# Development and Validation of Pregabalin in Bulk, Pharmaceutical Formulations and in Human Urine Samples by UV Spectrophotometry

Rajinder Singh Gujral, Sk Manirul Haque, Prem Shanker

Vardhman Chemtech Limited, Nimbua, Dera Bassi, Mohali, Punjab, India

### ABSTRACT

A simple and sensitive UV spectrophotometric method was developed and validated for the determin ation of pregabalin in bulk, pharmaceutical formulations and in human urine samples. The method was linea r in the range of 0.5–5.0  $\mu$ g/ml. There is no generally accepted method for the determination of pregabalin. The absorbance was measured at 210 nm. The method was validated with respect to accuracy, precision , specificity, ruggedness, and robustness, limit of detection and limit of quantitation. This met hod was used successfully for the quality assessment of five pregabalin drug products and in human urine s amples with good precision and accuracy. This is found to be simple, specific, precise, accurate, reproducible and low cost UV Spectrophotometric method. (*Int J Biomed Sci* 2009; 5(2):175-180)

Keywords: pregabalin; validation; bulk drug, pharmaceutical formulations; human urine samples; uv

### **INTRODUCTION**

Pregabalin (PGB) is a new active substance known chemically as (S)–3–amino methyl–5–methyl hexanoic acid and is structurally related to the naturally occurring amino acids L – leucine and gamaa aminobutyric acid (GABA) (Fig. 1). It is a white to off – white crystalline, non – hygroscopic and water soluble (freely soluble below pH–3.7) powder. It contains one chiral centre, but is syn-

thesized as the single enantiomer S. PGB exists as a single anhydrous and not solvated crystal form.

PGB is a new anticonvulsant and analgesic medication that was recently approved for adjunctive treatment of partial seizures in adults (1–4) in both the United States







Figure 2. Structure of Gabapentin.

Received March 12, 2009; Accepted May 11, 2009

**Corresponding author:** Rajinder Singh Gujral, Director, Vardhman Chemtech Ltd, Nimbua, Dera Bassi, Mohali, Punjab, India. Tel: +91-9914013470; E-mail: gujral@vardhmanchemtech.com.

**Copyright:** © 2009 Rajinder Singh Gujral et *al*. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

and Europe and for the treatment of neuropathic pain from postherpetic neuralgia and diabetic neuropathy. It is both structurally and pharmacologically related to the anticonvulsant and analgesic medication gabapentin (Fig. 2).

The mechanism of action is still unclear, pregabalin decreases central neuronal excitability by binding to an auxiliary subunit ( $\alpha_2$ – $\delta$  protein) of a voltage – gated calcium channel on neurons in the central nervous system. PGB reduces the release of several neurotransmitters include glutamate, norepinephrine, Substance P, and calcitonin gene related peptide from certain brain tissues and also reduce calcium influx in synaptosomes.

Pregabalin undergoes minimal metabolism in human with unchanged parent representing the majority ( $\geq$ 90 %) of drug – derived material (5). This contrasts with gabapentin, which is absorbed via a capacity limited L – amino acid transport system from the proximal small bowel into the blood stream (6–7).

The therapeutic importance of Pregabalin was behind the development of numerous methods for its determination. The methods adapted to the analysis of PGB include high – performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (8), liquid chromatography - mass spectrophotometry (LC-MS) (9-10) and spectrofluorimetry (11). In addition, these methods require long and tedious pretreatment of the samples and laborious clean up procedures prior to analysis. An official monograph of PGB does not exist in any pharmacopoeia and determination of PGB in bulk and pharmaceutical formulations has not been yet described. Therefore, it is very imperative to develop a simple and suitable analytical method for the determination of PGB in bulk and pharmaceutical formulations. UV - Visible spectrophotometry is the technique of choice in research laboratories, hospitals and pharmaceutical industries due to its low cost and inherent simplicity.

This paper reports a simple, sensitive and accurate spectrophotometric method for the determination of PGB. The method is based on the direct measurement of native absorbance of the drug at 210 nm against the reagent blank. The proposed method was extended to the determination of PGB in bulk, pharmaceutical formulations and in human urine samples.

# **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### Apparatus

Spectral runs were made on UV 3000<sup>+</sup> UV/VIS spectrophotometer (LABINDIA<sup>®</sup>, Mumbai, India) with 1 cm matched glass cell.

### **Materials and Reagents**

- Pregabalin (Vardhman Chemtech Ltd, Punjab, India) was used as working standard.
- Pharmaceutical formulations of PGB such as Gabanext 75 (Nicholas Piramal India Ltd, Mumbai, India), Pregalin 75 (Torrent Pharmaceutical Ltd, Baddi, India), Neugaba 75 (Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Jammu, India), Mahagaba 75 (Mankind Pharma Ltd, New Delhi, India) and Maxgalin 75 (Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Jammu, India) were purchased from local markets.
- Sodium carbonate was purchased from Qualigens fine chemicals (Mumbai, India).
- Sodium bicarbonate was purchased from Qualigens fine chemicals (Mumbai, India).
- Urine samples were obtained from healthy volunteers.
- Carbonate buffer of pH 9.4 was prepared by dissolving 26.5 gm sodium carbonate and 21.0 gm sodium bicarbonate in 500 ml distilled water.

#### **Determination of appropriate UV wavelength**

A suitable wavelength was required for the determination of Pregabalin. The appropriate wavelength for the determination of PGB was determined by wavelength scanning over the range 190–450 nm with a UV 3000<sup>+</sup> UV/VIS spectrophotometer (LABINDIA<sup>®</sup>, Mumbai, India).

#### **Standard PGB Solution**

A stock solution of PGB (50  $\mu$ g/ml) was prepared by dissolving 5 mg PGB in 100 ml volumetric flasks with double distilled water. The stock solution (50  $\mu$ g/ml) was used to prepare the working solutions by suitable dilutions with distilled water. The solutions were stable at least 10 days in room temperature.

#### **METHODS**

#### Procedure for the determination of PGB

Aliquots of stock solution (50  $\mu$ g/ml) were transferred into a set of 50 ml volumetric flasks and volumes were completed to the mark with distilled water to produce solutions in the concentration range 0.5–5.0  $\mu$ g/ml. Absorbance was measured at 210 nm (Fig. 3) against the reagent blank. Calibration graphs were constructed by plotting absorbance against the final concentration of PGB.

# Procedure for determination of PGB in pharmaceutical formulations



Figure 3. Absorption spectra of Pregabalin (5.0 µg/ml).

One capsule (claiming 75 mg of Pregabalin) was accurately weighed and finely powdered. A quantity of the powder equivalent to 5 mg of PGB was extracted by shaking with 20 ml of distilled water, followed by another two extractions each with 10 ml distilled water. After passing through a 0.45  $\mu$ m Millipore filter, the solution was diluted with distilled water to obtain a concentration of about 50  $\mu$ g/ml. It was further diluted according to the need and then analyzed following the proposed procedures. The nominal content of the capsule was calculated either from the previously plotted calibration graphs or using regression equation.

# Procedure for determination of PGB in human urine samples

Aliquot volumes of human urine samples were transferred into small separating funnel. 10 ml of carbonate buffer pH–9.4 was added and solution was mixed well. The solution was then extracted with  $2 \times 10$  ml diethyl ether. The ether extract was collected and evaporated. The residue was dissolved in 10 ml distilled water and above general procedure was then followed. The amount of PGB was obtained from the calibration graphs or corresponding regression equation.

# METHOD VALIDATION

The method was validated for selectivity, linearity, precision, accuracy, recovery and stability according to the principles of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) industry guidance (12). Validation of analytical procedures is a vital aspect not just for regulatory purposes, but also for their efficient and reliable long - term application. The ICH guidelines achieved a great deal in harmonizing the definitions of required validation parameters, their calculation and interpretation. It is the responsibility of the analyst to identify parameters which are relevant to the performance of given analytical procedure as well as to design proper validation protocols including acceptance criteria and to perform an appropriate evaluation. The International Conference on the Harmonization of the Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use has harmonized the requirements in two guidelines (13, 14). The first one summarizes and defines the validation characteristics needed for various types of test procedures, the second one extends the previous test to include the experimental data required and some statistical interpretation. These guidelines serve as a basis worldwide both for regulatory authorities and industry and bring the importance of a proper validation to the attention of all those involved in the process of submission. Nowadays, the validation characteristics needed for the various test procedures and their general requirements are well understood. The essential question to be answered is on the suitability of the calibration mode to be used in the test procedure. It should be noted that in most cases only a qualitative statement is needed.

The stability of the working PGB sample solutions at room temperature was evaluated with the help of UV spectra. The specificity and selectivity of the proposed method was evaluated by estimating the amount of PGB in the presence of common excipients lactose monohydrate, corn, starch, talc and methyl cobalamin.

The linearity of the proposed method was constructed for Pregabalin reference standard solution by plotting concentration of the compound versus the absorbance. The linearity was evaluated by linear regression analysis, which was calculated by the least square regression method. The parameters LOD and LOQ were determined on the basis of response and slope of the regression equation. The accuracy and precision of the method was evaluated within the linear range based on the analysis of PGB reference standard samples and pharmaceutical formulations at 2.0, 3.5 and 5.0 µg/ml. Five independent analysis were performed at each concentrations level within one day (intraday precision) as well as for five consecutive days (interday precision). The accuracy was ascertained by recovery studies using the standard addition method. The proposed method was used for estimation of PGB from capsules after spiking with 50, 200 and 350 % additional pure drug. The amount of PGB was determined from the regression equation.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

In order to investigate the appropriate wavelength for the determination of PGB, solution of PGB was scanned by UV spectrophotometer in the range of 190 - 450 nm. The maximum absorbance was observed at 210 nm and this wavelength was fixed for the analysis of Pregabalin.

The absorbance – concentration plot for the proposed method was found to be rectilinear over the range of 0.5–5.0 µg/ml. Linear regression analysis of calibration data gave the regression equation cited in Table 1 with correlation coefficients close to unity. Statistical analysis of regression lines were made regarding the standard deviation of residuals ( $S_{x/y}$ ), standard deviation of slopes ( $S_{b}$ )

Fable 1. Summ	ary of optical and	regression
characteristi	cs of the proposed	method

Parameters	Pregabalin
Linear dynamic range (µg/ml)	0.50-5.00
Regression equation <sup>a</sup>	$Y = 5.02 \times 10^{-2} X - 1.8 \times 10^{-3}$
$S_a$	$9.17 \times 10^{-4}$
t S <sub>a</sub> <sup>b</sup>	$2.04 \times 10^{-3}$
$S_{b}$	$2.62 \times 10^{-4}$
t S <sub>b</sub> <sup>b</sup>	$5.84 \times 10^{-4}$
Correlation coefficient (r)	0.9999
LOD (µg/ml)	$2.47 \times 10^{-1}$
LOQ (µg/ml)	$8.15 \times 10^{-2}$
Variance $(S_0^2)$ of calibration line	$1.54 \times 10^{-6}$

<sup>a</sup>With respect to Y = a + b X, where X is the concentration in  $\mu g/ml$ , Y is Absorbance; <sup>b</sup>Confidence interval of the intercept and slope at 95 % confidence level and ten degrees of freedom (t=2.228).

and standard deviation of intercepts ( $S_a$ ) and the values are summarized in Table 1.

The within day precision assays were carried out through replicate analysis (n=5) of PGB corresponding to 2.0, 3.5 and 5.0 µg/ml. The interday precision was evaluated through replicate analysis of the pure drug samples for five consecutive days at the same concentration levels as used in within day precision. The results of these assays are reported in Table 2. As can be seen from Table 2 that the recovery and RSD values for within day precision were always lower than 100.057 % and 0.891 %; recovery and RSD values for interday precision were lower than 100.056% and 0.968 %. The precision results are satisfactory. The intraday and interday precision assays were also carried for PGB in pharmaceutical formulations. The results are summarized in Table 3. As can be seen from Table 3 that the recovery and RSD values were in the ranges 99.920 to 100.171 %; 0.258 to 0.968 % and 99.801 to 100.199 %; 0.203 to 1.136 % respectively for intraday and interday precision.

The proposed method was used for estimating of PGB from capsules after spiking with 50, 200 and 350 % of additional pure drug. The results are reported in Table 4. As can be seen from Table 4 that the recovery and RSD values were in the ranges 99.920 to 100.027 % and 0.152 to 0.923 %. The selectivity of the propose method was ascertained by analyzing standard PGB in the presence of excipients such as lactose monohydrate, corn, starch, talc and methyl cobalamin. It was observed that the excipients did not interfere with the proposed method.

The proposed method was further extended to the *in vitro* determination of PGB in spiked human urine samples. In neuropathic patients, PGB is orally given in doses of 150 to 600 mg per day, with an associated mean of around 123 µg.hr/ml. Pregabalin undergoes minimal metabolism

Proposed methods —	Amo	Amount (µg/ml)			C A Th	CI.
	Taken	Found $\pm$ SD <sup>a</sup>	RSD (%)	REC. (%)	SAE	C.L. <sup>e</sup>
Intra day assay	2.00	$2.000 \pm 0.018$	0.891	99.990	8.0 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	6.7 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
	3.50	$3.502\pm0.014$	0.402	100.057	$2.8 \times 10^{-3}$	$7.8 \times 10^{-3}$
	5.00	$4.999\pm0.017$	0.333	99.999	$7.5 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.1 \times 10^{-3}$
Inter day assay	2.00	$1.999\pm0.019$	0.968	99.924	$8.7 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.4 \times 10^{-2}$
	3.50	$3.502\pm0.024$	0.697	100.056	$1.1 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-2}$
	5.00	$4.996 \pm 0.020$	0.399	99.920	$8.9 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.5 \times 10^{-2}$

Table 2. Summary of accuracy and precision results of the proposed method in pure form

<sup>a</sup>Mean for 5 independent analyses; <sup>b</sup>SAE, standard analytical error; <sup>c</sup>C.L., confidence limit at 95 % confidence level and 4 degrees of freedom (t=2.776).

in human with unchanged parent representing  $\geq$ 90% of drug derived in urine. This concentration fell well within working range of proposed method. The calibration graphs were constructed by plotting absorbance versus increasing concentrations of PGB in spiked human urine samples over the concentration range 0.5–5.0 µg/ml. The results (Table 5) are satisfactorily accurate and precise.

### CONCLUSIONS

The proposed method does not require any laborious clean up procedure before measurement. In addition, the method has wider linear dynamic range with good accuracy and precision. The method shows no interference from the common excipients and additives. Since in human un-

	Amount (µg/ml)					C L C	
Proposed methods	Taken	TakenFound ± SD <sup>a</sup>		KEC. (%)	SAL	C.L.°	
Intra day assay							
Gabanext - 75	2.00	$2.000\pm0.018$	0.891	100.000	0.0070	0.0221	
Gabanext - 75	3.50	$3.498\pm0.017$	0.477	99.943	0.0075	0.0201	
Gabanext - 75	5.00	$4.999\pm0.017$	0.333	99.999	0.0074	0.0206	
Pregalin - 75	2.00	$1.999 \pm 0.006$	0.258	99.980	0.0023	0.0064	
Pregalin - 75	3.50	$3.498\pm0.017$	0.476	99.943	0.0074	0.0207	
Pregalin - 75	5.00	$4.996\pm0.032$	0.630	99.920	0.0141	0.0391	
Neugaba - 75	2.00	$1.999 \pm 0.011$	0.569	99.970	0.0051	0.0142	
Neugaba - 75	3.50	$3.502 \pm 0.031$	0.899	100.057	0.0141	0.0391	
Neugaba - 75	5.00	$5.004 \pm 0.023$	0.454	100.080	0.0102	0.0282	
Maxgalin - 75	2.00	$1.999 \pm 0.011$	0.569	99.970	0.0051	0.0142	
Maxgalin - 75	3.50	$3.506 \pm 0.026$	0.741	100.171	0.0117	0.0322	
Maxgalin - 75	5.00	$4.996 \pm 0.020$	0.399	99.940	0.0089	0.0247	
Mahagaba - 75	2.00	$1.999 \pm 0.019$	0.968	99.924	0.0087	0.0240	
Mahagaba - 75	3.50	$3.498\pm0.030$	0.845	99.943	0.0132	0.0367	
Mahagaba - 75	5.00	$5.000 \pm 0.022$	0.436	100.000	0.0098	0.0271	
Inter day assay							
Gabanext - 75	2.00	$1.996 \pm 0.011$	0.547	99.801	0.0049	0.0136	
Gabanext - 75	3.50	$3.494\pm0.018$	0.510	99.829	0.0079	0.0221	
Gabanext - 75	5.00	$4.996\pm0.024$	0.488	99.920	0.0109	0.0303	
Pregalin - 75	2.00	$1.999 \pm 0.011$	0.569	99.970	0.0051	0.0142	
Pregalin - 75	3.50	$3.499\pm0.012$	0.341	99.967	0.0053	0.0172	
Pregalin - 75	5.00	$4.997\pm0.010$	0.203	99.940	0.0045	0.0125	
Neugaba - 75	2.00	$2.004 \pm 0.016$	0.832	100.199	0.0075	0.0020	
Neugaba - 75	3.50	$3.498 \pm 0.012$	0.331	99.943	0.0052	0.0144	
Neugaba - 75	5.00	$4.997 \pm 0.013$	0.264	99.940	0.0059	0.0164	
Maxgalin - 75	2.00	$2.000 \pm 0.022$	1.136	99.999	0.0102	0.0282	
Maxgalin - 75	3.50	$3.498 \pm 0.017$	0.476	99.943	0.0074	0.0207	
Maxgalin - 75	5.00	$4.997 \pm 0.013$	0.264	99.940	0.0059	0.0164	
Mahagaba - 75	2.00	$2.000 \pm 0.018$	0.891	100.000	0.0070	0.0221	
Mahagaba - 75	3.50	$3.502 \pm 0.031$	0.899	100.057	0.0141	0.0391	
Mahagaba - 75	5.00	$4.997 \pm 0.010$	0.203	99.940	0.0045	0.0125	

Table 3. Summary of accuracy and precision results of the proposed method in pharmaceutical formulations

<sup>a</sup>Mean for 5 independent analyses; <sup>b</sup>SAE, standard analytical error; <sup>c</sup>C.L., confidence limit at 95 % confidence level and 4 degrees of freedom (t=2.776).

E Latter	Amount (µg/ml)			<b>D</b>		C A Th	
Formulations –	Taken	TakenAddedFound $\pm$ SD <sup>a</sup> Recovery (%)		Recovery (%)	KSD (%)	SAE	
Capsules							
Gabanext-75	1.00	0.50	$1.499\pm0.008$	99.920	0.503	0.0034	
	1.00	2.00	$3.001\pm0.012$	100.026	0.399	0.0054	
	1.00	3.50	$4.499\pm0.011$	99.982	0.233	0.0047	
Neugaba-75	1.00	0.50	$1.499\pm0.004$	99.973	0.238	0.0016	
	1.00	2.00	$2.999\pm0.011$	99.987	0.350	0.0047	
	1.00	3.50	$4.498\pm0.008$	99.965	0.176	0.0035	
Maxgalin-75	1.00	0.50	$1.500\pm0.009$	99.973	0.590	0.0040	
	1.00	2.00	$2.999\pm0.014$	99.960	0.474	0.0064	
	1.00	3.50	$4.500\pm0.008$	100.001	0.175	0.0035	
Pregalin- 75	1.00	0.50	$1.499\pm0.014$	99.947	0.923	0.0062	
	1.00	2.00	$2.999\pm0.009$	99.973	0.284	0.0038	
	1.00	3.50	$4.498\pm0.010$	99.946	0.220	0.0044	
Mahagaba- 75	1.00	0.50	$1.499\pm0.008$	99.973	0.473	0.0032	
	1.00	2.00	$3.001\pm0.007$	100.027	0.242	0.0033	
	1.00	3.50	$4.496 \pm 0.006$	99.920	0.152	0.0031	

**Table 4.** Summary of data for the determination of pregabalin in pharmaceutical preparations by standard addition method

<sup>a</sup>Mean for 5 independent analyses; <sup>b</sup>SAE, standard analytical error.

 Table 5. Application of the proposed UV method to the determination of pregabalin in human urine samples

Amount added (µg/ml)	Amount found ( $\mu g/ml$ )	Recovery (%)
1.00	0.9721	97.210
2.00	1.9681	98.405
3.00	2.9641	98.803
4.00	3.9801	99.503
5.00	4.9960	99.920
Ā		98.768
RSD		1.065

changed parent representing  $\geq 90\%$  of drug is derived in urine, this method can be used for estimating unabsorbed PGB in urine samples by very simple, cost affective, fast and efficient method. This may help in analyzing affectivity of this drug in human beings during treatment. Therefore, it is concluded that the proposed method is simple, sensitive and rapid for the determination of pregabalin in bulk, pharmaceutical formulations and in human urine samples.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Tassone DM, Boyce E, Guyer J, Nuzum D. Clin. Ther. 2007; 29: 26-48.
- 2. Hamandi K, Sander JW. Seizure. 2006; 15: 73-78.
- 3. Freynhagen R, Strojek K, Griesing T, Whalen E, *et al. Pain.* 2005; 115: 254-263.
- 4. Sharma U, Young J, LaMoreaux L. Pain. 2005; 6: S29.
- 5. Wesche D, Bockbrader H. Pain. 2005; 6: 29.
- Stewart BH, Kugler AR, Thompson PR, Bockbrader HN. Pharm. Res. 1993; 10: 226-228.
- Turck D, Vollmer KO, Bockbrader H. Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 1989; 36: 310.
- 8. Vermeij TAC, Edelborek PM. J. Chromatogr. B. 2004; 810: 297-303.
- Mandal U, Sarkar AK, Gowda KV, Agarwal S, et al. Chromatogra. 2008; 67: 237-243.
- 10. Oertel R, Arenz N, Pietsch J, Kirch W. J. Sep. Sci. 2008; 32: 238-243.
- Önal A, Sagirli O. Spectrochim. Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomol. Spectros. 2009; 72: 68-71.
- 12. Food and Drug Administration of the United States, Guidance for industry – Bioanalytical Method Validation, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centre for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM). 2001 http://www. fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm.
- International Conference on the Harmonization of the Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH) Q2A: Validation of Analytical Methods (Definitions and Terminology). 1994.
- 14. ICH: Q2B Analytical Validation Methodology. 1996.