Prevalence and Correlates of Sexual Risk Behaviors Among Drug Users in Western China: Implications for HIV Transmission

Jiegang Huang^{1,2,*} Junjun Jiang^{1,2,*} Jonathan Z. Li,³ Xiaobo Yang¹ Wei Deng¹ Abu S. Abdullah^{1,4} Bo Qin,⁵ Halmurat Upur,⁶ Chaohui Zhong,⁷ Qianqiu Wang,⁸ Qian Wang,⁶ Yuhua Ruan,⁹ Yunfeng Zou,¹ Li Ye,¹ Peiyan Xie,¹ Fumei Wei,¹ Na Xu,¹ Bo Wei,¹ and Hao Liang^{1,2}

Abstract

The prevalence and correlates of sexual risk behaviors among drug users in western China and the implications for HIV transmission in this population are described. A cross-sectional survey of male drug users was conducted in methadone maintenance therapy clinics and detoxification centers in three western provinces of China between September 2009 and December 2010. Participants in the study completed a questionnaire about demographics, HIV/AIDS knowledge, drug use history, sexual risk behaviors, and other psychosocial variables. Factors associated with HIV sexual risk behaviors were identified by multiple logistic regression analysis. Of 1,304 drug users surveyed, nearly 54% never used condoms during sexual intercourse with a spouse or cohabitant, and this behavior was associated with coming from Chongqing (OR=1.86, p < 0.05), being aged 36 and older (OR=5.03, p < 0.05), being married or cohabiting (OR = 1.68, p < 0.05), having first taken drugs at age 30 and above (OR = 1.80, p < 0.05), and having received AIDS advice or detection from authorities in the past year (OR=1.95, p < 0.05). Twenty-six percent had had sex with casual sexual partners in the past year, and this behavior was associated with being married or cohabiting (OR=0.30, p < 0.05), first taking drugs at age 31 and above (OR=0.42, p < 0.05), and receiving AIDS advice or HIV detection from authorities in the past year (OR=0.70, p < 0.05). About 34% never used a condom when having sex with casual sexual partners, and this behavior was associated with coming from Guangxi (OR=2.81, p < 0.05) or Chongqing (OR=2.73, p < 0.05). Almost 14% had had commercial sex in the past year, and this behavior was associated with coming from Guangxi (OR=6.26, p<0.05) or Chongqing (OR=5.44, p < 0.05) and having exchanged needles or received clean needles from the Needle Exchange Centers in the past year (OR=2.76, p < 0.05). Nearly 23% had never used condoms when having commercial sex, and this behavior was associated with having received free condoms from authorities in the past year (OR = 0.26, p < 0.05). Sexual risk behaviors among drug users in Guangxi, Chongqing, and Xinjiang are common. Additional intervention strategies are needed to control the spread of HIV in this population.

Introduction

A^T THE END OF 2011, approximately 780,000 people were estimated to be living with HIV/AIDS in China.¹ Heterosexual transmission accounts for more than half of the

cases since 2007.^{2–4} Drug users acquire HIV infection by sharing needles, having sex with HIV-infected partners, or both, making them a "dual risk" group.^{5–7} In China, it was estimated that 29.4% of HIV transmissions were through injecting drugs, and 37.9% were through heterosexual sex in 2007.⁴

¹School of Public Health, Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, China.

²Guangxi Key Laboratory Cultivation Base of AIDS Prevention and Treatment, Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, China.

³Section of Retroviral Therapeutics, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

⁴Department of Medicine (MISU), Boston Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts.

⁵The First Affiliated Hospital, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China.

School of Public Health, Xinjiang Medical University, Xinjiang, China.

⁷School of Public Health, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China.

⁸National Center for STD Control, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Nanjing, China.

⁹State Key Laboratory for Infectious Disease Prevention and Control, and National Center for AIDS/STD Control and Prevention (NCAIDS), Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China.

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.

Drug users are considered a bridge population as they are at high risk of HIV infection due to their risk behaviors and they frequently transmit HIV to the general population.^{8,9} For this reason, the strategies to control HIV transmission in this population may differ from the approaches in the general population.

The sexual behaviors in this population could be influenced by drug use¹⁰ and may differ from those in the general population.^{11,12} Previous studies have shown that drug users worldwide are likely to engage in high-risk sexual behaviors. In Atlanta, Georgia, drug users exhibited high levels of sexual risk behaviors; 61% of drug-using men had two or more female partners in the preceding 3 months and 51% did not use a condom when they last had intercourse.¹¹ In Italy, Russia, and Uzbekistan, drug users were found to have multiple sex partners in the preceding months,^{13–15} and most of them reported having sex without condoms.¹⁴ In India and Vietnam (Asia) and in Kenya (Africa), drug users were more likely to have multiple sex partners compared with nondrug users^{12,16,17} and were more likely to visit commercial sex workers¹² in the past year. In China, 30-75% of drug users reported having multiple sexual partners.^{5,9,10} Commercial sexual behaviors among them were also fairly common.9 They inconsistently used condoms when having sex with their spouses or commercial sexual partners.^{9,10} These high-risk sexual behaviors contributed to a high incidence of HIV infection among drug users.¹⁰ Among injection drug users (IDUs) who were undergoing methadone maintenance therapy (MMT), sexual transmission is likely to be a more important route of HIV transmission than needle sharing. While the number of drug users in China is increasing continuously, knowledge concerning their sexual risk behaviors needs to be updated,^{6,18,19} and additional investigation of the sexual behaviors of this population is urgently needed.

In this study, we collected and analyzed data from drug users in Guangxi, Sichuan, and Xinjiang provinces, three western provinces with high rates of drug use and high rates of HIV infection among drug users in China.²⁰ Our goal was to determine the characteristics and correlates of sexual risk behaviors in the drug using population in these areas. The information obtained from this study will be crucial in the development of targeted HIV prevention strategies for this high-risk population.

Materials and Methods

Study site and participants

A cross-sectional survey was conducted by face-to-face structured interviews with drug users from MMT clinics and detoxification centers in Guangxi, Chongqing, and Xinjiang provinces in western China. All subjects in these clinics from September 2009 to December 2010 were screened for eligibility for the study. We recruited participants who were male and had taken any type of drugs for more than 6 months. The subjects who were unable to provide voluntary informed consent or who were suffering from severe mental illness, mental retardation, or language disorder were excluded. The study was approved by the Ethics and Human Subjects Committee (EHSC) of the Guangxi Medical University.

Data collection

The questionnaire consisted of 83 items that utilized both closed and open-ended questions. It was designed with the primary aim of obtaining information on the participant's sexual behaviors and the acceptability of an intervention (male circumcision) to prevent HIV infection. The questionnaire had four subsections: demographic characteristics, general knowledge about HIV/AIDS, general knowledge about and willingness to accept the intervention, and behaviors related to sex or drugs. The variables of sexual behaviors were assessed by asking close-ended questions, such as "Did you have sex with casual partners in the past year?" with answers of "Yes/No." In our questionnaire, we designed 10 items about knowledge of AIDS. Every correct answer received a point and the total score was used to assess the participant's knowledge of AIDS. Data were collected by trained Research Assistants (RAs). After the participants provided their signed informed consent to participate in this investigation, RAs conducted detailed interviews according to the structured guidelines.

Statistical analysis

All data collected from questionnaires were doubly checked for completeness and consistency. Data were then entered into EpiData 3.1 for Windows (The EpiData Association, Odense, Denmark) and analyzed using SPSS for Windows Version 16.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). Descriptive statistics and univariate analyses were generated for each of the variables corresponding to specific questions in the survey. To compare the basic characteristics of the two groups, the chi-squared test was used. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to identify factors associated with a certain high-risk sexual behavior. The variables included in the logistic regression model were those that showed a statistically significant association (p < 0.05) with the sexual risk behaviors in the univariate analyses. All statistical tests were two-sided with a significance level of p < 0.05.

Results

Characteristics of participants

A total of 1,386 subjects were investigated by face-to-face interviews and 94.1% of subjects (n=1304) completed the entire questionnaire. Of these participants, 42.6% (n=556) were from Guangxi, 31.3% (n=408) from Chongqing, and 26.1% (n=340) from Xinjiang (Table 1). The participants were aged 15 to 91 years with over half (72.6%) of them aged 36 or older; 92.5% were Han ethnicity, 46.3% were married or co-habiting, 82.8% had an educational level equal to high school (junior or senior) or higher, and 43.9% were employed.

Of the participants, 79.3% had first taken drugs before age 31, 78.6% had received MMT, 73.1% had received AIDS advice or HIV detection from governmental authorities, 60.7% had received print or electronically distributed materials on AIDS or sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), 25.1% had received peer education about AIDS, 19.6% had received any number of free condoms from authorities, and 16.2% had exchanged needles or received clean needles from the Needle Exchange Centers in the past year. Most of the participants (97.8%, n = 1275) had had sexual intercourse. The participants showed good knowledge about AIDS, with an average score

SEXUAL RISK BEHAVIOR IN WESTERN CHINA

TABLE 1. DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE Participants (N = 1304)

Variables	n	%
Source of samples (different regions)		
Guangxi	556	42.6
Chongqing	408	31.3
Xinjiang	340	26.1
Ages	4.4	0.4
≤24 25.25	44	3.4
25-35	313	24
≥ 30	947	72.0
Ethnic group	1 206	02 5
Other athnicity	1,200	92.0 75
Marital status	70	7.0
Single	523	40.1
Married or cohabiting	604	46.3
Divorced/separated/widowed	177	13.6
Education level		
Elementary school or below	222	17
Junior high school	682	52.3
Senior high school or above	398	30.5
Employment status		
Not employed	731	56.1
Employed	573	43.9
Grades of AIDS knowledge ^a		
Less than average grades	531	40.7
Average grades or more	773	59.3
Age at first taking drugs		
≤19	491	37.7
20–30	543	41.6
≥31 Refused to answer	179	13.7
Descined mother days a maintener of the marries	91	/
in the past year		
Yes	1 025	78.6
No	279	21.4
Received AIDS advice or detection from author	orities	
in the past year	5111105	
Yes	953	73.1
No	351	26.9
Received educational materials on AIDS or set	xually	
transmitted diseases (STD) in the past year		<0 -
Yes	792	60.7
	512	39.3
Received peer education on AIDS in the past	year	OF 1
ies No	327 977	23.1 74 9
Descived any free condenas from sutherities)//	/1./
in the past year		
Yes	255	196
No	1,049	80.4
Exchanged needles or received clean needles f	rom the	
Yes	211	162
No	1,093	83.8
Ever had sexual intercourse $(n = 1304)$,	
Yes	1,275	97.8
No	29	2.2

Variables	n	%
Frequency of having sex with spouse or cohab past year $(n = 780)^{b}$	itant in	the
One time or above every week	388	49.7
Less than one time every week	282	36.2
Never Defensed to encourse	84	10.8
	20	5.5
Frequency of using condoms when having sex or cohabitant in the past year $(n=685)^{b}$	with sp	ouse
Never	368	53.7
Always	206 111	30.1 16.2
Ever had sex with casual partners in the past $(n=1,262)^{b}$	year	
Yes	322	24.7
No	940	72.1
Frequency of sexual intercourse with casual pain the past year $(n=335)^{b}$	artners	
1	161	12.3
2	64	4.9
≥3 Refused to answer	65 27	0.4 2.1
Frequency of using condoms when having sex	with yo	our
casual partners in the past year $(n=328)^{\text{b}}$		
Never	112	34.1
Sometimes	97	29.6
Even received commonial courses by n		50.5
giving drugs in the past year $(n=1,275)^{b}$	aying or	1/ 9
No	1 0 7 5	14.0 87.4
Refused to answer	1,07.0	0.8
Frequency of commercial sexual services by pa or giving drugs in the past year $(n = 200)^{b}$	aying	
1	71	5.4
2	46	3.5
≥3	59	4.5
Refused to answer	24	1.8
Frequency of using condoms when having sex commercial sexual partners in the past year	with $(n=200)$	Ь
Never	44	22.0
Sometimes	41	20.5
Always Refused to approver	109	54.5
	0	5.0
Ever had sex with the same gender as yours in the next year $(n = 1.275)$		
In the past year $(n = 1, 275)$	15	12
No	1 255	96.2
Refused to answer	5	0.4
Had symptoms of urogenital infection, such as	s painful	
urination in the past year $(n = 1,304)$	rundi	
Yes	149	11.4
No	1,149	88.1
Refused to answer	6	0.5

^aTen items in the questionnaire were designed to assess knowl-edge about AIDS, with each correct answer given a point, and the total score was calculated after all 10 items were done. The average score for all interviewed subjects is 9.3. ^bThe numbers are different as some did not answer the question.

(continued)

of 9.3 (out of 10), and 59.3% (n=773) scored 9.3 or higher (Table 1).

Predictors of high-risk sexual behaviors

Condom use when having sex with spouse or cohabitant. Of the 1,304 participants, 780 (59.8%) had a spouse or cohabitant, and 670/780 said that they had had sexual intercourse with their spouses or cohabitants. However, a large number of respondents (368/685, 53.7%) never used condoms during sexual intercourse with their spouses or cohabitants (Table 1). In the univariate analyses, we found 10 factors that were significantly associated with condom use during sexual intercourse with spouses or cohabitants: region of residence, age, marital status, educational level, employment status, age at first taking drugs, having received peer education of AIDS, having received any free condoms from authorities, having received AIDS advice or detection from authorities, and having received print or electronically distributed materials about AIDS or STDs (Table 2). Multivariate analyses identified six significant variables that were associated with not using condoms: being from Chongqing, being aged 36 or older, being married or cohabiting, having first taken drugs at age 30 or above, and having received AIDS advice or testing from governmental authorities in the past year. Receiving free condoms from authorities in the past year was associated with higher odds of condom use (Table 2).

Sex with casual partners. Of 1,262 respondents, 322 (25.5%) had had sex with casual partners in the past year (Table 1). In the univariate analyses, having casual sex was associated with age, marital status, age at first taking drugs,

Table 2. Baseline Factors Associated with Not Using Condoms When Having Sex with Spouse or CohabitantIn the Past Year (Logistic Regression, N=685)

	Factor	Univariate OR (95% CI)	Final model OR (95% CI)	р
$\begin{array}{cccc} Xinjang & 1.00 & 1.00 \\ Guangxi & 2.043 (1.384-3.017) & 15.72 (0.989 ~ 2.520) & 0.060 \\ Chongqing & 1.974 (1.363-2.861) & 1.856 (1.178 ~ 2.925) & 0.008 \\ Ages \\ & \leq 24 & 1.000 & 1.00 \\ 25-35 & 3.448 (0.947-12.551) & 3.137 (0.824 ~ 11.950) & 0.094 \\ \geq 36 & 5.976 (1.682-21.235) & 5.034 (1.344 ~ 18.856) & 0.016 \\ Marital status \\ & \\ Single & 1.000 & 1.00 \\ Married or cohabiting & 2.129 (1.373 - 3.300) & 1.684 (1.045 - 2.714) & 0.032 \\ Divorced/separated/widowed & 1.928 (0.961 - 3.870) & 1.419 (0.669 - 3.009) & 0.362 \\ Education level \\ Elementary school or below & 1.000 \\ Junior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376 - 0.972) \\ Senior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376 - 0.972) \\ Senior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376 - 0.972) \\ Senior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376 - 0.972) \\ Senior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376 - 0.972) \\ Senior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376 - 0.972) \\ Senior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376 - 0.972) \\ Senior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376 - 0.972) \\ Senior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376 - 0.972) \\ Senior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376 - 0.972) \\ Senior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376 - 0.972) \\ Senior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376 - 0.972) \\ Senior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376 - 0.972) \\ Senior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376 - 0.972) \\ Senior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376 - 0.972) \\ Senior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376 - 0.984) \\ Received any free condoms from authorities in the past year \\ No & 1.000 \\ Yes & 0.460 (0.316 - 0.669) & 0.497 (0.321 - 0.770) & 0.002 \\ Received ADS advice or testing from authorities in the past year \\ No & 1.000 & 1.00 \\ Yes & 0.460 (0.316 - 0.669) & 0.497 (0.321 - 0.770) & 0.002 \\ Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year \\ No & 1.000 & 1.00 \\ Yes & 0.702 (0.513 - 0.960) \\ \end{array}$	Source of samples			
Guangxi 2.043 (1.384-3.017) 1.572 (0.980 ~ 2.520) 0.060 Chongqing 1.974 (1.363-2.861) 1.856 (1.178 ~ 2.925) 0.008 Ages \$24 1.000 1.00 25-35 3.448 (0.947-12.551) 3.137 (0.824 ~ 11.950) 0.094 25-36 5.976 (1.682-21.235) 5.034 (1.344 ~ 18.856) 0.016 Maritel status Single 1.000 1.00 Married or cohabiting 2.129 (1.373-3.300) 1.684 (1.045-2.714) 0.032 Divorced/separated/widowed 1.928 (0.961-3.870) 1.419 (0.669-3.009) 0.362 Education level Elementary school or below 1.000 1.00 1.00 Junior high school or above 0.604 (0.376-0.972) Employment status 0.001 Not employed 0.609 (0.495-0.905) Age at first taking drugs ≤ 1.9 1.000 1.00 20-30 1.542 (1.101-2.159) 1.191 (0.802-1.768) 0.387 ≥31 2.217 (1.355-3.627) 1.296 (1.021-3.156) 0.042 Received peer education on AIDS in the past year No 1.000 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.92 1.000	Xinjiang	1.00	1.00	
$\begin{array}{cccc} {\rm Chongqing} & 1.974 \ (1.363-2.861) & 1.856 \ (1.178 \sim 2.925) & 0.008 \\ {\rm Ages} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Guangxi	2.043 (1.384-3.017)	1.572 (0.980~2.520)	0.060
Ages 1.000 1.00 25-35 3.448 (0.947-12.551) 3.137 (0.824 ~ 11.950) 0.094 ≥36 5.976 (1.682-21.235) 5.034 (1.344 ~ 18.856) 0.016 Marital status 1.000 1.00 1.00 Married or cohabiting 2.129 (1.373-3.300) 1.684 (1.045-2.714) 0.032 Divorced/separated/widowed 1.928 (0.961-3.870) 1.419 (0.669-3.009) 0.362 Education level 1000 1.01 1.01 0.032 Junior high school or below 1.000 1.419 (0.669-3.009) 0.362 Senior high school or above 0.604 (0.376-0.972) 1.419 (0.669-3.009) 0.362 Employment status 1.000 1.00 1.01 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 <td< td=""><td>Chongqing</td><td>1.974 (1.363–2.861)</td><td>1.856 (1.178~2.925)</td><td>0.008</td></td<>	Chongqing	1.974 (1.363–2.861)	1.856 (1.178~2.925)	0.008
	Ages			
$\begin{array}{ccccc} 25-35 & 3.448 & (0.947-12.551) & 3.137 & (0.824 \sim 11.950) & 0.094 \\ 2 36 & 5.976 & (1.682-21.235) & 5.034 & (1.344 \sim 18.856) & 0.016 \\ \hline Marital status \\ Single & 1.000 & 1.00 \\ Married or cohabiting & 2.129 & (1.373-3.00) & 1.684 & (1.045-2.714) & 0.032 \\ Divorced /separated/widowed & 1.928 & (0.961-3.870) & 1.419 & (0.669-3.009) & 0.362 \\ \hline Elementary school or below & 1.000 \\ Junior high school or above & 0.604 & (0.376-0.972) \\ \hline Employment status & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	≤24	1.000	1.00	
$\begin{array}{cccc} 236 & 5.976 (1.682-21.235) & 5.034 (1.344 ~ 18.856) & 0.016 \\ \\ \mbox{Martial status} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	25–35	3.448 (0.947-12.551)	3.137 (0.824~11.950)	0.094
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	≥36	5.976 (1.682–21.235)	5.034 (1.344~18.856)	0.016
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Marital status			
$\begin{array}{cccc} \mbox{Married or cohabiting} & 2.129 (1.373-3.300) & 1.684 (1.045-2.714) & 0.032 \\ \mbox{Divorced/separated/widowed} & 1.928 (0.961-3.870) & 1.419 (0.669-3.009) & 0.362 \\ \mbox{Education level} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Single	1.000	1.00	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Married or cohabiting	2.129 (1.373-3.300)	1.684 (1.045-2.714)	0.032
Education level 1.000 Imployment status 0.604 (0.376-0.972) Employment status 1.000 Imployed 1.000 Employed 0.669 (0.495-0.905) Age at first taking drugs 1.000 219 1.000 1.091 (0.802-1.768) 0.387 20-30 1.542 (1.101-2.159) 1.191 (0.802-1.768) 0.387 231 2.217 (1.355-3.627) 1.795 (1.021-3.156) 0.042 Refused to answer 1.180 (0.564-2.468) 1.281 (0.553-2.965) 0.563 Received peer education on AIDS in the past year 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 Yes 0.664 (0.376-0.984) 1.281 (0.533-2.965) 0.563 Received any free condoms from authorities 1.000 1.000 1.000 Yes 0.460 (0.316-0.669) 0.497 (0.321-0.770) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities 1.000 1.000 1.000 Yes 0.460 (1.162-2.376) 1.952 (1.290-2.955) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 Yes 1.662 (1.162-2.	Divorced/separated/widowed	1.928 (0.961-3.870)	1.419 (0.669–3.009)	0.362
$ \begin{array}{cccc} & 1.000 \\ Junior high school or below & 1.000 \\ Junior high school or above & 0.604 (0.3745-1.862) \\ Senior high school or above & 0.604 (0.376-0.972) \\ \hline \\ Employment status \\ Not employed & 1.000 \\ Employed & 0.669 (0.495-0.905) \\ \hline \\ Age at first taking drugs \\ \leq 19 & 1.000 & 1.00 \\ 20-30 & 1.542 (1.101-2.159) & 1.191 (0.802-1.768) & 0.387 \\ \geq 31 & 2.217 (1.355-3.627) & 1.795 (1.021-3.156) & 0.042 \\ Refused to answer & 1.180 (0.564-2.468) & 1.281 (0.553-2.965) & 0.563 \\ \hline \\ Received peer education on AIDS in the past year \\ No & 1.000 \\ Yes & 0.684 (0.476-0.984) \\ \hline \\ Received any free condoms from authorities in the past year \\ No & 1.000 & 1.00 \\ Yes & 0.460 (0.316-0.669) & 0.497 (0.321-0.770) & 0.002 \\ \hline \\ Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year \\ No & 1.000 & 1.00 \\ Yes & 1.662 (1.162-2.376) & 1.952 (1.290-2.955) & 0.002 \\ \hline \\ Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year \\ No & 1.000 & 1.00 \\ Yes & 0.702 (0.513-0.960) \\ \hline \end{array}$	Education level			
	Elementary school or below	1.000		
Senior high school or above 0.604 (0.376-0.972) Employment status 1.000 Not employed 1.000 Employed 0.669 (0.495-0.905) Age at first taking drugs \$19 \$19 1.000 20-30 1.542 (1.101-2.159) 1.191 (0.802-1.768) 0.387 ≥31 2.217 (1.355-3.627) 1.795 (1.021-3.156) 0.042 Refused to answer 1.180 (0.564-2.468) 1.281 (0.553-2.965) 0.563 Received peer education on AIDS in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 0.684 (0.476-0.984) 1.200 0.563 Received any free condoms from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 0.460 (0.316-0.669) 0.497 (0.321-0.770) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 1.662 (1.162-2.376) 1.952 (1.290-2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD 1.000 1.00 1.002 Yes 1.602 (0.513-0.960) 1.952 (1.290-2.955) 0.002	Junior high school	1.178 (0.745-1.862)		
Employment status Not employed 1.000 Employed 0.669 (0.495-0.905) Age at first taking drugs 19 ≤ 19 1.000 20-30 1.542 (1.101-2.159) 231 2.217 (1.355-3.627) Refused to answer 1.180 (0.564-2.468) 1.281 (0.553-2.965) 0.563 Received peer education on AIDS in the past year No 0.000 Yes 0.684 (0.476-0.984) Received any free condoms from authorities in the past year 1.000 No 1.000 Yes 0.460 (0.316-0.669) 0.497 (0.321-0.770) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year 1.000 1.00 Yes 1.662 (1.162-2.376) 1.952 (1.290-2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year 1.000 1.00 1.00 Yes 1.662 (1.162-2.376) 1.952 (1.290-2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year 1.000 1.00 1.00 1.952 (1.290-2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year 1.000 1.000 1.952 (1.290-2.955)	Senior high school or above	0.604 (0.376-0.972)		
Not employed 1.000 Employed 0.669 (0.495-0.905) Age at first taking drugs \$19 \$19 1.000 20-30 1.542 (1.101-2.159) 211 2.217 (1.355-3.627) Refused to answer 1.180 (0.564-2.468) Received peer education on AIDS in the past year 0.684 (0.476-0.984) No 1.000 Yes 0.640 (0.316-0.669) No 1.000 Yes 0.460 (0.316-0.669) No 1.000 Yes 0.460 (0.316-0.669) No 1.000 Yes 0.460 (0.316-0.669) 0.497 (0.321-0.770) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities 1.000 in the past year No No 1.000 Yes 1.662 (1.162-2.376) 1.952 (1.290-2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD 1.000 in the past year No 1.000 No 1.000 1.00 Yes 0.702 (0.513-0.960) 1.952 (1.290-2.955)	Employment status			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Not employed	1.000		
Age at first taking drugs 1.00 1.00 ≤19 1.000 1.00 20-30 1.542 (1.101-2.159) 1.191 (0.802-1.768) 0.387 ≥31 2.217 (1.355-3.627) 1.795 (1.021-3.156) 0.042 Refused to answer 1.180 (0.564-2.468) 1.281 (0.553-2.965) 0.563 Received peer education on AIDS in the past year 1.000 Yes 0.684 (0.476-0.984) Received any free condoms from authorities in the past year 1.000 1.00 Yes 0.460 (0.316-0.669) 0.497 (0.321-0.770) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 Yes 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513-0.960)	Employed	0.669 (0.495-0.905)		
	Age at first taking drugs			
20-30 1.542 (1.101-2.159) 1.191 (0.802-1.768) 0.387 ≥ 31 2.217 (1.355-3.627) 1.795 (1.021-3.156) 0.042 Refused to answer 1.180 (0.564-2.468) 1.281 (0.553-2.965) 0.563 Received peer education on AIDS in the past year No 1.000 Yes 0.684 (0.476-0.984) Received any free condoms from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes No 1.000 1.000 0.497 (0.321-0.770) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 0.460 (0.316-0.669) 0.497 (0.321-0.770) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 1.662 (1.162-2.376) 1.952 (1.290-2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513-0.960) 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513-0.960)	≤19	1.000	1.00	
≥ 31 2.217 (1.355-3.627) 1.795 (1.021-3.156) 0.042 Refused to answer 1.180 (0.564-2.468) 1.281 (0.553-2.965) 0.563 Received peer education on AIDS in the past year No 1.000 Yes 0.684 (0.476-0.984) Received any free condoms from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 0.460 (0.316-0.669) 0.497 (0.321-0.770) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 0.497 (0.321-0.770) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 1.00 1.00 Yes 0.702 (0.513-0.960) $ = 0.702 (0.513-0.960) $	20-30	1.542 (1.101-2.159)	1.191 (0.802-1.768)	0.387
Refused to answer 1.180 (0.564–2.468) 1.281 (0.553–2.965) 0.563 Received peer education on AIDS in the past year No 1.000 Yes 0.684 (0.476–0.984) Received any free condoms from authorities in the past year No 1.000 Yes No 1.000 1.000 1.000 Yes 0.664 (0.316–0.699) 0.497 (0.321–0.770) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.000 Yes 0.662 (1.162–2.376) 1.952 (1.290–2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513–0.960)	≥31	2.217 (1.355–3.627)	1.795 (1.021–3.156)	0.042
Received peer education on AIDS in the past year No 1.000 Yes 0.684 (0.476–0.984) Received any free condoms from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 0.460 (0.316–0.669) 0.497 (0.321–0.770) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 1.662 (1.162–2.376) 1.952 (1.290–2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513–0.960)	Refused to answer	1.180 (0.564–2.468)	1.281 (0.553–2.965)	0.563
No 1.000 Yes 0.684 (0.476–0.984) Received any free condoms from authorities in the past year 1.000 No 1.000 Yes 0.460 (0.316–0.669) 0.497 (0.321–0.770) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year 0.460 (0.316–0.669) No 1.000 Yes 1.662 (1.162–2.376) Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513–0.960)	Received peer education on AIDS in th	e past vear		
Yes 0.684 (0.476-0.984) Received any free condoms from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 0.460 (0.316-0.669) 0.497 (0.321-0.770) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 1.952 (1.290-2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 1.000 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513-0.960) 0.702 (0.513-0.960) 1.000 1.000	No	1.000		
Received any free condoms from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 0.460 (0.316–0.669) 0.497 (0.321–0.770) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 1.952 (1.290–2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 1.000 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513–0.960) 0.702 (0.513–0.960) 1.000 1.000	Yes	0.684 (0.476-0.984)		
in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 0.460 (0.316-0.669) 0.497 (0.321-0.770) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 1.662 (1.162-2.376) 1.952 (1.290-2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513-0.960)	Received any free condoms from author	orities		
No 1.000 1.00 Yes 0.460 (0.316–0.669) 0.497 (0.321–0.770) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year 0.000 1.00 1.00 Yes 1.662 (1.162–2.376) 1.952 (1.290–2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year 1.000 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513–0.960) 1.000	in the past year			
Yes 0.460 (0.316–0.669) 0.497 (0.321–0.770) 0.002 Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 1.662 (1.162–2.376) 1.952 (1.290–2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513–0.960) 1.000	No	1.000	1.00	
Received AIDS advice or testing from authorities in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 1.662 (1.162–2.376) 1.952 (1.290–2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513–0.960)	Yes	0.460 (0.316-0.669)	0.497 (0.321-0.770)	0.002
in the past year No 1.000 1.00 Yes 1.662 (1.162–2.376) 1.952 (1.290–2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513–0.960)	Received AIDS advice or testing from a	authorities		
No 1.000 1.00 Yes 1.662 (1.162–2.376) 1.952 (1.290–2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513–0.960) 1.000	in the past year			
Yes 1.662 (1.162–2.376) 1.952 (1.290–2.955) 0.002 Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513–0.960) 1.000	No	1.000	1.00	
Received educational materials on AIDS or STD in the past year No 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513–0.960)	Yes	1.662 (1.162–2.376)	1.952 (1.290-2.955)	0.002
in the past year No 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513–0.960)	Received educational materials on AID	S or STD		
No 1.000 Yes 0.702 (0.513–0.960)	in the past year			
Yes 0.702 (0.513–0.960)	No	1.000		
	Yes	0.702 (0.513-0.960)		

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Factor	Univariate OR (95% CI)	Final model OR (95% CI)	р
Ages			
≤24	1.000		
25–35	0.751 (0.370-1.523)		
≥36	0.493 (0.249-0.974		
Marital status			
Never married	1.000	1.00	
Married or live together	0.261 (0.195-0.350)	0.299 (0.221-0.405)	0.000
Divorced/separated/widowed	0.587 (0.401–0.859)	0.743 (0.495–1.115)	0.151
Age at first taking drugs			
≤19	1.000	1.00	
20-30	0.797 (0.605-1.049)	0.984 (0.717-1.351)	0.920
≥31	0.319 (0.194–0.525)	0.419 (0.243–0.723)	0.002
Refused to answer	0.715 (0.412-1.241)	0.554 (0.289-1.065)	0.076
Exchanged needles or received clean ne	edles from		
the Needle Exchange Center in the p	ast year		
No	1.000		
Yes	1.402 (1.009–1.948)		
Received methadone substitution treatmeter	nent		
in the past year			
No	1.000		
Yes	0.679 (0.503-0.916)		
Received AIDS advice or testing from a	authorities		
in the past year			
No	1.000	1.00	
Yes	0.636 (0.483-0.838)	0.701 (0.508-0.968)	0.031

TABLE 3. BASELINE FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH HAVIN	g Sex with	CASUAL	Partners	IN THE	Past	Yeaf
(Logistic Regress	ion, N=126	62)				

having exchanged needles or received clean needles from the Needle Exchange Centers, having received methadone substitution treatment, and having received AIDS advice or detection from authorities (Table 3). In multivariate analyses, the variables associated with not having casual sex were being married or cohabiting, first taking drugs at age 30 or above, and having received AIDS advice or detection from authorities in the past year.

Condom use when having sex with casual sex partners. Of those who had had sex with casual sex partners (n=328), 34.1% (112/328) never used a condom in these circumstances (Table 1). In univariate analyses, the use of condoms was associated with the participant's region, ethnic group, having received MMT, and having received any free condoms from authorities (Table 4). In multivariable logistic regression analyses, coming from Guangxi or Chongqing was associated with a decreased odds of condom use, whereas the odds of condom use was increased if the participant was of "other ethnicity" and reported receiving free condoms from authorities in the past year.

Commercial sex. Of the participants, 13.5% (176/1304) had had commercial sex (i.e., paid money or gave drugs for sex) in the past year (Table 1). In the univariate analyses, we found that four factors were significantly associated with the frequency of commercial sex: participant's region, grades of AIDS knowledge, age of first taking drugs, and having exchanged needles or received clean needles from the Needle Exchange Center (Table 5). In multivariate analyses, the predictors of having commercial sex were being from Guangxi or

from Chongqing and having exchanged needles or received clean needles from Needle Exchange Centers in the past year.

Condom use during commercial sex. Of those who had had commercial sex (n=194), 22.7% (44/194) never used condoms (Table 1). In the univariate analyses, we found three factors that were significantly associated with using condoms during commercial sex: having received any free condoms from authorities, having received AIDS advice or detection from authorities, and having received print or electronically distributed materials about AIDS or STD (Table 6). In multivariate analyses, the only variable significantly associated with using condoms during received free condoms during received free condoms from authorities in the past year.

Discussion

Up to now, the prevention of HIV among intravenous drug users primarily focused on decreasing the incidence of needle sharing through needle exchange programs and needlerelated educational campaigns. However, as shown in this study, high-risk sexual behaviors among drug users are fairly common, including multiple sexual partners, commercial sex, exchanging sex for drugs, and having sex without using condoms. In the modern social network, drug use may be a key linkage connecting individuals.^{21,22} The risk of transmitting HIV from this population to the general population may be more common through sexual transmission. It is vital to explore and characterize the sexual behaviors of drug users in order to improve HIV prevention strategies worldwide.

Factor	Univariate OR (95% CI)	Final model OR (95% CI)	р
Source of samples			
Xinjiang	1.000	1.00	
Guangxi	2.679 (1.390-5.161)	2.814 (1.427-5.546)	0.003
Chongqing	3.400 (1.723–6.709)	2.730 (1.291–5.777)	0.009
Ethnic group			
Han	1.000	1.00	
Other ethnicity	0.160 (0.037-0.695)	0.168 (0.038-0.753)	0.02
Received methadone substitute treatment in the past year	tution r		
No	1.000		
Yes	1.865 (1.069-3.254)		
Received any free condoms in the past year	from authorities		
No	1.000	1.00	
Yes	0.524 (0.287–0.955)	0.489 (0.263–0.907)	0.023

Table 4. Baseline Factors Associated with Not Using Condoms When Having Sex with Casual Partners in the Past Year (Logistic Regression, N=328)

In this study, we found that a substantial proportion of participants never used condoms when they had sexual intercourse with their spouses/cohabitants (54%), casual sexual partners (34%), or commercial sex workers (22%). These results are concerning and unfortunately are similar to the findings reported from other places in the world.^{10,15,23-25} Despite the expense of providing free condoms, such a strategy was found to be significantly associated with reported condom use in every type of sexual contact (i.e., spouse, casual, or commercial) and should continue to be a key component of future HIV prevention efforts. Unexpectedly, receiving AIDS advice or testing from governmental authorities was associated with a higher risk of not using condoms with spouses or cohabitants. Potential explanations for this finding include complacency of the patient after receiving a negative HIV test result or participants who are engaging in higher risk behavior may be more likely to seek out HIV testing and advice. It is important to emphasize that condom use is effective and useful to protect both the participants and the partners during HIV testing and counseling sessions.

The rates of condom use and commercial sexual activities among drug users in different areas in China were different. Subjects in Chongqing were more likely not to use condoms when having sex with their fixed sexual partners (spouse or cohabitant), and subjects in Guangxi and Chongqing were more likely not to use condoms when having sex with casual partners. This finding indicates that education concerning condom use in these three areas is insufficient. In addition, we found that only 15% of respondents in our study had commercial sex in the past year, which is much lower compared with a study conducted in Shenzhen, Guangdong province that reported 44% of drug users had commercial sex in the past year.9 Subjects in Shenzhen, one of the most economically and culturally developed areas in China, may have more open attitudes toward sexual behaviors than subjects in other areas. These results demonstrate that highrisk sexual behaviors vary dramatically among different areas in China, suggesting that different AIDS control strategies toward drug users should be implemented in these areas.

Interestingly, a sign of "separation between knowledge and practice" was found in our study. The participants showed

TABLE 5. BASELINE FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE USE OF COMMERCIAL SEXUAL SERVICES (I	LOGISTIC REGRESSION, $N = 176$
--	--------------------------------

Factor	Univariate OR (95% CI)	Final model OR (95% CI)	р
Source of samples			
Xinjiang	1.000	1.00	
Guangxi	7.609 (2.146-26.975)	6.264 (1.675-23.421)	0.006
Chongqing	5.122 (1.398–18.759)	5.441 (1.419–20.854)	0.013
Grades of AIDS knowledge			
Less than average grades	1.000		
Average grades or more	0.544 (0.289–1.026)		
Age at first taking drugs			
≤19	1.000		
20-30	0.677 (0.345-1.329)		
≥31	1.957 (0.603–6.354)		
Refused to answer	0.000		
Exchanged needles or received clean needles from the Needle Exchange Center in the past year			
No	1.000	1.00	
Yes	3.498 (1.674–7.307)	2.756 (1.122-6.77)	0.027

SEXUAL RISK BEHAVIOR IN WESTERN CHINA

Table 6. Baseline Factors Associated with Not Using Condoms When Having Sex with Commercial Sexual Partners in the Past Year (Logistic Regression, N=194)

Factor	Univariate OR (95% CI)	Final model OR (95% CI)	р
Received from a in the No Yes	any free condoms uthorities past year 1.000 0.223 (0.065–0.764)	1.00 0.262 (0.074–0.920)	0.037
Received from a in the No Yes	AIDS advice or testin uthorities past year 1.000 0.546 (0.277–1.078)	g	
Received on AII disease No Yes	educational materials DS or sexually transmites (STD) in the past ye 1.000 0.544 (0.276–1.071)	tted ar	

good knowledge about AIDS (with an average score of 9.3/10on a test of knowledge), but the rates of high-risk sexual behaviors reported from them were very high. Similar results were found from two previous studies of IDUs in China.^{10,26} Although $98\%^{10}$ and $\hat{82}\%^{26}$ of drug users have good knowledge of HIV, up to 74.2%¹⁰ reported having shared needles and participating in high-risk sex. These results demonstrate that the current educational campaigns among drug users in China may be insufficient and should be combined with other HIV prevention methods. A number of other factors were also found to be associated with high-risk sexual behaviors in our study. We found that initiating drug use over age 30 decreased the chance of having sex with casual partners. This finding is similar to that reported in a study by other researchers, which showed a decreasing rate of high-risk sexual behavior with each decade of age.²⁷ Thus, for those individuals who initiate drug use at an older age, HIV transmission may be less likely to occur through high-risk sexual contact than through other means, such as needle sharing. Not surprisingly, participants who were married or cohabiting or received AIDS advice or testing from authorities were more likely to reduce casual sexual behaviors, suggesting that a stable relationship between drug users and their partners can reduce high-risk sexual behaviors. Interestingly, the drug users who ever exchanged needles or received clean needles from Needle Exchange Centers were more likely to have commercial sex. One possible explanation is that they consider that the clean needles will decrease their risk of HIV infection and they will be "safe." In other words, exchanging and receiving clean needles has an "inhibition elimination effect" on having commercial sex. However, different results were shown by other studies. A study in Italy found that condom use among IDU males was more common than among non-IDU males, and IDU males were more likely to have an HIV-negative partner.¹³ A study in the United States also showed that participation in the needle exchange program may help reduce the absolute risk of HIV sexual transmission, including increasing the odds of condom use and reducing more unprotected vaginal intercourse among the participants.²⁸ Thus, in China, condom use should be an important focus of sexual education at needle exchange centers, where sexual education focuses predominantly on the damage of taking drugs as well as HIV risk.

Several limitations of our study should be acknowledged. First, this is a cross-sectional study. There has been some evidence that long-term drug use affects pituitary-thyroid function,²⁹ impairs the function of the secondary sex organs,³⁰ decreases men's libido, ^{10,31} and decreases the rhythm of sexual activity in men.³¹ We focused only on the changes in sexual behavior among drug users in the past year and had no data of their previous sexual practices; we also did not uncover an association between time of first drug use and sexual activities among drug users and how these risky sexual behaviors impact HIV contraction eventually. Second, we did not collect data on the actual HIV status of these participants and whether knowing their status affected their sexual risk behaviors. Third, our study was limited to three provinces in China and was conducted only in male drug users, which might result in a potential selection bias. We were unable to determine whether other areas in China and female drug users had the same characteristics and sexual risk behaviors. Furthermore, alcohol use is also an important factor associated with not using condoms³² and other sexual risk behaviors,^{33,34} but this factor was not included in our study.

HIV is most commonly spread through unprotected sex with an infected partner. Individuals can reduce their risk of HIV infection by abstaining from sex, having one or few partners, or always using male or female condoms. Intervention strategies that focus on root causes such as sexual behaviors, rather than outcomes such as disease incidence, would have the maximum impact on HIV transmission.^{35,36} In this study, only a minority of participants had received peer education of AIDS (25%), received any free condoms from authorities (20%), and had exchanged needles or received clean needles from Needle Exchange Centers (16%) in the past year, indicating that HIV prevention efforts in this population need to be greatly improved.

Acknowledgments

We thank Drs. Yiming Shao and Zunyou Wu from National Center for AIDS/STD Control and Prevention (NCAIDS), Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China for their generous support of the project.

The study was supported by grants from the National Key Science and Technology Project (grant 2008ZX10001-016), the New Century Guangxi Ten, Hundred and Thousand Talent Project (Guirenshebanfa [2010] 319), and the Program for Innovative Research Team of the Intellectual Highland in High School of Guangxi (Guijiaoren [2010] 38).

Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- 1. 2012 China AIDS Response Progress Report: Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China, 2012.
- 2. Teng T and Shao Y: Scientific approaches to AIDS prevention and control in china. Adv Dent Res 2011;23:10–12.
- 3. UNAIDS: AIDS epidemic update: December 2007. WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data, 2007.

- 4. A joint assessment of HIV/AIDS prevention, treatment and care in China(2007). State Council AIDS Working Committee Office and UN Theme Group on AIDS in China, 2007.
- 5. Yang H, Li X, and Stanton B, *et al.*: Heterosexual transmission of HIV in China: A systematic review of behavioral studies in the past two decades. Sex Transmit Dis 2005;32: 270–280.
- Lollis CM, Strothers HS, Chitwood DD, and McGhee M: Sex, drugs, and HIV: Does methadone maintenance reduce drug use and risky sexual behavior? J Behav Med 2000;23: 545–557.
- 7. Yu XF, Wang X, Mao P, *et al.*: Characterization of HIV type 1 heterosexual transmission in Yunnan, China. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2003;19:1051–1055.
- Liu H, Grusky O, Li X, and Ma E: Drug users: A potentially important bridge population in the transmission of sexually transmitted diseases, including AIDS, in China. Sex Transmit Dis 2006;33:111–117.
- 9. Lau JT, Feng T, Lin X, Wang Q, and Tsui HY: Needle sharing and sex-related risk behaviours among drug users in Shenzhen, a city in Guangdong, southern China. AIDS Care 2005;17:166–181.
- Yao Y, Wang N, Chu J, *et al.*: Sexual behavior and risks for HIV infection and transmission among male injecting drug users in Yunnan, China. Int J Infect Dis 2009;13:154–161.
- 11. Seidman SN, Sterk-Elifson C, and Aral SO: High-risk sexual behavior among drug-using men. Sex Transmit Dis 1994;21:173–180.
- Sharma AK, Aggarwal OP, and Dubey KK: Sexual behavior of drug-users: Is it different? Prevent Med 2002;34:512–515.
- Girardi E, Aloisi MS, Serraino D, et al.: Sexual behaviour of heterosexual individuals with HIV infection naive for antiretroviral therapy in Italy. Sex Transmit Infect 2001;77:130–134.
- 14. Abdala N, Krasnoselskikh TV, Durante AJ, Timofeeva MY, Verevochkin SV, and Kozlov AP: Sexually transmitted infections, sexual risk behaviors and the risk of heterosexual spread of HIV among and beyond IDUs in St. Petersburg, Russia. Eur Addict Res 2008;14:19–25.
- 15. Todd CS, Earhart KC, Botros BA, *et al.*: Prevalence and correlates of risky sexual behaviors among injection drug users in Tashkent, Uzbekistan. AIDS Care 2007;19:122–129.
- 16. Go VF, Frangakis C, Nam LV, *et al.*: High HIV sexual risk behaviors and sexually transmitted disease prevalence among injection drug users in Northern Vietnam: Implications for a generalized HIV epidemic. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2006;42:108–115.
- 17. Brodish P, Singh K, Rinyuri A, *et al.*: Evidence of high-risk sexual behaviors among injection drug users in the Kenya PLACE study. Drug Alcohol Depend 2011;119:138–141.
- Li X, Stanton B, and Zhou Y: Injection drug use and unprotected sex among institutionalized drug users in China. J Drug Issues 2000;30:663–674.
- Strathdee SA and Sherman SG: The role of sexual transmission of HIV infection among injection and non-injection drug users. J Urban Health-Bull NY Acad Med 2003;80:i7–i14.
- 20. Kretzschmar M, Zhang W, Mikolajczyk RT, *et al.*: Regional differences in HIV prevalence among drug users in China: Potential for future spread of HIV? BMC Infect Dis 2008;8:108.
- 21. Mitchell JC: Social Networks in Urban Situations: Analyses of Personal Relationships in Central African Towns. Manchester University Press, Manchester, England, 1969.
- El-Bassel N, Cooper DK, Chen DR, and Schilling RF: Personal social networks and HIV status among women on methadone. AIDS Care 1998;10:735–749.

- 23. Perngmark P, Celentano DD, and Kawichai S: Sexual risks among southern thai drug injectors. AIDS Behav 2004; 8:63–72.
- Rosengard C, Anderson B, and Stein MD: Intravenous drug users' HIV-risk behaviors with primary/other partners. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse 2004;30:225–236.
- 25. Kapadia F, Latka MH, Hudson SM, *et al.*: Correlates of consistent condom use with main partners by partnership patterns among young adult male injection drug users from five US cities. Drug Alcohol Depend 2007;91(Suppl 1): S56–S63.
- 26. Zheng X, Tian C, Zhang G, *et al.*: HIV risk behaviors but absence of infection among drug users in detoxification centers outside Yunnan province, China, 1993. AIDS 1995;9: 959–963.
- Lopez WD, Krueger PM, and Walters ST: High-risk drug use and sexual behaviors among out-of-treatment drug users: An aging and life course perspective. Addict Behav 2010;35: 432–437.
- 28. Huo D and Ouellet LJ: Needle exchange and sexual risk behaviors among a cohort of injection drug users in Chicago, Illinois. Sex Transmit Dis 2009;36:35–40.
- Brambilla F, Nobile P, Zanoboni A, Zanoboni-Muciaccia W, and Meroni PL: Effects of chronic heroin addiction on pituitary-thyroid function in man. J Endocrinol Invest 1980; 3:251–255.
- Cicero TJ, Bell RD, Wiest WG, Allison JH, Polakoski K, and Robins E: Function of the male sex organs in heroin and methadone users. N Engl J Med 1975;292:882–887.
- 31. Zharkov Y: Sexuality of heroin addicts: Applied aspects of studies. Eur J Med Sexol 2002;11:33–44.
- Booth RE, Kwiatkowski CF, and Chitwood DD: Sex related HIV risk behaviors: Differential risks among injection drug users, crack smokers, and injection drug users who smoke crack. Drug Alcohol Depend 2000;58:219–226.
- 33. Arasteh K and Des Jarlais DC: At-risk drinking and injection and sexual risk behaviors of HIV-positive injection drug users entering drug treatment in New York City. AIDS Patient Care STDS 2009;23:657–661.
- 34. Arasteh K and Des Jarlais DC: Hazardous drinking and HIV sexual risk behaviors among injection drug users in developing and transitional countries. AIDS Behav 2010;14: 862–869.
- 35. Kamali A, Quigley M, and Nakiyingi J, *et al.*: Syndromic management of sexually-transmitted infections and behaviour change interventions on transmission of HIV-1 in rural Uganda: A community randomised trial. Lancet 2003;361: 645–652.
- 36. Abdullah AS, Fielding R, Hedley AJ, Ebrahim SH, and Luk YK: Reasons for not using condoms among the Hong Kong Chinese population: Implications for HIV and STD prevention. Sex Transmit Infect 2002;78:180–184.

Address correspondence to: Hao Liang; Bo Wei School of Public Health Guangxi Medical University 22 Shuang Yong Road Nanning 530021 China

E-mail: haolphd@163.com; weibo535@126.com