

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

JAddict Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Addict Dis. 2013 January ; 32(1): 46-52. doi:10.1080/10550887.2012.759870.

Symptoms of Depression and Smoking Behaviors following Treatment with Transdermal Nicotine Patch

Robert A. Schnoll, Ph.D.^{a,i}, Frank T. Leone, M.D.^b, and Brian Hitsman, Ph.D.^c

^aDepartment of Psychiatry and Abramson Cancer Center, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

^bPulmonary, Allergy, & Critical Care Division, University of Pennsylvania, Presbyterian Medical Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

^cDepartment of Preventive Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois

Abstract

In this study, subscales from the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CESD), assessed prior to treatment, were examined as predictors of withdrawal, craving, and affect during the first week of abstinence, as well as smoking abstinence during the first week of abstinence and at the end of treatment. The negative affect and somatic features CESD subscales were related to higher levels of nicotine withdrawal. The relationship between the interpersonal disturbance CESD subscale and nicotine withdrawal approached significance. This study suggests the need to examine novel psychological mechanisms that may account for the relationship between depression symptoms and smoking cessation.

Keywords

Smoking Cessation; Depression; CESD; withdrawal

INTRODUCTION

There is an extensive literature concerning the relationship between depression and nicotine dependence, spanning several decades and including epidemiologic studies and clinical trials.^{1–2} While the data linking a history of major depression to poor outcomes following nicotine dependence treatment remains equivocal,³ the association between current depressive symptoms and an inability to quit smoking is more consistent.^{4–9}

This research has stimulated investigations into mechanisms that link depressive symptoms to poor nicotine dependence treatment outcomes. Pomerleau and colleagues^{10–12} have shown that pre-treatment depressive symptoms increase post-cessation nicotine withdrawal, craving, and psychological distress which, in turn, decrease the individual's ability to maintain abstinence from smoking. However, other studies have failed to replicate this relationship,^{4, 13} indicating that the mechanisms linking pre-treatment depression to smoking cessation treatment outcomes remain unresolved.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS

ⁱCorresponding Author: Robert A. Schnoll, Ph.D., Department of Psychiatry, University of Pennsylvania, 3535 Market Street, 4th Floor, Philadelphia PA, 19104; Phone: 215-746-7143; Fax: 215-746-7140; schnoll@mail.med.upenn.edu.

Dr. Schnoll has served as a consultant to GlaxoSmithKline, the company that manufactures the nicotine patch used in this study. However, GSK did not provide medication or financial support for this study.

Many of these studies have utilized the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CESD) to measure depressive symptoms. Recently, it has been suggested that investigations of the relationship between smoking outcomes and depression symptoms should consider a broader conceptual view of depression symptoms.^{14,15} Consistent with this perspective, researchers have distilled four CESD dimensions: negative affect (e.g., sadness), somatic features (e.g., appetite and sleep disturbance), anhedonia (e.g., lack of positive affect), and interpersonal disturbance (e.g., social difficulties).^{16–18} To date, only one study has examined the CESD subscales as predictors of initial cessation reactions in a smoking cessation clinical trial, reporting that only the anehodonia subscale predicted increased withdrawal and relapse.¹⁴ While this study advanced our understanding of the nature of the relationship between depression and smoking, independent replication would support theoretical progress and clinical refinements. Thus, this study sought to examine the relationship between CESD subscales and initial cessation reactions (i.e., withdrawal, craving, and affect) and cessation following treatment with nicotine patch.

METHODS

Study design

A complete description of the trial from which the current data were ascertained can be found in Schnoll et al.¹⁹ To summarize, data were taken from a clinical trial of standard (21mg) vs. high (42mg) dose transdermal nicotine. Participants (n = 87), recruited via media ads, were randomized to eight weeks of two 21mg nicotine patches or one 21mg nicotine patch and a placebo patch. All participants received five behavioral counseling sessions similar to that used in past smoking cessation clinical trials.²⁰ At a pre-treatment session (week -1), participants completed questionnaires, including the CESD and measures of withdrawal, craving, and affect. The next week (week 0), participants were instructed to quit smoking and initiate the patch. Measures of withdrawal, craving, and affect at week 1. Smoking cessation was assessed at week 1 and at the end of treatment (week 8) using self-report and breath carbon monoxide (CO).²¹ All procedures were approved by the University of Pennsylvania Institutional Review Board and all participants completed an informed consent and HIPAA document prior to enrollment and data collection.

Participants

Study participants were treatment-seeking smokers, age 18–55, self-reported smoking 10 cigarettes/day, and able to communicate in English. To be eligible, participants had to be fast metabolizers of nicotine (i.e., have a ratio of 3' hydroxycotinine [3-HC] to cotinine, the two primary metabolites of nicotine, of $.18.^{22-24}$ Participants were excluded if they had a health condition that may increase risk of adverse reactions to the nicotine patch (e.g., uncontrolled hypertension, pregnant), if they were currently using a smoking cessation medication (e.g., varenicline), anti-psychotics, anti-depressants, anxiolytics, or stimulants, if they had a recent history (< 12 months) of substance abuse or dependence, or if they reported a history or a current diagnosis of psychosis, major depression, an anxiety disorder, or bipolar disorder assessed by the MINI International Neuropsychiatric Interview.²⁵

Measures

Covariates—Socio-demographic information such as age and gender was collected. *Measured weight (in pounds) was assessed prior to treatment (week –1) and at the end of treatment (week 8).* Smoking characteristics were assessed including level of nicotine dependence using the Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence.²⁶ Saliva was used to determine nicotine metabolism rate (3-HC/cotinine) following procedures described in Dempsey et al.²²

Initial reactions to nicotine abstinence—Three scales assessed initial reactions to quitting smoking. The Minnesota Nicotine Withdrawal Scale measured withdrawal symptoms associated with quitting smoking (e.g., irritability).²⁷ The brief Questionnaire of Smoking Urges²⁸ assessed craving for cigarettes using two subscales (anticipation of reward, relief from negative affect). The Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS)²⁹, assessed positive (e.g., enthusiastic) and negative (e.g., distressed) affect.

Depression—The CESD assessed depressive symptoms.³⁰ In addition to the total score, subscale scores were examined to evaluate unique aspects of depression.^{14, 18, 31} The factor structure of the four subscales - negative affect, somatic features, anhedonia, and interpersonal disturbance – has been verified and each subscale has good internal consistency reliability.¹⁴

Smoking Cessation—Consistent with recommendations²¹ and previous studies¹⁹, smoking cessation was defined as self-reported cessation, verified by breath CO (10ppm).³² Abstinence was assessed at week 1 and week 8. Seven-day point prevalence abstinence was assessed since this is the most common measure in smoking cessation clinical trials. But, 24-hour point prevalence abstinence was also measured since this is the most appropriate point-prevalence measure to use with CO as the form of biochemical verification given the half-life of CO.²¹ For both measures, participants were assumed to be smoking if they were lost to follow-up, failed to provide a CO, or had CO levels > 10ppm.

Statistical analysis

The association between the CESD and potential covariates (e.g., sex, age, rate of nicotine metabolism, *change in weight*) was examined using Pearson correlation and analysis of variance. Next, multivariate linear regression was used to evaluate the relationship between pre-treatment depression and week 1 measures of withdrawal, craving, and affect. Separate models were examined for withdrawal, craving, positive affect, and negative affect and for each subscale of the CESD and the total CESD. Week –1 measures of withdrawal, craving, and affect were included in the models to control for pre-treatment values. Gender, rate of nicotine metabolism, and treatment arm allocation were included as covariatesⁱⁱ. Lastly, multivariate logistic regression examined the relationship between the CESD subscales and total score and week 1 and 8 cessation rates, controlling for gender, rate of nicotine metabolism, and treatment arm allocation.

RESULTS

Depression and covariates

There were no significant correlations between the CESD summary score, or subscale scores, and participant age, level of education and income, rate of nicotine metabolism, baseline cotinine and cigarettes per day, years of smoking, FTND, number of previous attempts to quit smoking, duration of longest previous quit attempt, gender, marital status, *and weight change from pre-treatment to the end of treatment.*ⁱⁱⁱ

Depression and initial reactions to abstinence

There were no significant correlations between the CESD summary score, or subscale scores, and craving and positive and negative affect. Further, the CESD summary score and the CESD anhedonia subscale were not associated with withdrawal (see Table 1). However,

iiiThere was no relationship found between withdrawal symptoms and changes in weight across the treatment phase.

ⁱⁱThere were no significant interaction effects between depression and treatment arm.

J Addict Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 January 01.

the CESD negative affect subscale ($\beta = .25$, p = .05) and the CESD somatic features subscale ($\beta = .26$, p = .03) were significantly associated with withdrawal symptoms. The relationship between the CESD interpersonal disturbance and withdrawal symptoms approached significance ($\beta = .18$, p = .08). The addition of the negative affect subscale and the somatic features subscale to their respective prediction models yielded a significant increase in variance explained in withdrawal (R^2 change = .04, p = .05 for negative affect; R^2 change = .04, p = .03 for somatic features).

Depression and Abstinence

We found no significant associations between the CESD summary and subscale scores and any measure of smoking cessation at week 1 and week 8.

DISCUSSION

We examined the association between depression, using CESD subscales, and initial withdrawal, craving, and positive and negative affect, as well as smoking cessation, among smokers without a history of psychiatric disorder following treatment with nicotine patch. Overall, the study found limited evidence of an association between pre-treatment depression and initial withdrawal, craving, and affect, and initial or end-of-treatment cessation. Only the association between the negative affect and somatic features CESD subscales and increased withdrawal was found. We discuss these results in the context of previous studies.

First, contrary to numerous studies that have reported pre-treatment depression to be a significant predictor of smoking cessation, including with nicotine replacement therapies (NRTs),^{6, 33} we found no evidence of this relationship, even with the CESD subscales. Other studies, however, have also failed to detect a relationship between depression and post-treatment cessation following NRT,³⁴ indicating that the relationship between depression and the ability to quit smoking is complex. Important smoker (e.g., race or gender) and treatment (e.g., duration of treatment) characteristics may moderate this relationship. Further, differences across studies could not be ruled out as a possible explanation for divergent findings. The scales used to assess depression symptoms, such as the CESD, may also not reflect clinically-meaningful depression that would predict smoking outcomes.

Second, contrary to expectation, we found no relationship between pre-treatment depression symptoms and post-cessation craving and positive and negative affect. Again, while co-factors that moderate this relationship and methodological differences across studies may explain these divergent findings, it is also plausible that craving and affective reactions following cessation do not serve as mechanisms through which depression symptoms influence cessation. Future investigations focused on understanding the association between depression and smoking cessation should consider novel mechanisms such as depression-associated cognitive processes, which have recently received greater attention as predictors of smoking behaviors.³⁵

Lastly, our evaluation of the relationship between the CESD subscales and withdrawal indicated that this relationship was confined to the subscales measuring negative affect and somatic features. This finding diverges from Leventhal et al.¹⁴ which found that anhedonia, but not negative affect or somatic features, predicted increased withdrawal symptoms. Dissimilarities across studies in terms of sample composition (fast nicotine metabolizers and no history of major depression vs. heavy alcohol drinkers with possible history of major depression) or treatment (different nicotine patch doses vs. 21mg dose) may explain these differences. Alternatively, this finding is congruent with the suggestion that depression is

weakly associated with smoking behaviors, since these two subscales are thought to represent symptoms that are more generalized to a range of psychological conditions, including anxiety disorders, whereas anhedonia is more specific to depression.¹ The relationship between anxiety symptoms and withdrawal and response to nicotine dependence treatment has been described.³⁶

Consideration of study limitations is necessary. First, this study included treatment-seeking smokers who were rigorously screened for medical conditions and were under age 55. Thus, this sample may not represent the US population of smokers or participants in similar studies. Second, measures of depression, withdrawal, craving, and affect may not capture the full dimension of each construct. Third, the sample was relatively small and, thus, the study was under-powered. *Fourth, the present findings may be confined to the use of nicotine replacement therapy and may not generalize to treatment programs involving other medications for nicotine dependence, including bupropion and varenicline.* Lastly, the data were ascertained from a clinical trial of fast nicotine metabolizers who received different doses of transdermal nicotine. While this selection includes 75% of the population of smokers, by definition, and we controlled for treatment arm allocation in the analyses, these are important factors to consider.

This study furthers our understanding of the relationship between depression symptoms and post-treatment smoking behaviors. The results suggest the need to broaden our examination of potential mechanisms linking depression and smoking cessation and encourage further examination of symptoms of psychological distress that may represent broader psychiatric conditions. The more we understand the process of cessation for smokers, the more able we are to refine treatment approaches to improve cessation rates.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the following individuals who participated or assisted in the implementation of this research project: Caryn Lerman, Elissa Martinez, Angela Pinto, Freda Patterson, Ainsley Backman, Carolina Miranda, Lynne Kohler, Ben Spears, and Sophie Feller. We also thank Lisa Yu and Trisha Mao for performing the analytical chemistry for this study.

This research was supported by grants from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (R21 DA026889, R01 DA025078, P30 DA12393), by a grant from the National Institute on Drug Abuse and the National Cancer Institute (P50 CA143187).

References

- 1. Ameringer KJ, Leventhal AM. Applying the tripartite model of anxiety and depression to cigarette smoking: an integrative review. Nicotine Tob Res. 2010; 12:1183–94. [PubMed: 21036959]
- Covey LS, Glassman AH, Stetner F. Major depression following smoking cessation. Am J Psychiatry. 1997; 154:263–65. [PubMed: 9016279]
- 3. Hitsman B, Borrelli B, McChargue DE, Spring B, Niaura R. History of depression and smoking cessation outcome: A meta-analysis. J Consult Clin Psychology. 2003; 71:657–63.
- 4. Cinciripini PM, Wetter DW, Fouladi RT, Blalock JA, Carter BL, Cinciripini LG, et al. The effects of depressed mood on smoking cessation: Mediation by postcessation self-efficacy. J Consult Clin Psychology. 2003; 71:292–301.
- Hitsman B, Pingitore R, Spring B, Mahableshwarkar A, Mizes JS, Segraves KA, et al. Antidepressant pharmacotherapy helps some cigarette smokers more than others. J Consult Clin Psychology. 1999; 67:547–54.
- Kinnunen T, Doherty K, Militello FS, Garvey AJ. Depression and smoking cessation: Characteristics of depressed smokers and effects of nicotine replacement. J Consult Clinical Psychology. 1996; 64:791–8.

- Niaura R, Britt DM, Shadel WG, Goldstein M, Abrams D, Brown R. Symptoms of depression and survival experience among three samples of smokers trying to quit. Psychology Addict Beh. 2001; 15:13–7.
- Schnoll RA, Martinez E, Tatum K, Weber D, Kuzla N, Glass M, et al. A bupropion smoking cessation clinical trial for cancer patients. Cancer Causes Cont. 2010; 21:811–20.
- Swan GE, Jack LM, Chorost M, Javitz H, Curry S, McAfee T, et al. Bupropion SR and counseling for smoking cessation in actual practice: Predictors of outcome. Nic Tob Res. 2003; 5:911–21.
- Pomerleau CS, Marks JL, Pomerleau OF. Who gets what symptom? Effects of psychiatric cofactors and nicotine dependence on patterns of smoking withdrawal symptomatology. Nic Tob Res. 2000; 2:275–80.
- Pomerleau OF, Pomerleau CS, Mehringer AM, Snedecor SM, Ninowski R, Sen A. Nicotine dependence, depression, and gender: Characterizing phenotypes based on withdrawal discomfort, response to smoking, and ability to abstain. Nic Tob Res. 2005; 7:91–102.
- Pomerleau OF, Pomerleau CS, Snedecor SM, Gaulrapp S, Brouwer RN, Cameron OG. Depression, smoking abstinence and HPA function in women smokers. Hum Psychopharm: Clin Exp. 2004; 19:467–76.
- Wileyto P, O'Loughlin J, Lagerlund M, Meshefedjian G, Dugas E, Gervais A. Distinguishing risk factors for the onset of cravings, withdrawal symptoms and tolerance in novice adolescent smokers. Tob Cont. 2009; 18:387–92.
- Leventhal AM, Ramsey S, Brown RA, LaChance HR, Kahler CW. Dimensions of depressive symptoms and smoking cessation. Nic Tob Res. 2008; 10:507–17.
- Pomerleau CS, Zucker AN, Stewart AJ. Patterns of depressive symptomatology in women smokers, ex-smokers, and never-smokers. Addict Behav. 2003; 28:575–82. [PubMed: 12628628]
- Devins GM, Orme CM, Costello CG, Binik YM. Measuring depressive symptoms in illness populations: Psychometric properties of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) scale. Psychol Heal. 1988; 2:139–56.
- Knight RG, Williams S, McGee R, Olaman S. Psychometric properties of the Centre for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) in a sample of women in middle life. Beh Res Ther. 1997; 35:373–80.
- Shafer AB. Meta-analysis of the factor structures of four depression questionnaires: Beck, CES-D, Hamilton, and Zung. J Clin Psychol. 2006; 62:123–146. [PubMed: 16287149]
- 19. Schnoll RA, Wileyto P, Leone FT, Tyndale RF, Benowitz NL. High dose transdermal nicotine for fast metabolizers of nicotine: A proof of concept placebo-controlled trial. Nic Tob Res. in press.
- Lerman C, Kaufmann V, Rukstalis M, Patterson F, Perkins K, Audrain-McGovern J. Individualizing nicotine replacement therapy for the treatment of tobacco dependence: a randomized trial. Ann Internal Med. 1999; 140:426–33. [PubMed: 15023708]
- 21. Hughes JR, Keely JP, Niaura RS, Ossip-Klein DJ, Richmond RL, Swan GE. Measures of abstinence in clinical trials: issues and recommendations. Nic Tob Res. 2003; 5:13–25.
- Dempsey D, Tutka P, Jacob P 3rd, Allen F, Schoedel K, et al. Nicotine metabolite ratio as an index of cytochrome P450 2A6 metabolic activity. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2004; 76:64–72. [PubMed: 15229465]
- Lerman C, Tyndale R, Patterson F, Wileyto EP, Shields PG, Pinto A, et al. Nicotine metabolite ratio predicts efficacy of transdermal nicotine for smoking cessation. Clin Pharmacol Thera. 2006; 79:600–8.
- Schnoll RA, Patterson F, Wileyto EP, Tyndale RF, Benowitz N, Lerman C. Nicotine metabolic rate predicts successful smoking cessation with transdermal nicotine: A validation study. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2009; 92:6–11.
- Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, Amorim P, Janavs J, Weiller E, et al. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I): The development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. J Clin Psychiatry. 1998; 59:22–33. [PubMed: 9881538]
- Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, Fagerström KO. The Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence: a revision of the Fagerström Tolerance Questionnaire. Br J Addict. 1991; 86:1119– 27. [PubMed: 1932883]

Schnoll et al.

- 27. Hughes JR, Hatsukami DK, Pickens RW, Krahn D, Malin S, Luknic A. Effect of nicotine on the tobacco withdrawal syndrome. Psychopharmacol (Berl). 1984; 83:82–7.
- 28. Cox LS, Tiffany ST, Christen AG. Evaluation of the brief questionnaire of smoking urges (QSUbrief) in laboratory and clinical settings. NicTob Res. 2001; 3:7–16.
- 29. Watson D, Clark LA, Tellegen A. Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: the PANAS scales. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1988; 54:1063–70. [PubMed: 3397865]
- Radloff L. The CES-D scale: A new self-report depression scale for research in the general population. App Psychol Measure. 1977; 1:385–401.
- 31. Blazer DG, Hybels CF. What symptoms of depression predict mortality in community- dwelling elders? J Amer Geriatrics Soc. 2004; 52:2052–56.
- Benowitz NL, Jacob P, Ahijevych K, Jarvis MJ, Hall S, LeHouezec J. Biochemical verification of tobacco use and cessation. Nic Tob Res. 2002; 4:149–59.
- 33. Kinnunen T, Korhonen T, Garvey AJ. Role of nicotine gum and pre-treatment depressive symptoms in smoking cessation: Twelve month results of a randomized placebo controlled trial. Inter J Psychiatry Med. 2008; 38:373–89.
- Catley D, Ahluwalia JS, Resnicow K, Nazir N. Depressive symptoms and smoking cessation among inner-city African Americans using the nicotine patch. NicTob Res. 2003; 5:61–8.
- 35. Malpass D, Higgs S. Acute psychomotor, subjective, and physiological responses to smoking in depressed outpatient smokers and matched controls. Psychopharmacol. 2007; 190:363–72.
- 36. Piper ME, Cook JW, Schlam TR, Jorenby DE, Baker TB. Anxiety diagnoses in smokers seeking cessation treatment: relations with tobacco dependence, withdrawal, outcome and response to treatment. Addict. 2011; 106:418–27.

Schnoll et al.

TABLE 1

Prediction Models for Depression and Week 1		Withdrawal Symptome	errondered of the manner of
Prediction Models for Depression and Weel		7	
Prediction Models for Depression and		Weel	
Prediction Models for Depression an		÷	5
Prediction Models for Depression		9 N	3
Prediction Models for I		Denression	morees ides
Prediction Models for		5	
Prediction Models	,	Ę	2
Prediction Mod		5	
Prediction Me		2	5
rediction 1		Ż	
		Prediction	

<u>Model/Predictor</u>	B	<u>95% CI</u>	ţ	đ	1	đ
Negative Affect					7.75	< .001
Treatment Arm	.04	-2.21 - 3.31	.40	69.		
Sex	.08	-1.62 - 3.96	.84	.41		
NMR	16	-8.5870	-1.70	.10		
Pre-treatment Withdrawal	.36	.1476	2.93	.004		
Negative Affect	.25	.002 - 1.02	2.00	.05		
Anhedonia					6.61	< .001
Treatment Arm	.05	-2.79-3.58	.53	.60		
Sex	.04	-2.24-3.44	.42	.67		
NMR	16	-8.5498	-1.58	.12		
Pre-treatment Withdrawal	.53	.3993	4.84	< .001		
Anhedonia	03	7860	0.26	.80		
Somatic Features					7.94	< .001
Treatment Arm	.06	-1.91 - 3.58	.60	.55		
Sex	.05	-2.00 - 3.45	.53	.60		
NMR	15	-8.16 - 1.09	-1.52	.13		
Pre-treatment Withdrawal	.36	.1575	2.98	.004		
Somatic Features	.26	.04–1.09	2.16	.03		
Interpersonal Disturbance					7.52	< .001
Treatment Arm	.05	-2.00 - 3.54	.55	.58		
Sex	.07	-1.77 - 3.80	.73	.47		
NMR	16	-8.6867	-1.71	60.		
Pre-treatment Withdrawal	.46	.32–.82	4.48	< .001		
Interpersonal Disturbance	.18	18-3.32	1.79	.08		