# Transactivation of Gene Expression by Nuclear and Cytoplasmic *rel* Proteins

MARK HANNINK AND HOWARD M. TEMIN\*

McArdle Laboratory for Cancer Research, 450 North Randall Avenue, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706

Received 4 April 1989/Accepted 2 July 1989

Transcriptional activation of gene expression by oncogenic proteins can lead to cellular transformation. It has recently been demonstrated that the protein encoded by the v-rel oncogene from reticuloendotheliosis virus strain T can transactivate gene expression from certain promoters in a cell-specific manner. We have examined the cytological location, transforming properties, and transactivation properties of proteins encoded by chimeric turkey v-rel/chicken c-rel genes. We found that whereas the v-rel protein was nuclear in both chicken embryo and rat fibroblasts, the presence of the C terminus of the c-rel protein inhibited nuclear localization of the rel protein in these fibroblasts. Cytoplasmic rel proteins containing C-terminal c-rel sequences transactivated gene expression from the polyomavirus late promoter as efficiently as did similar rel proteins located in the nucleus. These results indicate that the cellular location of *rel* proteins is not important for transactivation of gene expression and suggest that transactivation by rel proteins is indirect, perhaps by affecting an intracellular signal transduction pathway that eventually results in the alteration of gene expression. The transforming properties of the rel protein were unaltered by the presence of the c-rel C terminus, but, as previously reported for turkey c-rel sequences, substitution of chicken c-rel sequences for internal v-rel sequences reduced the transforming activity of the *rel* protein and eliminated the immortalization ability. However, all of the chimeric v/c-rel proteins were able to transactivate gene expression, indicating that transactivation does not correlate with transformation. These results suggest that transactivation may be necessary but is not sufficient for transformation by rel proteins.

Recent evidence demonstrates that alteration of gene expression by oncogenic proteins can lead to cellular transformation. There are several mechanisms by which oncogenic proteins can alter gene expression. Certain oncogenes are thought to encode proteins that function as direct transactivators of transcription (23). A prototype of this group of oncogenic proteins is the v-jun oncogene. The v- and c-jun proteins are located in the nucleus, bind to DNA in a sequence-specific manner, and interact with other cellular proteins involved in transcription (3, 4). Both the oncogenic and the cellular proto-oncogenic jun proteins are able to activate transcription (3, 4), and cellular transformation by these oncogenic proteins probably results from subtle differences between the activation of genes by the oncogenic proteins and by the proto-oncogenic proteins. Alterations in gene expression have also been shown to result from expression of oncogenic proteins that are not directly involved in transcription. Autocrine expression of the v-sis oncogene results in the activation of transcription of many genes, including c-fos and c-myc (1, 15, 16, 25, 27). The v-mos oncogene has been shown to alter expression from the alpha-2 collagen promoter (32), and both the oncogenic and proto-oncogenic c-ras proteins have been shown to activate expression from the polyomavirus late promoter (45). These cytoplasmic (v-mos) and plasma membrane (ras, v-sis) proteins are presumably able to activate gene expression via intracellular signaling pathways that ultimately modulate the activity of cellular transcription factors.

The v-*rel* protein, encoded by reticuloendotheliosis virus strain T (Rev-T), has recently been shown to transactivate gene expression in a cell- and promoter-specific manner (10). Rev-T is an acutely transforming avian retrovirus isolated

from a turkey lymphoma (42). Transformation by Rev-T is specific for avian lymphoid cells (8, 11, 21). Infection of chicken embryo fibroblasts (CEF) with Rev-T results in a high level of expression of the v-rel protein, with no appearance of a transformed phenotype (11). Rev-T does not immortalize primary rat fibroblasts, but it does cooperate with the polyomavirus middle-T protein in the transformation of established rat fibroblasts by increasing the levels of middle-T mRNA and protein from the polyomavirus late promoter (10). By use of a transient transfection assay, it was shown that expression of the v-rel protein in rodent fibroblasts results in activation of certain viral promoters, including polyomavirus early and late promoters, simian virus 40 (SV40) early promoter, and the long terminal repeats (LTRs) of both avian and murine retroviruses. The ability of the v-rel protein to transactivate expression from these promoters correlates with a cytotoxic effect of high levels of v-rel expression in rodent fibroblasts (10, 33).

The v-rel protein is a 59-kilodalton (kDa) phosphoprotein that is located in the cytoplasm of transformed spleen cells and in the nucleus of nontransformed CEF (9, 11, 19, 36, 44). The v-rel protein contains a sequence that is responsible for its nuclear localization in CEF, but amino acid substitutions within this sequence have no effect on the transforming property of the v-rel protein (12). Furthermore, the addition of a nuclear targeting sequence (NTS) from the SV40 large-T protein to the v-rel protein results in a protein that is located in the nucleus of transformed spleen cells (12). Thus, the cytological location of the v-rel protein is irrelevant to cell-specific transformation by Rev-T.

The v-rel protein is actually an *env-rel-env* fusion protein, containing 11 *env*-derived amino acids at its N terminus, 474 c-rel-derived amino acids, and 18 amino acids at its C terminus encoded by out-of-frame *env*-derived amino acids

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

(37, 48). The v-rel protein has no known enzymatic activity, although it is associated with a cellular serine/threonine protein kinase in both CEF and transformed spleen cells (11, 30, 44). The v-rel protein is not homologous to any other oncogenic protein; however, the N-terminal 295 amino acids of the v-rel protein are approximately 47% identical with the N terminus of the dorsal protein of Drosophila melanogaster (38).

The portions of the turkey c-rel proto-oncogene that are homologous to the v-rel oncogene have been molecularly cloned and sequenced (48, 49). The c-rel proto-oncogene is encoded by at least nine exons distributed over more than 20 kilobases of chromosomal DNA (49). There are multiple nucleotide differences between the v-rel and c-rel genes, resulting in 14 single-amino-acid differences and three small deletions of one or three amino acids in the v-rel protein in comparison with the product of the c-rel gene (48). By using recombinant viruses that substituted turkey-derived c-rel exons for the corresponding regions of v-rel, it was shown that some of the internal amino acid differences between the v- and c-rel proteins are important for transformation and immortalization (40). The N and C termini of the c-rel protein are not known, although the c-rel protein has recently been identified as a 68-kDa protein located in the cytoplasm of avian lymphoid cells (34).

We have isolated a c-rel cDNA clone that encodes the C terminus of the chicken c-rel protein. To examine the role of C-terminal c-rel sequences in transformation and transactivation, we have constructed chimeric v/c-rel genes between the turkey-derived v-rel gene and the chicken-derived cDNA. Replacement of the C terminus of the v-rel gene with the C-terminal coding region of the chicken c-rel gene does not affect the transforming properties of the v-rel gene. However, the resultant v/c-rel protein is located in the cytoplasm of CEF and rat fibroblasts. Both nuclear and cytoplasmic chimeric v/c-rel proteins were able to activate gene expression from the polyomavirus late promoter in Rat-1 cells in a dose-responsive manner. Thus, transactivation, like transformation, is independent of cytological location. Replacement of an internal portion of the v-rel gene with the chicken c-rel cDNA results in a decrease in transformation efficiency and a loss of immortalization potential, although the resultant chimeric protein is still able to transactivate gene expression. These results indicate that transactivation by *rel* proteins is indirect, perhaps by affecting intracellular signaling pathways that regulate gene expression. Furthermore, transactivation does not correlate well with transformation, suggesting that transactivation may be necessary but is not sufficient for transformation by rel proteins.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Recombinant DNA methodology.** Standard techniques were used for screening a chicken spleen cDNA library with a v-rel probe and for constructing the various recombinant plasmids used (26). The chicken spleen cDNA library was a generous gift of J. H. Chen, of St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, Tenn. Nucleotide sequence analysis of the chicken c-rel cDNA was performed on subclones (18) of the c-rel cDNA, using the dideoxy protocol of Sanger et al. (31). Nucleotide sequence analysis of exons 0, 1, and 2 of genomic chicken c-rel sequences was performed on plasmid subclones of chicken genomic DNA containing these exons (6). The nucleotide sequence of the 3' end of the turkey c-rel gene was determined from plasmid subclones of this region (49). The replication-defective spleen necrosis virus (SNV)derived virus vector pJD214 was used for the expression of the v-rel gene and the recombinant v/c-rel genes in CEF, chicken spleen, and Rat-1 cells (7). Plasmids that allowed for expression of the respective rel genes from the major immediate early promoter of cytomegalovirus (CMV) (39) or the early promoter of SV40 were also constructed. These plasmids contain the respective promoter elements, the respective rel coding sequences, and the SV40 late polyadenylation sequence on a pUC19 plasmid. Details of the plasmids will be provided upon request. The reporter plasmid, pPyLCAT, contains the polyomavirus late promoter, the coding sequences for the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) gene, and the SV40 late polyadenylation sequence. This plasmid was a gift of J. Hassell, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada.

The vvc-rel gene contains C-terminal c-rel sequences coding for 171 amino acids substituted for C-terminal v-rel sequences coding for 53 rel-derived and 18 out-of-frame env-derived amino acids. The vcc-rel gene contains c-rel sequences coding for 358 C-terminal amino acids substituted for the corresponding C-terminal v-rel sequences. The vcvrel gene contains internal c-rel sequences coding for 187 amino acids substituted for the corresponding v-rel coding sequences. The vvc18-rel is derived from pTG18 (12) and contains the coding sequence for the NTS of the large-T antigen of SV40 inserted at a unique HincII site (nucleotide 4211 of reference 48) of the vvc-rel gene. The vvc15-rel gene is derived from pTG15 (12) and contains the coding sequence for the NTS of large-T antigen of SV40 at the N terminus of the vvc-rel gene. The asx-rel gene contains an in-frame deletion of 509 nucleotides located between an ApaI site (nucleotide 3524) and a StuI site (nucleotide 4040) in the vvc-rel gene.

Generation of virus stocks and transformation assays. Primary CEF were grown in Temin modified Eagle medium containing 20% tryptose-phosphate broth, 4% calf serum, 2% fetal bovine serum, and antibiotics. The cells were plated at  $6 \times 10^5$  cells per 60-mm-diameter dish the day before transfection. The cells were cotransfected with 5  $\mu$ g of plasmid constructs and 0.1 µg of plasmid DNA containing a replication-competent clone of reticuloendotheliosis virus strain A (46), using the dimethyl sulfoxide-Polybrene method (22). Virus was collected at 5 days posttransfection and was used immediately for infection of  $3 \times 10^7$  freshly prepared primary chicken spleen cells (21). The infection was carried out in the presence of Polybrene (2  $\mu$ g/ml) for 1 h at 37°C. The spleen cells were then plated in RPM 1640 containing 0.35% Bacto-Agar (Difco Laboratories), 15% fetal bovine serum, 1% chick serum, 1% beef embryo extract, and 0.028% sodium bicarbonate. Assays were carried out at 41°C and were scored after 14 days. Virus titers were determined by measuring the level of unintegrated viral DNA (20) after infection of CEF with the virus stock that was used in the transformation assay. The transforming titers of the virus stocks were normalized to the virus titers as determined by the level of unintegrated viral DNA in infected CEF and are expressed relative to the transforming titer of the wild-type v-rel virus. The titer of the wild-type v-rel virus in these assays ranged from 50 to 100 CFU/ml of virus.

**Transfections of mammalian cells.** Mammalian cells were grown in Temin modified Eagle medium containing 5% fetal bovine serum (for Cos-1 cells and D17 helper cells) or 5% calf serum (for Rat-1 cells). D17 helper cells (47) and Rat-1 cells were transfected by the dimethyl sulfoxide-Polybrene method, and Cos-1 cells (13) were transfected by using a



FIG. 1. (A) Structure of a partial c-rel cDNA. The structure of the c-rel cDNA is compared with the organization of the genomic c-rel sequences and with the structure of Rev-T. Symbols:  $\Box$ , LTRs of Rev-T;  $\blacksquare$ , v-rel gene and the regions of c-rel that are homologous to v-rel;  $\blacksquare$ , C-terminal sequences of c-rel that are not present in the v-rel gene. The longest of the c-rel cDNA clones obtained from a chicken spleen cDNA library was truncated at an *Eco*RI site that is located in the middle of genomic exon 2. kb, Kilobase. (B) Sequencing strategy. Arrows show the direction of the sequence data obtained from sequence analysis of various subclones of the c-rel cDNA.

modified DEAE-dextran protocol (17). For CAT assays, Rat-1 cells were cotransfected with 10  $\mu$ g of pPLCAT and 10  $\mu$ g of the respective plasmids containing the v- and v/c-rel genes. Cell lysates were harvested after 48 h, and 50 or 100  $\mu$ g of total cellular protein was used in CAT assays (14). Protein concentrations were determined by the method of Bradford (5). An internal control for plate-to-plate variation in transfection efficiency was not included because we found that the rel proteins examined in this study had differential effects on various promoters (this report and data not shown). In experiments in which identical samples were included in duplicate, the plate-to-plate variation within a single experiment was less than 20% (data not shown).

Immunoprecipitation and indirect immunofluorescence. Transfected Cos-1 cells were labeled with [ $^{35}$ S]methionine, and cell lysates were collected in RIPA buffer (10 mM Tris [pH 7.6], 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Nonidet P-40). Immunoprecipitations using anti-*rel* antiserum were performed as described previously (11). For indirect immunofluorescence (11), either infected CEF or transfected Rat-1 cells were transferred onto cover slips the day before work-up. The cells were fixed and permeabilized in 100% methanol at  $-20^{\circ}$ C for 10 min. The cells were then incubated with the anti-*rel* antiserum, washed, and incubated with fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antiserum. The cover slips were mounted in glycerol containing 10% 1 M Tris (8.0) and examined by epifluorescence.

Cytotoxicity assays. Rat-1 cells were cotransfected with 10  $\mu$ g of the respective *rel*-containing plasmid and 1  $\mu$ g of a plasmid expressing the gene for hygromycin from the SV40 early promoter. The cells were transferred into selective medium at a 1:3 dilution 2 days after transfection. The number of hygromycin-resistant colonies was determined after 2 weeks of selection.

## RESULTS

Nucleotide sequence of the chicken c-rel gene. A partial cDNA clone of the chicken c-rel gene was obtained by screening a chicken spleen cell cDNA library with a v-rel

probe (Fig. 1). The longest clone obtained terminated at an internal EcoRI site located within the third exon of the c-rel gene, presumably as a result of incomplete protection of internal EcoRI sites during library construction. The complete nucleotide sequence of the cDNA clone was determined, and the nucleotide sequence of the remaining chicken c-rel exons was determined from cloned genomic DNAs (6) containing exons 0, 1, and 2 (Fig. 2). The c-rel cDNA has a long, A+T-rich, 3' nontranslated region of 1.7 kilobase pairs. The sequence AATTAA is located 34 nucleotides upstream of the end of the cDNA clone, and a G+T-rich block is present in the genomic DNA approximately 30 nucleotides downstream of the polyadenylation site (data not shown). These features suggest that the cDNA clone contains the authentic 3' end of the c-rel mRNA (2).

Predicted amino acid sequence of the chicken c-rel protein and comparison with the turkey c-rel protein. The predicted amino acid sequence of the chicken c-rel protein is shown in Fig. 2. Initiation at the first ATG codon would result in the synthesis of a protein of 579 amino acids. However, there are several lines of evidence indicating that there is an additional coding exon of the c-rel gene located further upstream. First, the amino acid homology between c-rel and the dorsal protein of D. melanogaster continues for several amino acids 5' of this ATG codon (38). Second, an in-frame TAA codon is located just upstream of the 5' end of exon 0 of both turkey (49) and chicken c-rel genomic DNA (data not shown). A consensus splice acceptor sequence is also located immediately 5' to exon 0 (49; data not shown). This putative upstream exon would be expected to encode only a few amino acids, including the authentic initiation codon for the c-rel protein, since the size of chicken c-rel protein has been reported to be 68 kDa (34).

The predicted amino acid sequence of the chicken c-rel protein is compared with the predicted amino acid sequence of the turkey c-rel protein (48) and the turkey-derived v-rel protein (48) in Fig. 3. The turkey and chicken c-rel proteins are more than 95% identical, differing in only 28 of 595 residues. The N-terminal regions of the two proteins are highly conserved, with differences at only 4 of the first 300

1	I S E P Y I E I F E Q P R Q R G M R F R ATCTCAGAGCCCTACATTGAAATATTTGAACAACCCAGGCAAAGG3GCATGCGTTTCAGA	60
61	Y K C E G R S A G S I P G E H S T D N N TACAAATGTGAAGGAAGATCAGCAGGTAGCATTCCAGGAGAACACAGTACTGACAACAAC	120
121	K T F P S I Q I L N Y F G K V K I R T T AAGACATTCCCATCTATACAGATTCTGAACTATTTTGGAAAAAGTCAAAATAAGAACTACA	180
181	L V T K N E P Y K P L P H D L V G K D C TTGGTAACAAGAATGAACCCTACAAGCCTCTCCCTCATGATCTASTTGGAAAAGACTGC	240
241	R D G Y Y E A E F G P E R R V L S F Q N AGAGATGECTACTATGAAGCAGAGTTTGGGCCTGAACGTCGAGTCCTGTCTTTCAGAAT	300
301	L G I Q C V K K K D L K E S I S L R I S TTGGGAATTCAATGTGŢĢAAGAAGAAAGACCTGAAAGAATCAATTCTTTGCGAATCTCA	360
361	K K I N P F N V P E E Q L H N I D E Y D AAGAAGATCAACCCCTTTAATGTGCCTGAAGAACAGCTGCACAACATCGATGAATATGAT	420
421	L N V V R L C F Q A F L P D E H G N Y T CTCAACGTTGTCCGCCTCTGTTTCCAAGCTTTCCTCCCTGATGAACATGGCAACTACACA	480
481	L A L P P L I S N P I Y D N R A P N T A TTAGCTCTTCCTTTGATTTCCAACCCAATCTATGACAACAGAGCTCCCCAACACAGCA	540
541	ELRICRVNKNCGSVKGGDEI GAACTGAGAATTTGCCGTGTGAATAAGAACTGTGGAAGTGTAAAC33AGGAGATGAAATT	600
601	FLLCDKVQKDDIEVRFVLDN TTTCTTCTGTGTGATAAAGTTCAAAAAGATGACATAGAAGTCAGATTTGTCTTGGACAAC	660
661	W E A X G S F S Q A D V H R Q V A I V F TGGGAGGCAAAGGCTCCTTCTCCCCAAGCTGATGTTCATCGCCAGGTTGCAATTGTGTTC	720
721	R T P P F L R D I T E P I T V K M Q L R AGAACACCECCATTCCTCAGAGACATCACAGAACCCATCACGGTGAAGATGCAGTTACGA	780
781	R P S D Q E V S E P M D F R Y L P D E K AGACCTTCAGACCAGGAAGTCAGTGAACCAATGGATTTCAGATACCTACC	840
841	D P Y G N K A K R Q R S T L A W Q K L I GATCCATATEGTAACAAAGCAAAAAGCAAAGATCAACGCTGGCCTGGC	900
901	Q D C G S A V T E R P K A T P I P T V N CAGGACTGTGGATCAGCTGTGGACAGAGAGGGCCAAAAGCCACTCCAATCCCCACTGTCAAC	960
961	P E G K L I K K E P N M F S P T L M L P CCTGAAGGAAAGCTGATTAAGAAAGAACCAAATATGTTTTCACCTACACTGATGCTGCCT	1020
1021	G L G T L T S S S Q M Y P P C S Q M P H GGGCTAGGAACGCTGACGAGGCTCCAGCCAGATGCCCCCCCC	1080
1081	Q P A Q L G P G K Q D T L P S C W Q Q L CAGCCTGCGCAGCTTGGCCCTGGAAAGCAGGACACACTCCCTTCCTGCTGGCAGCAGCTG	1140
1141	F S S S P S A S S L L S M H P H N S F T TTCAGCTCCTCCCCTTCAGCCAGCAGCCTGCTCAGCATGCACCCGCACAACAGCTTCACA	1200
1201	A E V P Q P N A Q G S S S L P A F H D N GCAGAAGTGCCTCAGCCCAATGCTCAGGGCAGTAGCTCTCCCCAGCTTTCCACGATAAC	1260

.

FIG. 2. Nucleotide sequence of the chicken c-*rel* gene. The sequence shown is a composite of genomic exon 0 (nucleotides 1 to 141), genomic exon 1 (nucleotides 142 to 290), a portion of genomic exon 2 (nucleotides 291 to 310), and the cDNA clone (nucleotides 311 to 3583). The predicted amino acid sequence of the chicken c-*rel* protein in single-letter code is shown above the nucleotide sequence.

•

1261	PLNWPDEKDSSFYRNFGSTN CCACTGAACTGGCCTGATGAGAAGGATTCCAGTTTTTACAGGAATTTTGGCAGCACAAAT	1320
1321	G M G A A M V S A A D M Q S A S S N S I GGGATGGGAGCAGCGATGGTGCTGCGGGATATGCAGAGTGCTTCCAGTAACAGCATC	1380
1381	V H A T H Q A S A T A A S I V N M E T N GTCCATGCCACTCATCAGGCCAGTGCCACTGCTGCGAGCATCGTGAACATGGAGACCAAT	1440
1441	D M N C T S L N F E K Y T Q V L N V S N GACATGAACTGCACTAGTCTCAACTTTGAAAAGTATACTCAGGTGTTAAATGTAAGCAAC	1500
1501	H R Q Q L H Q A P A A C P P V A A P G S CACAGGCAGCAGCTCCATCAGGCACCTGCAGCATGTCCACCTGTGGCAGCCCCTGGCAGC	1560
1561	T P F S S Q P N L A D T A V Y N S F L D ACTCCCTTCAGTTCACAACCAAATTTAGCTGATACAGCAGTTTACAACAGCTTTCTAGAC	1620
1621	Q E V I S D S R L S T N P L Q N H Q N S CAAGAAGTTATAAGTGATTCAAGACTATCAACCAACCATCCAGAACAGC	1680
1681	L T L T D N Q F Y D T D G V H T D E L Y CTTACCCTTACAGATAACCAGTTCTATGACACCGATGGTGTCCACACTGATGAGCTCTAT	1740
1741	Q S F Q L D T N I L Q S Y N H * CAGTCTTTCCAGTTAGATACAAACATATTACAAAGCTATAACCATTGAGCCGGCACTAGG	1800
1801	CTGAGGTAGGCACACAGAGCTTTACGAAGGAGTAACTCACCCTTCTGCTTTCTCTTTCAG	1860
1861	AATGCTACTGTGTAAATCTCACGGTGTAACTTAAAGTTTTTTATATATA	1920
1921	AGCCCCCAAACTGTTGCCCTTGAAGAAGCATTTAGTGTGTACCTTCAAAGCTCTTAAACA	1980
1981	TTTTTTATGTGTTGGAAAAAGGAATTACTTAAAGCATTGGGAAGAAAAGTGTGTATCTTC	2040
2041	AGAAGGTCAAATTTTCAATAATTCACAGGTTAATACTGTTTGGAAATAAA2CATTTTGCT	2100
2101	TATGGAAATTAAATTAACATTTCAAAAGACAAACTAGGAAAAAACTGCATGTGGGCACTC	2160
2161	TAGTTCAGACTCACCTAACATTTATGTTTTATTCAACTTGCTTG	2220
2221	TACCCTCTTTCGCATAAAATATTTTTCTCCTAAAACAAAATCATGCATTGATTTTTTTT	2280
2281	CTATATTTCACCGCATTCCATTTGCTTTCAAGGCAAATTTACTCTAAAGAAATTTAATGA	2340
2341	CTATGTCATTGTTAGATATGTTTTAAAGACCGGAAGGTTTGAGTTTTAAA_AGTCCAGCT	2400
2401	CTCTTGTAAATGCATCACTGCACAAAAGAATGAGCAGAAAGTAAGGACTTCTCTCGCATC	2460
2461	ACCCAAGTGCACTATTTTTAAATGAGTAAAACCCTGTTGCTTTAGTAATGG&GCATGCTGT	2520
2521	TATTTCTCTGGAATTTGTTTTTTTTTTTTTTGTGTGTGTG	2580
2581	ATGTGCATTTTATTTTGAACTGCAGAAATGTATTGAGCCGAAGCACCTACCT	2640
2641	CTCAGATGGCCCAGCAGTTTCCTGCTATGCTTGTGACTGCTGAAGGGCTCASGTCTGGCT	2700
2701	CCCTAGCTCCCCTCACAGGAGGAGGTGAAATGCAGCCCACTCCCAGTGCGTTGTATGAAC	2760
	FIG. 2-Continued.	

2761	AGTGTGAGGCTGTTCCAATCAGTGTCAATTAAAAACAGCCTCCAGCCGCGGATATCAAGA	2820
2821	ACCAGAACTTCCTTCTTCTGCTAGCTTCCCAGTCTCCACTCTGAGGCCTGAGGTGTGAGA	2880
2881	TGTCCAGTGACAGCCTCGCATAGGTATCTGGCTAGGTAAGGCACTCAAGTCTGCATTATA	2940
2941	GGGCTTTGCTATTTATTACTAATGTACGAAGCAACACAGCAAGAAACATACTGGTGTAT	3000
3001	TTATTTATACAGTGGCAGATAAGGCTTAAGGCTTAAGAACCTTATTTTTACTGTCTGGCT	3060
3061	ATCTAAAAATGCACACTTGGAGACATAGGGAACAGAAAACCGCAACACAAGACAAAAAAGCC	3120
3121	ACTTGAGATGTACAGTCATATACCAGATAGAAAGGAACACCAAAAAACCACCGAGGTTTAA	3180
3181	GGGAAAGCAGTTAATTTACTCAAATGCAGTAATTCACTGCATGCA	3240
3241	GCAGCTGTAATGCATTATATCAATTACACGGGTCTGACAGAATGGGCTCTTCCACACTGT	3300
3301	ACAAATGAAGTCAGGAAAACTGTTCCTGTAACCCCATGTACCCAACTCCACACAGCAGTT	3360
3361	TTTCCTCACTTCTGCAGCTGCAGCACCACTTTCCAGAAGCATGAAAGAGATACAGAGAACG	3420
3421	CTTGCAATGTGTCGTTTATTCAGTTCTTCCTTTTAAGTTCTCAATGTTTAAGTTTATTGA	3480
3481	ATGTAAACATTTTCTTTATAGAAGGCTCTTTATAGCACAATTTGTTTTTACAGTATAATT	3540
3541	алататтттсалааттстбттттстттбталалалалалаа 3583	

FIG. 2—Continued.

amino acid positions. This region includes the homology to *dorsal* (amino acid residues 4 to 292). The C-terminal regions of the two c-*rel* proteins are more divergent, with 24 of 295 residues differing between the chicken and turkey c-*rel* proteins. The recombination with *env* sequences during the formation of v-*rel* occurred at amino acid 478, with the result that the v-*rel* protein lacks 118 residues at its C terminus that are present in both the turkey and chicken c-*rel* proteins.

**Expression of chimeric v/c-rel proteins in fibroblasts.** Several fusions were constructed between the turkey-derived v-rel gene and the chicken c-rel cDNA (Fig. 4). The chimeric v/c-rel genes encoded proteins of the expected size, as determined by immunoprecipitation of  $^{35}$ S-labeled cell lysates (Fig. 5). The v-rel protein and the vcv-rel proteins were both 59 kDa, whereas the vvc-rel and vcc-rel proteins were 67 kDa.

The chimeric v/c-rel genes were inserted into a retrovirus vector, and CEF were transfected in the presence of helper virus DNA. To determine the cytological location of the proteins in CEF, the transfected cells were examined by indirect immunofluorescence, using antiserum directed against the v-rel protein (Fig. 6). The v-rel protein was located predominantly in the nucleus of infected CEF, as previously determined (11), as was the protein encoded by the vcv-rel virus. However, the proteins encoded by the vcv-rel and vcc-rel viruses were found to be located in the cytoplasm of more than 90% of the CEF that were positive for rel protein expression. A similar result was obtained when cells of dog retroviral helper cell lines (47) expressing the recombinant v/c-rel proteins were examined by indirect immunofluorescence (data not shown). The previously identified NTS of the

v-rel gene (12) was found to be conserved in the chimeric v/c-rel proteins; however, its presence was not sufficient to cause the vvc- and vcc-rel proteins to be localized to the nucleus in CEF. These results indicated that the c-rel protein contains sequences at its C terminus that are important for cytoplasmic localization in CEF and suggested that these sequences might function as a cytoplasmic retention signal.

Deletions at either the N or the C terminus of the c-rel protein are sufficient to allow nuclear localization. To determine whether the C-terminal c-rel sequences function as a cytoplasmic retention signal, we first determined whether the C-terminal c-rel sequences could also prevent nuclear transport of proteins that contained other well-characterized NTSs. Previous studies demonstrated that the presence of the NTS from SV40 large-T antigen efficiently targets the v-rel protein to the nucleus (12). We therefore inserted the coding sequence for the NTS of SV40 large-T antigen into the vvc-rel gene, creating vvc18-rel (Fig. 4). Virus stocks of vvc18-rel were made in CEF, and the cellular location of the 69-kDa vvc18-rel protein was determined by indirect immunofluorescence. The vvc18-rel protein was predominantly nuclear, although a low level of cytoplasmic staining could be detected in 30 to 50% of the cells expressing the vvc18-rel protein (Fig. 6). Another virus, vvc15-rel, encoded a protein in which the NTS of SV40 large-T antigen was located at the N terminus instead of internally as in the vvc18-rel protein. The vvc15-rel protein was exclusively nuclear in location (data not shown). These results indicate that the C-terminal c-rel sequences do not function as a dominant cytoplasmic



FIG. 3. Comparison of the predicted amino acid sequences of the chicken (top line) and turkey (middle line) c-rel proteins and the turkey-derived v-rel protein (bottom line). The predicted amino acid sequence of the turkey c-rel protein is given in single-letter code, and the dashed lines above and below indicate that identical residues are present in the chicken c-rel protein and the turkey-derived v-rel protein, respectively. Symbols: x, deletions of one and three amino acids that are present in the v-rel protein; \*, C terminus. The env-derived amino acids at the N and C termini of the v-rel protein are also shown.

retention signal in the presence of the nuclear targeting signal of SV40 large-T antigen.

We also constructed an in-frame deletion of 507 nucleotides in vvc-*rel*, forming asx-*rel*. This deletion resulted in the removal of 169 amino acids from the vvc-*rel* protein (Fig. 4). The asx-*rel* virus encodes a *rel* protein of approximately 54 kDa (Fig. 5B). This protein migrated slower in sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gels than expected from its calculated molecular mass. The cellular location of this protein was examined in CEF. It was exclusively nuclear in location (Fig. 6). This result indicates that deletions at either the C terminus (v-rel and vcv-rel proteins) or in the N-

MOL. CELL. BIOL.



FIG. 4. (A) Structures of v/c-rel chimeric proteins used. Symbols:  $\Box$ , v-rel protein;  $\blacksquare$ , sequences encoded by the c-rel gene;  $\blacksquare$ , env-derived sequences that are present at the N and C termini of the v-rel protein; ++, NTS of the v-rel protein (12);  $\boxtimes$ , short stretch of amino acids corresponding to the NTS of SV40 large-T antigen; - -, deletion of 169 amino acids in the asx-rel protein. The drawing is not exactly to scale. Locations of the ClaI and BstXI restriction sites used to construct the chimeric v/c-rel genes are indicated. (B) Abbreviations and symbol: N, nuclear; C, cytoplasmic; (\*) spleen cells obtained in the transformation assays were not capable of immortalized growth in liquid culture. Transforming activity of the chimeric v/c-rel proteins is relative to that of the wild-type v-rel protein.

terminal region (asx-*rel*) allow nuclear localization of the respective proteins. This result indicates that the *rel* nuclear localization sequence is very context dependent and suggests that the *rel* nuclear localization sequence may in fact be cryptic and revealed only by deletions within the protein.

Transforming potential of chimeric v/c-rel proteins. The transforming potential of the recombinant v/c-rel proteins was determined by infection of primary chicken spleen cells with virus stocks generated in CEF. Both the wild-type v-rel and the vvc-rel viruses were able to transform spleen cells efficiently, as measured by colony formation in soft agar. Colonies that arose in the transformation assays could be expanded into permanent cell lines at a frequency of 25 to 50%. However, the vcv-rel and vcc-rel viruses had a reduced transformation efficiency, to approximately 50 and 10% of wild-type v-rel for the vcc-rel and vcv-rel viruses, respectively. No permanent cell lines could be established from the colonies obtained after infection of spleen cells with the vcc-rel or vcv-rel viruses in 25 attempts. Indirect immunofluorescence of spleen cells from colonies soon after transfer into liquid culture demonstrated that the wild-type v-rel protein and the chimeric v/c-rel proteins were located in the cytoplasm (data not shown).

**Transactivation properties of chimeric v/c-***rel* **proteins.** The v-*rel* protein has recently been shown to transactivate gene expression of the polyomavirus late promoter in Rat-1 cells (10). Plasmids were constructed that expressed the v-*rel* and v/c-*rel* proteins from the major immediate early promoter of human CMV to provide for high levels of *rel* expression in Rat-1 cells. The localization of the v-*rel* and v/c-*rel* proteins

in Rat-1 cells was determined by indirect immunofluorescence. Rat-1 cells were transfected with the respective plasmids, transferred the next day onto cover slips, and processed for indirect immunofluorescence 2 days after transfection. Whereas the v-rel and vcv-rel proteins were located in the nucleus, the larger vvc-rel and vcc-rel proteins were located in the cytoplasm (Fig. 7). Addition of the NTS from SV40 large-T antigen to the vvc-rel protein (vvc18-rel) resulted in efficient transport of the protein to the nucleus (data not shown). The location of the rel proteins in Rat-1 cells paralleled their location in CEF and indicates that the size of each rel protein determines its location in fibroblasts, even in the presence of the NTS present in the v-rel protein.

To determine the transactivating properties of the chimeric v/c-rel proteins, plasmids expressing the chimeric v/c-rel proteins from the CMV promoter were cotransfected into Rat-1 cells with a plasmid expressing the CAT gene from the polyomavirus late promoter. Expression of the rel proteins was confirmed by immunoblotting of protein extracts from the transfected cells (data not shown). All of the chimeric v/c-rel proteins were able to transactivate expression from the polyomavirus late promoter (Table 1). Chimeric proteins that contained the C terminus of the c-rel protein (vvc-rel, vvc18-rel, and vcc-rel) gave the highest levels of transactivation of the polyomavirus late promoter (approximately 20-fold activation), whereas the vcv-rel protein gave consistently lower levels of transactivation (approximately 10-fold activation). Surprisingly, the lowest level of transactivation of the polyomavirus late promoter



FIG. 5. Immunoprecipitation of v- and v/c-rel proteins (A) Cos-1 cells transiently expressing the various v/c-rel genes were labeled with [ $^{35}$ S]methionine, and cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-rel antiserum. The immunoprecipitates were electrophoresed through a 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel, and the immunoprecipitated proteins were visualized by autoradiography. Arrows indicate the respective v/c- or v-rel proteins. Lanes: 1, mock-transfected cells; 2, vcv-rel-transfected cells; 3, vcc-rel-transfected cells; 4, vvc-rel-transfected cells; 5, v-rel-transfected cells of CEF infected with the indicated viruses analyzed as described above. The arrow indicates the 54-kDa asx-rel protein in lane 2. Size markers (shown on the left): bovine serum albumin, 45 kDa; carbonic anhydrase, 30 kDa.

(approximately twofold) was observed with the wild-type v-rel protein.

Transactivation by the chimeric v/c-rel proteins is dose responsive. To determine whether levels of transactivation correlated with the relative levels of rel protein, similar experiments were performed, using the SNV LTR to express the *rel* proteins. The SNV LTR is approximately 10-fold less active than the CMV promoter as a promoter in Rat-1 cells (data not shown). Expression of *rel* proteins at the lower level obtained from the SNV promoter in Rat-1 cells also resulted in transactivation of expression from the polyomavirus late promoter, although the levels of transactivation were markedly lower (Table 1). In these experiments, expression of the vvc-rel protein was found to result in the highest level of transactivation (approximately sixfold), whereas expression of the other v/c-rel proteins and the wild-type v-rel protein gave lower levels of transactivation (two- to fourfold). The low activity of the v-rel protein in these experiments is in contrast to the higher levels (up to 25-fold) that were previously reported for transactivation by the v-rel protein of the polyomavirus late promoter (10). The most likely explanation for this discrepancy is differences in transfection efficiency between the respective experiments. However, as indicated by the standard deviations reported in Table 1, the results that we obtained in this study are reproducible. These results indicated that there is a doseresponse relationship between the level of expression of the chimeric v/c-rel proteins and the level of transactivation obtained. However, transactivation of the polyomavirus late promoter by the wild-type v-rel protein was not affected by the level of v-rel protein expression. Since high levels of expression of the wild-type v-rel protein have been shown to be cytotoxic to Rat-1 cells (10), this result raises the possibility that transactivation by the wild-type v-rel protein is masked by its cytotoxic effect.

Expression of both v-rel and v/c-rel proteins is cytotoxic to **Rat-1 cells.** To examine the possibility that expression of only the v-rel protein is cytotoxic to Rat-1 cells, the plasmids expressing the v- and v/c-rel proteins from the CMV promoter were transfected into Rat-1 cells along with a plasmid expressing the hygromycin resistance gene. After selection in the presence of hygromycin for 2 weeks, a reduction in the number of surviving colonies was obtained in the presence of plasmids expressing either the v-rel protein or the chimeric v/c-rel proteins (Table 2). The surviving colonies did not express detectable levels of *rel* protein, as determined by indirect immunofluorescence of pooled surviving cells (data not shown), whereas transiently transfected cells expressed easily detectable levels of *rel* proteins (Fig. 7). These results demonstrate that expression of a high level of either the v-rel protein or the v/c-rel proteins is cytotoxic to Rat-1 cells. Since expression of all of the *rel* proteins could be detected in Rat-1 cells at 2 days after transfection by both indirect immunofluorescence (Fig. 7) and immunoblotting (data not shown), these results indicate that cytotoxicity of the v-rel protein cannot account for the observed differences in transactivation of the polyomavirus late promoter by the v-rel or the v/c-rel proteins in these transient assays.

# DISCUSSION

Our results indicate that *rel* proteins which are located in either the cytoplasm or the nucleus are effective transactivators of gene expression from the polyomavirus late promoter. Thus, cytological location (cytoplasmic versus nuclear) is unimportant for both transformation (12) and transactivation by rel proteins. Our results suggest that transactivation by *rel* proteins is not through the direct action of *rel* proteins as transcription factors but is indirect and mediated by other cellular factors. Two recent reports have suggested that the v-rel protein is complexed with several other proteins in transformed spleen cells, including a serine/threonine protein kinase (35, 43). An attractive hypothesis for the mechanism of transformation by v-rel is that association of the v-rel protein with this protein kinase modulates its activity. The *rel*-induced modulation of this cellular protein kinase activity then results in alteration of gene expression and eventual transformation. It will be of interest to determine whether rel proteins are associated with a protein kinase in rodent fibroblasts and whether this association is required for transactivation of gene expression.

An alternative explanation of our results is that a low level of nuclear *rel* protein is, in all cases, responsible for the observed activation of gene expression. Although we cannot eliminate this possibility, comparison of the vvc-*rel* and vvc18-*rel* proteins provides a strong argument against this explanation. If only the nuclear form of the *rel* protein can transactivate gene expression, then the vvc18-*rel* protein, located in the nucleus, should transactivate much more efficiently than the vvc-*rel* protein that is located in the cytoplasm. This should be particularly noticeable when these proteins are expressed at low levels from the SNV



FIG. 6. Indirect immunofluorescence of *rel* proteins in CEF. CEF were transfected with retroviral vectors expressing the various *rel* proteins along with helper virus DNA. The cells were transferred onto cover slips 5 days after transfection and processed for immunofluorescence the next day. (A) Nuclear localization of the vcv-*rel* protein; (B) cytoplasmic localization of the vcc-*rel* protein; (C) cytoplasmic localization of the vvc-*rel* protein; (D) nuclear localization of the v-*rel* protein; (E) nuclear localization of the vvcl8-*rel* protein; (F) nuclear localization of the asx-*rel* protein. The results shown are representative of more than 90% of the cells that were positive for *rel* expression.



FIG. 7. Indirect immunofluorescence of *rel* proteins in Rat-1 cells. Rat-1 cells were transfected with recombinant plasmids in which the CMV promoter was used for expression of the various *rel* proteins. The cells were transferred onto cover slips the day after transfection and processed for immunofluorescence the next day. (A and D), Nuclear location of the vcv-*rel* and v-*rel* proteins, respectively; (B and C) cytoplasmic location of the vcc-*rel* and vvc-*rel* proteins, respectively. The results shown are representative of more than 50 cells that were positive for *rel* expression examined for each plasmid in at least two independent experiments.

LTR (when the putative cellular target of these proteins is not saturated). This is not the case (Table 1). When these proteins are expressed at low levels from the SNV LTR, a low level of transactivation is obtained that is similar for the respective proteins. Increasing the level of expression of these *rel* proteins also increases, in a parallel manner, the level of transactivation observed. These results indicate that the cytoplasmic vvc-*rel* protein is as effective as the nuclear vvc18-*rel* protein in transactivation of gene expression. Transactivation correlates with the total amount of *rel* protein that is present in the cell, not with the level of *rel* protein that is present in the nucleus.

In this study, we have examined changes in the level of CAT activity from the polyomavirus late promoter as a measure of transactivation of gene expression by *rel* proteins. Although we have not examined message levels directly, a previous study provided evidence that expression of the *v*-*rel* protein does result in an increase in the steady-state level of RNA from the polyomavirus early promoter (10). Those experiments did not allow determination of the

 
 TABLE 1. Transactivation of gene expression from the polyomavirus late promoter by *rel* proteins<sup>a</sup>

	Rat-1	Transactivation <sup>c</sup>	
Gene	location <sup>b</sup>	CMV rel	SNV rel
vcv-rel	N	10.5 (±4.2)	2.4 (±0.8)
vcc- <i>rel</i>	С	17.3 (±8.6)	$2.9(\pm 1.0)$
vvc-rel	С	$18.3(\pm 6.3)$	5.7 (±1.8)
vvc18-rel	N	$15.5(\pm 2.5)$	4.2 (±0.5)
v-rel	Ν	2.1 (±0.9)	2.2 (±0.9)

<sup>a</sup> Rat-1 cells were cotransfected with plasmids in which the v-rel or the v/c-rel proteins were expressed from either the CMV promoter or the SNV promoter along with a plasmid in which the CAT gene was expressed from the polyomavirus late promoter. Cell lysates were collected 2 days after transfection, and the level of CAT activity present in the cell lysates was determined. <sup>b</sup> N, Nuclear; C, cytoplasmic.

<sup>c</sup> Results are averages from at least four independent experiments and are presented as the fold induction of CAT expression in the presence of the respective *rel* protein as compared with cotransfection with a plasmid that contained either the CMV or SNV promoter but did not contain any *rel* coding sequences. Numbers in parentheses are standard deviations.

level (transcription, transport, or stability) at which the v-rel protein acts to increase steady-state levels of message. Thus, our use of the term "transactivation" to describe the effects of rel expression on the polyomavirus late promoter is meant to be a general term indicating an increase in expression of a recorder gene without specifying that rel proteins act at the level of transcription.

The difference in levels of transactivation between the wild-type v-rel protein and the v/c-rel proteins is puzzling. Whereas expression of the v/c-rel proteins clearly activates gene expression from the polyomavirus late promoter in a dose-dependent manner, expression of the wild-type v-rel protein results in a low level of activation of gene expression from the polyomavirus late promoter and is not dose dependent. A possible explanation is that the putative cellular target that is responsible for the *rel*-induced activation of gene expression is easily saturated by expression of the wild-type v-rel protein, with the result that only a low level of activation is obtained. This putative cellular target would be less easily saturated by the chimeric v/c-rel proteins, with the result that a dose-response effect is observed. Other explanations, including inhibitory effects of the v-rel protein on gene expression, cytotoxicity of the v-rel protein (see below), or both are also possible, and we are currently attempting to distinguish among these possibilities.

Another explanation for the observed dose-independent activation of gene expression by the v-rel protein is that the v-rel protein is more cytotoxic than the v/c-rel proteins, such

TABLE 2. Cytotoxicity of the rel proteins in Rat-1 cells<sup>a</sup>

	Cytoto	oxicity <sup>b</sup>
Gene	Expt 1	Expt 2
vcv-rel	90	140
vcc-rel	40	60
vvc-rel	40	60
v-rel	20	25
Control	407	295

<sup>*a*</sup> Rat-1 cells were cotransfected with plasmids expressing the various *rel* genes from the CMV promoter and a plasmid expressing the hygromycin resistance gene from the SV40 early promoter (pSVHy) or with pSVYy alone (control).

<sup>b</sup> Number of surviving hygromycin-resistant colonies obtained after 2 weeks of selection. Results are from two independent experiments.

TABLE 3. Summary of comparison of rel proteins<sup>a</sup>

Gene	Transfor- mation	Immortal- ization	Transacti- vation	Cytotox- icity	Loca- tion
vcv-rel	+		++	+	N
vcc-rel	++	-	+++	++	С
vvc-rel	+++	+	+++	++	С
v-rel	+++	+	+	+++	Ν

<sup>a</sup> Structures of the *rel* proteins are shown in Fig. 4. Transformation refers to the ability of SNV-derived viruses expressing each *rel* protein to cause chicken spleen cells to form colonies in soft agar. Immortalization refers to the ability of the colonies obtained in the soft agar assay to grow indefinitely in liquid culture. Transactivation refers to the ability of each *rel* protein to transactivate gene expression from the polyomavirus late promoter. Cytotoxicity refers to the decrease in the number of drug-resistant colonies obtained in the presence of each *rel* protein compared with the number of drug-resistant colonies obtained with the selective marker alone. Location refers to the cellular location (nuclear [N] or cytoplasmic [C]) of each *rel* protein in both CEF and rat fibroblasts. Plus and minus signs indicate the extent to which each *rel* protein possesses the indicated property.

that the activation of gene expression by v-rel is masked by its cytotoxic effect. However, since expression of the wildtype v-rel protein could be detected in Rat-1 cells 2 days after transfection, the cytotoxic effect of v-rel expression is not the result of loss of cells during the transient assay that are expressing v-rel. Furthermore, the cytotoxic effect of expression of the v-rel protein in Rat-1 cells is dose responsive (10). Therefore, the cytotoxic effect of v-rel expression cannot solely account for the dose-independent activation of gene expression by the v-rel protein.

The basis for the cytotoxic effect of *rel* expression in rodent fibroblasts is not known but probably is the result of rel-induced alterations in gene expression that result in growth arrest of the cell. Thus, a protein that is highly transforming in one cell type by virtue of presenting a strong stimulatory growth signal to the cell is cytotoxic in another cell type as a result of a growth-inhibitory signal. A similar relationship exists between the transforming and cytotoxic properties of the src protein, for which alteration of the level of expression of the *src* gene (28, 41), or its association with the plasma membrane (29, 41), is sufficient to convert a transforming protein into a cytotoxic protein. The latent membrane protein of Epstein-Barr virus is also transforming when expressed at a low level in rodent fibroblasts but cytotoxic when its level of expression is increased (15a). These results, and the recent characterization of tumor suppressor genes (24), indicate a fundamental overlap between growth-stimulatory and growth-inhibitory pathways that is just beginning to be appreciated.

Transactivation of gene expression in Rat-1 cells does not correlate well with cellular transformation of chicken spleen cells by rel proteins (Table 3). The v/c-rel proteins containing internal chicken c-rel-derived sequences (vcv-rel and vccrel) transformed spleen cells at a lower efficiency than did the proteins containing internal v-rel-derived sequences (vrel, vvc-rel, and vvc18-rel). In addition, the colonies that did arise in the spleen assays with the vcv-rel and vcc-rel viruses did not have the potential for immortal growth in liquid culture. The importance of internal amino acid alterations in the v-rel protein for immortalization has previously been described in recombinants between the turkey-derived c-rel gene and the v-rel gene (40), and our results for the chickenderived c-rel cDNA are consistent with those. However, all of the chimeric v/c-rel proteins were able to transactivate gene expression in Rat-1 cells. Furthermore, both the wildtype v-rel and the vvc-rel proteins are able to transform spleen cells efficiently, yet the vvc-rel protein is able to transactivate expression of the polyomavirus late promoter much more efficiently than can the wild-type v-rel protein.

One explanation is that the transformation assay and the transactivation assay measure unrelated activities of rel proteins. According to this viewpoint, the activity of rel proteins that results in alterations of gene expression in Rat-1 cells is irrelevant to the activity of *rel* proteins that leads to transformation of chicken spleen cells. However, the fact that expression of *rel* proteins in Rat-1 cells has both a biochemical effect (alteration of gene expression) and a biological effect (cytotoxicity) argues against this viewpoint, although this possibility cannot be ruled out at present. We suggest instead that the activity of the *rel* protein detected in the transactivation assay is a subset of the activities of the rel protein that are involved in the transformation process. In this view, expression of the *rel* protein in spleen cells results in the occurrence of certain cellular events, one (or a few) of which is reflected in the transactivation assay. Thus, transactivation of gene expression by rel proteins may be necessary but is not sufficient for transformation. In either case, alterations in gene expression are clearly a cellular response to expression of both the viral and cellular rel proteins, and elucidation of the mechanism by which rel proteins affect gene expression will contribute to our understanding of intracellular signaling mechanisms.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank J. H. Chen for his generous gift of the chicken spleen cDNA library. We thank Vinay Pathak, Wei-Shau Hu, Bill Sugden, Jennifer Martin, and Rex Risser for critical comments on the manuscript. We thank Sue Hinz, Joyce Couch, Rebecca Wisniewski, and Jody Rein for excellent technical assistance and Gary Buchschacher for help with sequencing.

M. H. was supported by an American Cancer Society postdoctoral fellowship. H.M.T. is an American Cancer Society research professor. This work was supported by Public Health Service grants CA-22443 and CA-07175 from the National Cancer Institute.

# **ADDENDUM IN PROOF**

The sequence reported in this manuscript has been deposited in the Genbank database and has been assigned the accession number M26381.

### LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Almendral, J. M., D. Sommer, H. Macdonald-Bravo, J. Buckhardt, J. Perera, and R. Bravo. 1988. Complexity of the early genetic response to growth factors in mouse fibroblasts. Mol. Cell. Biol. 8:2140-2148.
- Birnstiel, M. L., M. Busslinger, and K. Strub. 1985. Transcription termination and 3' processing: the end is in site! Cell 41:349-359.
- Bohmann, D., T. J. Bos, A. Admon, T. Nishimura, P. K. Vogt, and R. Tijan. 1987. Human proto-oncogene *c-jun* encodes a DNA binding protein with structural and functional properties of transcription factor AP-1. Science 238:1386–1392.
- Bos, T. J., D. Bohmann, H. Tsuchie, R. Tijan, and P. K. Vogt. 1988. v-jun encodes a nuclear protein with enhancer binding properties of AP-1. Cell 52:705-712.
- 5. **Bradford, M. M.** 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem. **72**:248-254.
- Chen, I. S. Y., K. C. Wilhemsen, and H. M. Temin. 1983. Structure and expression of c-rel, the cellular homolog to the oncogene of reticuloendotheliosis virus strain T. J. Virol. 45: 104-113.
- 7. Dougherty, J. P., and H. M. Temin. 1986. High mutation rate of a spleen necrosis virus-based retrovirus vector. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6:4387–4395.

- 8. Franklin, R. B., R. L. Maldonado, and H. R. Bose. 1974. Isolation and characterization of reticuloendotheliosis virustransformed bone marrow cells. Intervirology 3:342–352.
- 9. Garson, K., and C. Y. Kang. 1986. Identification of the v-rel protein in REV-T-transformed chicken bone marrow cells and expression in COS-1 cells. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 134:716-722.
- Gelinas, C., and H. M. Temin. 1988. The v-rel oncogene encodes a cell-specific transcriptional activator of certain promoters. Oncogene 3:349-355.
- 11. Gilmore, T. D., and H. M. Temin. 1986. Different localization of the product of the v-rel oncogene in chicken fibroblasts and spleen cells correlates with transformation by REV-T. Cell 44:791-800.
- Gilmore, T. D., and H. M. Temin. 1988. v-rel oncoproteins in the nucleus and in the cytoplasm transform chicken spleen cells. J. Virol. 62:703-714.
- 13. Gluzman, Y. 1981. SV40-transformed simian cells support the replication of early SV40 mutants. Cell 23:175–182.
- Gorman, C. M., L. F. Moffat, and B. H. Howard. 1982. Recombinant genomes which express chloramphenicol acetyl transferase in mammalian cells. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2:1044–1051.
- Greenberg, M. E., and E. B. Ziff. 1984. Stimulation of 3T3 cells induces transcription of the c-*fos* proto-oncogene. Nature (London) 311:433–438.
- 15a. Hammerschmidt, W., B. Sugden, and V. R. Baichwal. 1989. The transforming domain alone of the latent membrane protein of Epstein-Barr virus is toxic to cells when expressed at high levels. J. Virol. 63:2469-2475.
- 16. Hannink, M., and D. J. Donoghue. 1988. Autocrine stimulation by the v-sis gene product requires a ligand-receptor interaction at the cell surface. J. Cell Biol. 107:287–298.
- Hannink, M., M. K. Sauer, and D. J. Donoghue. 1986. Deletions in the C-terminal coding region of the v-sis gene: dimerization is required for transformation. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6:1304–1314.
- Henikoff, S. 1984. Unidirectional digestion with exonuclease III creates targeted breakpoints for DNA sequencing. Gene 28: 351–359.
- 19. Herzog, N. K., and H. R. Bose, Jr. 1986. Expression of the oncogene of avian reticuloendotheliosis virus in Escherichia coli and identification of the transforming protein in reticuloendo-theliosis virus T-transformed cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83:812-816.
- 20. Hirt, B. 1967. Selective extraction of polyoma DNA from infected mouse cells. J. Mol. Biol. 26:365-369.
- Hoelzer, J. D., R. B. Lewis, C. R. Wasmuth, and H. R. Bose, Jr. 1980. Hematopoietic cell transformation by reticuloendotheliosis virus: characterization of the genetic defect. Virology 100: 462-474.
- Kawai, S., and M. Nishizawa. 1984. New procedure for DNA transfection with polycation and dimethyl sulfoxide. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4:1172-1174.
- Kingston, R. E., A. S. Baldwin, and P. A. Sharp. 1985. Transcriptional control by oncogenes. Cell 41:3-5.
- Klein, G. 1987. The approaching era of the tumor suppressor genes. Science 238:1539–1545.
- Kruijer, W., J. A. Cooper, T. Hunter, and I. M. Verma. 1984. Platelet-derived growth factor induces rapid but transient expression of the c-fos gene and protein. Nature (London) 312:711-716.
- 26. Maniatis, T., E. F. Fritsch, and J. Sambrook. 1982. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Muller, R., R. Bravo, J. Burckhadt, and T. Curran. 1984. Induction of c-fos gene and protein by growth factors precedes activation of c-myc. Nature (London) 312:716-720.
- Reddy, S., P. Yaciuk, T. E. Kmiecik, P. M. Coussens, and D. Shalloway. 1988. v-src mutations outside the carboxyl-coding region are not sufficient to fully activate transformation by pp60<sup>c-src</sup> in NIH 3T3 cells. Mol. Cell. Biol. 8:704–712.
- Reynolds, A. B., D. J. Roesel, S. B. Danner, and T. J. Parsons. 1989. Transformation-specific tyrosine phophorylation of a novel cellular protein in chicken cells expressing oncogenic

variants of the avian cellular src gene. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9: 629-938.

- Rice, N. R., T. D. Copeland, S. L. Simek, S. Oroszlan, and R. V. Gilden. 1986. Detection and characterization of the protein encoded by the v-rel oncogene. Virology 149:217–229.
- Sanger, F., S. Nicklen, and A. R. Coulson. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5463-5467.
- 32. Schmidt, A., C. Setoyama, and B. de Crombrugghe. 1985. Regulation of the collagen gene promoter by the product of the viral *mos* oncogene. Nature (London) **314**:286–289.
- Schwarz, R. C., and O. N. Witte. 1988. A recombinant murine retrovirus expressing v-rel is cytopathic. Virology 165:182–190.
- Simek, S., and N. R. Rice. 1988. Detection and characterization of the protein encoded by the chicken c-rel protooncogene. Oncogene Res. 2:103-119.
- 35. Simek, S., and N. R. Rice. 1988. p59<sup>v-rel</sup>, the transforming protein of reticuloendotheliosis virus, is complexed with at least four other proteins in transformed chicken lymphoid cells. J. Virol. 62:4730-4736.
- Simek, S., R. M. Stephens, and N. R. Rice. 1986. Localization of the v-rel protein in reticuloendotheliosis virus strain T-transformed lymphoid cells. J. Virol. 59:120–126.
- 37. Stephens, R. M., N. R. Rice, R. R. Hirsch, H. R. Bose, Jr., and R. V. Gilden. 1983. Nucleotide sequence of v-rel: the oncogene of reticuloendotheliosis virus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80:6229–6233.
- Stewart, R. 1987. Dorsal, an embryonic polarity gene in Drosophila, is homologous to the vertebrate proto-oncogene, c-rel. Science 238:692-694.
- 39. Stinski, M. F., and T. J. Roehr. 1985. Activation of the major immediate early gene of human cytomegalovirus by *cis*-acting elements in the promoter regulatory region and by virus-specific *trans*-acting components. J. Virol. 55:431-441.
- 40. Sylla, B. S., and H. M. Temin. 1986. Activation of oncogenicity

of the c-rel proto-oncogene. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6:4709-4716.

- 41. Tarpley, W. G., and H. M. Temin. 1984. The location of v-src in a retrovirus vector determines whether the virus is toxic or transforming. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4:2653-2660.
- Theilen, G., R. Zeigel, and M. Tweihaus. 1966. Biological studies with RE virus (strain T) that induces reticuloendotheliosis in turkeys, chickens, and Japanese quails. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 37:747-749.
- 43. Tung, H. Y. L., W. J. Bargmann, M. Y. Lim, and H. R. Bose, Jr. 1988. The v-rel oncogene product is complexed to a 40 kD phosphoprotein in transformed lymphoid cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80:6229-6233.
- 44. Walro, D. S., N. K. Herzog, J. Zhabg, M. Y. Lin, and H. R. Bose, Jr. 1987. The transforming protein of avian reticuloendotheliosis virus is a soluble cytoplasmic protein which is associated with a protein kinase activity. Virology 160:433-444.
- 45. Wasylyk, J., L. Imier, J. Perez-Mutul, and B. Wasylyk. 1987. The c-Ha-ras oncogene and a tumor promoter activate the polyoma virus enhancer. Cell 48:525-534.
- 46. Watanabe, S., and H. M. Temin. 1982. Encapsidation sequences for spleen necrosis virus, an avian retrovirus, are between the 5' long terminal repeat and the start of the gag gene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79:5986–5990.
- Watanabe, S., and H. M. Temin. 1983. Construction of a helper cell line for avian reticuloendotheliosis virus cloning vectors. Mol. Cell. Biol. 3:2241-2249.
- Wilhemsen, K. C., K. Eggleton, and H. M. Temin. 1984. Nucleic acid sequences of the oncogene v-rel in reticuloendotheliosis virus strain T and its cellular homolog, the proto-oncogene c-rel. J. Virol. 52:172–182.
- 49. Wilhemsen, K. C., and H. M. Temin. 1984. Structure and dimorphism of c-rel (turkey), the cellular homolog to the oncogene of reticuloendotheliosis virus strain T. J. Virol. 49:521–529.