

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine

journal homepage:www.elsevier.com/locate/apjtb



Document heading

doi:10.1016/S2221-1691(11)60087-7

© 2011 by the Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine. All rights reserved.

Pedalium murex Linn (Pedaliaceae) fruits: a comparative antioxidant activity of its different fractions

DK Patel, R Kumar, SK Prasad, S Hemalatha*

Pharmacognosy Research Laboratory, Department of Pharmaceutics, Institute of Technology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 17 March 2011
Received in revised form 10 April 2011
Accepted 21 April 2011
Available online 10 May 2011

Keywords:
Pedalium murex
Antioxidant activity
Total phenolics
Different solvent fraction
In vitro assay
Scavenging activity

ABSTRACT

Objective: To examine the antioxidant activity and total phenolic content of different solvent fractions of *Pedalium murex (P. murex)* Linn fruits (Family: Pedaliaceae) as well as the correlation between the total antioxidant capacity and total phenolic content. **Methods:** In the present study, the antioxidant activities of *P. murex* were evaluated using six *in–vitro* assays, namely total antioxidant assay, DPPH assay, reducing power, nitric oxide scavenging, hydrogen peroxide scavenging and deoxyribose scavenging assays, and total phenol contents were also investigated. **Results:** The ethyl acetate (EA) fraction was found to have high levels of phenolic content (298.72 ± 2.09 mg GAE/g). The EA fraction exhibit higher total antioxidant capacity, higher percentage of DPPH radical scavenging activity (135.11 $\pm 2.95 \,\mu$ g/mL), nitric oxide (200.57 $\pm 4.51 \,\mu$ g/mL), hydrogen peroxide (217.91 $\pm 6.12 \,\mu$ g/mL), deoxyribose (250.01 $\pm 4.68 \,\mu$ g/mL) and higher reducing power. Correlation coefficient (r^2 =0.914) was found to be significant between total phenolic content and total antioxidant activity. **Conclusions:** In general, the results indicate that the EA fractions are rich in phenolic antioxidants with potent free radical scavenging activity implying their importance to human health.

1. Introduction

An antioxidant is a compound that inhibits or delays the oxidation of substrates even if the compound is present in a significantly lower concentration than the oxidized substrate. Natural antioxidants, which are ubiquitous in fruits, teas, vegetables, cereals, and medicinal plants, are employed not only for the prevention and treatment of various diseases caused by oxidative damage, but also for improving the shelf life of food products, and they have received great attention since they are effective free radical scavengers, by donating hydrogen to highly reactive radicals, thereby preventing further radical formation[1-4].

Human bodies possess enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidative mechanisms and minimize the generation of reactive oxygen species. When the generation of the active oxygen-free radical is overgrown many degenerative diseases, such as brain dysfunction, cancer, heart diseases,

Tel: +91 9415256481

E-mail: shemalatha.phe@itbhu.ac.in

Foundation Project: Supported by University Grant Commission, New Delhi, India (No: IT/DEV/08-09/3252/L).

age-related degenerative conditions, declination of the immune system, cancer, coronary arteriosclerosis, ageing processes, carcinogenesis, gastric ulcer and DNA damage arise[5-9]. Thus, it is essential to develop and utilize effective natural antioxidants so that they can protect the human body from free radicals and retard the progress of many chronic diseases. Epidemiological studies suggest that increased consumption of fruits are important dietary sources of antioxidant polyphenols to humans associated with a lower risk of degenerative diseases, therefore they play an important role in health care[10]. Phenolic substances have been the object of special interest because they are widely distributed in the plant kingdom and highly present in the human diet. They have the structure required to act as free radical scavengers and have potential as food antioxidants[11]. Many herbal infusions, exhibit antioxidative and some other biological activities related to the presence of phenolic compounds, like flavonoids. Crude extracts of fruits, herbs, vegetables, cereals and other plant materials rich in phenolics are increasingly of interest in the food industry, because they retard oxidative degradation of lipids and thereby improve the quality and nutritive value of food[12]. In the food industry, the attention

^{*}Corresponding author: S Hemalatha, Pharmacognosy Research Laboratory, Department of Pharmaceutics, Institute of Technology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, Canada.

of manufacturers has also been shifted from synthetic to natural antioxidants[10].

Pedalium murex (P. murex) commonly known to the world as "Large Caltrops" and in Hindi as "Gokhru" or "Bara Gokhru" is a member of sesame family Pedaliaceae. The fruits are rich in polyphenolics (flavonoids and phenolics), glycosides like sapogenin (diosgenin-0.06%) and soluble proteins (20.14 mg/g)[13,14]. Due to high polyphenolics present in this plant it is duly necessary to investigate its antioxidative activity by comparing with the standard compound. The aim of this paper was the evaluation of a potential new source of antioxidant from P. murex fruits. For that purpose, various processes of extraction and fractionation were performed in aqueous or organic solvents. Then, the total polyphenolic contents of different fractions were determined and their antioxidant activities were also evaluated through in vitro assays.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals and instruments

DPPH free radicals were procured from Sigma Aldrich. Sodium carbonate, sodium phosphate, potassium ferricyanide, ammonium molybdate, standard rutin, ascorbic acid and gallic acid, 2–thiobarbituric acid (TBA), butylated hydroxy anisole (BHA), Follin–Ciocalteu, 2– deoxyribose and $\rm H_2O_2$ (30%, v/v) were purchased from Merck India Ltd or Qualigens Fine Chemical Co. (India). All other chemicals and solvents were of analytical grade. The absorbance measurements were recorded using the ultraviolet–visible spectrophotometer of Shimadzu, Pharmaspec–1700.

2.2. Preparation of plant extract and its sub fractions

The plant material (fruit) was procured from market (herbal vendors) of Varanasi, and identified by Professor SD Dubey, Department of Dravyaguna, Institute of Medical Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India and the voucher specimen (COG/PM/01/08) was kept for further reference at our Laboratory Herbarium, Department of Pharmaceutics, IT-BHU, Varanasi. The powdered material (1 kg) of air-dried fruit was extracted using 95% ethanol in a Soxhlet apparatus for 24 h (8 h per day for 3 days). After filtration of the solvent, the filtrate was concentrated to dryness under a vacuum evaporator. The ethanol extracts thus obtained were finally fractionated with the use of solvent in increasing polarity which was shown in the flowchart (Figure 1).

2.3. Antioxidant assays

2.3.1. Determination of total antioxidant activity

Total antioxidant activities of fractions were determined according to the method of Prieto $et\ al$ [8]. Briefly, 0.3 mL

of sample was mixed with 3.0 mL reagent solution (0.6 M sulfuric acid, 28 mM sodium phosphate and 4 mM ammonium molybdate). Reaction mixture was incubated at 95 $^{\circ}$ for 90 min under water bath. Absorbance of all the sample mixtures was measured at 695 nm. Total antioxidant activity was expressed as the number of equivalents of ascorbic acid in milligram per gram of extract.

2.3.2. Determination of reducing power

The reducing power of methanolic extracts was determined according to the method of Yildirim et~al[15]. Different amounts of fraction (50–250 mg) in 1mL of methanol were mixed with 2.5 mL phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 6.6), 2.5 mL of potassium ferricyanide (10 g/L) and 2.5 mL of trichloroacetic acid (100 g/L). The mixture was incubated at 50 $^{\circ}$ C for 20 min after centrifugation for 10 min at 3 000 rpm, Further 2.5 mL of the supernatant solution, 2.5 mL of distilled water and 0.5 mL Fecl₃ (1 g/L) was mixed properly and absorbance was measured at 700 nm, higher absorbance indicating stronger reducing power.

2.3.3. Determination of DPPH radical scavenging activity

1, 1–diphenyl–2–picryl–hydrazil (DPPH) method was used to determine the free radical scavenging activity of P. murex fractions, where 5 mL of DPPH (100 μ M/mL) solution was added to 1mL of different fractions as well as standard (25–200 μ g/mL)[7]. The absorbance was then measured 30 min later at 517 nm and the free radical scavenging activity was calculated in the form of IC₅₀ value according to the standard equation using ascorbic acid as control which was mentioned here. The IC₅₀ value is the concentration where 50% inhibition occurs.

Percentage inhibition = $[(1-A1/A0)] \times 100...$ (i)

Where, A0: Absorbance of the blank, A1: Absorbance test sample.

2.3.4. Determination of nitric oxide scavenging activity

The procedure was based on the method, where sodium nitroprusside in aqueous solution at physiological pH spontaneously generates nitric oxide. Nitric oxide interacts with oxygen to produce nitrite ions that can be estimated by Greiss reagent[16]. Scavengers of nitric oxide compete with oxygen leading to reduced production of nitrite ions. The reaction mixture consisted of 2 mL of 10 mM sodium nitroprusside in 0.5 mL phosphate buffer saline (pH 7.4) with 0.5 mL of fraction at different concentrations and then incubated at 250 °C for 150 min. Further, 0.5 mL of this solution was added into 1.0 mL sulfanilic acid reagent which was prepared by mixing 33% H₂SO₄ in 20% glacial acetic acid. Later on it was incubated at room temperature for 5 min and to this 1.0 mL naphthylethylenediamine dihydrochloride (0.1% w/v) was added which was further incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Finally absorbance was measured

at 546 nm and nitric oxide radical scavenging activity was calculated using this equation (i) followed by calculating the IC_{50} values.

2.3.5. Determination of Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity

The scavenging activity was evaluated following the proposed method described by Jayaprakasha *et al*^[17]. 1mL of the methanolic solution of different fraction as well as standards with 2 mL (20 mM) of hydrogen peroxide prepared in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4). Absorbance was measured at 230 nm after 10 min. According to the equation (i) percentage inhibition was calculated in terms of IC₅₀.

2.3.6. Determination of Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity

The hydroxyl radical scavenging activity of different fractions of the *P. murex* was evaluated by the deoxyribose method described by Halliwell *et al*[18]. A mixture of 500 μ L of different fraction, 1 mM FeCl₃, 1 mM EDTA, 20 mM H₂O₂, 1 mM L—ascorbic acid, and 30 mM deoxyribose in potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) were incubated for 1 h at 37 $^{\circ}$ C, after addition of 1 mL of 2.8% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid and 1 mL of 1% (w/w) 2—thiobarbituric acid. Again it was heated in a boiling water—bath for 15 min and finally absorbance was measured at 532 nm against a blank.

2.4. Determinations of total phenolics contents

The total phenolic estimation was done as per the Folin–Ciocalteu (FC) method[11]. 1 mL of the various fraction was taken into 10 mL volumetric flask to which 8 mL of distil water, 0.5 mL of FC reagent was added and mixed for 15 min. After that 1.5 mL of 20% sodium carbonate solution was added to this solution, kept for 2 h at ambient temperature. Finally the absorbance of the colored reaction product was measured at 765 nm, standard gallic acid solutions were used for calibration curve and results were expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalent per gram (mg GAE/g) of dried extract.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Results were expressed as mean value \pm standard error mean (SEM) of three independent determinations. Linear regression analysis was performed, quoting the correlation coefficient r^2 .

3. Results

3.1. Yield of fractions

Yields of different fractions of *P. murex* plant extract were given in Table 1. Among the all fraction petroleum ether fraction was found to be maximal followed by ethyl acetate.

3.2. Total phenolic content

On the basis of the result the ethyl acetate fraction was observed to possess the highest amount of phenolics while petroleum ether fraction was shown to be the least. The content of the total phenolics in fractions was determined using the linear regression equation of the calibration curve $(y=0.008 \times + 0.092, r^2=0.995)$ and was expressed as Gallic Acid Equivalent (Table 1).





Figure 1. Schematic diagram of fractionation of *P. murex* extract.

Table 1 Antioxidant activities and total phenolic content of the different fraction of *P. murex* (Mean \pm SEM) (μ g/mL).

No	Extract/fraction	% yield	IC ₅₀ values*				Tetal along language
			DPPH	Nitric oxide	H_2O_2	Deoxyribose	Total phenol content
1	Petroleum ether	25.8	367.80 ± 4.81	434.75 ± 18.26	416.94 \pm 8.95	446.68 ± 14.03	67.83 ± 0.74
2	Chloroform	11.2	325.59 ± 6.23	377.82 ± 6.41	389.06 ± 10.01	392.15 ± 4.13	96.89 ± 1.34
3	Ethyl acetate	18.4	135.11 ± 2.95	200.57 ± 4.51	217.91 ± 6.12	250.01 ± 4.68	298.72 ± 2.09
4	Aqueous	15.6	210.8 ± 4.16	300.23 ± 6.63	262.11 ± 6.78	330.88 ± 6.99	183.07 ± 2.55
5	Rutin	NA	NA	NA	87.07 ± 0.51	NA	NA
6	BHA	NA	NA	NA	NA	123.55 ± 1.61	NA
7	Ascorbic acid	NA	77.91 ± 1.93	88.17 ± 3.04	NA	NA	NA

NA: Not analyzed; *: The results were the average of three determination \pm SEM.






Figure 2. Total antioxidant capacity and assay of reducing power of different fraction of *P. murex*. STD: Rutin; PET: petroleum ether; CHLO: chloroform; EA: ethyl acetate; AQ: aqueous.

3.3. Total antioxidant capacity

The linear regression equation of the calibration curve (y=0.005 × - 0.042, r^2 =0.996) was incorporated to determine the total antioxidant capacity of the various fraction of $P.\ murex$ (Figure 2) and was expressed as the number of equivalent of ascorbic acid (μ g/mL plant extract and their fractions). The results in the Figure 2 showed that the highest capacity of antioxidant nature was found to be with that of ethyl acetate fraction (95.70 \pm 3.74 μ g/mL Ascorbic Acid Equivalent) comparing with other fraction.

3.4. Reducing power activity

The results in the figure 2 revealed that the highly polar fractions such as ethyl acetate and aqueous part were found to exhibit a significant reducing power as compared with that of the non polar fraction such as petroleum ether and chloroform. They can be arranged in the order as: ascorbic acid > ethyl acetate fraction > aqueous fraction > chloroform fraction > petroleum ether fraction.

3.5. DPPH radical scavenging activity

DPPH scavenging assay is one of the most preferred antioxidant method for determination of the radical scavenging activity of plant material. When DPPH converts to 2, 2–diphenyl–1–picryl hydrazine its purple color fades due to contact with a hydrogen donor. Different fraction exhibited considerable free radical scavenging activity as indicated by their IC₅₀ values which was shown in Table 1. In comparison with ascorbic acid (IC₅₀ value 77.91 \pm 1.93 μ g/mL), ethyl acetate fraction was shown to have a reliable IC₅₀ value (135.11 \pm 2.95 μ g/mL.

3.6. Nitric oxide scavenging activity

Nitric oxide or reactive nitrogen species are highly reactive species which occur generally when their reaction occurs with oxygen or super oxides. Table 1 showed that ethyl acetate fraction had good nitric oxide scavenging activity (IC₅₀ value 200.57 \pm 4.51 μ g/mL) compare with petroleum ether fraction (IC₅₀ value 434.75 \pm 18.26 μ g/mL).

3.7. Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity

Scavenging ability of various fractions was presented in Table 1 using rutin as control. The ethyl acetate fraction showed a good activity in depleting H_2O_2 , with an IC_{50} value of 217.91 \pm 6.12 μ g/mL as compared with the standard (87.07 \pm 0.51 μ g/mL).

3.8. Deoxyribose scavenging activity

The scavenging capacity of hydroxyl radical by ethyl acetate fraction was found to have maximum (IC $_{50}$ value 250.01 ± 4.68) in comparison with other fractions (Table 1). This revealed that ethyl acetate had more power (less than the standard) to eliminate the hydroxyl radical as compared with the other tested fractions.




Figure 3. Correlations between the total antioxidant capacity and total phenolic content of different fraction of *P. murex*.

3.9. Co-relationship between the total antioxidant capacity and the total phenolic content

The best criteria to prove the antioxidant activity of a

plant is to correlate the total poplyphenolics with that of the antioxidant activity data. This can be estimated by comparing the r^2 value obtained by plotting a graph between the total antioxidant activities of various fractions versus its total phenolic content with that of the total antioxidant capacity (Figure 3). Our investigation showed that the r^2 obtained between the total antioxidant capacity and the total phenolic contents of all the fractions was found to have a linear property with a value of 0.914 (r^2) when compared with the total antioxidant capacity r^2 =0.996.

4. Discussion

Natural substances exhibiting antioxidant properties can be supplied as food additives, or as specific preventive pharmaceuticals. Many antioxidant compounds, naturally occurring from plant sources, have been identified as free radical or active oxygen scavengers. Recently, interest has increased considerably in finding naturally occurring antioxidants for use in foods or medicinal materials to replace synthetic antioxidants[6]. It has been demonstrated that plants, containing high amounts of secondary metabolites like phenolic have been identified as a free radical or active oxygen scavengers and can prevent damages caused by oxidative stress[19-21]. Increasing experimental evidence has suggested that these compounds can affect a wide range of cell biological functions by virtue of their radical scavenging properties[6]. The intake of antioxidants such as polyphenols has been effective in the prevention of many diseases[6].

Looking back to our results it was observed that ethyl acetate fraction was regarded as the most effective solvent for polypheloics like phenolic acids and flavonoids resides. The total phenolic contents of the ethyl acetate fraction were higher than those of any other fraction. Therefore, the high content of total phenols in ethyl acetate fraction of *P. murex* indicated the strong antioxidant properties of this part. The results confirmed the possibility of recovering high amounts of phenolics with antioxidant properties from fruit of *P. murex* which could be explained by the possible formation of complexes by a part of the phenolic compounds with other components[22].

The positive correlation between the total polyphenolic content and antioxidant potential of various plant extracts has been well demonstrated in prior reports and it is interestingly seen that our results correlate perfectly with the previous studies. High phenolic compounds from plant source lead to a positive activity as antioxidant. But this can be governed not only through the correlation coefficient but also through the scavenging activity in various antioxidant assays^[20]. The antioxidant activity of the phenolic compounds was attributed to its redox properties, which allow them to act as reducing agents, hydrogen donators, singlet oxygen quenchers, and metal chelating property^[23].

In general, in accordance to the DPPH assay results, among the various fraction of P. murex ethyl acetate portion was shown to be a good scavenger of the free radical, indicating the DPPH radical scavenging activity of P. murex which may be mostly related to their phenolic hydroxyl group. Nitric oxide or reactive nitrogen species generally formed when their reaction occurs with oxygen or super oxides such as NO₂, N₂O₄, N₃O₄, NO₃ and NO₂ which are highly reactive in nature. Excessive production of NO leads to the ill effects and can cause detrimental to human body so that it can lead to disorders like inflammation, cancer and other pathological complications[6]. Our data shows that the polar fractions of P. murex have a good nitric oxide scavenging activity which is comparable with the standard rutin. This reveals that the polar fraction has better ability to scavenge the highly reactive nitric oxides as compared with the non polar ones. The same results occur with the potency to scavenge the hydrogen peroxide as well as the hydroxyl radical. Hydrogen peroxide are of less reactive in nature as compared with that of hydroxyl radical which are extremely reactive and capable of damaging almost every molecule found in living cells[24-27]. Hence it is necessary to prevent the imbalance of these radicals in the body. In addition to these radical scavenging assays the correlations fit well with both of the reducing power and the total antioxidant activities[11]. Our data on the reducing power of the tested fractions suggest that it is likely to contribute significantly to the observed antioxidant effect. However, the antioxidant activity of antioxidants has been attributed by various mechanisms, among which some are prevention of chain initiation, binding of transition metal ion catalysts, decomposition of peroxides, prevention of continued hydrogen abstraction, reductive capacity and radical scavenging[28]. Reducing power is one mechanism for action of antioxidants and may serve as a significant indicator of potential antioxidant activity for antioxidants[18]. Therefore, the reducing capacity may be used as an indicator of the potential antioxidant activity that can terminate radical chain reaction by converting free radicals and reactive oxygen species to more stable products. Hence, from our investigation it can be seen that polyphenols which belong to a heterogeneous class of compounds possess a variety of effects towards antioxidant behavior. In search of plant source of natural antioxidants, some medicinal plants and fruits have been extensively studied for their antioxidant activity and radical scavenging in the last few decades^[29]. Moreover, the fruit of *P. murex* has shown to have extensive property to act as antioxidant.

It can be concluded that *P. murex* can be utilized as a source of natural antioxidant as their fractions exhibit antioxidant activity. The results indicate that ethyl acetate solvent fractions exhibit higher antioxidant activities as compared with the other fraction. The findings of this work are useful for further research to identify, isolate and characterize the specific compound which is responsible for higher antioxidant activity. Bioactive compounds found

in *P. murex* depict a major breakthrough for a variety of food/medical applications as they are mainly responsible for natural antioxidants in different food or pharmaceutical products.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The financial assistance from University Grants Commission, New Delhi, for Dinesh Kumar Patel (Senior Research Fellowship) is greatly acknowledged.

References

- [1] Matkowski A, Zielinska S, Oszmianski J, Lamer-Zarawska E. Antioxidant activity of extracts from leaves and roots of Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge, S. przewalskii Maxim, and S. verticillata L. Bioresour Technol 2008; 99(16): 7892-7896.
- [2] Tepe B. Antioxidant potentials and rosmarinic acid levels of the methanolic extracts of Salvia virgata (Jacq), Salvia staminea (Montbret and Aucher ex Bentham) and Salvia verbenaca (L.) from Turkey. Bioresour Technol 2008; 99(6): 1584–1588.
- [3] Zhao GR, Xiang ZJ, Ye TY, Yuan YJ, Guo ZX. Antioxidant activities of *Salvia miltiorrhiza* and *Panax notoginseng*. Food Chem 2006; **99**(4): 767–774.
- [4] Wannes WA, Mhamdi B, Sriti J, Jemia MB, Ouchikh O, Hamdaoui G, et al. Antioxidant activities of the essential oils and methanol extracts from myrtle (*Myrtus communis* var. italica L.) leaf, stem and flower. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2010; **48**(5): 1362–1370.
- [5] Grzegorczyk I, Matkowski A, Wysokin'ska H. Antioxidant activity of extracts from in vitro cultures of Salvia officinalis L. Food Chem 2007; 104(2): 536–541.
- [6] Kumaran A, Karunakaran RJ. In vitro antioxidant activities of methanol extracts of five Phyllanthus species from India. LWT– Food Sci Technol 2007; 40(2): 344–352.
- [7] Shen Q, Zhang B, Xu R, Wang Y, Ding X, Li P. Antioxidant activity in vitro of the selenium-contained protein from the Seenriched Bifidobacterium animalis 01. Anaerobe 2010; 16(4): 380-386.
- [8] Kannan RRR, Arumugam R, Anantharaman P. In vitro antioxidant activities of ethanol extract from Enhalus acoroides (L.F.) Royle. Asian Pac J Trop Med 2010; 3(11): 898–901.
- [9] Prakash D, Upadhyay G, Singh HB. Antioxidant and free radicalscavenging activities of seeds and agri-wastes of some varieties of soyabean (*Glycine max*). Food chem 2007; 104(2): 783-790.
- [10] Reddy CVK, Sreeramulu D, Raghunath M. Antioxidant activity of fresh and dry fruits commonly consumed in India. Food Res Int 2010; 43(1): 285–288.
- [11] Koncić MZ, Kremer D, Gruz J, Strnad M, Bisevac G, Kosalec I, et al. Antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of *Moltkia petraea*

- (Tratt.) Griseb. Flower, leaf and stem infusions. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2010; **48**(6): 1537–1542.
- [12] Gülçin I, Elmastaş M, Aboul-Enein HY. Determination of antioxidant and radical scavenging activity of Basil (Ocimum basilicum L. Family Lamiaceae) assayed by different methodologies. Phytother Res 2007; 21(4): 354-361.
- [13] Mukherjee PK. Quality control of herbal drugs: an approach to evaluation of botanicals. New Delhi: Business Horizons Pharmaceutical Publishers; 2002.
- [14] Harborne JB. Phytochemical methods. London: Chapman & Hall; 1998.
- [15] Yildirim A, Mavi A, Kara AA. Determination of antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of *Rumex crispus L.* extracts. *J Agric Food Chem* 2001; 49(8): 4083–4089.
- [16] Ebrahimzadeh MA, Pourmorad F, Hafezi S. Antioxidant activities of Iranian corn silk. *Turk J Biol* 2008; 32: 43–49.
- [17] Jayaprakasha GK, Jaganmohan RL, Sakariah KK. Antioxidant activities of flavidin in different in vitro model systems. Bioorg Med Chem 2004; 12(19): 5141-5146.
- [18] Srinivasan R, Chandrasekar MJN, Nanjan MJ, Suresh B. Antioxidant activity of *Caesalpinia digyna* root. *J Ethnopharmacol* 2007; 113(3): 284–291.
- [19] Socha R, Juszczak L, Pietrzy S, Fortuna T, Antioxidant activity and phenolic composition of herb honeys, *Food Chem* 2009; 113(2): 568–574.
- [20] Zhang Y, Wang ZZ. Phenolic composition and antioxidant activities of two *Phlomis* species: a correlation study. *CR Biol* 2009; 332(9): 816–826.
- [21] Matkowski A, Piotrowska M. Antioxidant and free radical scavenging activities of some medicinal plants from the Lamiaceae. *Fitoterapia* 2006; 77(5): 346-353.
- [22] Zhang Y, Li X, Wang Z. Antioxidant activities of leaf extract of Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge and related phenolic constituents. Food Chem Toxicol 2010; 48(10): 2656–2662.
- [23] Oluwaseun AA, Ganiyu O. Antioxidant properties of methanolic extracts of mistletoes (*Viscum album*) from cocoa and cashew trees in Nigeria. *Afr J Biotechnol* 2008; 7(17): 3138–3142.
- [24] Singha R, Singhb S, Kumarb S, Aroraa S. Evaluation of antioxidant potential of ethyl acetate extract/fractions of *Acacia auriculiformis* A. Cunn. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2007; 45(7): 1216–1223.
- [25] Kumar BSA, Lakshman K, Jayaveera KN, Shekar DS, Kumar AA, Manoj B. Antioxidant and antipyretic properties of methanolic extract of *Amaranthus spinosus* leaves. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2010; 3(9): 702-706.
- [26] Rai B, Kaur J, Catalina M. Anti-oxidation actions of curcumin in two forms of bed rest: oxidative stress serum and salivary markers. Asian Pac J Trop Med 2010; 3(8): 651-654.
- [27] Prabhu K, Reddy GM, Rao A. Can antioxidants predispose to cancer recurrence? *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2010; **3**(6): 494–495.
- [28] Zhu C, Deng X, Shi F. Evaluation of the antioxidant activity of Chinese Hickory (*Carya cathayensis*) kernel ethanol extraction. *Afr J Biotechnol* 2008; 7(13): 2169–2173.
- [29] Singh RP, Murthy KNC, Jayaprakasha GK. Studies on the antioxidant activity of pomegranate (*Punica granatum*) peel and seed extracts using *in vitro* models. *J Agric Food Chem* 2002; 50(1): 81–86.