimulation with LUCM, IL-6, or LIF, the cell concentration was much higher for Mlmyc2 than for the parental Ml cell line (Table 1), although it was lower than for cells not induced to differentiate. To determine whether Mlmyc2 was growth arrested at a later time than Ml or whether Mlmyc2 continued to proliferate in the presence of differentiation inducers, growth kinetics was analyzed (Fig. 4). The kinetic studies clearly demonstrated that Mlmyc2 continued to proliferate for at least ⁷ days in the presence of LUCM, IL-6 or LIF, when Ml no longer proliferated, and the doubling time was ² days, compared with 20 h for untreated Mlmyc or Ml. Clearly, continued expression of c-myc reversed the growth arrest normally induced by LUCM, IL-6, and LIF.

Withdrawal from the cell cycle is believed to be a prerequisite for terminal differentiation of most cell types (14). Ml myeloid leukemic cells accumulated in the G_0/G_1 phase at the expense of the other cell cycle phases when induced to differentiate; in contrast, Mlmyc2 cells treated with inducers of myeloid differentiation behaved like untreated Mlmyc2 cells (Table 1). Our results are consistent with the notion that enforced expression of c-myc precluded cells from entering into a specific growth-arrested state in G_0/G_1 .

Expression of the proto-oncogene c-myb in Ml and Mlmyc cells. The proto-oncogene c-myb, whose transcripts are found primarily in tissues of hematopoietic origin (4, 16), was expressed in autonomously proliferating Ml cells and was down-regulated when Ml was induced to terminally differentiate by LUCM (24), IL-6 (Fig. 5), or LIF (data not shown). By 3 h the steady-state level of c-myb had dropped, and it was barely detectable by 12 h (Fig. 5).

FIG. 5. Analysis of expression of c-myb, junB, MyD88, and the ferritin light-chain gene following IL-6 treatment of Ml and Mlmyc2 cells. Expression was analyzed by hybridization to Northern blots, using total RNA extracted from cells at the indicated times.

Since c-myb expression is associated with proliferating myeloid cells and early suppression follows induction of Ml myeloid cell differentiation and growth arrest, it was interesting to ascertain whether c-myb was suppressed and continued to remain suppressed in Mlmyc2 cells following stimulation with myeloid differentiation factors, which results in proliferating intermediate-stage myeloid cells. The expression of c-myb was suppressed in Mlmyc2 cells, the same as for Ml cells (Fig. 5), at both early and late times following treatment with IL-6.

Induction of primary response genes and changes in gene expression associated with later stages of differentiation. Immediate-early response genes (MyD) , which are induced within 30 min and in the absence of protein synthesis following stimulation of Ml cells with LUCM or IL-6, have been isolated in this laboratory (28, 29). Many of these genes continue to be expressed for at least ³ days. We wanted to ascertain whether any MyD genes were induced in M1myc2 cells following stimulation with a myeloid differentiation factor and whether expression was maintained as for Ml cells. The two genes selected were $junB$, originally cloned as MyD21, and MyD88, a novel gene whose sequence has been reported recently (29). Both junB and MyD88 were strongly induced and continued to be expressed both in Ml and Mlmyc2 cells following stimulation with IL-6 (Fig. 5). That these genes continued to be expressed at the same level in both Ml and Mlmyc2 cells is consistent with the notion that Mlmyc2 cells treated with factors which induced terminal differentiation of Ml cells were blocked at an intermediate stage of differentiation and did not revert to the blast stage. The expression of other MyD genes, namely, c-jun and MyD126 (28), was also found to be induced, and the genes were expressed normally in IL-6-treated Mlmyc2 cells (data not shown).

Fc and C3 receptors start to appear about 12 h following induction of differentiation of Ml cells and continue to increase (30). The percentage of cells with Fc receptors were similar for Ml and Mlmyc2 cells; however, C3 receptors were significantly lower for Mlmyc2 cells (Table 1).

It has been reported that ferritin light-chain mRNA is induced in the human myeloid cell line HL60 (8). Here we show that Ml cells induced for differentiation with IL-6 also induced increased levels of ferritin light-chain transcripts (Fig. 5), with high expression by 3 days. Clearly, no such induction occurred in Mlmyc2 cells.

FIG. 6. Schematic representation of induction of Ml differentiation and the block in Mlmyc2 following treatment with IL-6 or LIF.

Lysozyme is induced in Ml cells, in which it is detected as early as 2 days following stimulation for terminal differentiation and continues to increase (22; Table 1). However, no lysozyme was detected for Mlmyc2 cells induced for differentiation (Table 1).

These results clearly corroborated the previous results showing that Mlmyc2 cells, which constitutively expressed high levels of c-myc transcripts, were blocked at an intermediate stage of differentiation following stimulation by LUCM, IL-6 or LIF, all of which induced terminal differentiation and growth arrest of the parental Ml and transfected Mlneo cell lines.

DISCUSSION

In this report we have shown that terminal myeloid differentiation induced by IL-6 or LIF required suppression of c-myc expression. Continuous expression of c-myc blocked IL-6- or LIF-induced terminal differentiation of Ml myeloid leukemia cells at an intermediate stage in the progression from immature blasts to mature macrophages, shown both by cell morphology and by using genetic markers (Fig. 6). No mature macrophages were detected, but many of the cells were arrested at an intermediate stage of monocyte differentiation. Immediate-early genes (junB, c-jun, $MyD126$, and $MyD88$ have been tested) were induced, c-myb was down-regulated, Fc receptors were induced, low levels of C3 receptors were induced, and both ferritin light chain and lysozyme, which are activated late during differentiation, were not induced. In addition, the cells were precluded from exiting the cell cycle. Growth arrest associated with terminal myeloid differentiation was reversed; however, the doubling time for Mlmyc2 cells in the presence of IL-6 or LIF was 2 days, compared with 20 h for untreated Ml or Mlmyc cells. The block in myeloid differentiation caused by continuous and elevated expression of c-myc is consistent with the time that c-myc is normally suppressed in IL-6- or LIF-treated Ml cells (Fig. 6).

Continued expression of c-myc clearly exerts a pleiotropic effect on myeloid differentiation. By the time c-myc is normally suppressed following treatment with IL-6 or LIF, both Ml and Mlmyc cells have undergone a multitude of changes. Although all these changes have taken place, the failure to suppress c-myc expression still results in a block to differentiation. Experimental evidence that c-myc binds to DNA and may activate or suppress the expression of ^a variety of genes is highly suggestive (5, 11, 21, 42). If this is the case, then continued expression of c-myc may prevent the suppression or activation of genes necessary for terminal myeloid differentiation and growth arrest.

We report, for the first time, that myeloid cells proliferated, albeit at a reduced rate, when no c-myb gene product was available. Usually, c-myb expression is associated with proliferating cells and inhibition of c-myb expression is associated with the terminally differentiated and growtharrested state (23, 24, 36). Consistent with this notion, c-myb continued to be expressed when constitutive c-myc blocked chemically induced differentiation of mouse erythroleukemic cells (11, 12). Therefore, the finding that c-myb was no longer expressed in IL-6- or LIF-treated Mlmyc2 cells, which were blocked at an intermediate stage of differentiation and continued to proliferate, was quite surprising.

The failure of Mlmyc to express the proto-oncogene c-myb following treatment with IL-6 or LIF may account for the observed reduced growth rate, consistent with the observation that antisense c-myb oligomers which diminish the level of c-myb protein also inhibit proliferation, to various degrees, of human myeloid leukemic cell lines (1). On the other hand, the reduced growth rate may be accounted for by the synthesis of beta interferon, which plays an autocrine role suggested to account for part of the growth inhibition associated with terminal myeloid differentiation (37) and which may inhibit cell proliferation independent of continued c-myc expression. Finally, the Mlmyc myeloblastic leukemia cell has undergone extensive changes in gene expression following treatment with IL-6 or LIF prior to sensing that c-myc expression is deregulated, and one or more of these changes may account for the slower growth rate.

Concluding remarks. Our results clearly demonstrated that deregulated and continuous expression of c-myc in Ml myeloid leukemic cells treated with the differentiation inducer IL-6 or LIF resulted in intermediate-stage myeloid cells which continued to proliferate in the absence of c-myb expression (Fig. 6). c-myc may have multifunctional roles in regulating myeloid differentiation and growth, as seen by the pleiotropic effects resulting from continuous expression of $c\text{-}myc$, which is usually suppressed at 18 h after induction of differentiation. In addition to the importance of c-myc suppression for myeloid differentiation, the very rapid initial increase in c-myc expression may play a role as well.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Lawrence Souza (Amgen, Thousand Oaks, Calif.) for generously providing human rIL6 and Frank Lee (DNAX Research Institute, Palo Alto, Calif.) for kindly providing the murine LIF expression vector. We also thank Stacie Goldberg for excellent technical assistance.

This work was supported by American Cancer Society grant IM-544 (B.H.-L.) and NIH grant 1ROlCA43618-01 (D.L.).

REFERENCES

- 1. Anfossi, G., A. M. Gewirtz, and B. Calabretta. 1989. An oligomer complementary to c-myb-encoded mRNA inhibits proliferation of human myeloid leukemia cell lines. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:3379-3383.
- 2. Baumann, H., and G. G. Wong. 1989. Hepatocyte-stimulating factor III shares structural and functional identity with leukemia-inhibitory factor. J. Immunol. 143:1163-1167.
- 3. Beaumont, C., I. Dugat, F. Renaudie, M. Souroujon, and B. Grandchamp. 1989. Transcriptional regulation of ferritin H and L subunits in adult erythroid and liver cells from the mouse. J. Biol. Chem. 264:7498-7504.
- 4. Bender, T. P., C. B. Thompson, and W. M. Kuehl. 1987.

Differential expression of c-myb in RNA in murine B lymphomas by blocked transcription elongation. Science 237:1473- 1476.

- 5. Blackwell, T. K., L. Kretzner, E. M. Blackwood, R. N. Eisenman, and H. Weintraub. 1990. Sequence-specific DNA binding by the c-myc protein. Science 250:1149-1151.
- 6. Bradley, T. R., and D. Metcalf. 1966. The growth of mouse bone marrow cells in vitro. Aust. J. Exp. Biol. Med. Sci. 44:287-300.
- 7. Chomczynski, P., and N. Sacchi. 1987. Single-step method of RNA isolation by acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform extraction. Anal. Biochem. 162:156-159.
- 8. Chou, C., R. A. Gattl, M. L. Fuller, P. Concannon, A. Wong, S. Chada, R. David, and W. A. Salser. 1986. Structure and expression of ferritin genes in a human promyelocytic cell line that differentiated in vitro. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6:566-573.
- Cole, M. D. 1986. The myc oncogene: its role in transformation and differentiation. Annu. Rev. Genet. 20:361-384.
- 10. Coppola, J. A., and M. D. Cole. 1986. Constitutive c-myc oncogene expression blocks mouse erythroleukemia cell differentiation but not commitment. Nature (London) 320:760-763.
- 11. Coppola, J. A., J. M. Parker, G. D. Schuler, and M. D. Cole. 1989. Continued withdrawal from the cell cycle and regulation of cellular genes in mouse erythroleukemia cells blocked in differentiation by the c-myc oncogene. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9:1714- 1720.
- 12. Dmitrovsky, E., W. M. Kuehl, G. F. Hollis, I. R. Kirsch, T. P. Bender, and S. Segal. 1986. Expression of a transfected human c-myc oncogene inhibits differentiation of a mouse erythroleukemia cell line. Nature (London) 322:748-750.
- 13. Feinberg, A. P., and B. Vogelstein. 1983. A technique for radiolabeling DNA restriction endonuclease fragments to high specific activity. Anal. Biochem. 132:6-13.
- 14. Freytag, S. 0. 1988. Enforced expression of the c-myc oncogene inhibits cell differentiation by precluding entry into a distinct predifferentiation state in GO/Gl. Mol. Cell. Biol. 8:1614-1624.
- 15. Gearing, D. P., N. M. Gough, J. A. King, D. J. Hilton, N. A. Nicola, R. J. Simpson, E. C. Nice, A. Kelso, and D. Metcalf. 1987. Molecular cloning and expression of cDNA encoding ^a murine myeloid leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF). EMBO J. 6:3995-4002.
- 16. Gonda, T. J., D. K. Sheiness, and J. M. Bishop. 1982. Transcripts from the cellular homologs of retroviral oncogenes: distribution among chicken tissues. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2:617-624. 16a.Gough, N. Personal communication.
-
- 17. Gunning, P., J. Leavitt, G. Muscat, S. Y. Ng, and L. Kedes. $1987.$ A human β -actin expression vector system directs highlevel accumulation of antisense transcripts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84:4831-4835.
- 18. Hoffman-Liebermann, B., D. Liebermann, and L. Sachs. 1981. Control mechanisms regulating gene expression during normal differentiation of myeloid leukemic cells: differentiation defective mutants blocked in mRNA production and mRNA translation. Dev. Biol. 81:255-265.
- 19. Hoffman-Liebermann, B., and L. Sachs. 1978. Regulation of actin and other proteins in the differentiation of myeloid leukemia cells. Cell 1:825-834.
- 20. Horak, H., A. R. Turner, and 0. W. Yau. 1982. Comparison of colony stimulating activities secreted into mouse lung conditioned medium in the presence and absence of lithium chloride. Exp. Hematol. 10:123-129.
- 21. Kelly, K., and U. Siebenlist. 1986. The regulation and expression of c-myc in normal and malignant cells. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 4:317-338.
- 22. Krystosek, A., and L. Sachs. 1976. Control of lysozyme induction in the differentiation of myeloid leukemic cells. Cell 9:675- 684.
- 23. Kuehl, W. M., T. P. Bender, J. Stafford, D. McClinton, S. Segal, and E. Dmitrovsky. 1988. Expression and function of the c-myb oncogene during hematopoietic differentiation. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 141:318-323.
- 24. Liebermann, D. A., and B. Hoffman-Liebermann. 1989. Protooncogene expression and dissection of the myeloid growth to differentiation developmental cascade. Oncogene 4:583-592.

- 25. Liebermann, D. A., B. Hoffman-Liebermann, and L. Sachs. 1980. Molecular dissection of differentiation in normal and leukemic myeloblasts: separately programmed pathways of gene expression. Dev. Biol. 79:46-63.
- 26. Liebermann, D. A., B. Hoffman-Liebermann, and L. Sachs. 1982. Regulation and role of different macrophage- and granulocyte-inducing proteins in normal and leukemic myeloid cells. Int. J. Cancer 29:159-161.
- 27. Lord, K. A., A. Abdollahi, B. Hoffman-Liebermann, and D. A. Liebermann. 1990. Dissection of the immediate early response of myeloid leukemic cells to terminal differentiation and growth inhibitory stimuli. Cell Growth Differ. 1:637-645.
- 28. Lord, K. A., B. Hoffman-Liebermann, and D. A. Liebermann. 1990. Complexity of the immediate early response of myeloid cells to terminal differentiation and growth arrest includes ICAM-1, JunB and histone variants. Oncogene 5:387-396.
- 29. Lord, D. A., B. Hoffman-Liebermann, and D. A. Liebermann. 1990. Nucleotide sequence and expression of ^a cDNA encoding MyD88, a novel myeloid differentiation primary response gene induced by IL6. Oncogene 5:1095-1097.
- 30. Lotem, J., and L. Sachs. 1976. Control of Fc and C3 receptors on myeloid leukemic cells. J. Immunol. 117:580-586.
- 31. Lotem, J., and L. Sachs. 1977. Genetic dissection of the control of normal differentiation in myeloid leukemic cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5554-5558.
- 32. Nishikura, K., U. Kin, and J. M. Murray. 1990. Differentiation of F9 cells is independent of c-myc expression. Oncogene 5:981-988.
- 33. Persson, H., L. Hennighausen, R. Taub, W. DeGrado, and P. Leder. 1984. Antibodies to human c-myc oncogene product: evidence of an evolutionarily conserved protein induced during cell proliferation. Science 225:687-693.
- 34. Pluznik, D. H., and L. Sachs. 1965. The cloning of normal "mast" cells in tissue culture. J. Cell. Comp. Physiol. 66:319-324.
- 35. Prochownik, E. V., and J. Kukowska. 1986. Deregulated expres-

sion of c-myc by murine erythroleukemia cells prevents differentiation. Nature (London) 322:848-850.

- 36. Ramsay, R. G., K. Ikeda, R. A. Rifkind, and P. A. Marks. 1986. Changes in gene expression associated with induced differentiation of erythroleukemia, protoocogenes, globin genes and cell division. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83:6849-6853.
- 37. Resnitzky, D., A. Yarden, D. Zipori, and A. Kinchi. 1986. Autocrine B-related interferon controls c-myc suppression and growth arrest during hematopoietic cell differentiation. Cell 46:31-40.
- 38. Sachs, L. 1987. The molecular control of blood cell development. Science 238:1374-1379.
- 39. Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 40. Schneider, M. D., M. B. Perryman, P. A. Payne, G. Spizz, R. Roberts, and E. N. Olson. 1987. Autonomous expression of c-myc in BC3H1 cells partially inhibits but does not prevent myogenic differentiation. Mol. Cell. Biol. 7:1973-1977.
- 41. Shabo, Y., J. Lotem, M. Rubinstein, M. Revel, S. C. Clark, S. F. Wolf, R. Kamen, and L. Sachs. 1988. The myeloid blood cell differentiation-inducing protein MGI-2A is interleukin-6. Blood 72:2070-2073.
- 42. Smith, M. J., D. C. Charron-Prochownik, and E. V. Prochownik. 1990. The leucine zipper of c-myc is required for full inhibition of erythroleukemia differentiation. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10:5333- 5339.
- 43. Van Snick, J. 1990. Interleukin-6; an overview. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 8:253-278.
- 44. Wintrobe, M. M., G. R. Lee, D. R. Boggs, T. C. Bithell, J. Foerster, J. W. Athens, and J. N. Lukens. 1981. Clinical hematology, p. 35-74. Lea & Febiger, Philadelphia.
- 45. Yamamori, T., K. Fukada, R. Aebersold, S. Korsching, M. Fann, and P. H. Patterson. 1989. The cholinergic neuronal differentiation factor from heart cells is identical to leukemia inhibitory factor. Science 246:1412-1416.