Viability of Clathrin Heavy-Chain-Deficient Saccharomyces cerevisiae Is Compromised by Mutations at Numerous Loci: Implications for the Suppression Hypothesis

ALAN L. MUNN,¹ LINDA SILVEIRA,^{2†} MARC ELGORT,¹ and GREGORY S. PAYNE^{1*}

Department of Biological Chemistry, UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California 90024,¹ and Division of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720²

Received 10 December 1990/Accepted 30 April 1991

The gene encoding clathrin heavy chain in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (CHC1) is not essential for growth in most laboratory strains tested. However, in certain genetic backgrounds, a deletion of CHC1 (chc1) results in cell death. Lethality in these chc1 strains is determined by a locus designated SCD1 (suppressor of clathrin deficiency) which is unlinked to CHC1 (S. K. Lemmon and E. W. Jones, Science 238:504-509, 1987). The lethal allele of SCD1 has no effect on cell growth when the wild-type version of CHC1 is present. This result led to the proposal that most yeast strains are viable in the absence of clathrin heavy chain because they possess the SCD1 suppressor. Discovery of another yeast strain that cannot grow without clathrin heavy chain has allowed us to perform a genetic test of the suppressor hypothesis. Genetic crosses show that clathrin-deficient lethality in the latter strain is conferred by a single genetic locus (termed CDL1, for clathrin-deficient lethality). By constructing strains in which CHC1 expression is regulated by the GAL10 promoter, we demonstrate that the lethal alleles of SCD1 and CDL1 are recessive. In both cases, very low expression of CHC1 can allow cells to escape from lethality. Genetic complementation and segregation analyses indicate that CDL1 and SCD1 are distinct genes. The lethal CDL1 allele does not cause a defect in the secretory pathway of either wild-type or clathrin heavy-chain-deficient yeast. A systematic screen to identify mutants unable to grow in the absence of clathrin heavy chain uncovered numerous genes similar to SCD1 and CDL1. These findings argue against the idea that viability of chc1 cells is due to genetic suppression, since this hypothesis would require the existence of a large number of unlinked genes, all of which are required for suppression. Instead, lethality appears to be a common, nonspecific occurrence when a second-site mutation arises in a strain whose cell growth is already severely compromised by the lack of clathrin heavy chain.

Membrane vesicles play an integral role in the transfer of proteins between various cellular membranes and membrane-bounded compartments, including those of the secretory and endocytic pathways (16, 22). Acting as shuttles, the vesicles collect cargo proteins as they bud from donor compartments and deliver their contents by fusion to specific recipient membrane compartments. Studies of the yeast secretory pathway demonstrate that vesicle formation and fusion are necessary for cell growth (24). Clathrin, a protein complex composed of three heavy-chain molecules (180 kDa) and three light-chain molecules (30 to 40 kDa) associated in a three-legged structure called a triskelion, is intimately involved in the formation of endocytic transport vesicles and has also been implicated in the formation of transport vesicles and secretory granules from the Golgi complex in animal cells (2, 4, 6, 21). Two principal functions have been attributed to clathrin coats during this process of transport vesicle formation. First, assembly or rearrangement of triskelions could drive invagination of the membrane. Second, by collecting specific transmembrane receptor proteins, the clathrin coat could bestow selectivity to the process of packaging cargo proteins into transport vesicles.

The role of clathrin in intracellular protein transport has been studied in vivo in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *S. cerevisiae* possesses clathrin heavy and light chains (15, 19, 27) encoded by single genes, *CHC1* and *CLC1*, respectively (19, 27). In most strains examined, elimination of *CHC1* results in viable, slow-growing cells (18, 19). These clathrin heavy-chain-deficient mutants (*chc1*) transport newly synthesized proteins through the secretory pathway to the cell surface and to the lysosomelike vacuole but mislocalize a Golgi membrane protein (Kex2p) to the cell surface (17, 20). These results led to the conclusion that clathrin is not essential for the formation of secretory pathway transport vesicles and therefore for cell growth.

Discovery of a yeast strain unable to grow in the absence of clathrin heavy chain led to the identification of a genetic locus which influences the viability of chc1 strains but is phenotypically cryptic in CHC1 strains (12). This locus, unlinked to CHC1, was designated suppressor of clathrin deficiency (SCD1). The interpretation was put forth that clathrin-deficient yeast cells are viable only if they harbor a suppressor allele of SCD1. It has been demonstrated in previous work that viability is not due to a suppressor allele arising during the propagation of diploids heterozygous for chcl (12, 18). This work could not exclude the possibility, however, that a suppressor could preexist in laboratory strains of S. cerevisiae. Given the paucity of strains that are inviable when CHC1 is disrupted, the suppressor allele would have to be widespread. The significance of SCD1 is unclear. In one view, the existence of a suppressor has important consequences for interpretations of the phenotypes of viable chcl cells. For example, the suppressor could encode a functional homolog of clathrin heavy chain which is capable of suppressing, in part, secretory pathway defects caused by the absence of clathrin heavy chain. Low-strin-

^{*} Corresponding author.

[†] Present address: Department of Cell Biology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305.

gency hybridizations did not reveal genes with structural similarity to CHCI (18), but a functional substitute need not display sufficient similarity for detection by these procedures. On the other hand, the fragility of viable chcl strains suggests an alternative hypothesis. The debilitated state of strains harboring chcl increases the sensitivity of cells to traumatic growth conditions. Low pH, high temperature, or low levels of a toxic drug (the arginine analog canavanine) that are not lethal to CHCl cells can inhibit the growth of chcl cells (18). This finding raises the possibility that perturbation of a process unrelated to clathrin function could impose sufficient stress to prevent chcl cell growth. In this way, the lethal allele of SCDl could result in chcl cell lethality even though it plays no role in intracellular protein transport or any other aspect of clathrin function.

We describe here experiments designed to test whether lethality in some clathrin heavy-chain-deficient yeast strains is indicative of genes encoding products functionally related to clathrin or whether this lethality reflects nonspecific effects on cells whose growth is already retarded by *chc1*. Our results argue strongly that lethality is a consequence of nonspecific effects and the suppression hypothesis need not be invoked to explain the phenotypes of clathrin-deficient yeast strains.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Media and strains. Yeast strains were grown in YP medium, which contains 1% yeast extract (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.) and 2% Bacto-Peptone (Difco) supplemented with 2% dextrose (YPD) or 2% galactose (YPG) as appropriate. For growth of Ade⁻ strains, this medium was supplemented with 0.0025% (wt/vol) adenine hydrochloride. Minimal medium plates used for assessing nutritional requirements were prepared as described by Sherman et al. (25). All solid media included 2% agar. Cell densities in liquid culture were measured in a 1-cm quartz cuvette, using a Beckman Instruments DU-62 spectrophotometer. One A_{600} unit is equivalent to a density of 10⁷ cells per ml. The genotypes of the various strains used are shown in Table 1.

Plasmids. Plasmid pCHC1-G, which is a pEMBLYex4derived plasmid containing the CHC1 gene under the control of the GAL10 promoter, was constructed as follows. A derivative of pEMBLYex4 (1) that lacks the 2µm autonomous replication sequence was made by deletion of the 1.8-kb BstEII-HindIII fragment. A 932-bp XmnI-KpnI fragment from CHC1 was isolated from an XmnI partial digest and KpnI complete digest of a plasmid containing only the 2.2-kb BamHI-KpnI fragment of CHC1. The XmnI site lies 36 bp upstream of the ATG initiation codon of CHC1. The complete CHC1 gene was then reassembled in pUC119 by using the XmnI-KpnI fragment and a 6.5-kb KpnI-SalI fragment to generate pCHCXS. The CHCl gene was then isolated on a 7.3-kb SmaI-SalI fragment from pCHCXS and inserted at the SmaI and SalI sites in the polylinker of pEMBLYex4 $\Delta Bst EII$ -HindIII such that the direction of transcription is in the same sense as in the GAL10 promoter. This plasmid is pCHC1-G (Fig. 1). Digestion of pCHC1-G with StuI cuts within the URA3 gene on this plasmid and directs insertion of the DNA to the chromosomal URA3 locus.

Plasmid pchc1- Δ 10, used for single-step gene transplacements (23), was described in Payne et al. (18) and carries the *LEU2* gene inserted such that only 178 bp at the 3' end of the *CHC1* gene remain. For transplacement, pchc1- Δ 10 was treated with *Hind*III. Plasmid YCpCHCTRP consists of an 8.4-kb BamHI-SalI fragment containing the entire CHC1 gene inserted into YCpR+C Δ BamHI between the BamHI and SalI sites. The YCpR+C Δ BamHI vector, which was constructed from YCpR+C (a gift from D. Mooney) by deletion of the BamHI fragment containing the ADH1-ADH2 gene fusion, contains CEN3, ARS1, the TRP1 gene as a yeast selectable marker, and pBR322 sequences for replication in Escherichia coli K-12.

YCpchc1-521 is a derivative of YCpCHCTRP which carries *chc1-521* (a temperature-sensitive allele of *CHC1*). YCpchc1- Δ 10 is YCpchc1-521 which has had the *chc1-521* gene replaced by *chc1-\Delta10*. Isolation of the temperaturesensitive allele of *CHC1* will be described in a separate report.

pCHCc102 contains the complete wild-type CHC1 gene on an 8.4-kb BamHI-SalI fragment inserted into the centromere plasmid YCp50 (URA3). pBP6 was constructed by inserting a 5.4-kb BamHI-SacI fragment of pCHCc102 (containing the amino-terminal end of the wild-type CHC1 gene) and a 3.0-kb SacI-SalI fragment of YCpchc1-521 (containing the mutant carboxy-terminal end of the temperature-sensitive CHC1 gene) into YCp50.

Nucleic acid manipulations. Large-scale plasmid purification from *E. coli* K-12 was performed using a Qiagen plasmid purification kit (Qiagen, Inc., Studio City, Calif.). Plasmid construction and restriction analysis were carried out essentially as described by Maniatis et al. (13), using restriction endonucleases and T4 DNA ligase supplied by Boehringer Mannheim Biochemicals (Indianapolis, Ind.) or Promega Biotec (Madison, Wis.).

Yeast genomic DNA was isolated as described by Payne and Schekman (19). Physical characterization of the yeast genomic DNA was carried out by the method of Southern as described by Maniatis et al. (13). Radioactive probes were generated by isolation from a SeaPlaque agarose gel (FMC BioProducts, Rockland, Maine) of the 971-bp and 3.26-kb EcoRI fragments internal to the CHCl gene and random priming of 50- to 100-ng aliquots of these fragments with a Boehringer Mannheim random priming kit and 50 µCi of $\left[\alpha^{-32}P\right]$ dATP (3,000 Ci/mmol; ICN Radiochemicals, Irvine, Calif.). Labeled DNA was separated from unincorporated nucleotides on a Biospin 30 chromatography column (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, Calif.). Prehybridization of nitrocellulose filters (Schleicher & Schuell, Keene, N.H.) bearing blotted yeast genomic DNA was performed in a solution containing 5× SSC (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate, pH 7.0), 10× Denhardt's solution (0.2% Ficoll, 0.2% polyvinylpyrrolidone, 0.2% bovine serum albumin), 5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), and 100 µg of heat-denatured salmon sperm DNA per ml at 45°C for 1 to 3 h. Hybridizations of radiolabeled fragments of the CHC1 gene to prehybridized filters was carried out in the same solution, except with 50% formamide and no salmon sperm DNA, at 55°C for 16 h. The radiolabeled yeast CHCl fragments (100 ng each) were added to approximately 3×10^6 cpm/ml. After hybridization, filters were washed with $6 \times$ SSC at 50 to 60°C three times, dried, and then exposed to Kodak XAR5 X-ray film (Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, N.Y.) in a cassette containing intensifying screens at -70° C for 16 h.

Preparation of protein extracts, SDS-PAGE, and immunodetection with specific antisera. Cells were grown in YPG or YPD for at least nine doublings at 30°C to mid-logarithmic phase. Approximately 10 A_{600} of cells was harvested, and cell extracts were prepared by lysis with 2% SDS as de-

| Strain | Genotype | Source |
|----------------|---|---------------------------|
| | $MAT_{9} [eu2.3, 112] ura3.1 his3.11, 15 ade2.1 trp1.1 can1.100 cdl1$ | R Rothstein |
| W303-1R | $MAT_{\alpha} = 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ m 3^{-1} m^{-1} m 3^{-1} m | R Rothstein |
| W303 | MATe/MATe diploid homozygous for markers in W303-1A and -1B | R Rothstein |
| BI3473 | MATa/MATa leu2/leu2 ura3-52/ura3-52 his1/HIS1 ade6/ADF6 gal2/(gal2) | S Lemmon and F W Iones |
| DJ J775 | scdl/scdl | 5. Lemmon and L. W. Jones |
| GPY55-10B | MATα leu2-3,112 ura3-52 trp1-289 prb1 gal2 | This study |
| GPY142-1C | MAT _{\alpha} leu2-3,112 ura3-52 his4 trp1-289 can1 | This study |
| GPY137-3A | MATa leu2 ura3-52 his1 ade6 scd1 gal2 | This study |
| GPY150.2 | MATα leu2-3,112 ura3-52 his4 trp1-289 can1 URA3::GAL10-CHC1 chc1-Δ10::LEU2 | This study |
| GPY170 | GPY55-10B/YCpchc1-521 (TRP1) | This study |
| GPY176 | MATα leu2-3,112 ura3-52 trp1-289 prb1 gal2 chc1-Δ10::LEU2/YCpchc1-521 (TRP1) | This study |
| GPY177 | MAT _{α} leu ₂₋₃ , 112 ura ₃₋₅₂ trp1-289 prb1 gal2/YCpchc1- Δ 10::LEU ₂ (TRP1) | This study |
| GPY185.1 | MATa leu2-3.112 ura3-52 his1 ade6 scd1 chc1-521 | This study |
| GPY200 | See GPY205-1A | This study |
| GPY205 | $GPY150.2 \times GPY137-3A$ diploid | This study |
| GPY205-1A | $MAT_{\alpha} leu2 ura3-52 his$ | This study |
| GPY205-1D | MATa leu2 ura3-52 his1 his4 ade6 trp1-289 URA3::GAL10-CHC1 chc1-A10::LEU2 | This study |
| GPY205-16A | MATa leu2 ura3-52 his4 trp1-289 scd1 URA3::GAL10-CHC1 chc1-A10::LEU2 | This study |
| GPY206 | $GPY137-3A \times GPY205-16A$ diploid | This study |
| GPY207 | $GPY205-16A \times GPY205-1D$ diploid | This study |
| GPY207-12B | MATa leu2 ura3-52 his4 ade6 trp1-289 scd1 URA3::GAL10-CHC1 chc1-A10::LEU2 | This study |
| GPY207-12C | MATa leu2 ura3-52 his4 ade6 trp1-289 URA3::GAL10-CHC1 chc1-A10::LEU2 | This study |
| GPY207-14A | MATa leu2 ura3-52 his4 ade6 trp1-289 URA3::GAL10-CHC1 chc1-A10::LEU2 | This study |
| GPY207-17C | MATa leu2 ura3-52 his4 ade6 trp1-289 scd1 URA3::GAL10-CHC1 chc1-A10::LEU2 | This study |
| GPY212 | $GPY207-12B \times GPY207-17C$ diploid | This study |
| GPY213 | GPY207-12C \times GPY207-14A diploid | This study |
| GPY214 | W303 $chcl-\Delta I0$:: LEU2/CHC1 diploid | This study |
| GPY215 | BI3473 $chcl-\Delta 10$::LEU2/CHC1 diploid | This study |
| GPY222 | GPY214 URA3::GAL10-CHC1/ura3-1 diploid | This study |
| GPY222-5A | MATα leu2-3,112 ura3-1 his3-11,15 ade2-1 trp1-1 can1-100 cdl1 URA3::GAL10-CHC1 chc1-Δ10::LEU2 | This study |
| GPY222-1B | MATa leu2-3,112 ura3-1 his3-11,15 ade2-1 trp1-1 can1-100 cdl1 URA3::GAL10-CHC1 chc1-Δ10::LEU2 | This study |
| GPY226 | GPY205-1D \times GPY222-5A diploid | This study |
| GPY227 | GPY205-16A \times GPY222-1B diploid | This study |
| GPY230 | GPY222-5A \times GPY222-1B diploid | This study |
| GPY246 | GPY185.1 \times W303-1A diploid | This study |
| GPY249 | GPY246 $chc1-\Delta 10$:: $LEU2/chc1-521$ diploid | This study |
| GPY257 | Trp ⁺ revertant of GPY205-1D | This study |
| GPY292 | W303-1B \times GPY1103 diploid | This study |
| GPY322 | GPY214/pBP6 (chc1-521 URA3) | This study |
| GPY322-2A | MAT a leu2-3,112 ura3-1 his3-11,15 ade2-1 trp1-1 can1-100 cdl1 | This study |
| | chc1- Δ 10::LEU2/pBP6 (chc1-521 URA3) | |
| GPY323 | GPY214/pCHCc102 (CHC1 URA3) | This study |
| GPY323-2B | MATα leu2-3,112 ura3-1 his3-11,15 ade2-1 trp1-1 can1-100 cdl1 chc1-λ10···I EU2/pCHCc102 (CHC1 UPA3) | This study |
| GPY1103 | $MATa$ leu2-3,112 ura3-52 his4-519 trp1 can1 chc1- Δ 8::LEU2 | This study |

TABLE 1. Yeast strains

scribed previously (15). Various amounts of cell extract were then loaded onto a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and subjected to electrophoresis (PAGE) as described by Laemmli (11). Electroblotting to nitrocellulose and visualization of clathrin heavy chain with a specific antiserum were carried out essentially as described by Burnette (3). The polyclonal antiserum used in these studies was that used by Payne and Schekman (19); the antiserum was preadsorbed three times with intact yeast cells and then used at a 1/400 dilution. The secondary antibody was goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G coupled to alkaline phosphatase (Bio-Rad) and was used at a 1/1,000 dilution. The blot was developed with a solution consisting of 330 μ l of nitroblue tetrazolium (50 mg/ml; Sigma), 165 μ l of 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (50 mg/ml; Sigma), and 50 ml of alkaline phosphatase buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCl [pH 9.5], 0.1 M NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂).

Yeast genetic techniques and transformation. Yeast mating, sporulation, and tetrad analyses were conducted as described by Sherman et al. (25). DNA transformation of yeast strains was done by the lithium acetate procedure of Ito et al. (9).



FIG. 1. Plasmid pCHC1-G carrying the CHC1 gene under the control of the GAL10 promoter. The arrow indicates the direction of transcription from the GAL10 promoter. Restriction sites: K, KpnI; X, XmnI; S, SaII; H, HindIII; T, StuI.

To generate a strain in which CHC1 expression is regulated by the GAL10 promoter, pCHC1-G was digested with StuI and introduced into GPY142-1C by lithium acetatemediated transformation. A Ura⁺ transformant was selected, and CHC1 in this transformant was transplaced with chc1- $\Delta 10$ (23). Leu⁺ transformants were tested for their growth rates on glucose- and galactose-containing media. DNA from a transformant that grew at wild-type rates on galactose-containing medium but slowly on glucose-containing medium was subjected to analysis by the method of Southern to establish that the CHC1 gene driven by the GAL10 promoter is present at the URA3 locus and that the chc1- $\Delta 10$ allele is present at the CHC1 locus. This strain is GPY150.2 (Table 1).

Haploid *cdl1 chc1-\Delta 10 CHC1-G* strains were prepared by sporulation of a diploid strain (GPY222) homozygous for *cdl1* and heterozygous for *chc1-\Delta 10* and *CHC1-G*. GPY222 was derived from W303 by transformation first with pchc1- $\Delta 10$ (to generate GPY214) and then with pCHC1-G. The genotype of GPY222 was confirmed by characterization of its genomic DNA by the method of Southern. Two Leu⁺ and Ura⁺ segregants from GPY222 were chosen, and their genotypes were confirmed by analysis of their genomic DNA by the method of Southern. These *cdl1 chc1-\Delta 10 CHC1-G* strains are GPY222-5A and GPY222-1B.

EMS mutagenesis and mutant screen. Mutagenesis of yeast cells was carried out by using ethyl methanesulfonic acid (EMS; Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo.). Cells were grown with aeration in YPG at 30°C to stationary phase. Aliquots containing $10 A_{600}$ of cells were dispensed into glass tubes, and the cells were washed with 2 ml of phosphate buffer (100 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7). The cells were resuspended in phosphate buffer, divided into two $5-A_{600}$ (0.75-ml) aliquots, and brought to a final volume of 1.75 ml; 10 μ l of EMS was then added to one of the two samples. The cells were incubated with shaking at 30°C for 1 h, at which time the EMS was quenched by the addition of 1 ml of a 12%aqueous solution of sodium thiosulfate. This treatment results in a 40 to 50% reduction in the number of viable cells. The cells were then sedimented, resuspended in 2 ml of YPG, and allowed to recover at 30°C with aeration for 1 h. Serially diluted samples were spread onto YPG plates, and colonies were allowed to form at 30°C.

To identify colonies that grow only when *CHC1* is expressed, YPG-grown colonies were replica plated onto YPD plates and then onto fresh YPG plates. The plates were incubated at 30°C, and colonies that grew on the YPG plates but not the YPD plates were saved for further characterization. Since several doubling times on glucose-containing medium are required before residual clathrin heavy-chain mRNA and protein disappear from the cells, in some cases the YPD plates containing the mutagenized colonies were replica plated a second time onto YPD and the colonies were allowed to grow at 30°C. Colonies that were unable to grow or grew at much reduced rates on the second YPD replica plates were saved for further investigation.

Metabolic labeling and immunoprecipitation of α -factor in CHC1 and chc1-521(Ts) yeast strains. The cdl1(L) strains GPY322-2A and GPY323-2B were constructed by first transforming the diploid strain GPY214 [cdl1 (L)/cdl1 (L) CHC1/ chc1- $\Delta 10$ ura3-1/ura3-1] with pCHCc102 (URA3 CHC1) and with pBP6 [URA3 chc1-521(Ts)] to create strains GPY323 and GPY322, respectively, sporulating these diploids, and then isolating MAT α haploids which inherited both chc1- $\Delta 10$ and the plasmid. [We refer to the lethal allele of CDL1 as cdll(L) and the viable allele of CDLl as CDLl(V).] The CDL1(V) strains GPY176 and GPY177 were isolated by transforming GPY55-10B with YCpchc1-521 to create the strain GPY170 and then replacing one copy of CHC1 in GPY170 with chc1- $\Delta 10$::LEU2 by transformation with pchc1- Δ 10. In GPY176 the chromosomal copy of CHC1 was disrupted (leaving chc1-521 intact), and in GPY177 the plasmid-borne copy of chc1-521 was disrupted (leaving CHC1 intact).

Yeast strains GPY176, GPY177, GPY322-2A, and GPY323-2B (Table 1) were grown in SD with complete supplements except uracil (for GPY322-2A and GPY323-2B) or with complete supplements except tryptophan (for GPY176 and GPY177) and 0.2% yeast extract. The cells were grown with shaking to 10^7 cells per ml at 24°C, diluted to 5×10^6 cells per ml in fresh medium, and shifted to 37°C. The cultures were maintained at 37°C for 3 h, until the growth of GPY322-2A [*cdl1*(L) *chc1*] had attained its minimum rate. At this time, a sample of 2×10^7 cells was taken from each culture, and the cells were sedimented and washed twice in 1 ml of the same medium (prewarmed to 37°C) except without yeast extract

and were taken up in 1 ml of the same medium. They were then preincubated for 10 min at 37°C. Then 100 µCi of [³⁵S]methionine-cysteine was added, and the cells were labeled for 20 min at 37°C. Each culture was divided into two; 0.5 ml was placed on ice and azide (10 mM final concentration) was added to prevent further α -factor secretion, and the other half was incubated for 20 min at 37°C after addition of 5 μl of chase solution (0.3% cysteine, 0.3% methionine, 0.3 M ammonium sulfate). Following the chase period, samples were harvested as described above, and then all samples were separated into cell and medium fractions by centrifugation. A 350-µl sample of medium was taken, 10 μ l of 10% SDS was added, and the sample was then immediately heated to 100°C for 3 min and stored on ice. The cells were washed in 1 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 10 mM azide and then lysed by adding 50 µl of 2% SDS and 0.2 g of glass beads, vortexing the cells vigorously for 90 s, and then immediately heating the cells to 100°C for 3 min.

 α -factor was immunoprecipitated from aliquots of cell lysate and medium equivalent to 10⁶ cells in 1 ml of a PBS solution containing 1% Triton X-100, 10 mM sodium azide, and 0.1% SDS (PTS). Then 5 μ l of antiserum raised against α -factor was added to each sample, and the immunoprecipitations were carried out as previously described (20).

The immunoprecipitated α -factor was subjected to electrophoresis on a 15% polyacrylamide-SDS gel. After electrophoresis, the gel was immediately treated with Amplify (Amersham) and dried under vacuum. The labeled α -factor was visualized by autoradiography.

RESULTS

The chc1- $\Delta 10$ disruption causes inviability in spores derived from strain W303. During the course of our studies of yeast clathrin, we discovered a diploid strain, W303, whose haploid progeny cannot grow if they harbor a disruption of CHC1. This property of W303 mimicked that of strains homozygous for the lethal version of SCD1 [scd1(L)] described by Lemmon and Jones (12). [We refer to the lethal allele of SCD1 as scd1(L) and the viable allele of SCD1 as SCD1(V).] For direct comparison, the chc1- $\Delta 10$::LEU2 gene disruption was introduced by transformation into strain BJ3473, which is homozygous for scd1(L) (Table 1), and W303 (see Materials and Methods). Examination of chromosomal DNA from selected transformants by the method of Southern established that the strains were heterozygous for the CHC1 gene disruption (data not shown). After induction of sporulation of the BJ3473-derived transformant GPY215 and the W303-derived transformant GPY214, the meiotic progeny were dissected into tetrads (14) and incubated at 30°C on solid YPD medium to allow growth. In both strains, only two spores from each tetrad gave rise to colonies (Fig. 2). The surviving spores were all Leu⁻, indicating that cells which inherited the *chc1*- $\Delta 10$ allele were inviable. In both strains, spores predicted to carry the chc1- $\Delta 10$ disruption gave rise to microcolonies consisting of roughly 4 to 32 cells. This result argues that mitotic growth, not spore germination, is defective in the chcl spores. A difference between GPY214 and -215 was noted when the sporulation and germination were carried out at 24°C instead of 30°C. Whereas tetrads obtained from GPY215 [derived from the scd1(L)/scd1(L) strain] were indistinguishable at the two temperatures, tetrads derived from GPY214 at 24°C gave rise to predicted chcl colonies just visible to the unaided eye (data not shown). Thus, the ability of chcl cells with the



FIG. 2. Tetrads derived from strains in which *chcl* is lethal. (A) GPY214 [*cdl1*(L)/*cdl1*(L) *chc1*- Δ 10/*CHC1*]; (B) GPY215 [*scd1*(L)/*scd1*(L) *chc1*- Δ 10/*CHC1*]. Spores were germinated on YPD agar.

W303 genetic background to grow appears to be sensitive to temperature.

The lethality of the CHC1 gene disruption in W303 is due to a single locus which is unlinked to CHC1. To determine whether, like scd1(L), a single gene in W303 is sufficient for chc1 cell lethality, a diploid strain (GPY292) was generated by mating W303-1B (CHC1) with a viable chc1 haploid (GPY1103). Suppose that W303-1B contains a single gene responsible for the clathrin heavy-chain-deficient lethality [which we will call cdll(L)] so that the diploid GPY292 is heterozygous for both chcl and cdll. If these two genes are unlinked, then independent assortment during meiosis would predict a defined ratio of three tetrad types (26; Table 2). In addition to two CHCl segregants, one class of tetrads would contain two slow-growing chcl segregants, a second class would contain one slow-growing and one inviable chcl segregant, and the third class would contain two inviable chcl segregants. If CHCl and the gene causing lethality were unlinked, the ratio of the three tetrad types would be 1:4:1 (Table 2) (26). In contrast, if two genes must be present for lethality in addition to *chc1*, then the expected ratio would be 19:16:1 (this ratio can be derived by assuming independent assortment of the three genes and considering the phenotype associated with each of the spores in the 36 possible tetrads). Meiotic products derived from GPY292 gave tetrad types in the ratio of 1:4:1. This analysis indicates

TABLE 2. Segregation of $chcl-\Delta 8$ and cdll(L) in tetrads derived from a diploid (GPY292)^a heterozygous for each allele

| | No. of given tetrad type | | | |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Determination ^b | 2 Chc ⁺ : 2 Chc ⁻ | 2 Chc ⁺ : 1 Chc ⁻ : 1 dead ^c | 2 Chc ⁺ : 2 dead ^c | |
| Expected no. | | | | |
| Case 1 $(n = 40)$ | 7 | 26 | 7 | |
| Case 2 $(n = 40)$ | 21 | 18 | 1 | |
| Observed no. | 7 | 27 | 6 | |

^a The full genotype of GPY292 can be found in Table 1.

^b Case 1 assumes that one gene is required in addition to $chcl-\Delta 8$ for lethality, and case 2 assumes that two unlinked genes in addition to $chcl-\Delta 8$ are required. The 1:4:1 ratio of tetrad types expected from case 1 is explained in reference 26, and the 19:16:1 ratio of tetrad types expected from case 2 can be derived by assuming that *CHCl* and the other two genes are independently segregating and considering the phenotypes of all spores in each of the 36 possible types of tetrads.

^c In this genetic background, most (35 of 39) of the presumptive *chcl cdll* spores were not as inviable as those derived from GPY214 shown in Fig. 2. After extended incubations, they grew to form microcolonies just visible to the eye. The microcolonies were impossible to score for nutritional markers. These spores were classified as dead.

that W303 harbors a single genetic locus, unlinked to CHC1, which affects the viability of chc1 segregants.

The discovery of a single gene in the W303 background which is sufficient for chcl lethality provides a genetic means to address the idea that the viability of chc1 strains is due to the presence of a suppressor gene. The lethal versions of SCD1 and the gene that we have designated CDL1 can each alone cause chcl cells to be inviable. Consequently, if CDL1 is distinct from SCD1, and scd1(L) chc1 strains carry CDL1(V) or cdl1(L) chc1 strains carry SCD1(V), then strains would require the suppressor versions of both SCD1 and CDL1 in order to survive in the absence of clathrin heavy chain. Since *chc1* cell viability is common, the possibility of two independent suppressors in most laboratory strains is remote, and the result would argue against the suppressor hypothesis. We have investigated whether CDL1 and SCD1 are distinct by both genetic complementation and segregation experiments.

Very low levels of clathrin heavy chain can rescue viability of cells containing the lethal alleles of either SCD1 or CDL1. Since the lethal versions of SCD1 and CDL1 can be recognized only in the absence of clathrin heavy chain, we introduced a copy of CHC1 under control of the GAL10 promoter into strains carrying the lethal alleles of either SCD1 or CDL1. In these strains, CHC1 expression can be induced by growth on galactose-containing medium and repressed by growth on glucose-containing medium. The strains harboring GAL10-controlled CHC1 were used to determine whether scdl(L) and cdll(L) are recessive to the viable alleles and whether they define separate complementation groups. During characterization of the strains, we unexpectedly found that very low levels of clathrin heavy chain appear to rescue cell growth in strains with scdl(L) or cdl1(L) alleles.

In the case of scdl(L), a haploid CHCl strain with scdl(L)(GPY137-3A) was conjugated to a viable haploid chc1- $\Delta 10$ strain harboring the GAL10 promoter-controlled CHC1 gene (CHCI-G) integrated at the URA3 locus (GPY150.2; see Materials and Methods). We sought, from the meiotic segregants of this diploid, strains with chcl- $\Delta 10$, CHCl-G and scd1(L) allele. Since $chc1-\Delta 10$ was marked with the LEU2 gene and CHC1-G was marked with URA3, we tested Leu⁺ Ura⁺ segregants for their ability to grow after CHCl expression was repressed by glucose-containing medium. Since 50% of these segregants were predicted to carry scdl(L), half of the segregants should not have grown on the glucosecontaining medium, while the other half (carrying the viable SCD1 allele) should have yielded slow-growing cells. Initially, the Leu⁺ Ura⁺ isolates conformed to these expectations; however, after extended incubations, the isolates that initially appeared inviable produced very small colonies. Figure 3 shows the relative growth on galactose- and glucose-containing media of a CHC1 strain (GPY200), a CHC1-G strain with the SCD1 viable allele (GPY205-1D), and a CHC1-G strain with the putative scd1 lethal allele (GPY205-16A).

The identification of scd1(L) initially relied on the inviability of chc1 segregants after meiosis (Fig. 2). Yet, although 50% of the CHC1-G segregants should have carried the lethal scd1 allele, none were completely inviable on glucosecontaining medium. It seemed possible that CHC1-G somehow rescued viability, even under repressing conditions. To confidently assign scd1(L) to the extremely poorly growing strains, it was necessary to show that a single genetic locus could be recovered that causes inviability of meiotic chc1segregants. Accordingly, a diploid strain was formed from



FIG. 3. Growth of strains carrying CHC1 under the control of the GAL10 promoter on YPG (galactose) or YPD (glucose) agar.

GPY205-16A and a CHC1 strain carrying the scd1(L) allele. If GPY205-16A harbors scd1(L), then the diploid should be homozygous for this allele. Also, the diploid is heterozygous for *chc1*- $\Delta 10$::*LEU2* and heterozygous for *CHC1*-*G*. Tetrad analysis of such a strain dissected onto glucose-containing medium would be predicted to show that two members of each tetrad carry the *chc1*- $\Delta 10$ allele and that each of these also carries scdl(L). On average, one of the $chcl-\Delta l0$ segregants should also carry the CHC1-G allele. If CHC1-G rescues viability, then these segregants will form very small colonies like those in Fig. 3. Three tetrad types should be observed: 2 wild-type (WT):2 dead; 2 WT:1 very small:1 dead; and 2WT:2 very small. Assuming that $chcl-\Delta 10$ and CHC1-G are unlinked, the ratio of the tetrad types is predicted to be 1:4:1 (26). Table 3 presents the results of the tetrad analysis, showing that the predicted outcome was observed. Furthermore, if CHC1-G rescues viability, then all very small colonies should consist of cells carrying both chc1- $\Delta 10$ and CHC1-G and should therefore be Leu⁺ Ura⁺. This expectation was also confirmed (Table 4). This experiment indicates that GPY205-16A carries the SCD1 lethal allele and also that CHC1-G can apparently rescue viability during both spore germination and vegetative growth even in the presence of glucose.

The ability of CHC1-G to rescue viability in the presence of the lethal version of SCD1 suggests that repression of CHC1-G expression on glucose-containing medium may not be complete. We examined this possibility by conducting immunoblot analysis (Fig. 4) of extracts from $chc1-\Delta 10$ CHC1-G cells. When cells are grown in glucose-containing medium, the level of clathrin heavy chain is approximately 50-fold lower than that found in the same cells grown in

| TABLE 3. Segregation of $chcl-\Delta 10$ and $URA3::CHCl-G$ in |
|--|
| spores derived from a diploid (GPY206) ^a heterozygous |
| for these alleles and homozygous for scd1(L) |

| | No. of given tetrad type | | | |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Determination ^b | 2 Chc ⁺ : 2 Chc ⁻ | 2 Chc ⁺ : 1 Chc ⁻ : 1 dead ^c | 2 Chc ⁺ : 2 dead ^c | |
| Expected no. | | | | |
| Case 1 $(n = 38)$ | 6 | 26 | 6 | |
| Case 2 $(n = 38)$ | 0 | 0 | 38 | |
| Observed no. | 5 | 26 | 7 | |

^a The full genotype of GPY206 is shown in Table 1.

^b Case 1 assumes that CHC1-G allows $chc1-\Delta 10 \ scd1$ (L) haploids to grow, and case 2 assumes that CHC1-G does not allow these haploids to grow. The 1:4:1 ratio of tetrad types expected in case 1 is explained in reference 26.

^c In this genetic background, 7 of the 34 putative *chcl ura3-52* spores were not as inviable as those derived from GPY214 or GPY215 shown in Fig. 2. After extended incubations, these spores grew to form microcolonies just visible to the eye. The microcolonies were impossible to score for nutritional markers. These spores were classified as dead.

galactose-containing medium (Fig. 4; compare lanes 9 to 12 with lanes 13 to 16). The band that appears above clathrin heavy chain is due to a low level of contaminating antibodies in our antiserum. This reactivity serves as a useful internal control for loading. The levels of expression from *CHC1-G* in cells grown on galactose medium (Fig. 4, lanes 13 to 16) are comparable to levels produced from the wild-type *CHC1* locus in cells grown on glucose (Fig. 4, lanes 1 to 4). From this analysis, it appears that *CHC1-G* is not completely repressed on glucose-containing medium. This low-level expression, only about 2% of wild-type clathrin levels, apparently can rescue growth of cells carrying the *SCD1* lethal allele. We have noted a similar phenomenon in *chc1-* $\Delta 10$ *CHC1-G* cells carrying the lethal allele of *CDL1* (Fig. 3).

Complementation tests with lethal alleles of SCD1 and CDL1. The extremely poor growth of the $chcl-\Delta 10$ CHC1-G strains containing the lethal scd1 or cdl1 allele can easily be distinguished from the growth of similar strains carrying the viable version of each gene (Fig. 3). These strains were therefore used to investigate the recessive or dominant nature of each lethal allele and also to test whether the two lethal alleles were capable of complementation. To determine whether the lethal alleles are recessive to the viable alleles, diploid strains homozygous for $chcl-\Delta 10$ and CHCl-G but heterozygous for the lethal allele of either SCD1 or CDL1 were placed on glucose-containing medium. For comparison, we also tested growth of a strain homozygous for the viable alleles of strains homozygous for the lethal alleles of either SCD1 and CDL1 and growth of strains homozygous for the lethal alleles of either SCD1 and CDL1 and growth of strains homozygous for the lethal alleles of either SCD1 and CDL1 and SCD1 and

TABLE 4. Numbers of spores of each genotype obtained from $GPY206^a$

| Determi | No. of spores of given genotype | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------|
| nation ^b | CHCI URA3:: CHCI-G | CHC1 ura3-52 | chc1-Δ10 URA3:: CHC1-G | chc1-Δ10 ura3-52° | Total |
| Expected no. | | | | | |
| Čase 1 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 0 | 114 |
| Case 2 | 38 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| Observed no. | 34 | 42 | 35 | 1^d | 112 |

a-c See Table 3, footnotes a to c.

^d This isolate grew very slowly, but its genotype could be determined. It may be a partial phenotypic revertant of scdl(L).



FIG. 4. Immunoblot analysis of clathrin heavy chain expressed from the GAL10 promoter. Strains GPY200 (CHC1) (lanes 1 to 8) and GPY150.2 (CHC1-G chc1- Δ 10 (lanes 9 to 16) were grown on glucose-containing (lanes 1 to 4 and 9 to 12) or galactose-containing (lanes 5 to 8 and 13 to 16) medium for approximately nine doubling times. Protein extracts were prepared, and various amounts, indicated above each lane, were subjected to SDS-PAGE. After transfer to nitrocellulose, clathrin heavy chain was detected with a polyclonal antiserum. The arrow indicates clathrin heavy chain. The trace of clathrin heavy chain visible in lane 12 is due to spillover from the adjacent lane 13.

SCD1 or CDL1. Figure 5 shows that cells heterozygous for the lethal alleles of SCD1 or CDL1 (sectors D and B) grow at rates commensurate with rates of cells homozygous for the viable alleles (sector A). In contrast, the strains homozygous for either lethal allele are clearly much retarded in growth (sectors E and C). By convention, the lethal allele of each gene will be designated with lowercase letters. The diploid strain prepared by mating one haploid carrying scdl with a second haploid carrying *cdll* was tested for growth on glucose-containing medium to determine whether the two mutations could complement each other. The growth rate of this strain on glucose approaches that of the strain homozygous for both viable alleles, although complementation is not quite complete (compare sector F with sector A). This result suggests that cdll and scdl define two different complementation groups, suggesting that they are different genes.

Segregation analysis of scd1 and cdl1. To confirm that SCD1 and CDL1 are distinct, we tested the ability of the



FIG. 5. Complementation test of scd1(L) and cdl1(L). Strains with the designated genotypes were streaked onto YPD (glucose) agar. (A) GPY213; (B) GPY226 [cdl1(L)/CDL1]; (C) GPY230 [cdl1(L)/cdl1(L)]; (D) GPY207 [scd1(L)/SCD1]; (E) GPY212 [scd1(L)/scd1(L)]; (F) GPY227 [scd1(L)/cdl1(L)].

TABLE 5. Segregation of $chc1-\Delta 10$, scd1(L), and cd11(L) in tetrads derived from a diploid strain (GPY249)^a heterozygous for each allele^b

| | No. of given tetrad type | | |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|
| Determination ^c | 2 Chc ⁺ : 2 Chc ⁻ | 2 Chc ⁺ : 1 Chc ⁻ : 1 dead ^d | 2 Chc ⁺ : 2 dead ^d |
| Expected no. | | | |
| Case 1 $(n = 36)$ | 1 | 16 | 19 |
| Case 2 $(n = 36)$ | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| Observed no. | 0 | 13 | 23 |

^a The full genotype of GPY249 is shown in Table 1.

^b GPY249 carries a temperature-sensitive CHC1 allele (*chc1-521*) in place of CHC1, but the diploid sporulation and spore germination were carried out at permissive temperatures for this mutation.

^c Case 1 assumes that SCD1 and CDL1 are distinct, unlinked genes, and case 2 assumes that they are alleles of the same gene. The 1:16:19 ratio of tetrad types expected from case 1 can be derived by assuming that SCD1, CDL1, and CHC1 are independently assorting and considering the phenotypes of all the spores in each of the 36 possible types of tetrads.

^d In this genetic background, 9 of the 59 presumptive *chcl scdl* or *chcl cdll* spores were not as inviable as those derived from GPY214 or GPY215 shown in Fig. 2. After extended incubations, these spores grew to form microcolonies just visible to the eye. The microcolonies were impossible to score for nutritional markers. This was similar to the result with the GPY292 dissection, where most of the presumptive *chcl cdll* spores grew to form microcolonies but were impossible to score. These spores were classified as dead.

lethal alleles to segregate during meiosis. A diploid strain was generated by mating two CHC1 strains, one harboring cdll and the other harboring scdl. In fact, the scdl strain carries a temperature-sensitive allele of CHC1, but since all experiments were carried out at the permissive temperature, the strain was effectively Chc⁺. One CHC1 allele was disrupted by gene transplacement, and the resulting heterozygous genotype of one transformant (GPY249) was confirmed by physical characterization of the chromosomal DNA by the procedure of Southern (13) (data not shown). The results of tetrad analysis of GPY249 are presented in Table 5. Of 44 tetrads, 36 gave two CHC1 segregants. We have consistently noted a degree of nonspecific spore inviability in BJ3473-derived strains, and this accounts for the loss of a few CHC1, and presumably chc1, segregants. If SCD1 and CDL1 are independently assorting genes, then the tetrads should fall into three classes. All tetrads should have two CHC1 members. The first class will have two viable chcl segregants (containing neither scdl nor cdll), the second will have one viable and one inviable chcl segregant (one with either scd1, cdl1, or both and one with neither lethal allele), and the third will have two inviable segregants (both with scd1, cdl1, or both). Since scd1 and cdl1 can each lead to inviability, independent assortment of the two genes should yield tetrad types in a ratio of 1:16:19 (this ratio can

be derived by assuming that CHC1, SCD1, and CDL1 are independently assorting and considering the phenotype of each spore in all of the 36 possible tetrad types). In total, 25% of the Chc⁻ spores should be viable and 75% should be inviable. To compare, if SCD1 and CDL1 are the same gene, then all tetrads should be class III; that is, no viable Chc⁻ spores should be obtained. The data obtained from 36 tetrads containing two viable CHC1 segregants clearly indicates that scd1 and cdl1 are alleles of different genes (Table 5).

Mutations at numerous loci can influence viability of chc1 cells. The finding that SCD1 and CDL1 are two distinct genes prompted us to investigate the number of genes which, when mutant, can similarly affect the growth of chcl cells. For this purpose, we started with CHC1-G chc1- $\Delta 10$ SCD1 CDL1 cells and searched for mutants on glucose-containing medium which displayed the extremely poor growth associated with scd1 or cdl1 cells when CHC1 expression is repressed. Two strains of opposite mating type, GPY150.2 and GPY257, were chosen to facilitate complementation analysis. Each strain was mutagenized with EMS. Individual colonies were grown on galactose-containing medium and then tested for growth on glucose-containing medium by replica plating (see Materials and Methods). In total, 71 mutants having the desired phenotype were identified from among the roughly 12,000 colonies screened. The mutants could be divided into four classes on the basis of the severity of the growth defect on glucose-containing medium (Table 6). Class I mutants do not form colonies on glucose-containing medium, even after prolonged incubations; class II mutants grow on glucose-containing medium much more poorly than do scdl or cdll strains; class III mutants grow similarly to scd1 and cdl1 strains; class IV mutants grow slightly better than scd1 and cdl1 strains but still markedly worse than the starting CDL1 SCD1 strains. Most of the mutants grow as well as the parent strains on galactosecontaining medium, although in some cases growth on galactose is also affected.

To determine the number of complementation groups represented in the collection, a set of 23 GPY150.2-derived mutants was crossed to a set of 33 GPY257-derived strains. In addition, the GPY257-derived strains were tested for their ability to complement *scd1* and *cdl1*. Of the 56 tested strains, 49 exhibited recessive growth phenotypes. Of these strains, only two pairs failed to complement. One pair defined a new complementation group, and the second consisted of a GPY257-derived mutant and the *cdl1* strain. The finding that almost all of the strains with recessive growth phenotypes carry mutations that complement each other suggests that most of the mutations have affected distinct genes.

The nature of the genetic screen does not immediately allow the distinction between mutations that cause growth defects because of the lack of *CHC1* expression and muta-

No. of mutants dominant No. of No. of mutants unaffected Class Phenotype on glucose mutants on galactose (of 56 tested) I No growth 7 3 1 Π Very extreme growth defect [much worse than 19 13 3 scd1(L) or cdl1(L)] III Strong growth defect [like scd1(L) or cdl1(L), 36 30 3 or slightly worsel IV 9 0 Moderate growth defect [slightly better than 6 scd1(L) or cdl1(L)] Total 71 52 7

TABLE 6. Growth phenotypes of clathrin-requiring mutants when CHC1 expression is repressed

tions that prevent glucose utilization regardless of the expression state of CHC1. To determine the frequency of each type of mutation in our collection, we introduced a plasmid (YCpCHCTRP) which allows constitutive expression of CHC1 into 12 of the GPY150.2-derived mutants on galactose-containing medium. This plasmid should not affect the ability of mutants to grow on glucose-containing medium if the mutation affects glucose utilization. If the growth defect is due to the lack of CHC1 expression, then the YCpCHCTRP plasmid should rescue growth on glucosecontaining medium. In 11 of the mutants, growth was clearly restored by YCpCHCTRP. In one, growth was not restored. In each case, restoration of growth could be attributed to YCpCHCTRP, since loss of the plasmid resulted in the original growth phenotype. In summary, the phenotypes on glucose-containing medium of 11 of 12 mutants can be attributed to the absence of clathrin heavy chain, not the inability to utilize glucose.

The cdl1 allele does not cause a general defect in secretory pathway function in chc1 cells. Studies of yeast sec mutations have established that cell growth depends on a functional secretory pathway (24). Given this precedent, a block in secretion could be responsible for the lethality caused by combination of chc1 with the mutant loci described above. To monitor the secretory pathway, secretion of the peptide mating pheromone α -factor was examined. During transport through the secretory pathway, α -factor undergoes a series of modifications which occur at specific stages of the pathway (10). Thus, if a secretory block occurs, the accumulated form of α -factor provides a signature for the affected compartment. α -Factor is synthesized as part of a larger precursor which is translocated into the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum and core glycosylated to generate a 26.5-kDa endoplasmic reticulum-specific form. Transport to the Golgi complex results in further glycosylation, creating a molecular weight shift to about 125 kDa. Finally, in the late Golgi or in transport vesicles on the way to the cell surface, the high-molecular-weight form of α -factor is processed by a series of proteases to the mature 3.5-kDa form, which is secreted. In clathrin-deficient cells, this processing does not occur efficiently and highly glycosylated α -factor is secreted into the medium (20).

To assess secretion in *cdl1* strains, we made use of a temperature-sensitive allele of *CHC1* (*chc1-521*). Strains carrying both *chc1-521* and either *scd1* or *cdl1* grow normally at 24°C but are barely able to grow at 37°C (their growth rate is much slower at 37°C than that of *chc1-521* strains with *SCD1* or *CDL1*). The relative growth rates of *chc1-521 cDL1* (GPY176), *CHC1 CDL1* (GPY177), *chc1-521 cdl1* (GPY322-2A), and *CHC1 cdl1* (GPY323-2B) before and after a shift from 24 to 37°C are shown in Fig. 6A. After the shift to 37°C, the growth defect of the *chc1-521 cdl1* strain is apparent in 1 h and becomes maximal by 3 h. Secretion of α -factor was therefore monitored following incubation of the four strains at 37°C for 3 h.

The four strains described above were metabolically labeled with [35 S]methionine-cysteine after the 3-h incubation, and α -factor was immunoprecipitated from cell or culture supernatant fractions immediately after labeling or after a 20-min chase period. The *CHC1* strains secrete all of the labeled α -factor during the labeling period, and it appears in the medium as mature α -factor (Fig. 6B, lanes 5, 6, 13, and 14). In contrast, the *chc1-521* strains retain some highly glycosylated α -factor precursor after the labeling period (lanes 1, 2, 9, and 10), and this is only fully exported from the cells after the 20-min chase period (lanes 3, 4, 11, and 12).



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

FIG. 6. (A) Growth of GPY177 [CHC1 CDL1(V)] (closed squares), GPY323-2B [CHCl cdl1(L)] (open squares), GPY176 [chc1-521(Ts) CDL1(V)] (open circles), and GPY322-2A [chc1-521(Ts) cdl1(L)] (closed circles) strains before and after a shift in temperature from 24 to 37°C. Cells were inoculated to a starting density of 0.1 A_{600} in SD containing complete supplements except uracil (GPY322-2A and GPY323-2B) or complete supplements except tryptophan (GPY176 and GPY177) and 0.2% yeast extract and then grown with agitation at 24°C. When the A_{600} reached 1.0, the cultures were immediately placed at 37°C (indicated by the arrow), and incubation was continued. Samples of the cultures were taken every 2 h at 24°C and every hour at 37°C, and the A_{600} was determined. When the cultures reached an A_{600} of 2 to 4, they were diluted to an A_{600} of 0.1 to 0.2 and incubation was continued, but the readings taken after this were adjusted with the appropriate correction factor. (B) Secretion of α -factor in CHC1 and chc1-521(Ts) strains carrying either CDL1(V) (lanes 1 to 8) or cdl1(L) (lanes 9 to 16) after a shift to the restrictive temperature. Cells were grown at 24°C and shifted to 37°C for 3 h. The cells were labeled with $[^{35}S]$ methionine-cysteine at 37°C for 20 min and then either harvested immediately (lanes 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, and 14) or incubated for 20 min at 37°C with cold methionine and cysteine (lanes 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15, and 16). Cells (C) were separated from medium (M) by centrifugation, cell extracts were prepared, and a-factor was immunoprecipitated from each fraction. Immunoprecipitates were resolved by SDS-PAGE and visualized by fluorography. The chcl-521(Ts) strains secrete highly glycosylated pro- α -factor (p α f) into the medium after growth at the restrictive temperature; this phenotype was previously observed in *chc1*- $\Delta 10$ mutants (20). The *CHC1* strains secrete mature α -factor (m α f) into the medium.

This result indicates that the chcl-52l cells have a slight delay in secretion at a post-Golgi complex stage, as has been reported previously for strains carrying null alleles of *CHCl* (19). Closer examination of the data reveals that the presence of *CDLl* or *cdll* does not appear to influence the

secretion of α -factor. For both the *CHC1* strains and the *chc1-521* strains, the kinetics of delivery of α -factor to the cell surface is the same in the presence of *cdl1* or *CDL1*. In other experiments, we have shown that delivery of the soluble vacuolar protease carboxypeptidase Y to the vacuole is normal in both *cdl1* and *CDL1* strains carrying *chc1-521* after 3 h at the restrictive temperature (data not shown). This finding indicates that the growth defect in clathrin heavy-chain-deficient cells carrying *cdl1* is not due to a blockage of the secretory pathway.

DISCUSSION

Discovery of the lethal scd1 allele led to the hypothesis that the absence of clathrin heavy chain in yeast cells is lethal unless a suppressor gene is present (12). Mechanisms of suppression directly or indirectly related to clathrin function were postulated. We have taken advantage of a second strain which cannot grow in the absence of CHC1 to assess the likelihood that chcl cell viability is due to genetic suppression. Our studies indicate that a single genetic locus, CDL1, is responsible for lethality in this second strain. Both genetic complementation and segregation tests show that CDL1 is distinct from SCD1. To account for this finding, the suppressor hypothesis would require that viable strains carry two independent suppressor genes, an unlikely possibility. Pursuing this line of reasoning, our observation that many different genes can give scdl-like phenotypes makes the suppressor hypothesis untenable. A more likely interpretation is that, given the recessive character of scd1 and cdl1, these lethal alleles represent mutations which reduce or abolish the activity encoded by genes found in wild-type yeast cells. In this scenario, a disruption of CHC1 in wild-type cells results in slow-growing cells, but in cells with reduced or absent SCD1 (or CDL1) activity, a CHC1 disruption is lethal. This interpretation is supported by the demonstration that a mutation that fails to complement cdll can be isolated from a population of chemically mutagenized viable chcl cells. Our results therefore argue that scdl and *cdl1* are mutant genes which are cryptic in otherwise wildtype cells but result in synthetic lethality when combined with chc1.

The significance of genes that display synthetic lethality with chcl is central to the evaluation of clathrin function. In some cases, synthetic lethality has been a meaningful prognosticator of functional interactions (see reference 8 for a discussion). For example, in S. cerevisiae, a cold-sensitive allele of one of the two α -tubulin genes displays lethality at all temperatures when combined with certain alleles of the second α -tubulin gene or the β -tubulin gene. In each of these cases, synthetic lethality occurred at a temperature that was permissive for at least one of the mutant alleles. This is not the case with chcl, which lacks clathrin heavy chain at all temperatures. Furthermore, it is unlikely that disruption of another member of clathrin coats would enhance the phenotype of cells lacking the principal coat component. Alternatively, synthetic effects have been proposed to signify parallel pathways rather than direct interaction (7). Applying this idea to scd1 and cdl1 raises the provocative possibility that the wild-type products of these genes normally perform functions similar to that of clathrin in parallel pathways and can functionally substitute for clathrin heavy chain in the chcl cells. To address this possibility, we conducted a systematic search for scdl-like genes, reasoning that only a limited number of genes could encode functional clathrin heavy-chain homologs. However, numerous mutations were obtained which caused growth defects equal to or greater than those caused by scdl and cdll when clathrin heavychain expression was repressed. Of these mutations, one failed to complement cdll and two others failed to complement each other. The remainder appear to define new genes, since each pair of mutants tested displayed complementation. We have not directly demonstrated that these mutations are lethal when present in a background completely devoid of clathrin heavy chain. However, since these mutations act similarly to scd1 and cdl1 in cells with CHC1-G grown on glucose-containing medium, and since both scdl and *cdl1* are lethal in *chc1* backgrounds, it seems likely that most of the mutations will also act as synthetic lethals in chcl cells. Given the large number of different genes that can yield scdl-like mutations, it is difficult to put forth a compelling argument that such mutations identify clathrin heavychain substitutes.

The large number of scdl- and cdll-like mutations might be significant if, when clathrin is lacking, a second pathway could provide an alternative secretory route for proteins which normally would travel via clathrin-coated vesicles. Such a pathway may involve many proteins and thus give many targets for synthetically lethal mutations. If an alternative pathway is blocked by such mutations, then in combination with chcl, a blockage or delay in the transport of proteins to the cell surface might be anticipated. We have addressed this possibility by examining secretion of α -factor in strains carrying a temperature-sensitive chcl allele and cdll. By 3 h following a shift to the nonpermissive temperature, the chcl(Ts) cdll cells exhibit their maximum growth defect yet transport α -factor to the cell surface and deliver carboxypeptidase Y to the vacuole with the same kinetics as do the chc1(Ts) CDL1 cells. Thus, in combination with chc1, cdll does not cause a novel secretory defect. This evidence suggests that mutations which display synthetic lethality in combination with chcl do not affect a secretory pathway parallel to one involving clathrin-coated vesicles. Instead, the most plausible interpretation of our results is that minor perturbations in pathways unrelated to clathrin function can impose sufficient stress to kill a cell already debilitated by chc1. Our studies provide a strong argument that care must be exercised in interpreting synthetic lethal mutations when one mutation already causes a substantial growth defect.

Unexpectedly, cells harboring scd1 or cdl1 form slowgrowing colonies when clathrin heavy-chain expression from the GAL10 promoter is repressed on glucose-containing medium. The growth rate of the cells on glucose-containing medium is much slower even than that of chcl CDL1 SCD1 cells, but the viability clearly contrasts with the inability of cells carrying a disruption of CHC1 and scd1 or cdl1 to form colonies. Genetic experiments attribute the viability to the GAL10 promoter-driven CHC1, and we have found that under repressing conditions this construct is not completely off but expresses clathrin heavy chain at 2% of wild-type levels. These results suggest that very low levels of clathrin heavy chain can suffice to rescue the viability of chcl scdl (or *cdl1*) cells. This seems surprising, since clathrin is thought to act in a structural rather than enzymatic fashion. Perhaps the cooperativity of clathrin assembly (5) allows the limited amount of heavy chain present in cells grown on glucose-containing medium to assemble into a sufficient number of functional coat structures to allow growth. On the other hand, the cause of the growth defect in viable or inviable *chc1* cells is not known, and it is conceivable that the small number of heavy-chain molecules may provide a previously unknown function independent of assembly

which rescues viability of the *scd1* cells. Regardless, the results indicate that growth of cells carrying *scd1* or *cdl1* offers a sensitive assay for clathrin heavy-chain function in vivo. Since a deletion of the clathrin light-chain gene (*CLC1*) in *scd1* cells yields slow-growing rather than inviable cells (27), our results would argue that clathrin heavy chain retains some function in the absence of clathrin light chain. The sensitivity of the *scd1* and *cdl1* cells to clathrin functions suggests that they will be good hosts to evaluate the effect of directed mutations in *CHC1*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank David Meyer for providing the pEMBLYex4 vector and the α -factor antibody and Sandra Lemmon and Elizabeth Jones for sending strains. We also thank Jeremy Thorner, Sandra Lemmon, and Lois Weisman for stimulating discussions and Lois Weisman, Janet Shaw, and Kathleen Wilsbach for critically reading the manuscript.

This work was funded by a California Institute for Cancer Research award to A.M. and NIH grant GM 39040 and a Pew Scholar's award to G.P.

REFERENCES

- Baldari, C., J. A. H. Murray, P. Ghiara, G. Cesareni, and C. L. Galeotti. 1987. A novel leader peptide which allows efficient secretion of a fragment of human interleukin 1β in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. EMBO J. 6:229–234.
- 2. Brodsky, F. M. 1988. Living with clathrin: its role in intracellular membrane traffic. Science 242:1396–1402.
- 3. Burnette, W. N. 1981. "Western blotting": electrophoretic transfer of proteins from sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gels to unmodified nitrocellulose and radiographic detection with antibody and radioiodinated protein A. Anal. Biochem. 112:195-203.
- Goldstein, J. L., R. G. W. Anderson, and M. S. Brown. 1979. Coated pits, coated vesicles, and receptor-mediated endocytosis. Nature (London) 279:679–685.
- Hanspal, M., E. Luna, and D. Branton. 1984. The association of clathrin fragments with coated vesicle membranes. J. Biol. Chem. 259:11075–11082.
- Harrison, S. C., and T. Kirchhausen. 1983. Clathrin, cages, and coated vesicles. Cell 33:650–652.
- 7. Haynes, R. H., and B. A. Kunz. 1981. DNA repair and mutagenesis in yeast, p. 371–414. In J. N. Strathern, E. W. Jones, and J. R. Broach (ed.), The molecular biology of the yeast Saccharomyces: life cycle and inheritance. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 8. Huffaker, T. C., M. A. Hoyt, and D. Botstein. 1987. Genetic analysis of the yeast cytoskeleton. Annu. Rev. Genet. 21:259–284.

- Ito, H., Y. Fukada, K. Murata, and A. Kimura. 1982. Transformation of intact yeast cells with alkali cations. J. Bacteriol. 153:163–168.
- 10. Julius, D., R. Schekman, and J. Thorner. 1984. Glycosylation and processing of prepro- α -factor through the yeast secretory pathway. Cell **36**:309–318.
- 11. Laemmli, U. K. 1970. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature (London) 227:680-685.
- 12. Lemmon, S. K., and E. W. Jones. 1987. Clathrin requirement for normal growth of yeast. Science 238:504-509.
- 13. Maniatis, T., E. F. Fritsch, and J. Sambrook. 1982. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 14. Mortimer, R. K., and D. Schild. 1981. Genetic mapping in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, p. 11–26. In J. N. Strathern, E. W. Jones, and J. R. Broach (ed.), The molecular biology of the yeast Saccharomyces: life cycle and inheritance. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Mueller, S. C., and D. Branton. 1984. Identification of coated vesicles in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Cell Biol. 98:341–346.
- 16. Palade, G. 1975. Intracellular aspects of the process of protein secretion. Science 189:347-358.
- Payne, G. S. 1990. Genetic analysis of clathrin function in yeast. J. Membr. Biol. 116:93-105.
- Payne, G. S., T. B. Hasson, M. S. Hasson, and R. Schekman. 1987. Genetic and biochemical characterization of clathrindeficient Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 7:3888– 3898.
- 19. Payne, G., and R. Schekman. 1985. A test of clathrin function in protein secretion and cell growth. Science 230:1009-1014.
- Payne, G. S., and R. Schekman. 1989. Clathrin: a role in the intracellular retention of a Golgi membrane protein. Science 245:1358–1365.
- Pearse, B. M. F., and M. S. Bretscher. 1981. Membrane recycling by coated vesicles. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 50:85–101.
- Pfeffer, S. R., and J. E. Rothman. 1987. Biosynthetic protein transport and sorting by the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 56:829–852.
- Rothstein, R. J. 1983. One-step gene disruption in yeast. Methods Enzymol. 101:202-211.
- Schekman, R. 1985. Protein localization and membrane traffic in yeast. Annu. Rev. Cell Biol. 1:115–143.
- Sherman, F., G. Fink, and C. Lawrence. 1974. Methods in yeast genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Sherman, F., and P. Wakem. 1991. Mapping yeast genes. Methods Enzymol. 194:38-56.
- Silveira, L. A., D. H. Wong, F. R. Masiarz, and R. Schekman. 1990. Yeast clathrin has a distinctive light chain that is important for cell growth. J. Cell Biol. 111:1437–1449.