

Published in final edited form as:

Resuscitation. 2013 May ; 84(5): 696–701. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2012.10.023.

Hemodynamic Directed CPR Improves Short-term Survival from Asphyxia-Associated Cardiac Arrest

Robert M. Sutton, MD MSCE¹, Stuart H. Friess, MD¹, Utpal Bhalala, MD¹, Matthew R. Maltese, PhD¹, Maryam Y. Naim, MD¹, George Bratinov, MD¹, Dana Niles, MS¹, Vinay M. Nadkarni, MD MS¹, Lance B. Becker, MD², and Robert A. Berg, MD¹

¹The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine 34th Street and Civic Center Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19104

²The Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine Department of Emergency Medicine 3400 Spruce Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104

Abstract

Aim—Adequate coronary perfusion pressure (CPP) during cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) is essential for establishing return of spontaneous circulation. The objective of this study was to compare short-term survival using a hemodynamic directed resuscitation strategy versus an absolute depth-guided approach in a porcine model of asphyxia-associated cardiac arrest. We hypothesized that a hemodynamic directed approach would improve short-term survival compared to depth-guided care.

Methods—After 7 minutes of asphyxia, followed by induction of ventricular fibrillation, 19 female 3-month old swine (31 ± 0.4 kg) were randomized to receive one of three resuscitation strategies: 1) Hemodynamic Directed Care (CPP-20): chest compressions (CCs) with depth titrated to a target systolic blood pressure of 100 mmHg and titration of vasopressors to maintain CPP > 20 mmHg; 2) Depth 33mm (D33): target CC depth of 33mm with standard American Heart Association (AHA) epinephrine dosing; or 3) Depth 51mm (D51): target CC depth of 51mm with standard AHA epinephrine dosing. All animals received manual CPR guided by audiovisual feedback for 10 minutes before first shock.

Results—45-minute survival was higher in the CPP-20 group (6/6) compared to D33 (1/7) or D51 (1/6) groups; $p=0.002$. Coronary perfusion pressures were higher in the CPP-20 group compared to D33 ($p=0.011$) and D51 ($p=0.04$), and in survivors compared to non-survivors ($p<0.01$). Total number of vasopressor doses administered and defibrillation attempts were not different.

Conclusions—Hemodynamic directed care targeting CPPs > 20 mmHg improves short-term survival in an intensive care unit porcine model of asphyxia-associated cardiac arrest.

Keywords

Asphyxia; cardiac arrest; cardiopulmonary resuscitation; coronary perfusion pressure; hypoxia

© 2012 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

Corresponding Author: Robert Michael Sutton MD MSCE The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia 8NW Suite 8566: Room 8570 34th Street and Civic Center Blvd., Philadelphia, PA 19104 suttonr@email.chop.edu; (t) 267.426.7802.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Introduction

The success of cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) depends on adequate myocardial blood flow.¹⁻⁴ Nevertheless, current guidelines for the treatment of cardiac arrest assume that all patients can be treated with a uniform chest compression (CC) depth despite a paucity of data indicating that a specific depth consistently provides adequate myocardial blood flow.^{5,6} A treatment strategy to titrate compression depth and vasopressor dosing to physiological parameters that are more closely related to myocardial blood flow would presumably be a more successful approach, and would be a major paradigm shift in the field of resuscitation.

During CPR, coronary perfusion pressure (CPP), the aortic pressure minus the right atrial pressure during the relaxation (“diastolic”) phase of CPR, is the primary determinant of myocardial blood flow.^{2,7,8} Therefore, it is not surprising that in both human and animal studies, CPP is also associated with resuscitation outcome.^{3,4,9-11} Failure to generate a CPP of at least 15 – 20 mmHg during CPR is rarely associated with a successful resuscitation.^{2,3,11} Importantly, many patients with in-hospital cardiac arrests are in intensive care units and have invasive hemodynamic monitoring,^{12,13} so a hemodynamic directed CPR strategy targeted to attain an adequate CPP could be implemented.

This randomized investigation compared short-term survival with a hemodynamic directed resuscitation strategy intended to attain CPPs > 20 mmHg (CPP-20) versus absolute depth-guided CPR in a porcine model of asphyxia-associated cardiac arrest. We further subdivided the depth-guided CPR into two groups: one with CC depth targeted to previously documented “usual care” of 33mm (D33) and one with CCs targeted to the American Heart Association (AHA) 2010 guideline recommended depth of 51mm (D51). We hypothesized that the CPP-20 resuscitation strategy would improve short-term survival compared to either D33 or D51.

Methods

Animal Preparation

The experimental protocol was approved by The University of Pennsylvania Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Nineteen healthy 3-month old female domestic swine were anesthetized and mechanically ventilated using a Datex Ohmeda anesthesia machine (Modulus SE) on a mixture of room air and titrated isoflurane (~1.0% to 2.5%) with a tidal volume of 12mL / kg, PEEP 6 cm H₂O, rate of 12 breaths / minute, and titration of rate to maintain end-tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO₂) at 38 – 42 mmHg (NICO, Novamatrix Medical Systems Inc.).

High fidelity, solid-state, micromanometer-tipped catheters (MPC-500, Millar Instruments) were advanced through the right femoral artery and external jugular vein into thoracic locations to measure continuous aortic and right atrial pressures respectively. A Swan-Ganz Thermodilution catheter (Edwards Lifesciences) was advanced into the pulmonary artery, and a bipolar pacing catheter (Edwards Lifesciences) was advanced into the right ventricle. All catheter placements were confirmed with fluoroscopy. Unfractionated heparin 200 U/kg was provided to prevent catheter clotting. Prior to obtaining any baseline measurements, all animals received 20 mL / kg of 0.9% normal saline intravenously to replace overnight fasting fluid deficits.

Measurements

Thermodilution cardiac outputs (ICU monitor: model HP66, Hewlett Packard) were obtained at baseline. Arterial blood gas specimens were obtained from the thoracic aorta at baseline (before asphyxia), at 2 minutes, 4 minutes, and 6 1/2 minutes of asphyxia, and then 2 1/2 minutes and 6 minutes after the induction of ventricular fibrillation (VF) during CPR in the protocol resuscitation period. Coronary perfusion pressure (CPP) was calculated by subtracting the mid-diastolic right atrial pressure from the mid-diastolic aortic pressure.

To guide and record manual CPR quality, the Philips Heart Start MRx defibrillator with Q-CPR option was deployed during the experimental protocol. Using force transducer / accelerometer technology, the defibrillator records CPR quality and provides audiovisual feedback to the chest compression (CC) provider for rate (CC/min), depth (mm), and incomplete chest wall recoil (residual leaning force (grams)).¹⁴⁻¹⁷

Experimental Protocol

Overview (Figure 1): The novel protocol utilized in this experiment was designed to address asphyxia-associated cardiac arrest occurring in an intensive care unit. Asphyxia was induced by endotracheal clamping and confirmed by absence of exhaled CO₂. After 7 minutes of asphyxia with severe arterial hypoxemia, VF was induced by electrical pacing to assure that the animal would not have return of spontaneous circulation from CPR alone in less than 10 minutes. This model therefore allowed us to compare outcomes following 10 minutes of three different CPR and advanced life support strategies, because the duration of most in-hospital CPR is at least 10 minutes for both survivors and non-survivors.¹²

In all treatment arms, CCs were provided with a target rate of 100 CC/min and ventilations at 6 breaths per minute with 100% oxygen. Brief interruptions in CPR every two minutes mimicked pulse checks / rhythm analysis. Animals randomly received one of three resuscitation strategies: 1) Hemodynamic Directed Care (CPP-20): CCs with depth titrated to a target systolic blood pressure of 100 mmHg and titration of vasopressors to maintain CPP > 20 mmHg; 2) Depth 33mm (D33): target CC depth of 33mm¹⁴⁻¹⁹ with standard AHA epinephrine dosing interval; or 3) Depth 51mm (D51): target CC depth of 51mm with standard AHA epinephrine dosing interval. Animals in the D33 and D51 groups received intravenous epinephrine (0.02 mg/kg) every 4 minutes starting at minute 9 of the protocol (2 minutes after CPR was started). Animals in the CPP-20 group only received intravenous vasopressor if the CPP was < 20 mmHg, starting at minute 8 of the protocol. The order of drug administration in CPP-20 was Epinephrine (0.02 mg/kg) – Epinephrine (0.02 mg/kg) – Vasopressin (0.4 U/kg). The dosing interval was 1 minute between epinephrine doses, and if vasopressin was given, 2 minutes elapsed before the cycle was restarted with another epinephrine dose. After 10 minutes of CPR (minute 17 of the protocol), the initial 200J biphasic waveform defibrillation attempt was provided. Resuscitation according to treatment strategy continued until sustained ROSC was attained or at minute 27 of the protocol (after an additional ten minutes of resuscitation post-initial defibrillation attempt). If ROSC was attained, the animals were supported for 45 minutes in a simulated intensive care setting. After ROSC, mechanical ventilation was provided with 100% oxygen and adjusted to obtain an ETCO₂ of 38 to 42 mmHg. Isoflurane was administered as necessary. At 45 minutes, the animals were euthanized with pentobarbital and potassium chloride. All animals received a post-mortem examination for detection of visceral injuries.

Data Analysis / Outcomes

The primary outcome of the study was 45-minute ICU survival. Secondary outcomes included: 1) return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC); 2) hemodynamic measures (specifically CPP); and 3) CPR quality variables. Statistical analysis was completed using

the Stata-IC statistical package (Version 12.0, StataCorp, College Station, TX). Normality of continuous variables was assessed using the Skewness-Kurtosis test. Normally distributed continuous variables were described as mean \pm SEM and compared by ANOVA. Continuous variables that were not normally distributed were described as median (25%, 75%) and evaluated by the Kruskal-Wallis test. Comparisons of dichotomous variables, such as 45-minute ICU survival and rate of return of spontaneous circulation were evaluated by Fisher's exact test. To control for correlation of CPR epochs within animals, differences in CPPs over time and between treatment groups and between survivors / non-survivors were assessed using generalized estimating equations.²⁰

Results

The primary outcome variable of 45-minute ICU survival and the secondary outcome variable of any ROSC were both significantly different across treatments (Table 1) with superior survival rates in the CPP-20 group. In a model using generalized estimating equations (GEE), coronary perfusion pressure (Figure 2) was significantly higher in the CPP-20 group compared to both D33 ($p=0.011$) and D51 ($p=0.04$), and higher in survivors compared to non-survivors irrespective of treatment group ($p<0.01$).

Resuscitation Variables

Chest compression depth was significantly different among groups: D33 = 30 ± 0.2 mm; D51 = 48 ± 0.3 mm; CPP-20 = 38 ± 0.8 mm ($p<0.01$). Other CPR quality variables were not different (rate = 100 ± 0.1 CC/min; no flow fraction = $3.2 \pm 0.2\%$; less than 0.01% of compressions had measurable residual leaning). Total number of vasopressors doses administered was not different (D33 = 4 (4, 5); D51 = 4.5 (4, 5); CPP-20 = 6 (3, 8), $p=0.14$), although more doses were provided before the initial defibrillation attempt in the CPP-20 group (D33 = 2 (2, 2); D51 = 2 (2, 2); CPP-20 = 4.5 (3, 6), $p<0.01$). Overall number of defibrillation attempts was not different among groups (D33 = 4 (3, 5); D51 = 4.5 (3, 6); CPP-20 = 2 (1, 4), $p=0.3$). Only 1 surviving animal in the study required vasopressor support during the intensive care unit period after ROSC; that animal was in the D51 group.

Hemodynamics and Arterial Blood Gases

There were no differences among groups for weight (31 ± 0.4 kg, $p=0.14$). Hemodynamic variables were not different at pre-asphyxia baseline or at the end of the asphyxial period (Table 2). During the last minute of the resuscitation period (minute 16 – 17), there were significant differences across treatment groups for all hemodynamic variables measured (Table 2). There was a trend towards higher end tidal CO₂ in the D51 group that did not reach statistical significance. There were no differences in arterial blood gases obtained at baseline, at the end of the asphyxial period, or after 6 minutes of CPR (Table 3).

Discussion

This study establishes that short-term survival from asphyxia-associated cardiac arrest can be superior after hemodynamic directed CPR to maintain coronary perfusion pressure > 20 mmHg (CPP-20) compared to resuscitation with depth of compressions guided to either 33mm or 51mm and standard AHA vasopressor dosing. Congruent with previous investigations,^{2,3,11} coronary perfusion pressures were higher in survivors compared to non-survivors irrespective of treatment group, providing mechanistic validity for this model and for the differential outcomes in the experimental groups.

This animal model was intended to address CPR of 10 minutes duration for an asphyxia-associated cardiac arrest because most in-hospital cardiac arrests are associated with an

acute asphyxial event and at least 10 minutes of CPR.^{12,13} In previous studies of CPR for asphyxial cardiac arrests without the induction of VF, the animals that were successfully resuscitated almost always attained restoration of circulation during the first several minutes of CPR.^{21,22} Because those models would have precluded the opportunity to evaluate the three different resuscitation strategies for more than a few minutes of CPR, we chose a model that combined a severe asphyxial insult with induction of VF so that we could study these three resuscitation strategies during an entire 10-minute epoch of CPR.

In-hospital cardiac arrests are occurring more often in intensive care units, thought to be in part due to the emergence of medical emergency teams.^{12,13,23-25} Invasive hemodynamic monitoring is available for many patients in these settings, yet AHA recommendations for CPR focus on depth and rate of compressions and fixed vasopressor dosing rather than titrating compression depth and vasopressor dosing to hemodynamics. Coronary perfusion pressure (CPP) during CPR refers to aortic pressure minus right atrial pressure in the relaxation phase (aortic “diastolic” pressure minus right atrial “diastolic” pressure).⁴ The relationship between CPP and myocardial blood flow, and in turn, resuscitation outcome is well established.^{1-4,9-11} However, to our knowledge, this is the first investigation to evaluate a treatment algorithm with manually provided CCs titrated to a hemodynamic goal (systolic blood pressure) and vasopressor administration targeted to CPPs. In short, our findings highlight a new therapeutic strategy that could be applied during actual resuscitation attempts. In addition, this strategy focuses on individualizing resuscitation to the appropriate hemodynamic goal rather than a standard “one-size-fits-all” strategy.

All three groups in this investigation received high quality quantitatively evaluated manual CPR (CC rate 100/min, full chest wall recoil, and a ventilation rate of 6/min). As planned, there were differences in CC depth across treatment groups. Previous investigations have shown that deeper compressions are associated with superior outcomes,^{18,26,27} because deeper compressions are often associated with higher CPPs. In apparent contrast to those investigations, deeper compressions in the D51 group did not translate into improved survival even with excellent systolic blood pressures, because these D51 animals did not attain adequate coronary perfusion after this severe asphyxial insult. Compared with the D51 group, the CPP-20 animals had higher CPPs and were therefore more likely to survive. As in previous studies, the surviving animals from all three groups had substantially higher CPPs than the non-surviving animals.

In numerous experimental models, noninvasive end-tidal carbon dioxide correlates well with cardiac output and resuscitation success.²⁸⁻³⁶ However, ETCO₂ correlates better with cardiac output and pulmonary blood flow than with coronary perfusion pressure, because the CO₂ from the tissues is delivered to the lungs via pulmonary blood flow in relation to the cardiac output. During actual resuscitation attempts, achieving ETCO₂ levels > 10 – 15 mmHg has been associated with survival,^{30,32} and similarly, low ETCO₂ (< 10 mmHg) is a strong predictor of unsuccessful CPR (death).³² In addition, an abrupt increase in exhaled CO₂ is an indicator of restoration of a spontaneous circulation because of the attendant increased cardiac output and pulmonary blood flow.³⁴ For all of these reasons, continuous ETCO₂ monitoring is now recommended during cardiac arrest resuscitation when available.^{5,6} In this investigation, the ETCO₂ levels were as high or higher in the AHA-51 group with deeper compressions compared with the other two groups with less deep compressions. Among the physiological measurements during CPR, the CPP measurements were better predictors of outcomes than the ETCO₂ measurements. These data support the concept that invasive hemodynamic monitoring during CPR is superior to ETCO₂ monitoring.

This laboratory study has notable limitations. First, we evaluated 45-minute ICU survival, a short-term outcome. The effect of targeting hemodynamic goals during resuscitation on long-term survival and neurological outcome remains unknown. Nevertheless, inability to achieve short-term survival in the depth-guided groups precludes the potential for long-term survival. In the CPP-20 group, vasopressors were often provided earlier per the CPP titration and the median number of vasopressor doses during CPR were greater than in the other two groups. However, some of these CPP-20 animals survived with no more vasopressors than the median number of doses in the other two groups. The determinant of outcome was adequacy of CPP rather than number of vasopressor doses *per se*. There were also differences between groups in the type of vasopressor administered. As the primary objective was to evaluate a resuscitation approach targeting hemodynamic goals, the CPP-20 animals received vasopressin when 2 doses of epinephrine could not maintain CPP >20 mmHg. Rather than continuing to administer a therapy that was not achieving treatment goals, we chose to alter our vasopressor choice so as to evaluate a dynamic treatment algorithm that was targeted to subject physiology (CPP-20 goal-directed) rather than an approach that was uniform across subjects (D33 or 51). Although clinical studies have not shown better outcomes with vasopressin,³⁷⁻⁴⁰ such studies have not used vasopressin to actively titrate CPP, which may explain the superior survival outcomes in the CPP-20 group. Another important limitation is lack of blinding. By its very nature, this study could not be blinded. Those participating in the resuscitation attempts needed to be aware of the resuscitation strategy. However, strict adherence to resuscitation regimens was intended, and the statistical differences in chest compression depths – while other CPR quality variables were similar among the groups – provides evidence that this bias was minimized. Finally, while we evaluated one valid model of in-hospital cardiac arrest, future studies should investigate whether a coronary perfusion directed resuscitation strategy would also improve outcomes when other common etiologies of in-hospital arrests (e.g., sepsis / hypotension) are evaluated.

Conclusions

In this novel intensive care unit model of asphyxia-associated cardiac arrest, short-term survival was improved when resuscitation therapy was titrated to CPR-generated physiology with specific hemodynamic goals as compared to currently recommended uniform guidelines even with excellent 51 mm compression depth. This treatment protocol individualizes therapy to the patient's hemodynamic status in contrast to the usual "one-size-fits-all" strategy. As more in-hospital cardiac arrests are occurring after transfer to intensive care units, these findings highlight a promising new therapeutic strategy that could be applied during actual resuscitation attempts in highly monitored patients.

Acknowledgments

We would also like to thank Lori Boyle, Jessica Leffelman, and Stephanie Tuttle who have supported resuscitation science at the University of Pennsylvania and Children's Hospital of Philadelphia.

Conflict of Interest Statement: This study was funded by the Laerdal Foundation for Acute Care Medicine, the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (RMS K23), the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (SHF K08), and CHOP CCM Endowed Chair Funds.

Abbreviations

AHA	American Heart Association
CC	chest compression

CPP	coronary perfusion pressure
ETCO₂	end tidal carbon dioxide

References

1. Ralston SH, Voorhees WD, Babbs CF. Intrapulmonary epinephrine during prolonged cardiopulmonary resuscitation: improved regional blood flow and resuscitation in dogs. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1984; 13:79–86. [PubMed: 6691623]
2. Halperin HR, Lee K, Zviman M, Illindala U, Lardo A, Kolandaivelu A, Paradis NA. Outcomes from low versus high-flow cardiopulmonary resuscitation in a swine model of cardiac arrest. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2010; 28:195–202. [PubMed: 20159390]
3. Paradis NA, Martin GB, Rivers EP, Goetting MG, Appleton TJ, Feingold M, Nowak RM. Coronary perfusion pressure and the return of spontaneous circulation in human cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *JAMA.* 1990; 263:1106–13. [PubMed: 2386557]
4. Kern KB, Ewy GA, Voorhees WD, Babbs CF, Tacker WA. Myocardial perfusion pressure: a predictor of 24-hour survival during prolonged cardiac arrest in dogs. *Resuscitation.* 1988; 16:241–50. [PubMed: 2849790]
5. Neumar RW, Otto CW, Link MS, Kronick SL, Shuster M, Callaway CW, Kudenchuk PJ, Ornato JP, McNally B, Silvers SM, Passman RS, White RD, Hess EP, Tang W, Davis D, Sinz E, Morrison LJ. Part 8: Adult advanced cardiovascular life support: 2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation.* 2010; 122:S729–67. [PubMed: 20956224]
6. Kleinman ME, Chameides L, Schexnayder SM, Samson RA, Hazinski MF, Atkins DL, Berg MD, de Caen AR, Fink EL, Freid EB, Hickey RW, Marino BS, Nadkarni VM, Proctor LT, Qureshi FA, Sartorelli K, Topjian A, van der Jagt EW, Zaritsky AL. Part 14: Pediatric advanced life support: 2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation.* 2010; 122:S876–908. [PubMed: 20956230]
7. Berg RA, Sanders AB, Kern KB, Hilwig RW, Heidenreich JW, Porter ME, Ewy GA. Adverse hemodynamic effects of interrupting chest compressions for rescue breathing during cardiopulmonary resuscitation for ventricular fibrillation cardiac arrest. *Circulation.* 2001; 104:2465–70. [PubMed: 11705826]
8. Kern KB, Lancaster L, Goldman S, Ewy GA. The effect of coronary artery lesions on the relationship between coronary perfusion pressure and myocardial blood flow during cardiopulmonary resuscitation in pigs. *Am Heart J.* 1990; 120:324–33. [PubMed: 2382610]
9. Niemann JT, Criley JM, Rosborough JP, Niskanen RA, Alferness C. Predictive indices of successful cardiac resuscitation after prolonged arrest and experimental cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1985; 14:521–8. [PubMed: 3994075]
10. Sanders AB, Kern KB, Atlas M, Bragg S, Ewy GA. Importance of the duration of inadequate coronary perfusion pressure on resuscitation from cardiac arrest. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1985; 6:113–8. [PubMed: 4008767]
11. Reynolds JC, Salcido DD, Menegazzi JJ. Coronary perfusion pressure and return of spontaneous circulation after prolonged cardiac arrest. *Prehosp Emerg Care.* 2010; 14:78–84. [PubMed: 19947871]
12. Meaney PA, Nadkarni VM, Kern KB, Indik JH, Halperin HR, Berg RA. Rhythms and outcomes of adult in-hospital cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Med.* 2010; 38:101–8. [PubMed: 19770741]
13. Nadkarni VM, Larkin GL, Peberdy MA, Carey SM, Kaye W, Mancini ME, Nichol G, Lane-Truitt T, Potts J, Ornato JP, Berg RA. National Registry of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Investigators. First documented rhythm and clinical outcome from in-hospital cardiac arrest among children and adults. *JAMA.* 2006; 295:50–7. [PubMed: 16391216]
14. Abella BS, Alvarado JP, Myklebust H, Edelson DP, Barry A, O’Hearn N, Vanden Hoek TL, Becker LB. Quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation during in-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA.* 2005; 293:305–10. [PubMed: 15657323]

15. Abella BS, Edelson DP, Kim S, Retzer E, Myklebust H, Barry AM, O'Hearn N, Hoek TL, Becker LB. CPR quality improvement during in-hospital cardiac arrest using a real-time audiovisual feedback system. *Resuscitation*. 2007; 73:54–61. [PubMed: 17258853]
16. Edelson DP, Litzinger B, Arora V, Walsh D, Kim S, Lauderdale DS, Vanden Hoek TL, Becker LB, Abella BS. Improving in-hospital cardiac arrest process and outcomes with performance debriefing. *Arch Intern Med*. 2008; 168:1063–9. [PubMed: 18504334]
17. Sutton RM, Niles D, Nysaether J, Abella BS, Arbogast KB, Nishisaki A, Maltese MR, Donoghue A, Bishnoi R, Helfaer MA, Myklebust H, Nadkarni V. Quantitative analysis of CPR quality during in-hospital resuscitation of older children and adolescents. *Pediatrics*. 2009; 124:494–9. [PubMed: 19581266]
18. Edelson DP, Abella BS, Kramer-Johansen J, Wik L, Myklebust H, Barry AM, Merchant RM, Hoek TL, Steen PA, Becker LB. Effects of compression depth and pre-shock pauses predict defibrillation failure during cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2006; 71:137–45. [PubMed: 16982127]
19. Nishisaki A, Nysaether J, Sutton R, Maltese M, Niles D, Donoghue A, Bishnoi R, Helfaer M, Perkins GD, Berg R, Arbogast K, Nadkarni V. Effect of mattress deflection on CPR quality assessment for older children and adolescents. *Resuscitation*. 2009; 80:540–5. [PubMed: 19342150]
20. Liang KY, Zeger SL. Longitudinal data analysis using generalized linear models. *Biometrika*. 1986; 73:13–22.
21. Berg RA, Hilwig RW, Kern KB, Babar I, Ewy GA. Simulated mouth-to-mouth ventilation and chest compressions (bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation) improves outcome in a swine model of prehospital pediatric asphyxial cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Med*. 1999; 27:1893–9. [PubMed: 10507615]
22. Berg RA, Hilwig RW, Kern KB, Ewy GA. “Bystander” chest compressions and assisted ventilation independently improve outcome from piglet asphyxial pulseless “cardiac arrest”. *Circulation*. 2000; 101:1743–8. [PubMed: 10758059]
23. Brilli RJ, Gibson R, Luria JW, Wheeler TA, Shaw J, Linam M, Kheir J, McLain P, Lingsch T, Hall-Haering A, McBride M. Implementation of a medical emergency team in a large pediatric teaching hospital prevents respiratory and cardiopulmonary arrests outside the intensive care unit. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2007; 8:236–46. [PubMed: 17417113]
24. Tibballs J, Kinney S. Reduction of hospital mortality and of preventable cardiac arrest and death on introduction of a pediatric medical emergency team. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2009; 10:306–12. [PubMed: 19307806]
25. Sharek PJ, Parast LM, Leong K, Coombs J, Earnest K, Sullivan J, Frankel LR, Roth SJ. Effect of a rapid response team on hospital-wide mortality and code rates outside the ICU in a Children's Hospital. *JAMA*. 2007; 298:2267–74. [PubMed: 18029830]
26. Stiell IG, Brown SP, Christenson J, Cheskes S, Nichol G, Powell J, Bigham B, Morrison LJ, Larsen J, Hess E, Vaillancourt C, Davis DP, Callaway CW, the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium (ROC) Investigators. What is the role of chest compression depth during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest resuscitation? *Crit Care Med*. 2012; 40(4):1192–8. [PubMed: 22202708]
27. Kramer-Johansen J, Myklebust H, Wik L, Fellows B, Svensson L, Sorebo H, Steen PA. Quality of out-of-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation with real time automated feedback: a prospective interventional study. *Resuscitation*. 2006; 71:283–92. [PubMed: 17070980]
28. Weil MH, Bisera J, Trevino RP, Rackow EC. Cardiac output and end-tidal carbon dioxide. *Crit Care Med*. 1985; 13:907–9. [PubMed: 3931979]
29. Gudipati CV, Weil MH, Bisera J, Deshmukh HG, Rackow EC. Expired carbon dioxide: a noninvasive monitor of cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Circulation*. 1988; 77:234–9. [PubMed: 3121209]
30. Sanders AB, Kern KB, Otto CW, Milander MM, Ewy GA. End-tidal carbon dioxide monitoring during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. A prognostic indicator for survival. *JAMA*. 1989; 262:1347–51. [PubMed: 2761035]
31. Ornato JP, Levine RL, Young DS, Racht EM, Garnett AR, Gonzalez ER. The effect of applied chest compression force on systemic arterial pressure and end-tidal carbon dioxide concentration during CPR in human beings. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1989; 18:732–7. [PubMed: 2500044]

32. Levine RL, Wayne MA, Miller CC. End-tidal carbon dioxide and outcome of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med*. 1997; 337:301–6. [PubMed: 9233867]
33. Morley PT. Monitoring the quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2007; 13:261–7. [PubMed: 17468556]
34. Pokorna M, Necas E, Kratochvil J, Skripsky R, Andrlík M, Franek O. A sudden increase in partial pressure end-tidal carbon dioxide (P(ET)CO₂) at the moment of return of spontaneous circulation. *J Emerg Med*. 2010; 38:614–21. [PubMed: 19570645]
35. Kalenda Z. The capnogram as a guide to the efficacy of cardiac massage. *Resuscitation*. 1978; 6:259–63. [PubMed: 755268]
36. Sanders AB, Atlas M, Ewy GA, Kern KB, Bragg S. Expired PCO₂ as an index of coronary perfusion pressure. *Am J Emerg Med*. 1985; 3:147–9. [PubMed: 3918548]
37. Carroll TG, Dimas VV, Raymond TT. Vasopressin Rescue for In-pediatric Intensive Care Unit Cardiopulmonary Arrest Refractory to Initial Epinephrine Dosing: A Prospective Feasibility Pilot Trial. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2011 In Press.
38. Duncan JM, Meaney PA, Simpson P, Berg RA, Nadkarni V, Schexnayder S. Vasopressin for in-hospital pediatric cardiac arrest: Results from the American Heart Association National Registry of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation. *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine*. 2009; 10:191–5. [PubMed: 19188873]
39. Wenzel V, Krüsmmer AC, Arntz HR, Sitter H, Stadlbauer KH, Lindner KH. European Resuscitation Council Vasopressor during Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Study Group. A comparison of vasopressin and epinephrine for out-of-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *N Engl J Med*. 2004; 350:105–13. [PubMed: 14711909]
40. Stiell IG, Hebert PC, Wells GA, Vandemheen KL, Tang AS, Higginson LA, Dreyer JF, Clement C, Battram E, Watpool I, Mason S, Klassen T, Weitzman BN. Vasopressin versus epinephrine for in-hospital cardiac arrest: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2001; 358:105–9. [PubMed: 11463411]




Figure 1. Protocol design. During protocol resuscitation period, animals were randomized to receive one of three resuscitation strategies. SBP indicates systolic blood pressure. D33 and D51 refer to depth-directed CPR at 33mm and 51 mm, respectively. CPP-20 refers to CPR directed to attain coronary perfusion pressure >20 mmHg.




Figure 2.

Mean coronary perfusion pressure during each minute of CPR across treatment groups (left) and between survivors and non-survivors (right). Error bars represent SEM. D33 and D51 refer to depth-directed CPR at 33mm and 51 mm, respectively. CPP-20 refers to CPR directed to attain coronary perfusion pressure >20 mmHg.

Table 1

Rates of survival across treatment groups. Depth 33 and Depth 51 refer to depthguided CPR at 33mm and 51 mm, respectively. CPP-20 refers to CPR directed to attain coronary perfusion pressure >20 mmHg

	Depth 33 (n=7)	Depth 51 (n=6)	CPP-20 (n=6)	P
Survival [n (%)]				
Any ROSC	1 (14)	2 (33)	6 (100)	<i>0.006</i>
45 Minute ICU Survival	1 (14)	1 (17)	6 (100)	<i>0.002</i>

Table 2

AoS indicates aortic systolic pressure; AoD, aortic diastolic pressure; RAD, right atrial diastolic pressure; CPP, coronary perfusion pressure; ET CO₂, end tidal carbon dioxide. Depth 33 (D33) and Depth 51 (D51) refer to depth-guided CPR at 33mm and 51 mm, respectively. CPP-20 refers to CPR directed to attain coronary perfusion pressure >20 mmHg. Data presented as mean (SEM).

	Depth 33 (n=5)	Depth 51 (n=5)	CPP-20 (n=5)	p
Baseline				
CO (L/min)	2.8 (0.2)	2.9 (0.3)	2.9 (0.3)	0.89
AoS	87 (5)	108 (6)	105 (11)	0.17
AoD	64 (4)	85 (3)	81 (10)	0.09
RAD	6 (3)	10 (3)	6 (4)	0.65
CPP	58 (6)	76 (2)	75 (9)	0.13
End of Asphyxial Period [*]				
AoS	48 (13)	42 (10)	77 (21)	0.26
AoD	27 (4)	26 (4)	41 (8)	0.15
RAD	13 (1)	16 (2)	16 (3)	0.53
CPP	14 (4)	10 (5)	25 (5)	0.11
End of Resuscitation Period [†]				
AoS	45 (15)	111 (27)	120 (11)	0.03 ^{‡,§}
AoD	18 (4)	29 (4)	38 (4)	0.02 ^{//}
RAD	10 (1)	20 (1)	16 (3)	0.03 [¶]
CPP	8 (4)	10 (3)	23 (4)	0.03 ^{**} , ^{††}
ET CO ₂	20 (6)	30 (3)	21 (3)	0.18

* Last epoch during asphyxial period (minute 6 – 7)

† Last epoch during protocol resuscitation period CPR (minute 16 – 17). Pressures in mmHg

‡ CPP-20 vs. D33: p=0.004

// p=0.007

** p=0.024

§ D51 vs. D33: p=0.06

¶ p=0.0005

†† CPP-20 vs. D51: p=0.036

Table 3

Depth 33 and Depth 51 refer to depth-guided CPR at 33mm and 51 mm, respectively. CPP-20 refers to CPR directed to attain coronary perfusion pressure >20 mmHg

	Depth 33 (n=7)	Depth 51 (n=6)	CPP-20 (n=5)	p
Baseline				
pH	7.53 (0.02)	7.53 (0.01)	7.54 (0.01)	0.96
pCO ₂ (mmHg)	46 (1)	44 (2)	45 (1)	0.42
pO ₂ (mmHg)	127 (8)	120 (10)	136 (9)	0.49
End of Asphyxial Period *				
pH	7.28 (0.02)	7.25 (0.02)	7.31 (0.02)	0.23
pCO ₂ (mmHg)	82 (5)	84 (4)	75 (7)	0.51
pO ₂ (mmHg)	10 (1)	12 (1)	14 (2)	0.25
After 6 Minutes of CPR †				
pH	7.44 (0.05)	7.32 (0.04)	7.40 (0.02)	0.13
pCO ₂ (mmHg)	35 (5)	49 (7)	35 (7)	0.23
pO ₂ (mmHg)	312 (88)	220 (67)	211 (67)	0.58

* Sample drawn at 6min 30s during asphyxial period

† Sample drawn at 6 minutes during protocol resuscitation period