FORUM REVIEW ARTICLE

Regulation of SOD2 in Cancer by Histone Modifications and CpG Methylation: Closing the Loop Between Redox Biology and Epigenetics

Anthony R. Cyr,¹ Michael J. Hitchler,² and Frederick E. Domann¹

Abstract

Significance: Manganese superoxide dismutase (SOD2), encoded by the nuclear gene SOD2, is a critical mitochondrial antioxidant enzyme whose activity has broad implications in health and disease. Thirty years ago, Oberley and Buettner elegantly folded SOD2 into cancer biology with the free radical theory of cancer, which was built on the observation that many human cancers had reduced SOD2 activity. In the original formulation, the loss of SOD2 in tumor cells produced a state of perpetual oxidative stress, which, in turn, drove genetic instability, leading to cancer development. Recent Advances: In the past two decades, research has established that SOD2 transcriptional activity is controlled, at least in part, via epigenetic mechanisms at different stages in the development of human cancer. These mechanisms, which include histone methylation, histone acetylation, and DNA methylation, are increasingly recognized as being aberrantly regulated in human cancer. Indeed, the epigenetic progenitor model proposed by Henikoff posits that epigenetic events are central governing agents of carcinogenesis. Important recent advances in epigenetics research have indicated that the loss of SOD activity itself may contribute to changes in epigenetic regulation, establishing a vicious cycle that drives further epigenetic instability. Critical Issues: With these observations in mind, we propose an epigenetic revision to the free radical theory of cancer: that loss of SOD activity promotes epigenetic aberrancies, driving the epigenetic instability in tumor cells which produces broad phenotypic effects. Future Directions: The development of nextgeneration sequencing technologies and novel approaches in systems biology and bioinformatics promise to make testing this exciting model a reality in the near future. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 18, 1946–1955.

Introduction

PARCINOGENESIS can be operationally divided into three distinct steps: initiation, promotion, and progression. Driving these steps, fundamental alterations in gene expression are acquired by a clonally expanding cell. Until recently, cancer-associated changes in gene expression have been prescribed to genetic alterations in a clonogenic progenitor cell population that bequeaths these changes to its descendants. Today, we know that epigenetic alterations in gene expression are capable of influencing carcinogenesis in this manner. Feinberg, Ohlsson, and Henikoff proposed the ''epigenetic progenitor'' model of human cancer in light of this evidence (8). This model holds that epigenetic changes in gene expression impact carcinogenesis through the aberrant silencing of some genes (tumor suppressors) and the improper activation of others (oncogenes). These epigenetic alterations are passed from generation to generation, making them heritable similar to genetic changes, as an initiated cell clonally expands. As this epigenetically altered progenitor population expands, it acquires further epigenetic derangements and genetic mutations, ultimately leading to frank carcinogenesis. It is generally accepted that genetic and epigenetic changes cooperate in this way to create the phenotypic alterations that are associated with cancer. However, the underlying stimuli leading to these changes remain obscure.

One of the phenotypic hallmarks of cancer is defective metabolism, resulting in fundamental changes in the manner in which tumor cells produce energy. This defect centers around two major changes. First, it involves increased reliance on glycolysis as a means of producing reducing equivalents,

¹Free Radical and Radiation Biology Program, Department of Radiation Oncology, and Holden Comprehensive Cancer Center, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa. ²

²Department of Radiation Oncology, Kaiser Permanente Los Angeles Medical Center, Los Angeles, California.

termed the Warburg effect (46). Second, cancer cell metabolism is associated with a dysfunctional mitochondrial electron transport chain, which often results in the aberrant production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (28, 37).

These metabolic defects often coincide with an atypical redox state that is characterized by an increase in the steadystate levels of free radicals, and a decrease in cellular redox buffering capacity. At the same time, the expression of antioxidant enzymes such as the mitochondrial manganese superoxide dismutase (SOD2) is also decreased in many forms of human cancer. This observation led Oberley and Buettner to put forth the free radical theory of cancer in the late 1970s (35). They speculated that the decreased expression of SOD2 increases the steady-state levels of free radicals which are observed in tumor cells, and serves as a ''flywheel'' to drive the manifestation of the malignant phenotype by creating genetic alterations. Notably, this model did not address the mechanisms underlying SOD2 down-regulation in cancer cells. A number of laboratories, including our own, have determined that epigenetic silencing plays a significant role in the loss of SOD2 in human cancer. These findings provide a rational foundation for the fusion of the epigenetic progenitor theory with the free radical theory of cancer: Epigenetic down-regulation of SOD2 as an early event can perpetuate a vicious cycle that favors carcinogenesis through downstream alterations in cellular metabolism, genetic stability, and epigenetic plasticity. Earlier, we extensively reviewed the linkages between metabolism and epigenetics in both carcinogenesis and development (5, 15, 16). In this review, we will specifically address epigenetic mechanisms underlying SOD2 transcriptional regulation, the metabolic consequences of SOD2 loss on cellular biology, and how these metabolic events promote further epigenetic instability during cancer development.

Superoxide: The Gateway ROS

Molecular oxygen (O_2) , required for aerobic respiration, comes with significant risks for those organisms that have evolved to harness it. At ground state, O_2 has two unpaired electrons, and can readily accept others. The electron transport chain of eukaryotic mitochondria takes advantage of this, using O_2 as a terminal acceptor for the electrons from NADH and succinates once its energy has been used to generate a proton motive force. The stepwise reduction of $O₂$ to $H₂O$ is highly regulated at complex IV, limiting the ability of harmful ROS to escape and damage cellular components. However, electron transport is not a perfect system, and leakage of electrons occurs. When this happens, O_2 is rapidly reduced to the superoxide anion $(O_2^{\bullet -})$. While O_2 ^{•-} is not an especially reactive agent itself, it serves as the "gateway" for the generation of considerably more ROS, including hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radical, and peroxynitrite (Fig. 1). It is, thus, imperative that cells have effective mechanisms for removing O_2 ^{*-}, as the elimination of this relatively benign species can halt more severe downstream consequences. Humans have evolved three separate superoxide dismutases to address this: the cytoplasmic Cu/ Zn SOD, encoded by SOD1, the mitochondrial manganese enzyme SOD2, encoded by SOD2, and the extracellular SOD, encoded by SOD3 (Fig. 2). For the remaining of this review, we will focus on the transcriptional regulation of

SOD2 and its potential role in driving carcinogenic phenotypes. For an extensive review of the other human SOD genes, please refer the 2011 comprehensive review by Fukai and Ushio-Fukai (9).

SOD2 Gene Structure and Regulation

SOD2 has numerous important regulatory regions that contribute to its transcriptional activity. These can broadly be divided into three distinct elements: a GC-rich core promoter adjacent to the transcriptional start site, an enhancer in the second intron $(\sim 1900 \text{ bp})$, and numerous upstream regulatory elements (\sim -800 to -1500 bp) that are highly conserved in complex eukaryotes (Figs. 3 and 4). These diverse elements allow the dynamic regulation of SOD2 during changes in cellular metabolism, exogenous stimuli, or abiotic stresses such as ionizing radiation. Our group and others have established the potential for epigenetic control of SOD2's cisregulatory elements compared with the last 15 years. To date, epigenetic alterations have been identified in all three of these regions in different cell types that exhibit decreased levels of SOD2 (Fig. 3). These epigenetic processes repress SOD2 by rendering one or more of the cis-regulatory elements transcriptionally inert. Other epigenetically silenced genes such as MASPIN and p16 completely lose transcriptional activity in early tumor development (4, 7, 10, 12), whereas SOD2 expression is merely repressed: Tumor cells do not completely lose SOD2 expression, but rather demonstrate less than one half of steady-state SOD2 compared with their normal counterparts (17). Later in carcinogenic progression, when tumor cells become invasive and metastatic, SOD2 expression is reawakened, and it becomes over-expressed compared with its normal cell counterparts (6). This phenomenon has allowed us to critically evaluate the nature of epigenetic regulatory mechanisms at the SOD2 gene locus. Recently, the advent of next-generation sequencing technologies has enabled the determination of a comprehensive ''epigenetic landscape'' of SOD2 in various cell types, confirming many of these observations (Fig. 5) (39). Next, we will discuss each of these regions in the SOD2 gene and the fundamental ways in

FIG. 1. Stepwise one-electron reduction of molecular oxygen produces reactive oxygen species (ROS) that each have distinct removal systems and capacity to induce cellular damage. Oxygen (O_2) proceeds through each of these one-electron reductions in succession at complex IV of the electron transport chain under stringent circumstances to prevent ROS leakage.

FIG. 2. Cellular localization of the 3 human superoxide dismutases. Cu/ZnSOD resides in the cytoplasm, manganese superoxide dismutase (SOD2) resides in mitochondria, and EcSOD is secreted into the extracellular milieu.

which epigenetic processes regulate their function in SOD2 expression.

The core promoter

The core promoter is the minimal element that is required for the basal expression of SOD2 in vivo. It contains the CGrich TATA-less sequence that is common to many genes in the human genome (48). While the transcriptional regulation of TATA-less promoters remains enigmatic, the CG rich domain of SOD2 has been well characterized. The core promoter contains several cognate sites for various transcription factors, such as SP-1, EGR-1, and AP-2. Each of these transcription factors plays a role in regulating SOD2 expression in various tissues and model cell lines, and, thus, may contribute to decreased levels of SOD2 protein and activity in cancer. The core promoter is also rife with CpG dinucleotides that can serve as

potential sites for DNA methylation. For example, our group has demonstrated that CpG methylation in the core promoter sequence can inhibit *in vitro* binding of AP-2 to its cognate sequence, potentially limiting its interaction with transcriptional machinery in vivo (21). The Farrar laboratory confirmed methylation of the core promoter using pancreatic cancer cell lines, and demonstrated that the administration of the DNA methyltransferase inhibitor zebularine can rescue SOD2 expression in methylated lines (19, 22). Furthermore, histone modifications in the core promoter region correlate with both chromatin accessibility and the binding of several of these transcription factors. Our group demonstrated in breast cancer cell lines that histone hypoacetylation and the loss of H3K4me2 marks is strongly associated with a loss of chromatin accessibility and decreased transcription factor binding at the core promoter, a phenomenon which was reversible with the administration of histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors (17).

The intronic enhancer

SOD2 levels rapidly increase after exposure to cytokines in a process that requires collaboration between the core promoter and the intronic enhancer (25, 47). In humans, control of the intronic enhancer is mediated by the transcription factors N F κ B and C/EBP. Mutations in NF κ B binding completely abrogate SOD2 activation by TNFa, suggesting that a majority of the transcriptional activation of SOD2 by cytokines is mediated through NF κ B signaling (43). Further studies from our laboratory and data from the ENCODE consortium have shown that this region of SOD2 contains high levels of H3K4me2, a histone modification which is often associated with enhancers (Fig. 5) (17, 39). In addition, work from Jeremy Boss's laboratory demonstrated that epigenetic crosstalk may exist between the intronic enhancer and core promoter. Stimulating cells with cytokines activates the intronic enhancer, simultaneously increasing the level of H3K9ac and facilitating the creation of an open chromatin conformation at the core promoter of SOD2 (13, 30, 38). Despite a thorough characterization, the exact mechanism by which the intronic enhancer influences the core promoter remains elusive. However, data from the ENCODE consortium demonstrate

FIG. 3. Three critical cis regulatory regions (Upstream, Core, and Intronic) govern SOD2 transcriptional regulation. This schematic representation of the 5'-end of the SOD2 gene (numbering relative to transcription start site) depicts the known cisregulatory elements and the proteins with which they interact. Beneath each element is a list of epigenetic modifications that are known to be associated with transcriptional regulation identified at that element.

FIG. 4. Schematic diagram of the SOD2 gene indicating regions of DNA sequence homology across species. The histogram provides a mammalian conservation score. The gene is shown to be transcribed from right to left starting at the bent arrow. In addition to the expected conservation in the exons, several noncoding regions are also extremely well conserved, such as sequences in the 3' UTR. Notably, a highly conserved region is located in the second intron, which colocalizes with the known intronic enhancer and the newly discovered CCCTC binding factor (CTCF) binding site, revealed herein for the first time in Figure 5.

the binding of CCCTC binding factor (CTCF) at the intronic enhancer of SOD2 (Fig. 5). CTCF is an 11-zinc finger insulator protein that regulates communication between enhancer elements and promoter regions, and extensively participates in long-distance chromatin interactions with multiple functions (34). The residence of CTCF at the intronic enhancer of SOD2 suggests the tantalizing possibility that it could be playing a role in regulating concomitant chromatin remodeling at the core promoter after transcription binding.

Epigenetic alterations within the intronic enhancer also constitute a mechanism for epigenetic repression of SOD2. Our group was the first which reported that decreased levels of SOD2 protein and activity correlated with CpG methylation in the intronic enhancer in a fibroblast model (20, 21). Changes in histone modifications at the intronic enhancer also appear to correlate with SOD2 expression in breast cancer. Breast cancer cell lines with low SOD2 expression have decreased levels of H3K4me2 at the intronic enhancer, compared with nontumorigenic breast epithelial cell lines with higher SOD2 expression (17). These changes were associated with decreased binding of transcription factors SP1, AP-1, and N F κ B to their respective binding sites, resulting in aberrant transcriptional regulation of SOD2.

Upstream elements

In addition to the regulatory capacity provided by the intronic enhancer and the core promoter, SOD2 has numerous upstream regulatory elements that also mediate canonical transcription factor interactions and can be altered through epigenetic means (Fig. 3). These regions include $N F_KB$, CREB, and FOXO3a binding locations, and are important for the regulation of SOD2 in distinct times of cellular differentiation. For example, the FOXO3a binding site plays a critical role in the regulation of SOD2 during cellular quiescence, while the N F κ B site plays a cytokine-responsive role during active growth (27). We have identified numerous epigenetic marks present at these upstream elements that regulate their effectiveness, including DNA methylation and H3 and H4 pan-acetylation (18).

Epigenetic Mechanisms and SOD2 Biology

Although a great deal is known about the structure and regulation of the SOD2 gene, a specific mechanism that explains its repression in many cancer types remains elusive. It has been reported that the regulatory regions of SOD2 are rarely mutated or deleted in human cancer (42), which strongly suggests that epigenetic mechanisms are playing a role in stemming SOD2 transcription. In addition, the biphasic nature of SOD2 expression during cancer progression, that is, decreased early in carcinogenesis and increased later in cells at invasive lesions, indicates a plasticity that hints of epigenetic regulation. The inherent complexity of epigenetic regulation makes it difficult to pin down specifically what may be taking place at the SOD2 locus and in what order it occurs, but we do know that lasting epigenetic derangements contribute to SOD2 down-regulation in cancer cells. What has become clearer is the nature of the epigenetic marks themselves, and how post-translational modifications to histones and covalent modifications of DNA are significantly more plastic than previously thought. Until recently, histone acetylation was the only well-characterized reversible histone mark, with known acetyltransferases and histone demethylase families. In contrast, histone methylation was once presumed to be a static mark that required the replacement of histones en bloc. Recent studies have identified entire new families of histone lysine demethylases that can selectively remove methyl groups from histone lysines in a stepwise fashion (33). Similarly, the ten-eleven-translocation (TET) family of nuclear proteins appears to be capable of actively demethylating DNA through stepwise oxidation of 5-methylcytosine, which has significant ramifications for our understanding of DNA methylation (24, 44). What makes these and other epigenetic mechanisms particularly interesting with regard to SOD2 biology is their inherent sensitivity to redox biology and

metabolism—systems that rely heavily on SOD2 activity for appropriate cellular function.

Figure 6a broadly summarizes the mechanisms at play in governing histone modifications that demonstrably play a role in SOD2 transcriptional regulation. Multiple histone acetyltransferase families catalyze the transfer of the acetyl group from acetyl-CoA to a histone lysine, releasing coenzyme A as a byproduct. Acetylated histone lysines can, in turn, be deacetylated by four classes of HDACs: classes I, II,

and IV share a similar mechanism that requires a catalytic metal (Fe^{II} or Zn^{II}), while class III HDACs (sirtuins) consume NAD + as a cofactor and produce nicotinamide and the enigmatic metabolite O-acetyl-ADP-ribose in removing acetyl groups. Similarly, multiple families of histone methyltransferases selectively recognize and methylate histone lysine residues, and two known mechanisms for histone lysine demethylation. Histone methyltransferases require S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) as a methyl donor, and produce the metabolite S-adenosyl homocysteine (SAH) as a byproduct. The histone demethylation reactions are catalyzed by the lysine-specific demethylase-type demethylases, which require flavin adenine dinucleotide as a cofactor, and the jumonji-C domain-containing demethylases, which belong to the broader 2-oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase superfamily. These proteins utilize 2-oxoglutarate and O_2 in the presence of Fe^{II}, leading to hydroxylation of the lysine methyl group and subsequent oxidative demethylation. Critically, these proteins produce succinate and $CO₂$ as reaction byproducts, and the buildup of succinate leads to a competitive inhibition of demethylase function both *in vivo* in yeast and *in vitro* with recombinant protein (41).

Figure 6b highlights the basic mechanisms governing the placement and modification of methyl groups on CpG dinucleotides in DNA. As with histone methyltransferases, DNA methyltransferases require SAM as a cofactor and produce SAH as a byproduct. The TET family proteins that can oxidatively demethylate DNA in vitro are mechanistically similar to the jmjC histone demethylases: They belong to the 2-oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase superfamily as well, and catalyze the hydroxylation of 5-methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine. While the significance of this modified base pair has yet to be explicitly determined, evidence suggests that it functions similar to hydroxymethyllysine intermediates in histone demethylation, in that it leads to the oxidative removal of methyl groups from the parent molecule (24, 44), validating a prediction in our earlier review (16).

Notably, in each of these reaction mechanisms, there are either critical metabolic intermediates that can be altered by SOD2 activity or mechanistic elements which can be directly

FIG. 5. A glimpse at the epigenetic landscape of the SOD2 gene in a panel of human normal and cancer cell lines. The figure shows a schematic representations of the gene with scaled ChIP-Seq tracks from the ENCODE Consortium (39), indicating the association of specific proteins with regions of the gene in different human cell types. Of particular interest is a consistently strong peak for CTCF in all cell lines examined (top panel). To our knowledge, this CTCF association has never been reported earlier. CTCF is a well-known yet enigmatic DNA binding protein containing 11-Zn-fingers that acts as an insulator protein, and it can regulate interactions between neighboring gene promoters and enhancers, suggesting the tantalizing possibility that CTCF might govern interactions between the intron 2 enhancer and other genetic cis-regulatory elements to participate in the transcriptional control of SOD2. The three tracks given next show the association of histones with three different histone H3 modifications (methylation of H3K4 [di- and tri-] and acetylation of H3K9) that are typically associated with the promoter regions of actively transcribed genes.

FIG. 6. The interface of metabolism and epigenetic control mechanisms. Most enzymes that remodel chromatin and, therefore, participate in transitions between different epigenetic states require co-factors for their proper enzymatic activity; these co-factors turn out to be simple, but vitally important biochemicals which arise at critical nodes of cellular redox biology and metabolism. Thus, many of the known modifications made to histones (A) as well as cytosine methylation at CpG sites in genomic DNA (B) are affected by fluctuations or perturbations in intermediary metabolism.

damaged by O_2 ^{\bullet -}. This holds true for both the enzymes that establish and remove epigenetic marks, and is broadly summarized in Figure 7. Next, we will briefly discuss how alterations in SOD2 activity can alter histone methylation, histone acetylation, and DNA methylation.

SOD2 and metabolic intermediates

SOD2 resides in the mitochondrial matrix, where it removes the O_2 ^{\bullet -} produced as an unfortunate consequence of

oxidative respiration. Though O_2 ^{•–} in and of itself is not the most harmful species (see Fig. 1), it is capable of interfering with proteins that depend on Fe^{II} for either structure or catalysis, particularly proteins containing Fe-S centers and some which contain heme prosthetic groups. HDAC classes I, II, and IV rely on Zn^{II} canonically, though evidence suggests that Fe^{II} could be considered a more powerful agent for catalysis (11). This suggests that perturbations in Fe biology could have direct effects on HDAC functionality, leading to aberrant histone acetylation. Moreover, the Krebs cycle

FIG. 7. A molecular wiring diagram showing nodes and links between central metabolism and the various effectors of epigenetic control of gene expression. Despite their origins or etiology, cancer cells commonly exhibit derangements of not only metabolism, typically categorized as ''the Warburg effect,'' but also loss of regulated epigenetic control of gene expression. Superoxide flux and, thus, levels of SOD2 activity are capable of greatly influencing these processes, thus leading to a feed-forward circuit whose momentum is maintained by the chronic over-abundance of mitochondrial superoxide. Effects felt through the metabolites succinate, 2-oxoglutarate, NAD + , GSH, s-adenosyl methionine (SAM), and Fe^{II} participate in metabolic epigenetic disruption in disease.

contains several Fe-S proteins, notably aconitase and succinate dehydrogenase (SDH), the latter of which also serves as complex II of the oxidative phosphorylation cascade. Indeed, reduction in these enzymatic activities may be considered a downstream marker of loss of SOD2 activity, because both aconitase and SDH are exquisitely sensitive to perturbation by $O_2^{\bullet -}$ (32). Ultimately, the loss of these activities contributes to an abnormal flux of metabolic intermediates through the Krebs cycle. Loss of aconitase does not likely contribute significantly to perturbing Krebs cycle intermediate pools, as multiple anaplerotic pathways lead to the production of 2-oxoglutarate downstream of aconitase. However, the loss of SDH activity results in significant alterations in mitochondrial biochemistry. This is best characterized in SDHdeficient models, in which succinate measurably builds up in the setting of aberrant SDH subunit B expression in yeast (41). Over the years, many groups have explored the contribution of SDH activity to carcinogenesis, focusing primarily on the role of SDH in regulating the activity of the hypoxia inducible factor prolyl hydroxylases domain (PHD), which regulates the post-translational modification of HIF under normoxic conditions to promote its degradation (26).

PHD enzymes belong to the same 2-oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase family as the TET and jmjC demethylase proteins, and are canonical ''metabolic sensors'' that govern HIF biology. Multiple groups have shown that PHD enzymes are perturbed by increased succinate and oxidative stress secondary to SDH activity loss, leading to a pseudo-hypoxic state in which HIF is stabilized in the absence of true hypoxia (1, 14, 40). In 2009, Cervera et al. demonstrated that these findings were extendable to the jmjC histone demethylases, showing that the abrogation of SDH activity through chemical or genetic means was sufficient to alter histone methylation patterns (3). To our knowledge, while this sort of product-level inhibition has not been demonstrated for TET proteins, we speculate that 5-hydroxymethlycytosine metabolism would be similarly perturbed by succinate buildup secondary to SDH loss, given the similarity in enzymatic function. All said, this leads to a plausible situation in which the loss of SOD2 activity would inhibit SDH activity, leading to a buildup of succinate and the subsequent inhibition of 2-oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenases (Fig. 7, right side). This, in turn, would promote nuclear epigenetic aberrancies of both DNA and histone methylation, which could further limit SOD2 gene transcription.

The loss of SOD2 activity can also contribute to a number of additional cellular processes that can alter epigenetic regulation. Increased levels of O_2 ^{\bullet -} in the mitochondrial matrix would contribute to enhanced cellular oxidative stress by promoting the formation of downstream ROS. This, in turn, would likely alter the redox buffering capacity of the cell, affecting NAD + /NADH ratios as well as GSH/GSSG ratios. Alteration in the $NAD + /NADH$ ratio directly alters sirtuin biology, leading to aberrant nuclear HDAC function (as well as numerous other cytosolic and mitochondrial deacetylase functions performed by sirtuins) (49). Similarly, a reduction in the GSH/GSSG ratio would be expected to indirectly affect the function of both histone and DNA methyltransferases by altering the pool of available cellular thiols. GSH biosynthesis requires cysteine, which is a downstream product of homocysteine metabolism. During times of oxidative stress, when GSSG cannot be efficiently recycled to GSH, cells export GSSG and synthesize new GSH, drawing vital thiols away from the SAM-SAH axis and decreasing the levels of SAM available for methyltransferase use (Fig. 7, left side).

When examining the potential downstream effects of SOD2 activity loss, it is apparent that multiple epigenetic sequelae are possible. In fact, unpublished data from recent work in our group demonstrates that the knockout of SOD2 in certain cell compartments produces significant global changes in histone biology. However, due to space constraints, we have not thoroughly discussed specific mechanisms for many of the epigenetic aberrations that could follow loss of SOD2 activity. For a significantly more detailed and comprehensive review of redox epigenetics, please refer our 2011 review on the topic (5).

SOD2: Spinning the Epigenetic Flywheel in Cancer

When Oberley and Buettner first postulated the free radical theory of cancer, they viewed SOD2 as a novel kind of tumor suppressor gene, one that did not necessarily perform canonical roles in signaling cascades or DNA damage repair pathways, but instead one which functioned more as the oil in

an engine, keeping cellular metabolic machinery running smoothly and without damage. A loss of SOD2 activity, therefore, would cause damage to the metabolic core of the cell, leading to the metabolic and genetic derangements observed in cancer. The advent of Cre-LoxP recombination technology in murine models has enabled multiple groups, including our own, to test whether or not the absence of SOD2 expression is sufficient to suppress the development of tumors. To date, SOD2 has been knocked out in muscle (29), breast, nerve (31), kidney (36), liver (unpublished observations) (23, 45), T-cells (2), and bone marrow (manuscript in preparation). Although organ-specific disruptions in biology of varying severity and increases in cellular O_2 ^{$-$} were noted in each of these models, cancer was not among the noted pathologic outcomes in any of these models. Though this suggests that SOD2 does not function as a canonical tumor suppressor, these findings do not rule out the possibility that the loss of SOD2 activity contributes to carcinogenesis, at least as a tumor promoter, especially since the knockout strains described above were on the C57B/6 genetic background which is notoriously insensitive to carcinogenesis and discreet initiation regimens were not employed in these studies. Indeed, some groups have already demonstrated that total-animal SOD2 heterozygote models behave differently after initiating stimuli such as $7,12$ -dimethylbenz[α]anthracene and 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate, producing tumor cells with differences in both apoptotic fraction and growth rates (50).

In this review, we have highlighted the epigenetic processes that are associated with SOD2 transcriptional biology, and discussed some of the ways in which SOD2 activity itself can interfere with the same epigenetic processes. As research into the redox basis of epigenetic modifications matures, we anticipate that more and more linkages between SOD2 function and epigenetic regulation will become apparent. This sets up a vicious cycle of deregulation—an epigenetic flywheel that can continually turn from the moment SOD2 activity is altered in cancer cells. The initial loss of SOD2 activity promotes aberrant epigenetic events, which, in turn, promotes the alteration of SOD2 transcription and further modification of SOD2 activity. While we have focused primarily on the epigenetic regulation of SOD2, it is critical to note that these epigenetic changes occur throughout the genome. Broad modification of epigenetic events through loss of SOD2 activity has the potential to contribute significantly to the epigenetic plasticity that has increasingly been associated with human cancer. In the context of the epigenetic progenitor model of cancer, we can insert the SOD2-epigenetic regulatory axis framework as a driving mechanistic force that promotes the increasing epigenetic instability during carcinogenesis (Fig. 8). This combination of the free radical theory of cancer with the epigenetic progenitor model opens many tantalizing new avenues of research with regard to the role of SOD2 in carcinogenesis. Moreover, the advent of next-generation sequencing and systems biology-based approaches enables researchers to comprehensively examine the regulatory networks at play after the modification of SOD2 activity. This is especially important given the myriad downstream effects possible from the loss of this critical mitochondrial antioxidant.

To conclude, cancer metabolism, initially considered one of the primary driving forces for malignancy, largely took a backseat to genetic and other discrete models for carcinogenesis as our collective knowledge of molecular biology expanded. In recent years, interest in metabolic function in cancer cells has grown significantly, as molecular mechanisms for the metabolic phenomena described by Warburg have been established. SOD2 is a tantalizing target for future research, because as outlined earlier, it may functionally bridge the gap between metabolic and epigenetic events in tumor cells, driving tumorigenesis in a novel way. Further research using systems-based approaches to map the effects of SOD2 deregulation in tumor cells will shed more light on this exciting field and hopefully lead to wellreasoned interventions that can stem cancer development from the outset.

FIG. 8. The drive train of cancer progression, featuring roles for alterations in SOD2 expression during tumor promotion and progression. As described in the text, the loss of SOD2 might act as a ''flywheel'' for maintaining the momentum that drives epigenetic drift and eventual disruption, enabling the rapid genesis of diverse new phenotypes consisting of variants with novel properties, including altered adhesiveness, invasiveness, motility, metastatic behavior, chemo- or radioresistance, and so on. It is in this manner that we believe SOD2 acts to sustain and promote the carcinogenic process.

Innovation

The realization that genes and processes involved in central metabolism contribute to carcinogenesis and cancer progression has led to a resurgence of interest in the role for metabolism in cancer. Although the Warburg phenomenon has long been known, its significance to the process of tumor biology has remained somewhat of an enigma until recently. New knowledge has shed light on the role of intermediary metabolism not only as a consequence of genetic alterations associated with cancer, but also as drivers of the malignant phenotype. Indeed, we now understand that perturbations to central metabolism contribute directly to the maintenance of epigenetic fidelity.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Larry Oberley for his visionary insight and inspiration in the field of free radical cancer biology and the role of superoxide in cancer. Studies leading to the concepts underlying this work were supported in part by NIH R01 CA073612 and R01 CA115438. ARC received salary support form NIH F30 AA019856.

References

- 1. Bubber P, Haroutunian V, Fisch G, Blass JP, and Gibson GE. Mitochondrial abnormalities in Alzheimer brain: mechanistic implications. Ann Neurol 57: 695–703, 2005.
- 2. Case AJ, McGill JL, Tygrett LT, Shirasawa T, Spitz DR, Waldschmidt TJ, Legge KL, and Domann FE. Elevated mitochondrial superoxide disrupts normal T cell development, impairing adaptive immune responses to an influenza challenge. Free Radic Biol Med 50: 448–458, 2011.
- 3. Cervera AM, Bayley JP, Devilee P, and McCreath KJ. Inhibition of succinate dehydrogenase dysregulates histone modification in mammalian cells. Mol Cancer 8: 89, 2009.
- 4. Cody DT, 2nd, Huang Y, Darby CJ, Johnson GK, and Domann FE. Differential DNA methylation of the p16 INK4A/ CDKN2A promoter in human oral cancer cells and normal human oral keratinocytes. Oral Oncol 35: 516–522, 1999.
- 5. Cyr AR and Domann FE. The redox basis of epigenetic modifications: from mechanisms to functional consequences. Antioxid Redox Signal 15: 551–589, 2011.
- 6. Dhar SK, Tangpong J, Chaiswing L, Oberley TD, and St Clair DK. Manganese superoxide dismutase is a p53-regulated gene that switches cancers between early and advanced stages. Cancer Res 71: 6684–6695, 2011.
- 7. Domann FE, Rice JC, Hendrix MJ, and Futscher BW. Epigenetic silencing of maspin gene expression in human breast cancers. Int J Cancer 85: 805–810, 2000.
- 8. Feinberg AP, Ohlsson R, and Henikoff S. The epigenetic progenitor origin of human cancer. Nat Rev Genet 7: 21–33, 2006.
- 9. Fukai T and Ushio-Fukai M. Superoxide dismutases: role in redox signaling, vascular function, and diseases. Antioxid Redox Signal 15: 1583–1606, 2011.
- 10. Futscher BW, O'Meara MM, Kim CJ, Rennels MA, Lu D, Gruman LM, Seftor RE, Hendrix MJ, and Domann FE. Aberrant methylation of the maspin promoter is an early event in human breast cancer. Neoplasia 6: 380–389, 2004.
- 11. Gantt SL, Gattis SG, and Fierke CA. Catalytic activity and inhibition of human histone deacetylase 8 is dependent on the identity of the active site metal ion. Biochemistry 45: 6170– 6178, 2006.
- 12. Govindarajan B, Klafter R, Miller MS, Mansur C, Mizesko M, Bai X, LaMontagne K, Jr, and Arbiser JL. Reactive oxygeninduced carcinogenesis causes hypermethylation of p16 (Ink4a) and activation of MAP kinase. Mol Med 8: 1–8, 2002.
- 13. Guo Z, Boekhoudt GH, and Boss JM. Role of the intronic enhancer in tumor necrosis factor-mediated induction of manganous superoxide dismutase. J Biol Chem 278: 23570– 23578, 2003.
- 14. Guzy RD, Sharma B, Bell E, Chandel NS, and Schumacker PT. Loss of the SdhB, but Not the SdhA, subunit of complex II triggers reactive oxygen species-dependent hypoxiainducible factor activation and tumorigenesis. Mol Cell Biol 28: 718–731, 2008.
- 15. Hitchler MJ and Domann FE. An epigenetic perspective on the free radical theory of development. Free Radic Biol Med 43: 1023–1036, 2007.
- 16. Hitchler MJ and Domann FE. Metabolic defects provide a spark for the epigenetic switch in cancer. Free Radic Biol Med 47: 115–127, 2009.
- 17. Hitchler MJ, Oberley LW, and Domann FE. Epigenetic silencing of SOD2 by histone modifications in human breast cancer cells. Free Radic Biol Med 45: 1573–1580, 2008.
- 18. Hitchler MJ, Wikainapakul K, Yu L, Powers K, Attatippaholkun W, and Domann FE. Epigenetic regulation of manganese superoxide dismutase expression in human breast cancer cells. Epigenetics 1: 163–171, 2006.
- 19. Hodge DR, Xiao W, Peng B, Cherry JC, Munroe DJ, and Farrar WL. Enforced expression of superoxide dismutase 2/ manganese superoxide dismutase disrupts autocrine interleukin-6 stimulation in human multiple myeloma cells and enhances dexamethasone-induced apoptosis. Cancer Res 65: 6255–6263, 2005.
- 20. Huang Y, He T, and Domann FE. Decreased expression of manganese superoxide dismutase in transformed cells is associated with increased cytosine methylation of the SOD2 gene. DNA Cell Biol 18: 643–652, 1999.
- 21. Huang Y, Peng J, Oberley LW, and Domann FE. Transcriptional inhibition of manganese superoxide dismutase (SOD2) gene expression by DNA methylation of the 5' CpG island. Free Radic Biol Med 23: 314–320, 1997.
- 22. Hurt EM, Thomas SB, Peng B, and Farrar WL. Molecular consequences of SOD2 expression in epigenetically silenced pancreatic carcinoma cell lines. Br J Cancer 97: 1116–1123, 2007.
- 23. Ikegami T, Suzuki Y, Shimizu T, Isono K, Koseki H, and Shirasawa T. Model mice for tissue-specific deletion of the manganese superoxide dismutase (SOD2) gene. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 296: 729–736, 2002.
- 24. Ito S, Shen L, Dai Q, Wu SC, Collins LB, Swenberg JA, He C, and Zhang Y. Tet proteins can convert 5-methylcytosine to 5-formylcytosine and 5-carboxylcytosine. Science 333: 1300– 1303, 2011.
- 25. Jones PL, Ping D, and Boss JM. Tumor necrosis factor alpha and interleukin-1beta regulate the murine manganese superoxide dismutase gene through a complex intronic enhancer involving C/EBP-beta and NF-kappaB. Mol Cell Biol 17: 6970–6981, 1997.
- 26. King A, Selak MA, and Gottlieb E. Succinate dehydrogenase and fumarate hydratase: linking mitochondrial dysfunction and cancer. Oncogene 25: 4675–4682, 2006.
- 27. Kops GJ, Dansen TB, Polderman PE, Saarloos I, Wirtz KW, Coffer PJ, Huang TT, Bos JL, Medema RH, and Burgering BM. Forkhead transcription factor FOXO3a protects quiescent cells from oxidative stress. Nature 419: 316–321, 2002.
- 28. Lemarie A and Grimm S. Mitochondrial respiratory chain complexes: apoptosis sensors mutated in cancer? Oncogene 30: 3985–4003, 2011.
- 29. Lustgarten M, Jang Y, Liu Y, Muller F, Qi W, Steinhelper M, Brooks S, Larkin LM, Shimizu T, Shirasawa T, McManus L, Bhattacharya A, Richardson A, and Van Remmen H. Conditional knockout of SOD2 targeted to type IIB skeletal muscle fibers increases oxidative stress and is sufficient to alter aerobic exercise capacity. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 297: C1520-32, 2009.
- 30. Maehara K, Uekawa N, and Isobe K. Effects of histone acetylation on transcriptional regulation of manganese superoxide dismutase gene. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 295: 187–192, 2002.
- 31. Misawa H, Nakata K, Matsuura J, Moriwaki Y, Kawashima K, Shimizu T, Shirasawa T, and Takahashi R. Conditional knockout of Mn superoxide dismutase in postnatal motor neurons reveals resistance to mitochondrial generated superoxide radicals. Neurobiol Dis 23: 169–177, 2006.
- 32. Missirlis F, Hu J, Kirby K, Hilliker AJ, Rouault TA, and Phillips JP. Compartment-specific protection of iron-sulfur proteins by superoxide dismutase. J Biol Chem 278: 47365– 47369, 2003.
- 33. Mosammaparast N and Shi Y. Reversal of histone methylation: biochemical and molecular mechanisms of histone demethylases. Annu Rev Biochem 79: 155–179, 2010.
- 34. Murrell A. Setting up and maintaining differential insulators and boundaries for genomic imprinting. Biochem Cell Biol 89: 469–478, 2011.
- 35. Oberley LW, Oberley TD, and Buettner GR. Cell differentiation, aging and cancer: the possible roles of superoxide and superoxide dismutases. Med Hypotheses 6: 249–268, 1980.
- 36. Parajuli N, Marine A, Simmons S, Saba H, Mitchell T, Shimizu T, Shirasawa T, and Macmillan-Crow LA. Generation and characterization of a novel kidney-specific manganese superoxide dismutase knockout mouse. Free Radic Biol Med 51: 406–416, 2011.
- 37. Ralph SJ, Rodriguez-Enriquez S, Neuzil J, Saavedra E, and Moreno-Sanchez R. The causes of cancer revisited: ''mitochondrial malignancy'' and ROS-induced oncogenic transformation - why mitochondria are targets for cancer therapy. Mol Aspects Med 31: 145–170, 2010.
- 38. Ranjan P and Boss JM. C/EBPbeta regulates TNF induced SOD2 expression and protection against apoptosis. Apoptosis 11: 1837–1849 2006.
- 39. Rosenbloom KR, Dreszer TR, Pheasant M, Barber GP, Meyer LR, Pohl A, Raney BJ, Wang T, Hinrichs AS, Zweig AS, Fujita PA, Learned K, Rhead B, Smith KE, Kuhn RM, Karolchik D, Haussler D, and Kent WJ. ENCODE wholegenome data in the UCSC Genome Browser. Nucleic Acids Res 38(Database issue):D620-5, 2010.
- 40. Selak MA, Armour SM, MacKenzie ED, Boulahbel H, Watson DG, Mansfield KD, Pan Y, Simon MC, Thompson CB, and Gottlieb E. Succinate links TCA cycle dysfunction to oncogenesis by inhibiting HIF-alpha prolyl hydroxylase. Cancer Cell 7: 77–85, 2005.
- 41. Smith EH, Janknecht R, and Maher LJ, 3rd. Succinate inhibition of alpha-ketoglutarate-dependent enzymes in a yeast model of paraganglioma. Hum Mol Genet 16: 3136–3148, 2007.
- 42. St Clair DK and Holland JC. Complementary DNA encoding human colon cancer manganese superoxide dismutase and

the expression of its gene in human cells. Cancer Res 51: 939– 943, 1991.

- 43. St Clair DK, Porntadavity S, Xu Y, and Kiningham K. Transcription regulation of human manganese superoxide dismutase gene. Methods Enzymol 349: 306–312, 2002.
- 44. Tahiliani M, Koh KP, Shen Y, Pastor WA, Bandukwala H, Brudno Y, Agarwal S, Iyer LM, Liu DR, Aravind L, and Rao A. Conversion of 5-Methylcytosine to 5-Hydroxymethylcytosine in Mammalian DNA by the MLL Fusion Partner TET1. Science 324: 930–935, 2009.
- 45. Uchiyama S, Shimizu T, and Shirasawa T. CuZn-SOD deficiency causes ApoB degradation and induces hepatic lipid accumulation by impaired lipoprotein secretion in mice. J Biol Chem 281: 31713–31719, 2006.
- 46. Warburg O, Posener K, and Negelein E. On the metabolism of carcinoma cells. Biochemische Zeitschrift 152: 309–344, 1924.
- 47. Wong GH and Goeddel DV. Induction of manganous superoxide dismutase by tumor necrosis factor: possible protective mechanism. Science 242: 941–944, 1988.
- 48. Yang C, Bolotin E, Jiang T, Sladek FM, and Martinez E. Prevalence of the initiator over the TATA box in human and yeast genes and identification of DNA motifs enriched in human TATA-less core promoters. Gene 389: 52–65, 2007.
- 49. Zhang T, Berrocal JG, Frizzell KM, Gamble MJ, DuMond ME, Krishnakumar R, Yang T, Sauve AA, and Kraus WL. Enzymes in the $NAD +$ salvage pathway regulate SIRT1 activity at target gene promoters. J Biol Chem 284: 20408– 20417, 2009.
- 50. Zhao Y, Oberley TD, Chaiswing L, Lin SM, Epstein CJ, Huang TT, and St Clair D. Manganese superoxide dismutase deficiency enhances cell turnover via tumor promoter-induced alterations in AP-1 and p53-mediated pathways in a skin cancer model. Oncogene 21: 3836–3846, 2002.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Frederick E. Domann Free Radical and Radiation Biology Program Department of Radiation Oncology The University of Iowa B180 Medical Laboratories Iowa City, IA 52242-1181

E-mail: frederick-domann@uiowa.edu

Date of first submission to ARS Central, July 24, 2012; date of acceptance, September 4, 2012.

