

## NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

JAMA. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 May 03.

### Published in final edited form as:

JAMA. 2012 January 25; 307(4): 408-410. doi:10.1001/jama.2012.24.

# Unwrapping the Implications of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* Mutations in Ovarian Cancer

### David M. Hyman, MD<sup>1</sup> and David R. Spriggs, MD<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Gynecologic Medical Oncology Service, Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York, 10021 ; and the Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY 10021

Three large studies have demonstrated improved survival in *BRCA*-associated ovarian cancers compared to sporadic ovarian cancers.<sup>1–3</sup> These trials have combined *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers because of the relative rarity of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations, which only account for approximately 10% and 5% of unselected cases of serous ovarian cancer, respectively.<sup>4</sup> However, although both mutations are associated with hereditary breast and ovarian cancers, it has been suggested that these cancer predisposition syndromes represent related but clinically distinct entities.<sup>5</sup> The lifetime risk of ovarian cancer is higher in *BRCA1* than *BRCA2* mutation carriers, estimated at 36–60% and 16–27%, respectively.<sup>6,7</sup> *BRCA1* mutation carriers tend to develop ovarian cancer on average about eight years earlier than *BRCA2* mutation carriers.<sup>1,4</sup> Microarrays of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*-associated ovarian cancers also show significant differences in gene expression.<sup>8</sup> Moreover, the protection conferred by risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy against breast and gynecologic cancers may differ between carriers of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations.<sup>9</sup>

In light of these observations, two recent reports have examined survival separately in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*-associated ovarian cancers in small cohorts.<sup>10,11</sup> Both studies found significantly improved survival in *BRCA2*-associated ovarian cancers and smaller statistically non-significant improvement in survival in *BRCA1*-associated ovarian cancers.

In this issue of *JAMA*, Bolton et al<sup>12</sup> report their analysis of patients with *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* ovarian cancer who were included in a large international dataset. Incident cases of ovarian cancer were pooled from 26 international prospective clinical genetics protocols, the majority of which were affiliated with either the Consortium of Investigators of Modifiers of *BRCA1/2* (CIMBA) or the Ovarian Cancer Association Consortium (OCAC). Baseline clinical characteristics such as year of diagnosis, age, stage, grade, and histology were controlled for, when available, with respect to overall survival.

In their analysis of 3879 patients with ovarian cancer (2666 non-carriers, 909 *BRCA1* mutation carriers, and 304 *BRCA2* mutation carriers), the authors report fully adjusted hazard ratios for overall mortality at five years of 0.73 and 0.49 for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers, respectively, compared to non-carriers. The differences in overall survival observed in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers was statistically (and clinically) significant compared to both the sporadic cohort and each other. In a secondary analysis, the authors also found that the survival advantage conferred by *BRCA1* mutations may be partially mitigated as the mutation site moved from the 5' to 3' end, suggesting that the site of *BRCA1* mutation may have individual prognostic significance.

Corresponding Author: David R. Spriggs, M.D. 1275 York Ave, New York, NY 10021. Telephone 212 639-2203; Fax 212 717 3272; spriggsd@mskcc.org.

This article has several important strengths. It is, by far, the largest study of *BRCA*associated ovarian cancer outcomes reported to date. With 1,213 carriers it is several times larger then the two previous largest series by Chetrit et al<sup>2</sup> (213 *BRCA1/2* mutation carriers) and by Paroah et al<sup>13</sup> (151 *BRCA1/2* mutation carriers). The study by Bolton et al also examined an ethnically diverse group of patients from multiple continents and is therefore more generally applicable than prior reports that drew from more homogenous populations. For these reasons, this study is the most definitive report thus far describing outcomes of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*-associated ovarian cancers.

This study has several important limitations, as acknowledged by the investigators. Most importantly, 30% of patients have missing data – including stage (19%), grade (22%), and histology (5%). The lack of information on chemotherapy type and route (64%) and debulking status (71%) is particularly noteworthy because these are also established predictors of survival.<sup>14</sup> This amount of missing data is not unexpected given that cases were collected primarily from clinical genetics databases and not prospective treatment protocols with this degree of missing data, it is possible that unmeasured confounders may account for some of the observed differences. However, the size of the overall cohort, the statistical adjustment techniques used, and the magnitude of differences observed make it unlikely that the reported survival advantages- are artifactual. This study decisively establishes that *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*-associated ovarian cancers each have a distinctly separate and better prognosis compared to sporadic ovarian cancers.

These data have important implications for the future of ovarian cancer research and treatment. Phase III studies that do not stratify by *BRCA* mutation status or account for this factor in a preplanned statistical analysis risk possible confounding because about 15% of unselected patients with serous ovarian cancer will carry germline *BRCA1/2* mutations.<sup>15</sup> Moreover, other studies have found differences in chemotherapy responsiveness<sup>10</sup> and progression-free survival<sup>16</sup> between sporadic, *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*-associated ovarian cancers. Germline *BRCA* testing needs be consistently incorporated into both the routine management and future Phase III trials of ovarian cancer.<sup>17</sup>

Perhaps equally important, the results reported by Bolton et al provide impetus for rethinking the current approach to the development of targeted agents in molecularly defined subsets of ovarian cancer. To date, large-scale genomic analyses of serous ovarian cancers have not identified high-frequency somatic oncogenic driver mutations amenable to targeted intervention.<sup>3</sup> The principal exception may be the so-called "BRCAness" phenotype that can be targeted by poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors.<sup>18</sup> Members of the PARP inhibitor family block base excision repair, a low fidelity DNA repair pathway that appears necessary to maintain genomic stability in tumors with deficient homologous recombination mechanisms that depend on intact *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genes. Early clinical trials of PARP inhibitors have shown promise in *BRCA*-associated<sup>19–21</sup> and even sporadic ovarian cancers.<sup>22</sup> An important consideration is whether the unknown mechanisms underpinning the differences in survival of BRCA1 and BRCA2-associated ovarian cancers observed in the study by Bolton et al may also result in differential sensitivity to agents that target the resultant homologous recombination defects. To date, trials of PARP inhibitors have not been large enough to detect differences in efficacy among the BRCA gene mutations. Upcoming trials of PARP inhibitors in ovarian cancer that specifically enrich for BRCA1 and BRCA2 carriers may be at particular risk for confounding if differences in these two biologically distinct groups are not considered.

In the future, even germline *BRCA* status may not be sufficient to fully sub-classify ovarian cancers and select the best treatment. Data from The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network (TCGA) suggest that beyond germline mutations, high-grade serous cancers have

functional alterations in the homologous recombination pathway by somatic BRCA1/2 mutation, epigenetic silencing, or other putative homologous recombination defects.<sup>3</sup> Thus, while only a small proportion (10–15%) of patients carry germline BRCA1/2 changes, nearly half of all serous ovarian cancers have function defects in homologous DNA repair.<sup>3</sup> The prognostic importance of these non-germline homologous recombination defects remains unclear: in The Cancer Genome Atlas series, patients with epigenetically silenced BRCA1 had significantly worse outcomes than patients with germline or somatically-acquired BRCA1/2 mutations. Further complicating matters, secondary mutations may restore BRCA1/2 function in germline mutant tumors and lead to treatment resistance later in the clinical course.<sup>23</sup>

The biology of sporadic and *BRCA*-associated ovarian cancers also may be influenced by other cancer susceptibility alleles. Recent data from the CIMBA investigators found that several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP's) were associated with ER and PR status, and therefore underlying tumor biology, in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*-associated breast cancers.<sup>24</sup> These early results suggest that germline *BRCA1/2* status most likely will also be found to interact with other genetic regions in ovarian cancer patients. As understanding of these mechanisms, increases, it is virtually certain that both modifying alleles and epigenetic regulation will be found to alter the influence of *BRCA* germline mutations in complex ways.

The findings of Bolton et al are the latest evidence that ovarian cancer is a much more genetically and biologically heterogeneous disease than previously appreciated. Further studies in similarly large datasets are needed to better understand the effects of somatic and epigenetic alterations in *BRCA* gene function as well as complex interactions with other inherited alleles. The accelerating availability of detailed somatic and germline genetic information will challenge all physicians who stand at the bedside of patients with cancer and struggle to deliver compassionate, individualized care.

#### References

- 1. Boyd J, Sonoda Y, Federici MG, et al. Clinicopathologic features of BRCA-linked and sporadic ovarian cancer. JAMA. 2000 May 3; 283(17):2260–2265. [PubMed: 10807385]
- 2. Chetrit A, Hirsh-Yechezkel G, Ben-David Y, Lubin F, Friedman E, Sadetzki S. Effect of BRCA1/2 mutations on long-term survival of patients with invasive ovarian cancer: the national Israeli study of ovarian cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2008 Jan 1; 26(1):20–25. [PubMed: 18165636]
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic analyses of ovarian carcinoma. Nature. 2011; 474(7353):609–615. [PubMed: 21720365]
- Meijers-Heijboer EJ, Verhoog LC, Brekelmans CT, et al. Presymptomatic DNA testing and prophylactic surgery in families with a BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation. Lancet. 2000 Jun 10; 355(9220):2015–2020. [PubMed: 10885351]
- 5. Kauff ND. Is It time to stratify for BRCA mutation status in therapeutic trials in ovarian cancer? Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2008 Jan 1; 26(1):9–10. [PubMed: 18165631]
- Ford D, Easton DF, Stratton M, et al. Genetic heterogeneity and penetrance analysis of the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes in breast cancer families. The Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium. Am J Hum Genet. 1998 Mar; 62(3):676–689. [PubMed: 9497246]
- Chen S, Parmigiani G. Meta-analysis of BRCA1 and BRCA2 penetrance. J Clin Oncol. 2007 Apr 10; 25(11):1329–1333. [PubMed: 17416853]
- 8. Jazaeri AA, Awtrey CS, Chandramouli GV, et al. Gene expression profiles associated with response to chemotherapy in epithelial ovarian cancers. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research. 2005 Sep 1; 11(17):6300–6310. [PubMed: 16144934]
- 9. Kauff ND, Domchek SM, Friebel TM, et al. Risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy for the prevention of BRCA1- and BRCA2-associated breast and gynecologic cancer: a multicenter,

JAMA. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 May 03.

prospective study. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2008 Mar 10; 26(8):1331–1337. [PubMed: 18268356]

- Yang D, Khan S, Sun Y, et al. Association of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations with survival, chemotherapy sensitivity, and gene mutator phenotype in patients with ovarian cancer. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association. 2011 Oct 12; 306(14):1557–1565. [PubMed: 21990299]
- Hyman DM, Zhou Q, Iasonos A, et al. Improved survival for BRCA2-associated serous ovarian cancer compared with both BRCA-negative and BRCA1-associated serous ovarian cancer. Cancer. 2011 Dec 2. [Epub ahead of print].
- 12. Bolton KL, Chenevix-Trench G, Goh C, Pharoah PD. A mult-center study to evaluate the impact of germline BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations on Ovarian Cancer Survival. JAMA. 2011
- Pharoah PD, Easton DF, Stockton DL, Gayther S, Ponder BA. Survival in familial, BRCA1associated, and BRCA2-associated epithelial ovarian cancer. United Kingdom Coordinating Committee for Cancer Research (UKCCCR) Familial Ovarian Cancer Study Group. Cancer research. 1999 Feb 15; 59(4):868–871. [PubMed: 10029077]
- Winter WE 3rd, Maxwell GL, Tian C, et al. Prognostic factors for stage III epithelial ovarian cancer: a Gynecologic Oncology Group Study. J Clin Oncol. 2007 Aug 20; 25(24):3621–3627. [PubMed: 17704411]
- Pal T, Permuth-Wey J, Betts JA, et al. BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations account for a large proportion of ovarian carcinoma cases. Cancer. 2005 Dec 15; 104(12):2807–16. [PubMed: 16284991]
- Vencken PM, Kriege M, Hoogwerf D, et al. Chemosensitivity and outcome of BRCA1- and BRCA2-associated ovarian cancer patients after first-line chemotherapy compared with sporadic ovarian cancer patients. Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology / ESMO. 2011 Jun; 22(6):1346–1352. [PubMed: 21228333]
- Daly MB, Axilbund JE, Buys S, et al. Genetic/familial high-risk assessment: breast and ovarian. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2010 May; 8(5):562–594. [PubMed: 20495085]
- Konstantinopoulos PA, Spentzos D, Karlan BY, et al. Gene Expression Profile of BRCAness That Correlates With Responsiveness to Chemotherapy and With Outcome in Patients With Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2010 Jun 14.
- 19. Farmer H, McCabe N, Lord CJ, et al. Targeting the DNA repair defect in BRCA mutant cells as a therapeutic strategy. Nature. 2005 Apr 14; 434(7035):917–921. [PubMed: 15829967]
- Audeh MW, Carmichael J, Penson RT, et al. Oral poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor olaparib in patients with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations and recurrent ovarian cancer: a proof-of-concept trial. Lancet. 2010 Jul 24; 376(9737):245–251. [PubMed: 20609468]
- Fong PC, Yap TA, Boss DS, et al. Poly(ADP)-ribose polymerase inhibition: frequent durable responses in BRCA carrier ovarian cancer correlating with platinum-free interval. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2010 May 20; 28(15):2512–2519. [PubMed: 20406929]
- 22. Sandhu SK, Wenham RM, Wilding G, et al. First-in-human trial of a poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitor MK-4827 in advanced cancer patients (pts) with antitumor activity in BRCA-deficient and sporadic ovarian cancers. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28(7s) abstr 3001.
- Husain A, He G, Venkatraman ES, Spriggs DR. BRCA1 up-regulation is associated with repairmediated resistance to cis-diamminedichloroplatinum(II). Cancer research. 1998 Mar 15; 58(6): 1120–1123. [PubMed: 9515792]
- 24. Mulligan AM, Couch FJ, Barrowdale D, et al. Common breast cancer susceptibility alleles are associated with tumor subtypes in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: results from the Consortium of Investigators of Modifiers of BRCA1/2. Breast cancer research : BCR. 2011 Nov 2.13(6):R110. [PubMed: 22053997]