

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

JRheumatol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 May 06.

Published in final edited form as: *J Rheumatol.* 2011 July ; 38(7): 1462–1466. doi:10.3899/jrheum.110273.

Serum Urate in Chronic Gout — Will It Be the First Validated Soluble Biomarker in Rheumatology?

LISA K. STAMP, PhD, FRACP, PUJA P. KHANNA, MD, MPH, NICOLA DALBETH, MD, FRACP, MAARTEN BOERS, MD, PhD, MSc, WALTER P. MAKSYMOWYCH, MD, H. RALPH SCHUMACHER Jr, MD, MICHAEL A. BECKER, MD, PATRICIA A. MacDONALD, BSN, NP, N. LAWRENCE EDWARDS, MD, JASVINDER A. SINGH, MBBS, MPH, LEE S. SIMON, MD, FIONA M. McQUEEN, MD, FRACP, TUHINA NEOGI, MD, MPH, ANGELO L. GAFFO, MS, MSPH, VIBEKE STRAND, MD, and WILLIAM J. TAYLOR, PhD, FRACP Department of Medicine, University of Otago, Christchurch, New Zealand; Division of Rheumatology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA; Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand; Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; Department of Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada; University of Pennsylvania and Veterans Affairs (VA) Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA; Rheumatology Section, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL; Takeda Global Research and Development, Deerfield, IL; Department of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL; Medicine Service, Birmingham VA Medical Center and Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine and Division of Epidemiology, University of Alabama; Center for Surgical Medical Acute Care Research and Transitions, Birmingham VA Medical Center, Birmingham, AL; Departments of Health Sciences Research and Orthopedic Surgery, Mayo Clinic School of Medicine, Rochester, MN; SDG LLC, Cambridge, MA; Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA; Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, CA; and University of Otago, Wellington, New Zealand

Abstract

Objective—To summarize evidence for and endorsement of serum urate (SU) as having fulfilled the OMERACT filter as a soluble biomarker in chronic gout at the 2010 Outcome Measures in Rheumatology Meeting (OMERACT 10).

Methods—Data were presented to support the use of SU as a soluble biomarker in chronic gout and specifically the ability to utilize it to predict future patient-reported outcomes.

Results—SU was accepted as having fulfilled the OMERACT filter by 78% of voters. However, consensus was not obtained regarding its use as a soluble biomarker in chronic gout. Although the

Address correspondence to: L.K. Stamp; lisa.stamp@cdhb.govt.nz.

L.K. Stamp, PhD, FRACP, Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, University of Otago; P.P. Khanna, MD, MPH, Clinical Instructor, Division of Rheumatology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California; N.D. Dalbeth, MD, FRACP, Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Auckland; M. Boers, MD, PhD, MSc, Professor of Clinical Epidemiology, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, VU University Medical Center; W.P. Maksymowych, MD, Professor, Department of Medicine, University of Alberta; H. R. Schumacher Jr, MD, Professor of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania and VA Medical Center; M.A. Becker, MD, Professor Emeritus of Medicine, Rheumatology Section, University of Chicago; P.A. MacDonald, BSN, NP, Associate Director of Clinical Science, Takeda Global Research and Development; N.L. Edwards, MD, Professor of Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Florida; J.A. Singh, MBBS, MPH, Associate Professor, Medicine Service, Birmingham VA Medical Center and Division of Rheumatology; Department of Medicine and Division of Epidemiology, University of Alabama; Center for Surgical Medical Acute Care Research and Transitions; Departments of Health Sciences Research and Orthopedic Surgery, Mayo Clinic School of Medicine; L.S. Simon, MD, SDG LLC Cambridge, MA; F.M. McQueen, MD, FRACP, Professor, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Auckland; T. Neogi, MD, MPH, Assistant Professor of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine; A.L. Gaffo, MS, MSPH, Assistant Professor, Birmingham VA Medical Center and Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine and Division of Epidemiology, University of Birmingham, V. Strand, MD, Adjunct Professor of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine; W.J. Taylor, PhD, FRACP, Associate Professor, University of Otago.

majority of the criteria for a soluble biomarker were fulfilled, the key criterion of association of the biomarker with outcomes was not agreed upon. It was agreed that the appropriate choice of endpoint must be linked to its clinical importance to the individual with the disorder and its temporal relationship to the intervention. Appropriate outcomes in chronic gout may therefore include gout flares, reduction in tophi, and patient-reported outcomes.

Conclusion—SU is a critical outcome measure. It has the potential to fulfil criteria for a soluble biomarker. Further analyses of existing data from randomized controlled trials will be required to determine whether SU can predict future important outcomes, in particular disability.

Key Indexing Terms

SERUM URATE; GOUT; BIOMARKER

The Outcome Measures in Rheumatology (OMERACT) consensus exercises identified serum urate (SU) as an important outcome measure in chronic gout studies with the highest median rating¹. The underlying biochemical abnormality in gout is an increase in SU, and the clinical manifestations of gout are due to the inflammatory response to the presence of urate crystals. Thus, as an outcome measure, SU could be considered a surrogate biomarker for key clinical outcomes that are of importance to both gout patients and their physicians.

OMERACT has developed a schema for validation of soluble biomarkers for structural outcomes in rheumatoid arthritis (RA), psoriatic arthritis (PsA), and spondyloarthritis (SpA)². While not specifically developed for chronic gout, the key essential criteria provide a useful framework for validating SU as a soluble biomarker in chronic gout. The criteria were adapted for use in chronic gout (Table 1). While evidence from the gout literature is sufficient to fulfil the majority of these criteria, a key criterion required of the biomarker is to independently predict future outcomes, and in this regard further work is required. Existing evidence for SU as a biomarker has been reviewed and the key areas requiring further analysis required are outlined below.

BIOMARKER CRITERIA

Feasibility

1. The assay SU is internationally standardized and is readily accessible if used for clinical practice—SU is widely available as a routine test in clinical chemistry laboratories. The reference method for SU is isotope dilution mass spectrometry and reference material is readily available.

2. Stability of SU at room temperature, frozen, after storage—SU is stable in serum stored at room temperature for up to 48 hours, in serum stored at 4° C for 8 days and in serum stored at -20° C for 4 months³. Storage for 10 years at -70° C and repeated freeze-thaw cycles have been shown to have no effect on SU concentrations⁴.

Truth and Discrimination

1. The assay for measurement of SU is reproducible—Most routine assays for SU utilize the Trinder reaction with uricase. This assay is generally reliable with between-laboratory and between-method coefficients of variation < 5%. Quality assurance programs are required to ensure that laboratory precision is maintained and that between-laboratory differences are minimized.

2. The sources of variability on levels of SU

Effect of age: In males there is a consistent increase in SU between the ages of 10 and 19 years^{5,6,7,8,9}. In girls, the data are more conflicting, with some studies showing a rise during puberty⁶ while others show no change during the early teenage years⁸. In males > 18 years of age, the majority of studies show no convincing increase in SU as a function of age^{10,11}. In comparison, there is a progressive increase in SU with age in women, especially noticeable in the perimenopausal period^{12,13}. In general, women have a lower SU than men. A number of confounding variables [e.g., female hormone profile, body mass index (BMI), and alcohol intake] may contribute to the observed effects of age on SU concentration. Multivariate analyses have shown that age is an independent variable contributing to the increase in SU in some, but not all, studies^{14,15}.

Effect of sex: SU is approximately 1 mg/dl lower in adult females than adult males. Variables influencing SU such as age and BMI have been suggested to contribute more to variation in SU in women (20%) than men $(9\%)^{16}$. The major factor thought to account for the observed gender differences is the female hormone profile.

Effect of ethnicity: There is clear evidence that SU varies among different ethnic populations. Some of the highest mean SU concentrations are in New Zealand Maori¹⁷. Other Pacific Island peoples also have high SU concentrations, including Pukapukans and Rarotongans¹⁷. In America, studies examining the difference in SU concentrations between Black Americans, Hispanics, and Whites have shown variable results^{11,18}.

Effect of circadian rhythms: The majority of studies that report diurnal variation in SU show the peak SU in the morning (0500–0800 hours) and trough SU in the evening (1700–1900 hours)^{19,20}. A number of studies also report no diurnal variation^{21,22}. Overall the diurnal variation in SU is generally small [< 0.50 mg/dl (0.03 mmol/l)] and unlikely to be of clinical significance.

Effect of BMI: The relationship between increased BMI and gout is well recognized²³. A number of studies also confirm the positive association between BMI and SU concentration in univariate and multivariate regression analyses.

Effect of renal function: A number of studies have shown that serum creatinine correlates with SU independently of age, diuretic use, and BMI, and that serum creatinine is one of the most important determinants of SU concentration^{24,25,26}. Creatinine clearance adjusts for some of the variability in creatinine due to age, weight, and gender, and is a better indicator of renal function. Creatinine clearance correlates inversely with SU²⁷.

Effect of hepatic function: A number of important liver enzymes are involved in purine metabolism and production of urate. These include xanthine oxidase, adenosine monophosphate deaminase, and glucose-6-phosphatase. Despite roles for these enzymes in urate metabolism, there is no evidence to suggest that variations in their activities, abnormal hepatic function, or raised liver function tests are associated with changes in SU.

Effect of fasting/non-fasting: Diet has an important influence on SU. Intake of purine-rich foods, such as meat and seafood, as well as sugar-sweetened soft drinks has been associated with an increase in $SU^{28,29}$. Alcohol, in particular beer, is also associated with an increase in SU^{30} . In comparison, increasing intake of dairy products and coffee has been associated with lower $SU^{28,31}$. Fasting has also been shown to result in a substantial increase in SU, by virtue of the associated generation of organic acid products that reduce renal urate clearance³².

Effects of other variables to be explored in chronic gout: While the importance of the above variables was accepted at the recent OMERACT 10 meeting, it was recognized that other variables unique to chronic gout may be of interest. For example, the effect of common medications, such as anti-hypertensives and aspirin, may need to be documented. However, this was not felt to be necessary for formal validation of SU as a biomarker.

3. SU demonstrates independent association with clinical and patient-

centered endpoints—The soluble biomarker criteria for RA, PsA, and SpA focus on the structural endpoint of radiographic change. However, the relationship between the SU and clinical and patient-reported outcomes (PRO) are perhaps more relevant in chronic gout. Potential outcomes include gout flares, tophus regression, and important PRO such as impaired physical function and health-related quality of life (HRQOL; e.g., Health Assessment Questionnaire, HAQ; Gout Assessment Questionnaire, GAQ; and/or Medical Outcome Study Short-Form 36, SF-36). It is recognized that an independent association with outcome of interest should be demonstrated to occur in patients at different disease stages and populations. Similarly, the independent predictive ability of a change in SU should predict a later change in the relevant outcome.

The choice of the most appropriate endpoints that should be predicted by a surrogate may be informed by their clinical relevance to the patient, temporal relationship to the intervention or measurement of the surrogate, and difficulty in actually measuring the endpoint or its rarity. Frequency of gout flare is a key manifestation of chronic gout. While it may initially worsen after successful control of SU, and may be difficult to measure accurately, it may be an appropriate endpoint for which change in SU could usefully substitute. Therapeutic studies have shown that while there may be an increase in gout flares in the short term, in the longer term gout flares reduce or cease with sustained reduction of SU to subsaturating levels. For example, in a study of 762 patients treated with febuxostat or allopurinol, the incidence of flares increased with withdrawal of gout prophylaxis after the 8th week, with a gradual reduction in the number of flares thereafter. Post-hoc analysis revealed that between weeks 49 to 52, the proportion of patients with gout flares was lower among those with mean post-baseline SU < 6 mg/dl (< 0.36 mmol/l) compared to those with mean postbaseline SU 6 mg/dl ($0.36 \text{ mmol/l} - 6\% \text{ vs } 14\%; \text{ p} = 0.005)^{33}$. In an open-label extension study, as SU was maintained < 6 mg/dl (< 0.36 mmol/l) the number of gout flares decreased such that only 4% of patients reported a gout flare after 18 months³⁴. Conversely, withdrawal of urate-lowering therapy has been associated with an increase in SU and recurrence of gout³⁵.

Further analysis of existing longterm clinical data is required to determine whether PRO with regard to HRQOL and function can be predicted by SU. Both physical function and HRQOL are impaired in patients with gout^{36,37,38}. From the few published studies that have addressed the relationship between SU and HRQOL and/or function, no association between SU and HRQOL for patients with chronic gout has been shown. Pegloticase has been shown to improve PRO, but a direct analysis between change in SUA and a change in PRO was not reported³⁹. Data from a phase II febuxostat study show no difference in SF-36 at 6 months and 12 months, despite all patients achieving SU level < 7.8 mg/dl (< 0.46 mmol/1)⁴⁰. However, this SU remains significantly above the recognized target SU of 6 mg/dl (0.36 mmol/1). In addition, PRO may not be noted until the SU stabilizes and the total urate pool decreases, which can take many months or years.

However, there are some unpublished data that support an association between SU control and PRO. At OMERACT 10, an analysis from 2 replicate Phase 3 randomized controlled trials of pegloticase was presented that considered the association between change in urate levels from baseline to final followup (6 months) and change in PRO scores [pain, patient

J Rheumatol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 May 06.

global, HAQ-Disability Index, SF-36 Physical Component Score (PCS) and Mental Component Score (MCS), as well as across all 8 domains]. This analysis indicates that changes in plasma urate (PU) are significantly associated with changes in PRO in the context of powerful urate-lowering therapy, even over a short timeframe of 6 months. Both change in PU and final value of PU were significantly associated with changes in all PRO, including SF-36 PCS and 8 domains, with the exception of the MCS. The magnitude of the beta coefficients in regression models for change and final value in PU were similar (ranging from 0.16 to 0.36).

DISCUSSION AND VOTING

During OMERACT 10, there was clear consensus that measurement of SU met the OMERACT filter for truth, discrimination, and feasibility as an intrinsic outcome of importance, with 78% of voters in agreement with this notion (Table 2).

However, there was much less consensus regarding the status of SU as a soluble biomarker, with about one-third of participants agreeing, disagreeing, or being uncertain regarding this concept (Table 2). Plenary discussion noted particularly that it was unclear for which outcome SU was being proposed as a surrogate. The appropriate choice of endpoint mainly revolves around its clinical importance to the individual with the disorder, and its temporal relationship to the intervention. Thus, endpoints such as structural joint damage, death, or disability are typically appropriate endpoints for which surrogate biomarkers aim to predict. In the case of chronic gout, while there are data that support the idea that changes in SU are associated with changes in PRO (pain, patient global, disability, HRQOL) over the same time period, there are no available data that clearly show any of the important endpoints listed are associated with changes in SU at more proximal time-points.

CONCLUSION

SU is a critical outcome measure in chronic gout. It has the potential to fulfil the criteria for a soluble biomarker. Existing evidence for SU as a soluble biomarker has been compiled and will be reported more comprehensively as a literature review. Further analysis of existing data from clinical studies is required to determine whether SU can predict future important outcomes, in particular disability. It is possible that such an analysis could be considered by OMERACT via Web-based voting before OMERACT 11 (so-called OMER-ACT 10b) to further address this issue. Further research that examines the influence of excellent SU control upon structural damage is also required.

Acknowledgments

Supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) Clinical Translational Science Award 1 KL2 RR024151-01 (Mayo Clinic Center for Clinical and Translational Research) to Dr. Singh; and resources and use of facilities at the Birmingham VA Medical Center, Alabama, USA. Dr. Neogi was supported by awards from the NIH K23 (AR0557127) and P60 AR047785. Dr. Khanna was supported by research grants from the NIH/NIAMS (UO1 AR057936) and an American College of Rheumatology REF Clinical Investigator Award. Data were obtained from the Savient Pharmaceuticals and the Takeda Global Research and Development. Dr. Schumacher has received consulting fees from Takeda, Savient, Regeneron, Novartis, and Pfizer. Dr. Becker has been a consultant for Takeda, Savient, BioCryst, URL Pharmaceuticals, Ardea, and Regeneron. Ms MacDonald is an employee of Takeda Global Research and travel grants from Allergan, Takeda, Savient, Dr. Singh has received speaker honoraria from Abbott; research and travel grants from Allergan, Takeda, Savient, Wyeth and Amgen; and consultant fees from Savient, URL Pharmaceuticals, and Novartis. Dr. Simon has served on the Board of Directors for Savient Pharmaceuticals and as a consultant for Takeda. Dr. Strand has served as a consultant to Savient and Takeda Pharmaceuticals.

References

- 1. Taylor W, Schumacher H, Baraf H, Chapman P, Stamp L, Doherty M, et al. A modified Delphi exercise to determine the extent of consensus with OMERACT outcome domains for studies of acute and chronic gout. Ann Rheum Dis. 2008; 67:888–91. [PubMed: 18055475]
- Maksymowych W, Landewe R, Tak P, Ritchlin C, Ostergaard M, Mease P, et al. Reappraisal of OMERACT 8 draft validation criteria for a soluble biomarker reflecting structural damage endpoints in rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, and spondyloarthritis: The OMERACT 9 v2 criteria. J Rheumatol. 2009; 36:1785–91. [PubMed: 19671813]
- Donnelly J, Soldin S, Nealon D, Hicks J. Stability of twenty-five analytes in human serum at 22 degrees C, 4 degrees C, and –20 degrees C. Pediatr Pathol Lab Med. 1995; 15:869–74. [PubMed: 8705197]
- DiMagno E, Corle D, O'Brien J, Masnyk I, Go V, Aamodt R. Effect of long-term freezer storage, thawing, and refreezing on selected constituents of serum. Mayo Clin Proc. 1989; 64:1226–34. [PubMed: 2593713]
- Agamah ES, Srinivasan SR, Webber LS, Berenson GS. Serum uric acid and its relation to cardiovascular disease risk factors in children and young adults from a biracial community: the Bogalusa Heart Study. J Lab Clin Med. 1991; 118:241–9. [PubMed: 1919297]
- Mikkelsen WM, Dodge HJ, Valkenburg H. The distribution of serum uric acid values in a population unselected as to gout or hyperuricaemia: Tecumseh, Michigan 1959–1960. Am J Med. 1965; 39:242–51. [PubMed: 14320691]
- Dodge HJ, Mikkelsen WM. Observations on the distribution of serum uric acid levels in participants of the Tecumseh, Michigan, Community Health Studies. A comparison of results of one method used at two different times and of two methods used simultaneously. J Chronic Dis. 1970; 23:161– 72. [PubMed: 5472387]
- Munan L, Kelly A, Petitclerc C. Serum urate levels between ages 10 and 14: changes in sex trends. J Lab Clin Med. 1977; 90:990–6. [PubMed: 925487]
- Nishioka K, Mikanagi K. Hereditary and environmental factors influencing on the serum uric acid throughout ten years population study in Japan. Adv Exp Med Biol. 1980; 122A:155–9. [PubMed: 7424630]
- Hall AP, Barry PE, Dawber TR, McNamara PM. Epidemiology of gout and hyperuricemia. A long-term population study. Am J Med. 1967; 42:27–37. [PubMed: 6016478]
- Fang J, Alderman MH. Serum uric acid and cardiovascular mortality. The NHANES I epidemiologic follow-up study, 1971–1992. JAMA. 2000; 283:2404–10. [PubMed: 10815083]
- Koga M, Saito H, Mukai M, Kasayama S, Yamamoto T. Factors contributing to increased serum urate in postmenopausal Japanese females. Climacteric. 2009; 12:146–52. [PubMed: 19105055]
- Alatalo PI, Koivisto HM, Hietala JP, Bloigu RS, Niemela OJ. Gender-dependent impacts of body mass index and moderate alcohol consumption on serum uric acid — an index of oxidant stress status? Free Radic Biol Med. 2009; 46:1233–8. [PubMed: 19439211]
- Munan L, Kelly A, Petitclerc C. Population serum urate levels and their correlates. The Sherbrooke regional study. Am J Epidemiol. 1976; 103:369–82. [PubMed: 1258863]
- Hak AE, Choi HK. Menopause, postmenopausal hormone use and serum uric acid levels in US women — The Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Arthritis Res Ther. 2008; 10:R116. [PubMed: 18822120]
- Acheson RM, Chan Y-K. New Haven survey of joint diseases. The prediction of serum uric acid in a general population. J Chronic Dis. 1969; 21:543–53. [PubMed: 5786428]
- Prior IA, Rose BS, Harvey HP, Davidson F. Hyperuricaemia, gout, and diabetic abnormality in Polynesian people. Lancet. 1966; 1:333–8. [PubMed: 4159475]
- Freedman DS, Williamson DF, Gunter EW, Byers T. Relation of serum uric acid to mortality and ischemic heart disease. The NHANES I Epidemiologic Follow-up Study. Am J Epidemiol. 1995; 141:637–44. [PubMed: 7702038]
- Kanabrocki E, Third J, Ryan M, Nemchausky B, Shirazi P, Scheving L, et al. Circadian relationship of serum uric acid and nitric oxide. JAMA. 2000; 283:2240–1. [PubMed: 10807381]

J Rheumatol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 May 06.

- Selmaoui B, Lambrozo J, Touitou Y. Assessment of the effects of nocturnal exposure to 50Hz magnetic fields on the human circadian system. A comprehensive study of biochemical variables. Chronobiol Int. 1999; 16:789–810. [PubMed: 10584179]
- Statland B, Winkel P, Bokelund H. Factors contributing to intra-individual variation of serum constituents: 1 Within-day variation of serum constituents in healthy subjects. Clin Chem. 1973; 19:1374–9. [PubMed: 4757366]
- Chagoya de Sanchez V, Hernandez-Munoz R, Suarez J, Vidrio S, Yanez L, Aguilar-Roblero R, et al. Temporal variations of adenosine metabolism in human blood. Chronobiol Int. 1996; 13:163– 77. [PubMed: 8874980]
- 23. Campion E, Glynn R, DeLabry L. Asymptomatic hyperuricaemia: risks and consequence in the normative aging study. Am J Med. 1987; 82:421–6. [PubMed: 3826098]
- 24. Lin C-S, Hung Y-J, Chen G-Y, Tzeng T-F, Lee D-Y, Chen C-Y, et al. A multicenter study of the association of serum uric acid, serum creatinine and diuretic use in hypertensive patients. Int J Cardiol. 2011; 148:325–30. [PubMed: 20053470]
- Sari I, Akar S, Pakoz B, Sisman AR, Gurler O, Birlik M, et al. Hyperuricemia and its related factors in an urban population, Izmir, Turkey. Rheumatol Int. 2009; 29:869–74. [PubMed: 19048257]
- Kono S, Shinchi K, Imanishi K, Honjo S, Todoroki I. Behavioural and biological correlates of serum uric acid: a study of self-defence officials in Japan. Int J Epidemiol. 1994; 23:517–22. [PubMed: 7960375]
- Salazar M, Carbajal H, Marillet A, Gallo D, Valli M, Novello M, et al. Glomerular filtration rate, cardiovascular risk factors and insulin resistance. Medicina (B Aires). 2009; 69:541–6. [PubMed: 19897440]
- Choi H, Liu S, Curhan G. Intake of purine-rich foods, protein and diary products and relationship to serum levels of uric acid. Arthritis Rheum. 2005; 52:283–9. [PubMed: 15641075]
- Choi J, Ford E, Gao X, Choi H. Sugar-sweetened soft drinks, diet soft drinks and serum uric acid level: The Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Arthritis Care Res. 2008; 59:109–16.
- Choi H, Curhan G. Beer, liquor, and wine consumption and serum uric acid level: The Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Arthritis Care Res. 2004; 51:1023–9.
- 31. Choi H, Curhan G. Coffee, tea and caffeine consumption and serum uric acid level: The Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Arthritis Care Res. 2007; 57:816–21.
- 32. Drenick EJ. Hyperuricemia, acute gout, renal insufficiency and urate nephrolithiasis due to starvation. Arthritis Rheum. 1965; 8:988–97. [PubMed: 5863113]
- Becker M, Schumacher HR, Wortmann R, MacDonald P, Eustace D, Palo W, et al. Febuxostat compared with allopurinol in patients with hyperuricaemia and gout. N Engl J Med. 2005; 353:2450–61. [PubMed: 16339094]
- Becker M, Schumacher HR, MacDonald P, Lloyd E, Lademacher C. Clinical efficacy and safety of successful longterm urate lowering with febuxostat or allopurinol in subjects with gout. J Rheumatol. 2009; 36:1273–82. [PubMed: 19286847]
- 35. Perez-Ruiz F, Atxotegi J, Hernando I, Calabozo M, Nolla J. Using serum urate levels to determine the period free of gouty symptoms after withdrawal of long-term urate-lowering therapy: a prospective study. Arthritis Care Res. 2006; 55:786–90.
- Alvarez-Nemegyei J, Cen-Piste JC, Medina-Escobedo M, Villanueva-Jorge S. Factors associated with musculoskeletal disability and chronic renal failure in clinically diagnosed primary gout. J Rheumatol. 2005; 32:1923–7. [PubMed: 16206348]
- Singh JA, Strand V. Gout is associated with more comorbidities, poorer health-related quality of life and higher healthcare utilisation in US veterans. Ann Rheum Dis. 2008; 67:1310–6. [PubMed: 18178692]
- Dalbeth N, Collis J, Gregory K, Clark B, Robinson E, McQueen F. Tophaceous joint disease strongly predicts hand function in patients with gout. Rheumatology. 2007; 46:1804–7. [PubMed: 17982165]

- 39. Strand V, Edwards N, Baraf H, Becker M, Sundy J, Huang B, et al. Improvement in health related quality of life (HRQOL) in patients with treatment failure gout treated with pegloticase measured by SF-6D derived utility [abstract]. Arthritis Rheum. 2009; 60 (Suppl):S412.
- Colwell HH, Hunt BJ, Pasta DJ, Palo WA, Mathias SD, Joseph-Ridge N. Gout Assessment Questionnaire: Initial results of reliability, validity and responsiveness. Int J Clin Pract. 2006; 60:1210–7. [PubMed: 16911575]

Table 1

Essential criteria from the OMERACT soluble biomarker criteria adapted for use in chronic gout; from J Rheumatol 2009;36:1785–91².

Truth and discrimination	The assay for measurement of serum urate (SU) is reproducible according to reliability analysis
	The effects of sources of variability on SU concentrations in appropriate controls are known for the following core variables — age, sex, ethnicity, circadian rhythms, body mass index, renal/hepatic function, fasting/non-fasting SU demonstrates independent association with clinical and patient-centered endpoints. The key clinical/patient-centered endpoints in chronic gout are number of gout flares, tophus regression, dissolution of crystals, radiographic damage, and patient function and quality of life
Feasibility	The assay for measurement of SU is internationally standardized (availability of reference standards), and is readily accessible if used for clinical practice Stability of SU at room temperature, in frozen specimens, after repeat freeze/thaw cycles, and after longterm storage has been documented

Table 2

Results of plenary voting related to serum urate measurement.

Question	Yes (%)	No (%)	Don't Know (%)	Total
Do you agree that serum urate meets the OMERACT filter for truth discrimination and feasibility?		8 (11)	8 (11)	72
Do you agree that serum urate meets the OMERACT biomarker criteria?	27 (34)	25 (32)	27 (34)	79

J Rheumatol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 May 06.