

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript**

Res Microbiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 November 01.

Published in final edited form as: Res Microbiol. 2012 ; 163(0): 674–684. doi:10.1016/j.resmic.2012.10.013.

# **Phosphorus limitation increases attachment in** *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* **and reveals a conditional functional redundancy in adhesin biosynthesis**

**Jing Xu**, **Jinwoo Kim**1, **Thomas Danhorn**1, **Peter M. Merritt**, and **Clay Fuqua**\* Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

# **Abstract**

Bacterial responses to phosphorus limitation, commonly inorganic phosphate  $(P_i)$ , are important survival mechanisms in a variety of environments. The two-component sensor kinase PhoR and its cognate response regulator PhoB are central to the  $P_i$  limitation response of many bacteria and control the large Pho regulon. Limitation for  $P_i$  significantly increased attachment and biofilm formation by the plant pathogen *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, and this was driven by PhoB. Surprisingly, it was also found that both  $phoR$  and  $phoB$  were essential in  $A$ . tumefaciens. Expression of a plasmid-borne copy of the low affinity P<sub>i</sub> transporter (*pit*) from Sinorhizobium meliloti in A. tumefaciens abolished the phoB and phoR essentiality in A. tumefaciens and allowed direct demonstration of the requirement for this regulatory system in the biofilm response. Increased attachment under P<sub>i</sub> limitation required a unipolar polysaccharide (UPP) adhesin. Mutation of a polyisoprenylphosphate hexose-1-phosphate transferase (PHPT) called uppE abolished UPP production and prevented surface attachment under P<sub>i</sub>-replete conditions, but this was rescued under  $P_i$  limitation, and this rescue required *phoB*. In low  $P_i$  conditions, either *uppE* or a paralogous gene Atu0102 is functionally redundant, but only  $uppE$  functions in UPP synthesis and attachment when  $P_i$  is replete. This conditional functional redundancy illustrates the influence of phosphorus availability on A. tumefaciens surface colonization.

### **Keywords**

Biofilm; Phosphate limitation; PhoR-PhoB; Adhesin

# **1. Introduction**

The element phosphorus is an important compound for all life forms and is often a limiting nutrient in natural environments, usually in the form of inorganic phosphate (P<sub>i</sub>). Bacteria

<sup>© 2012</sup> Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence and reprints, cfuqua@indiana.edu.

Jing Xu, xu20@indiana.edu

Jinwoo Kim, jinwoo@gnu.ac.kr

Thomas Danhorn, tdanhorn@indiana.edu

Peter M. Merritt, pmerritt@indiana.edu

<sup>1</sup>Present addresses: J. Kim, Department of Applied Biology and Institute of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju, Korea; T. Danhorn; Center for Genes Environment and Health, National Jewish Health, 1400 Jackson St, Denver CO 80206

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

have evolved a wide range of mechanisms by which they can adapt to  $P_i$  limitation, including enhanced uptake of phosphorus-containing compounds from the environment, release of phosphatase enzymes that can release  $P_i$  from macromolecules and activation of phosphorus-sparing systems (Benning et al., 1995; Wanner, 1993). The response to limiting phosphate has been well studied in several model bacterial systems. In Escherichia coli, a very large regulon of genes is controlled in response to  $P_i$  limitation by the PhoR-PhoB twocomponent system (Hsieh and Wanner, 2010; Van Bogelen et al., 1996). For this regulatory system, PhoR is kept inactive in conditions with replete  $P_i$ . If the levels of  $P_i$  are diminished, PhoR senses this change (directly, or indirectly through interaction with the PstSCAB phosphate transporter through the PhoU coupling protein) and its histidine kinase activity is stimulated. Phospho-PhoR donates phosphate to the PhoB response regulator, which is a transcription factor that controls many of the genes influenced by the  $P_i$  limitation response. PhoR and PhoB are well conserved and have been studied in many different proteobacteria.

The phosphate limitation response has also been examined in plant-associated members of the Rhizobiaceae, most extensively in Sinorhizobium meliloti, and also relies upon homologues of PhoR and PhoB (McDermott, 2000). The uptake of phosphorus from the environment in S. meliloti can be mediated by at least three different major pathways: (i) Pit low affinity  $P_i$  permease; (ii) high affinity ABC-type  $P_i$  transporter PstSCAB; and (iii) the similar high affinity PhoCDET ABC transporter, that likely preferentially transports phosphonates, but will also transport P<sub>i</sub> (Voegele et al., 1997; Yuan et al., 2006a). In S. meliloti, phospho-PhoB has been shown to activate *pstSCAB* and *phoCDET* expression and to repress *pit* expression under  $P_i$  limitation (Yuan et al., 2006a). Conversely, when  $P_i$  is replete, expression of neither *pstSCAB* nor *phoCDET* is activated and *pit* expression is derepressed. This regulatory pattern makes sense, as Pit does not require ATP to drive  $P_i$ transport when it is abundant, but when conditions are limiting, the cell utilizes energy to scavenge P<sub>i</sub> and similar phosphorus-containing compounds from the environment.

In previous studies of the plant pathogen Agrobacterium tumefaciens, a member of the *Rhizobiaceae* related to *S. meliloti*, we had found that the  $P_i$  limitation response stimulated both adherence to surfaces and biofilm formation (Danhorn et al., 2004). A. tumefaciens is a plant pathogen that causes crown gall and is well known for its ability to transfer DNA into plant cells (Tzfira and Citovsky, 2008). To determine whether A. tumefaciens PhoR-PhoB orthologues were required for enhancement of biofilm formation at low P<sub>i</sub>, we had attempted to create independent null mutations in phoR and phoB, but found that neither gene could be disrupted (Danhorn et al., 2004). Provision of plasmid-borne copies of either  $phoR$  or  $phoB$  allowed disruption of the corresponding genomic copy, suggesting that these genes were essential in A. tumefaciens. This was surprising, as these regulators have been disrupted in many other bacteria, and although the mutations typically debilitate the P<sub>i</sub>limitation response, the genes are clearly non-essential in other species. Using a controlled expression system, we were able to demonstrate the elevation of biofilm formation in static conditions by high-level expression of  $phoB$  even under  $P_i$  replete conditions, suggesting that the enhanced biofilm levels were a component of the  $P_i$  limitation response.

In this study, we report that expression of the  $S$ . meliloti pit gene from a plasmid suppresses the observed essentiality of *phoR* and *phoB* in A. tumefaciens. Using this information, the requirement of this regulatory system for enhanced biofilm formation is directly tested. Stimulation of attachment under P<sub>i</sub> limitation requires a recently discovered polar adhesin called the unipolar polysaccharide (UPP), and mutants which cannot synthesize the UPP do not attach. However, we report that the effect of a presumptive UPP synthesis gene is ameliorated under  $P_i$  limitation, and that this is due to a functional redundancy in A. tumefaciens that is only effective at low P<sub>i</sub>.

#### **2. Materials and methods**

#### **2.1. Strains, plasmids, reagents and growth conditions**

All of the strains and plasmids used in this study are described in Table 1. Buffers, antibiotics and microbiological media were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA) and Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). DNA manipulations were performed in accordance with standard protocols (Sambrook et al., 1989). Plasmids were electroporated into A. tumefaciens by a standard method (Mersereau et al., 1990). DNA sequencing was performed with ABI BigDye Terminator version 3.1 on an ABI 3700 sequencer operated by the Indiana Molecular Biology Institute. Oligonucleotides were obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA, USA (primer information is listed in Table S1). Alexa Fluor 594 conjugate of wheat germ agglutinin (af-WGA) was obtained from Invitrogen (Grand Island, NY, USA). Fluorescein-labeled Dolichos biflorus agglutinin (fl-DBA) from a fluorescein lectin kit was obtained from Vector Laboratories, (Burlingame, CA, USA). The E. coli strains used for plasmid DNA transformation or conjugation of plasmids were grown in LB broth (Difco Bacto tryptone at 10 g liter−1, Difco yeast extract at 10 g liter−1 and NaCl at 5 g liter<sup>-1</sup>, pH 7.2) with or without 1.5% (w v<sup>-1</sup>) agar. A. tumefaciens derivatives were grown on AT minimal salt medium (Tempé et al., 1977) supplemented with 0.5% (wt vol<sup>-1</sup>) glucose and 15 mM ammonium sulfate (ATGN). To prevent the accumulation of iron oxide precipitate, the FeS0<sub>4</sub> prescribed in the original AT recipe was omitted, with no adverse growth effect. However, for biofilm cultures,  $22u$ M FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O was added to ATGN medium immediately before use. For phosphorus limitation experiments, the phosphate buffer of the AT medium was replaced with 5 mM imidazole buffer, pH 7, and 50  $\mu$ M phosphate was added ((Danhorn and Fuqua, 2007). For sacB counterselection, 5% sucrose (Suc) replaced glucose as the sole carbon source (ATSN). Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations (mg liter<sup>-1</sup>): for E. coli, ampicillin (Ap), 50; gentamicin (Gm), 25; kanamycin (Km), 50; streptomycin (Sm), 25; and for A. tumefaciens, Gm, 300; Km, 150; Sm, 2,000.

#### **2.2. Recombinational mutagenesis and in-frame markerless deletion mutants**

Campbell insertion in phoB was performed as reported previously (Danhorn et al., 2004). The pTD105 suicide plasmid (pVIK112 carrying the *phoB* gene Atu0425 truncated at both ends), was transformed into E. coli S17-1/ $\lambda$ pir and then conjugated into A. tumefaciens C58 derivatives either with a plasmid-borne copy of the S. meliloti pit gene expressed from the *lac* promoter ( $P_{Iac}$ -pit<sub>Sm</sub>) or a vector control (Fig. S1). The pTD105 plasmid cannot replicate in A. tumefaciens, and transconjugants carrying the plasmid-interrupted genomic copy of *phoB* were selected on ATGN plates with Km. Presumptive  $\text{Km}^R$  recombinants were analyzed by PCR using a plasmid primer (lacZ) and a primer (phoB-1) located upstream of the recombined fragment of *phoB* (Fig. S1).

Allelic replacement of A. tumefaciens genes interrupted with antibiotic resistance cassettes and creation of markerless in-frame deletions was performed as reported previously (Danhorn et al., 2004; Merritt et al., 2007). For allelic replacement of phoR (Atu0419), the pTD102 suicide plasmid carrying the *phoR* gene interrupted with a  $\text{Km}^R$  cassette was transformed into E. coli S17-1/ $\lambda$ pir and then conjugated into A. tumefaciens C58 harboring either the pPM194 ( $P_{lac}$ -pit<sub>Sm</sub>) or a vector control (Fig. S2). Transconjugants with the integrated plasmid were selected on ATGN plates plus Sm and Km (the plasmid and cassette resistance markers, respectively) and allelic replacement recombinants were subsequently selected for using the pTD102 sacB marker (Kaniga et al., 1991) and sucrose sensitivity (Suc<sup>S</sup>) while also maintaining selection for the  $Km^R$  cassette. Presumptive Suc<sup>R</sup>Km<sup>R</sup>Sm<sup>S</sup> recombinants were evaluated by PCR with external primers (phoR-1 and phoR-2) for replacement of the genomic  $phoR$  gene with  $phoR$ :: Km (Fig. S2).

For allelic replacement of genes with in-frame deletions, approximately 500 to 1,000 bp of flanking sequence upstream (primers P1 and P2) and downstream (primers P3 and P4) of the reading frame targeted for deletion were amplified by PCR. Primers were designed to remove as much of the coding sequence as possible without disrupting any possible translational coupling. Primers P2 and P3 were designed with 18-bp complementary sequences at their 5′ ends (lower case italicized nucleotides in Table S1) to facilitate splicing by overlapping extension (SOE), essentially as described previously (Warrens et al., 1997). Briefly, both flanking sequences were amplified using the high-fidelity Phusion DNA polymerase (NEB) and were agarose-gel-purified. Purified PCR products were used as both templates and primers for a five-cycle PCR. A final PCR step used primers 1 and 4, with 2 μl of the second-step reaction mix as the template, generating the full-length spliced product. The final PCR products were cloned into pGEM-T Easy (Promega), confirmed by sequencing, excised by cleavage with the appropriate restriction enzyme and ligated with suicide vector pNPTS138 cleaved at compatible restriction sites. The pNPTS138 suicide plasmid derivatives, conferring  $\text{Km}^{\text{R}}\text{Suc}^{\text{S}}$ , were introduced into A. tumefaciens C58 by conjugal transfer, and transconjugants with the intergrated plasmid were selected on ATGN plus Km. As described above, allelic replacement recombinants were subsequently selected using the pNPTS138 sacB marker and sucrose sensitivity (Suc<sup>S</sup>) by plating on ATSN. Plasmid excision was verified by patching  $Suc^R$  clones onto ATGN plus Km to identify derivatives that had lost the plasmid  $\text{Km}^R$  marker. Appropriate deletion of the target genes was confirmed by diagnostic PCR and DNA sequencing of the products (with primers P5 and P6, which flank the target region and primer P7, which is within the target region).

#### *2.3. Expression plasmid for* **S. meliloti pit**

Construction of a plasmid to ectopically express the S. meliloti pit gene ( $pi_{\text{Sm}}$ , SMc02861) was performed as reported previously (Merritt et al., 2007). Coding sequences for  $pi_{\text{Sm}}$ were PCR-amplified from *S. meliloti* 1021 genomic DNA using the corresponding primers Sm pit 5<sup> $\prime$ </sup> and Sm pit 3<sup> $\prime$ </sup> (Table S1) and the Phusion polymerase, ligated into pGEM-T Easy, confirmed by sequencing, excised by restriction enzyme cleavage and ligated with appropriately cleaved pBBR1MCS-5 plasmid. (Kovach et al., 1995). 5′ primers were designed with stop codons in all three reading frames downstream of the pBBR *lacZa* to prevent translational readthrough and followed by the E.coli lacZ ribosome binding site to optimize expression of pit<sub>Sm</sub>. Plasmid derivatives harboring the correct inserts were verified by restriction digestion and sequencing prior to electroporation into competent A. tumefaciens cells and this  $P_{lac}$ -pit<sub>Sm</sub> plasmid was designated pPM194.

#### **2.4. Cultivation and analysis of static culture biofilms**

Static culture biofilms were grown essentially as described previously (Ramey et al., 2004). Briefly, sterile polyvinyl chloride (PVC) cover-slips were placed vertically in 12-well polystyrene cell culture plates (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA), inoculated with cells in ATGN at an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.05, and incubated at room temperature for 72 h. For crystal violet (CV) staining of biofilms, coverslips were rinsed in double-distilled  $H_2O$ , stained with 0.1% (wt vol<sup>-1</sup>) CV for 10 min, and rinsed again in double-distilled H<sub>2</sub>O. CV-stained biomass adhering to the coverslip was quantified by soaking stained coverslips in 1 ml of 33% acetic acid to solubilize the CV, followed by absorbance measurement of the soluble stain at 600 nm  $(A_{600})$  in a Bio-Tek Synergy HT microplate reader. Absorbance values were normalized to culture growth by dividing the  $A_{600}$  value for solubilized CV by the OD<sub>600</sub> of the culture.

#### **2.5. Flow cell configuration and analyses**

The flow cell biofilm assay was performed essentially as described previously (Danhorn et al., 2004). Briefly, once-through flow cells (Christensen et al., 1999) with a 200 μl chamber volume were inoculated with A. tume faciens carrying pJZ383 ( $P_{tac}$ : gfpmut3) for GFP

expression. All tubing and bubble traps were autoclaved prior to assembly of the flow cell system. The system was filled with 0.5% sodium hypochlorite and left overnight without flow. A minimum of 2 l of sterile water was flushed through the system prior to treatment with 0.6% hydrogen peroxide at a flow rate of ~30 ml h<sup>-1</sup> for at least 3 h. Flow cells were flushed with 2-3 l of sterile water and then equilibrated with AT minimal medium with 0.5% mannitol (ATMN) as a carbon source (flow rate of 3 ml h<sup>-1</sup>) for at least 12 h prior to inoculation. For each strain tested, three individual flow channels were inoculated. Each chamber was inoculated with 200  $\mu$ l of cells suspended in ATMN at an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.4. After 30 min, flow was resumed at a rate of 3 ml h<sup>-1</sup> and continued uninterrupted for the duration of the experiment. A crude culture extract with high levels of 3-oxooctanoyl-l-homoserine lactone (3-oxo-C8-HSL) was added to the ATMN to a final concentration of 0.1% to induce expression of the  $P_{\text{trat}}$  phoB gene carried on pTD115. Surface colonization of the glass slide was monitored for several days using a Perkin Elmer spinning disk confocal microscope (SDCM). Five stacks of z-sections (0.5 or 0.8  $\mu$ m spacing) were taken for each of three flow cell chambers per treatment, and the results shown are the average of 15 sample image stacks, corresponding to a surface total area of approx. 236,500  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>, sufficient to yield representative quantitative biofilm data. Each field of view had an area of approximately  $1.58 \times 10^4$   $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>. Images were acquired with the Perkin Elmer UltraView software package and analyzed with the *auto*COMSTAT program based on the COMSTAT package by Heydorn et al. (Heydorn et al., 2000; Merritt et al., 2007), running in MatLab R2006a.

#### **2.6. Short-term binding assays and lectin labeling**

A short-term binding assay was performed essentially as described previously (Merritt et al., 2007). Assays were conducted by growing the appropriate strains in ATGN or limited P<sup>i</sup> medium to an  $OD_{600}$ ~0.6. Glass coverslips were floated on 5 ml of culture in six-well tissue culture plates for 2 h. Coverslips were removed from the plates and rinsed thoroughly with 1X AT buffer (79 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.0). Those coverslips were placed on top of 100 µl 10  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> af-WGA or fl-DBA. After 20 min, coverslips were rinsed gently 3 times with 1X AT buffer and mounted on slides for microscopy. Each strain was tested in triplicate, with 10 view fields captured for each coverslip.

## **3. Results**

#### **3.1. The PhoR-PhoB system stimulates**

A. tumefaciens biofilm formation in flow cells. Our previous findings clearly indicated that the *phoR* (Atu0419) and the *phoB* (Atu0425) genes of A. tumefaciens C58 are essential under a variety of P<sub>i</sub> levels and several alternative sources of phosphorus (Danhorn et al., 2004). This observation was surprising and precluded addressing the simple question of whether the enhanced biofilm formation observed under  $P_i$  limitation required the PhoR-PhoB response pathway. We circumvented the essentiality of the *phoR* and *phoB* genes by constructing a strain in which the resident copy of phoB was disrupted and an intact plasmid-borne copy was expressed from the strong but tightly controlled *traI* promoter  $(P<sub>tral</sub>)$  of A. tumefaciens (Danhorn et al., 2004). The  $P<sub>tral</sub>$  promoter is activated by the TraR transcriptional activator in response to N-3-oxo-octanoyl-L-homoserine lactone (3-oxo-C8- HSL), the quorum sensing signal produced by wild type A. tumefaciens harboring the Ti plasmid (Zhang et al., 1993). The A. tumefaciens strain in which this was used lacks the Ti plasmid and thus does not synthesize this inducing signal (Fuqua and Winans, 1994). Expression of *phoB* from this plasmid simulated the  $P_i$ -limitation response even under  $P_i$ replete conditions, as determined from alkaline phosphatase induction (Danhorn et al., 2004). Under the same conditions, elevated  $phoB$  expression also stimulated biofilm formation in static cultures. We have now examined biofilm formation by this phoB controlled expression strain (also harboring a plasmid-borne constitutive *gfp* gene) by

spinning disk confocal microscopy (SDCM) in once-through flow cells. Induction of the  $P_{traf}$ -phoB plasmid with a crude preparation of 3-oxo-C8-HSL (0.1% v/v) resulted in a striking increase in attachment and biofilm formation relative to the same strain in the absence of inducer (Fig. 1A), with far greater biofilm height, percent coverage and biovolume (as quantitated using autoCOMSTAT analysis). The same strain lacking the phoB plasmid does not respond to the crude 3-oxo-C8-HSL preparation at all (Danhorn et al., 2004)(data not shown). The weak biofilm formation by this strain in the absence of inducer may reflect the impact of limited uninduced *phoB* expression from the plasmid, although this is sufficient to allow growth.

#### *3.2. Provision of a plasmid-borne copy of the* **S. meliloti pit gene allows a direct demonstration that PhoR-PhoB stimulates biofilm formation in A. tumefaciens**

In S. meliloti the low affinity Pit transporter (encoded by S. meliloti 1021 pit, designated here as  $\pi$ is largely responsible for transporting  $P_i$  when it is replete (Voegele et al., 1997). Inspection of the A. tumefaciens C58 genome revealed that the pit gene (Atu4633) product is 139 amino acids shorter at its C-terminal end than the S. meliloti Pit protein, as well as other rhizobial Pit homologues, and thus may have incurred a truncation (Fig. 2). The gene immediately upstream of  $p$ it<sub>Sm</sub> in *S. meliloti* is designated *orfA* and overlaps by 1 bp with the 5<sup> $\prime$ </sup> coding sequence of *pit*<sub>Sm</sub>. Although the function of *orfA* is not understood, this tandem arrangement is exactly conserved in  $A$ . tumefaciens and the Atu4634 gene product is 79% identical to OrfA (Fig. 2). Sequence analysis of the oldest obtainable isolate of C58 from the culture collection at Cornell University (C58 was isolated in Geneva, NY from a cherry tree gall in 1958) revealed this pit truncation to also be present (data not shown). The C-terminal truncation of the *pit* gene in A. tumefaciens C58 removes four predicted transmembrane domains (Fig. 2). We therefore reasoned that provision of the S. meliloti pit gene might abolish the observed essentiality of *phoR* and *phoB* and allow us to mutate these genes to directly examine their role in biofilm formation

In the A. tume faciens strains harboring the  $P_{lac}$  pit<sub>Sm</sub> plasmid, we were readily able to obtain *phoB* and *phoR* null mutants, whereas no mutants were obtained in strains harboring a vector control (Fig. S1 and S2). With these mutants in hand, we could thus examine the influence of PhoR and PhoB on biofilm formation under  $P_i$  limitation. Consistent with our prediction that the PhoR-PhoB two-component system is required for the  $P_i$  response, we observed that biofilm enhancement under  $P_i$  limiting conditions is abolished for mutants in which  $phoR$  is interrupted (Fig. 1B). Disruption of  $phoB$  results in the same lack of response to limiting  $P_i$  (data not shown).

#### *3.3. Evaluation of the role for* **A. tumefaciens** *exopolysaccharides in biofilms under Pi limitation*

Environmental levels of  $P_i$  are known to influence the synthesis of several different exopolysaccharides in S. meliloti, including succinoglycan (EPS I) and galactoglucan (EPS II) (Mendrygal and Gonzalez, 2000). PhoB is required to activate expression of exp genes that direct production of galactoglucan under low  $P_i$  conditions. Given the recognized role of EPS in biofilm formation, it seemed possible that one of the A. tumefaciens EPSs might be responsible for the enhancement of biofilm formation under limiting  $P_i$  (although A. tumefaciens does not produce galactoglucan). We utilized a series of unmarked deletion mutations (Xu et al., submitted) that were created in biosynthetic genes for several of the known A. tumefaciens EPSs including succinoglycan ( $Δ$ exoA), cellulose ( $Δ$ cel),  $β$ -1,2 glucans ( $ΔchvAB$ ),  $β-1,3$  glucans (also known as curdlan,  $ΔcrdS$ ), and the unipolar polysaccharide (designated UPP  $\triangle$ uppABCDEF, a cluster of genes all homologous to polysaccharide biosynthetic functions and required for UPP production). Biofilm formation of the mutants was evaluated on PVC coverslips at both high (79 mM) and low (50  $\mu$ M) P<sub>i</sub>

levels. We observed that single deletion mutants disrupted in genes required for succinoglycan, cellulose, curdlan or  $\beta$ -1,2 glucans still maintained the  $P_i$  response (Fig. 3A and 3B). Moreover, the  $P_i$  response was still maintained in double mutants (such as  $\triangle cr dS$  $\Delta$ *exoA* deficient in curdlan and succinoglycan), in triple mutants (such as  $\Delta$ *crdS*  $\Delta$ *cel* ΔexoA deficient in curdlan, cellulose and succinoglycan), and even a quadruple deletion mutant deficient in these polysaccharides plus the cyclic β-1,2 glucan mutation ( $\Delta crdS \Delta cel$  $\Delta$ exoA  $\Delta$ chvAB). It is noteworthy that β-1,2-glucan mutants in which chvAB is deleted exhibit decreased biofilm formation under P<sub>i</sub> replete conditions relative to the wild type, but this decrease is abolished under  $P_i$  limitation (Fig. 3A and 3B).

A deletion of the entire uppABCDEF gene cluster (Atu1235-1240) completely abolishes detectable UPP for A. tumefaciens (Merritt et al. in preparation). These genes are conserved in other rhizobia and, in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. viciae, mutations in these homologues block synthesis of a unipolar glucomannan (Williams et al., 2008). Biofilm formation under  $P_i$ -replete conditions is completely blocked in the  $\Delta$ *upp* cluster deletion and P<sub>i</sub> limitation does not rescue this phenotype at all (Fig. 3A and 3B). The UPP is only detected in wild type cultures upon surface contact (Li et al., 2012). We often evaluate UPP production using short-term binding assays on coverslips, in which the bacteria have only 1-2 hs to attach, after which they are incubated in the presence of fluorescently labeled lectin (af-WGA specific for N-acetylglucosamine or fl-DBA, specific for N-acetylgalactosamine) and subsequently examined microscopically for attachment and UPP unipolar labeling. Low P<sub>i</sub> levels can greatly stimulate attachment and UPP production for wild type A. tumefaciens (3-5X greater attached cells from an equivalent inoculum), particularly within cell clusters, which are much more prevalent in these cultures (Fig. 3C). This effect parallels the strong increase in biofilm formation under  $P_i$  limitation. However, even under  $P_i$  limitation, planktonic single cells do not produce significant amounts of detectable UPP, but require contact with surfaces or with other cells (within aggregates) to elaborate the structure (data not shown).

#### *3.4. A* **uppE mutant is rescued in Pi-limiting conditions through PhoR-PhoB**

Within the *uppABCDEF* gene cluster, we first identified  $\text{uppE}$  (Atu1236) as the disrupted gene in a transposon mutant which could not attach to surfaces and is completely deficient for biofilm formation under P<sub>i</sub>-replete conditions (Merritt et al. in preparation). The genes in this cluster all are homologous to polysaccharide biosynthetic proteins and they all influence UPP production. UppE is a polyisoprenylphosphate hexose-1-phosphate transferase (PHPT), defined as the WbaP component in the generalized Group I capsule synthesis pathway (Whitfield, 2006). UppE is homologous to  $hfsE$  in *Caulobacter crescentus*, which is involved in synthesis of the unipolar holdfast at the end of stalks in this bacterium (Toh et al., 2008). By analogy to HfsE, UppE presumably adds the initiating sugar residues, perhaps N-acetyl glucosamines, during UPP synthesis. In-frame deletion of uppE prevents biofilm formation under  $P_i$  replete conditions (Fig. 4A), as with the original transposon mutant, and also prevents normal synthesis of UPP as detected by af-WGA labeling of cells in a short-term surface binding assay as compared to the wild type (Fig. 5). Surprisingly, the biofilm deficiency of the *uppE* mutant was to a great extent corrected in  $P_i$ -limiting conditions (Fig. 4A and B) and production of the UPP in the short-term binding assays was also rescued (Fig. 5). No other individual mutations in the  $\mu pABCDEF$  cluster were rescued by low  $P_i$ (Merritt et al., in preparation).

Given the observation that enhancement of biofilm formation under P<sub>i</sub>-limitation involves the PhoR-PhoB system, the rescue of  $uppE$  with  $P_i$  limitation was tested in the  $phoB$  null mutant (harboring the plasmid-expressed  $pi_{\text{Sm}}$ ). Biofilm assays clearly demonstrate that *phoB* is required for  $P_i$ -limitation rescue of *the uppE* mutant (Fig. 4A).

#### *3.5. Functional redundancy of* **uppE** *and one of its paralogues under P<sup>i</sup> limitation*

The observation that low  $P_i$  rescued the  $\Delta$ *uppE* mutant but not the  $\Delta$ *uppABCDEF* cluster deletion suggested that there might be a functionally redundant gene(s) in  $A$ . tumefaciens that can specifically compensate for the loss of  $upp E$  under  $P_i$  limitation. Analysis of the A. tume faciens genome revealed the presence of two genes that are paralogous to  $\mu p \in F$  (Fig. 4C and 4D):  $\exp(Y(\text{Atu}3327))$ , which is involved in the first step of succinoglycan biosynthesis, and Atu0102, another initiating PHPT-type protein most similar to  $pssY$  from R. leguminosarum (Janczarek et al., 2009). Non-polar deletion of each gene and double deletion mutants with  $\text{upp}E$  were constructed and assayed for attachment and biofilm formation in P<sub>i</sub>-replete and P<sub>i</sub>-limiting medium (Fig. 4A and 4B). The  $\triangle$ *exoY*  $\triangle$ *uppE* double deletion mutant retained its ability to form biofilms and produce UPP under P<sup>i</sup> limiting conditions, albeit at lower levels than the  $upp \to$  single mutant. Although the single ΔAtu0102 deletion exhibited essentially wild type levels of biofilm formation in both high and low  $P_i$ , introducing the  $\Delta$ Atu0102 deletion into the  $\Delta$ *uppE* mutant abolished the  $P_i$ limitation rescue of the  $\mu p E$  mutant phenotype, indicating that Atu0102 could compensate for the absence of *uppE*. The short-term surface binding assay further confirmed that the  $\Delta$ *uppE*  $\Delta$ Atu0102 mutant is deficient in UPP elaboration in P<sub>i</sub>-limiting conditions (Fig. 5). The enhanced biofilm formation of the  $\Delta \text{Atu0102}$  mutant in low  $P_i$  also suggests that it is not exclusively the activity of Atu0102 that leads to this  $P_i$  stimulation, despite its ability to compensate for  $\text{upp}E$  when  $P_i$  is limiting.

# **4. Discussion**

In this study, we have circumvented the *phoR* and  $phoB$  essentiality in A. tumefaciens C58 by providing a copy of the pit gene from S. meliloti, and with this construct, have demonstrated the requirement for this regulatory system in enhanced biofilm formation under  $P_i$  limitation, further revealing that it requires the UPP adhesin. We have also uncovered a functional redundancy in UPP synthesis that is conditional, only manifested under low  $P_i$ .

Our results indicate that the previously observed essentiality of *phoR* and *phoB* can be obviated by provision of a full-length *pit* gene from *S. meliloti*. The plasmid-borne *pit*<sub>Sm</sub> likely compensates for the A. tumefaciens pit gene, which is significantly shorter  $(\sim 139)$ codons) than *pit* genes in other rhizobia, suggesting a C-terminal truncation (Fig. 2). The use of the  $P_{lac}$  pit<sub>Sm</sub> plasmid has allowed us to examine the role of the PhoR-PhoB response pathway in enhancement of A. tumefaciens biofilm formation under  $P_i$  limitation. This effect of the  $P_{lac}$  pit<sub>Sm</sub> plasmid also suggests the possibility that in A. tumefaciens, the Pit gene product is defective, and hence phosphorus is transported into the cell via the activity of transport systems that are PhoR-PhoB-dependent. In this situation, mutants for either regulator uptake insufficient phosphorus for growth. However, provision of the plasmidborne  $P_{lac}$  pit<sub>Sm</sub> alters two aspects of pit function, providing a normal-length Pit protein, but also removing the  $pi_{\rm Sm}$  gene from any endogenous regulation. Although it seems likely that the normal length Pit protein compensates for a defective A. tumefaciens pit gene, our findings do not exclude the possibility that the regulation of  $pi$  gene expression in  $A$ . tumefaciens also plays an important role in the observed *phoR* and *phoB* essentiality. In S. meliloti, the PhoR-PhoB system activates expression of the *pstSCAB* and *phoCDET* transporters, and represses the *pit* gene under  $P_i$  limitation (Yuan et al., 2006a). In A. tumefaciens, both of these operons have recognizable upstream *pho* box sequences (TTGACATTTCCCATTCAT upstream of phoC; TTGTCACAAATCTTTCGT upstream of pstC) that are good matches to the rhizobial pho box (Yuan et al., 2006b), suggesting a similar control pattern as that in  $S$ . *meliloti*. A similar *pho* box type sequence is also upstream of orfA-pit in A. tumefaciens (TTGTCATAAAACTGTCAT), consistent with the observed repression of orfA-pit in S. meliloti.

Prior to discovering that plasmid-borne  $\pi r_{\rm Sm}$  expression could suppress phoR and phoB essentiality in A. tumefaciens, we provided evidence for the involvement of PhoB in the biofilm response under  $P_i$  limitation by ectopically expressing the transcription factor from a strongly activated promoter in a derivative in which the resident *phoB* was inactivated (Danhorn et al., 2004). In this report we expand on this result and demonstrate that phoR and phoB are necessary for enhanced biofilm formation, and thus increased attachment and biofilm formation represent components of the general  $P_i$  limitation response. It remains unclear which specific PhoB-regulated functions are responsible for the enhanced adhesion, although our data clearly indicate that the UPP polysaccharide is required for the stimulated attachment observed under  $P_i$  limitation. In *P. fluorescens*,  $P_i$  limitation also exerts a significant influence on attachment and biofilm formation through the PhoR-PhoB system, but in this case it inhibits these processes (Monds et al., 2001). The *P. fluorescens* regulatory pathway has been extensively studied, and a detailed model has developed. In P. fluorescens the transition from reversible to irreversible attachment requires the large surface protein adhesin called LapA (Hinsa et al., 2003). Phospho-PhoB activates expression of rapA, a phosphodiesterase that degrades the intracellular adhesion-stimulating second messenger cyclic diguanosine monophosphate (c-di-GMP). Elevated RapA levels resulting in low c-di-GMP promote proteolytic cleavage of the proteinacious LapA adhesin in its periplasmic domain, leading to release of the cleaved protein into the environment, and decreasing its ability to drive irreversible attachment (Newell et al., 2009). Our findings clearly show that, in contrast to the *P. fluorescens* system, low  $P_i$  stimulates attachment in *A. tumefaciens*, and that this involves the polysaccharide-based UPP adhesin. Interestingly, preliminary findings suggest that this effect is also mediated through PhoB-dependent modulation of c-di-GMP levels and that this second messenger plays a major role in driving irreversible attachment and biofilm formation through the UPP (Xu et al, in preparation). It is striking how both  $A$ . tume faciens and P. fluorescens utilize similar tool kits to drive the response to low  $P_i$  in opposite directions. Why the two bacteria respond so differently is not clear, but it appears that *P. fluorescens* avoids attachment in environments low in  $P_i$ , whereas *A. tumefaciens* associates more avidly with surfaces under these conditions. Low  $P_i$  is recognized to stimulate virulence in A. tumefaciens during association with host plants (Winans, 1990), as plant sequestration is known to deplete local phosphorus levels in rhizosphere soils proximal to plant tissues (Hinsinger, 2001). Phosphorus is a limiting compound in the soil in general (Holford, 1997), but a variety of phosphorus-containing compounds are known to concentrate at surfaces and thus colonization of surfaces in general, both biotic and abiotic, may also enhance access to this nutrient.

An intriguing aspect of the  $A$ . tume faciens  $P_i$  limitation response that we discovered in this study was the ability for low P<sub>i</sub> to mask the attachment requirement for the UppE protein, a PHPT family initiating-glycosyl transferase homologue, that is needed for UPP production under  $P_i$  replete conditions. Examination of two paralogues, ExoY (Atu3327) and the PssY homologue Atu0102, led to the finding that UppE and Atu0102 are functionally redundant, but only under P<sub>i</sub> limitation. This was surprising since, although Atu0102 is homologous to UppE and in the same general family of proteins (Fig. 4D), it is approximately 50% the size of UppE (Fig. 4C). Functional redundancy among these PHPT-type proteins in polysaccharide biosynthesis has been previously observed (Patel et al., 2012b). In fact, genetic analysis of the uppE homologue hfsE from C. crescentus identified two additional paralogues to *hfsE* in the *C. crescentus* genome (Cc0166,  $pssY$  and Cc2384,  $pssZ$ ), both of which could function in the place of the others (Toh et al., 2008). A C. crescentus hfsE mutant does not manifest a deficiency in holdfast production or attachment, and in fact a triple mutant in all three paralogues is required to observe strong holdfast deficiency. In contrast to these findings in C. crescentus, we do observe a very clear attachment-deficient phenotype for the  $uppE$  mutant in high  $P_i$ , and only under  $P_i$  limitation is the redundancy between UppE and Atu0102 revealed. In further contrast to the C. crescentus results, the

third paralogue homologous to ExoY (Atu3327) cannot replace UppE function under high or low Pi levels. The ability of Atu0102 to function in the place of UppE is not unprecedented as, among other PHPT proteins, it has been shown that the C-terminal region with the catalytic PHPT motifs (Fig. 4C), is sufficient to drive the linkage of hexose-phosphates to the undeprenyl carrier during polysaccharide synthesis (Patel et al., 2012a). The same basic observation holds in C. crescentus, where the N terminus of HfsE contains several predicted transmembrane segments, as does UppE, whereas the PssY and PssZ proteins that can function in its absence only contain single transmembrane segments and the catalytic motifs, similar to  $A$ . tume faciens Atu0102 (Fig. 4C). The function of the amino terminal half of UppE and HfsE proteins, with its multiple transmembrane domains, is not yet understood.

Our studies have more deeply investigated the role of  $P_i$  limitation in attachment and biofilm formation for A. tumefaciens. These findings provide clear evidence that this represents a programmed response to low P<sub>i</sub>, and that this response is mediated at least in part through synthesis or deployment of the UPP adhesin. The mechanism by which PhoR-PhoB mediates this control does not appear to be direct transcriptional regulation of known UPP biosynthetic genes, and is possibly through allosteric control of the attachment process by cdi-GMP.

# **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### **Acknowledgments**

This project was supported by National Institutes of Health grant GM080546 and through a grant from the Indiana University METACyt program, funded in part by a major endowment from the Lilly Foundation. The IU Light Microscopy Imaging Center facilitated our microscopy experiments. We thank Z.-C. Yuan et al. for pointing out the truncation in the A. tumefaciens pit gene, and the lab of Y.V. Brun for helpful input and suggestions.

#### **References**

- Benning C, Huang Z-H, Gage DA. Accumulation of a novel glycolipid and a betaine lipid in the cells of Rhodobacter sphaeroides grown under phosphate limitation. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1995; 317:103–111. [PubMed: 7872771]
- Chiang SL, Rubin EJ. Construction of a mariner-based transposon for epitope-tagging and genomic targeting. Gene. 2002; 296:179–85. [PubMed: 12383515]
- Christensen BB, Sternberg C, Andersen JB, Palmer RJJ, Nielsen AT, Givskov M, Molin S. Molecular tools for study of biofilm physiology. Methods Enzymol. 1999; 310:20–42. [PubMed: 10547780]
- Danhorn T, Fuqua C. Biofilm formation by plant-associated bacteria. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 2007; 61:401–22. [PubMed: 17506679]
- Danhorn T, Hentzer M, Givskov M, Parsek M, Fuqua C. Phosphorous limitation enhances biofilm formation of the plant pathogen *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* through the PhoR-PhoB regulatory system. J. Bacteriol. 2004; 186:4492–4501. [PubMed: 15231781]
- Fellay R, Frey J, Kirsch H. Interposon mutagenesis of soil and water bacteria: a family of DNA fragments designed for in vitro insertional mutagenesis of Gram-negative bacteria. Gene. 1984; 52:147–154. [PubMed: 3038679]
- Fuqua WC, Winans SC. A LuxR-LuxI type regulatory system activates Agrobacterium Ti plasmid conjugal transfer in the presence of a plant tumor metabolite. J. Bacteriol. 1994; 176:2796–2806. [PubMed: 8188582]
- Heydorn A, Toftgaard Nielsen A, Hentzer M, Sternberg C, Givskov M, Kjaer Ersboll B, Molin S. Quantification of biofilm structures by the novel computer program COMSTAT. Microbiology. 2000; 146:2395–2407. [PubMed: 11021916]

- Hibbing ME, Fuqua C. Antiparallel and interlinked control of cellular iron levels by the Irr and RirA regulators of Agrobacterium tumefaciens. J. Bacteriol. 2011; 193:3461–72. [PubMed: 21602352]
- Hinsa SM, Espinosa-Urgel M, Ramos JL, O'Toole GA. Transition from reversible to irreversible attachment during biofilm formation by Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS365 requires an ABC transporter and a large secreted protein. Mol. Microbiol. 2003; 49:905–18. [PubMed: 12890017]
- Hinsinger P. Bioavailability of soil inorganic P in the rhizosphere as affected by root-induced chemical changes. Plant Soil. 2001; 237:173–195.
- Holford ICR. Soil phosphorous: its measurement and its uptake by plants. Aust. J. Soil Res. 1997; 35:227–239.
- Hsieh YJ, Wanner BL. Global regulation by the seven-component Pi signaling system. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 2010; 13:198–203. [PubMed: 20171928]
- Janczarek M, Kalita M, Skorupska AM. New taxonomic markers for identification of Rhizobium leguminosarum and discrimination between closely related species. Arch. Microbiol. 2009; 191:207–19. [PubMed: 19020864]
- Kalogeraki VS, Winans SC. Suicide plasmids containing promoterless reporter genes can simultaneously disrupt and create fusions to target genes of diverse bacteria. Gene. 1997; 188:69– 75. [PubMed: 9099861]
- Kaniga K, Delor I, Cornelis GR. A wide-host-range suicide vector for improving reverse genetics in Gram-negative bacteria: inactivation of the *blaA* gene of *Yersinia enterocolitica*. Gene. 1991; 109:137–141. [PubMed: 1756974]
- Kovach ME, Elzer PH, Hill DS, Robertson GT, Farris MA, Roop RMI, Peterson KM. Four new derivatives of the broad-host-range cloning vector pBBR1MCS, carrying different antibiotic resistance cassettes. Gene. 1995; 166:175–176. [PubMed: 8529885]
- Li G, Brown PJ, Tang JX, Xu J, Quardokus EM, Fuqua C, Brun YV. Surface contact stimulates the just-in-time deployment of bacterial adhesins. Mol. Microbiol. 2012; 83:41–51. [PubMed: 22053824]
- Luo Z-Q, Clemente TE, Farrand SK. Construction of a derivative of Agrobacterium tumefaciens C58 that does not mutate to tetracycline resistance. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 2001; 14:98–103. [PubMed: 11194879]
- McDermott, TR. Phosphorous assimilation and regulation in the rhizobia. In: Triplett, EW., editor. Prokaryotic Nitrogen Fixation: A Model System for the Analysis of a Biological Process. Horizon Scientific Press; Norfolk, England: 2000. p. 529-548.
- Mendrygal KE, Gonzalez JE. Environmental regulation of exopolysaccharide production in Sinorhizobium meliloti. J. Bacteriol. 2000; 182:599–606. [PubMed: 10633091]
- Merritt PM, Danhorn T, Fuqua C. Motility and chemotaxis in Agrobacterium tumefaciens surface attachment and biofilm formation. J. Bacteriol. 2007; 189:8005–14. [PubMed: 17766409]
- Mersereau M, Pazour GJ, Das A. Efficient transformation of Agrobacterium tumefaciens by electroporation. Gene. 1990; 90:149–151. [PubMed: 2165971]
- Miller VL, Mekalanos JJ. A novel suicide vector and its use in construction of insertion mutations:osmoregulation of outer membrane proteins and virulence determinants in Vibrio cholerae. J. Bacteriol. 1988; 170:2575–2583. [PubMed: 2836362]
- Monds RD, Silby MW, Mahanty HK. Expression of the Pho regulon negatively regulates biofilm formation by Pseudomonas aureofaciens PA147-2. Mol. Microbiol. 2001; 42:415-26. [PubMed: 11703664]
- Nei, M.; Kumar, S. Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics. Oxford University Press; New York: 2000.
- Newell PD, Monds RD, O'Toole GA. LapD is a bis-(3′,5′)-cyclic dimeric GMP-binding protein that regulates surface attachment by Pseudomonas fluorescens Pf0-1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 2009; 106:3461–6. [PubMed: 19218451]
- Patel KB, Ciepichal E, Swiezewska E, Valvano MA. The C-terminal domain of the Salmonella enterica WbaP (UDP-galactose:Und-P galactose-1-phosphate transferase) is sufficient for catalytic activity and specificity for undecaprenyl monophosphate. Glycobiology. 2012a; 22:116–22. [PubMed: 21856724]

- Patel KB, Toh E, Fernandez XB, Hanuszkiewicz A, Hardy GG, Brun YV, Bernards MA, Valvano MA. Functional characterization of UDP-glucose:undecaprenyl-phosphate glucose-1-phosphate transferases of Escherichia coli and Caulobacter crescentus. J. Bacteriol. 2012b; 194:2646–57. [PubMed: 22408159]
- Ramey BE, Matthysse AG, Fuqua C. The FNR-type transcriptional regulator SinR controls maturation of Agrobacterium tumefaciens biofilms. Mol. Microbiol. 2004; 52:1495–1511. [PubMed: 15165250]
- Sambrook, J.; Fritsch, E.; Maniatis, T. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press; CSH, NY: 1989.
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2011; 28:2731–9. [PubMed: 21546353]
- Tempé J, Petit A, Holsters M, Van Montagu M, Schell J. Thermosensitive step associated with transfer of the Ti plasmid during conjugation: possible relation to transformation in crown gall. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 1977; 74:2848–2849. [PubMed: 16592419]
- Toh E, Kurtz HD Jr. Brun YV. Characterization of the *Caulobacter crescentus* holdfast polysaccharide biosynthesis pathway reveals significant redundancy in the initiating glycosyltransferase and polymerase steps. J. Bacteriol. 2008; 190:7219–31. [PubMed: 18757530]
- Tomlinson AD, Ramey-Hartung B, Day TW, Merritt PM, Fuqua C. Agrobacterium tumefaciens ExoR represses succinoglycan biosynthesis and is required for biofilm formation and motility. Microbiology. 2010; 156:2670–81. [PubMed: 20576688]
- Tzfira, T.; Citovsky, V. Agrobacterium, From Biology to Biotechnology. Springer Science+Business Media; New York, NY: 2008.
- Van Bogelen RA, Olson ER, Wanner BL, Neidhardt FC. Global analysis of proteins synthesized during phosphorus restriction in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 1996; 178:4344-66. [PubMed: 8755861]
- Voegele RT, Bardin S, Finan TM. Characterization of the Rhizobium (Sinorhizobium) meliloti highand low-affinity phosphate uptake systems. J. Bacteriol. 1997; 179:7226–7232. [PubMed: 9393684]
- Wanner BL. Gene regulation by phosphate in enteric bacteria. J Cell Biochem. 1993; 51:47–54. [PubMed: 8432742]
- Warrens AN, Jones MD, Lechler RI. Splicing by overlap extension by PCR using asymmetric amplification: an improved technique for the generation of hybrid proteins of immunological interest. Gene. 1997; 186:29–35. [PubMed: 9047341]
- Watson B, Currier TC, Gordon MP, Chilton MD, Nester EW. Plasmid required for virulence of Agrobacterium tumefaciens. J. Bacteriol. 1975; 123:255–264. [PubMed: 1141196]
- Whitfield C. Biosynthesis and assembly of capsular polysaccharides in *Escherichia coli*. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2006; 75:39–68. [PubMed: 16756484]
- Williams A, Wilkinson A, Krehenbrink M, Russo DM, Zorreguieta A, Downie JA. Glucomannanmediated attachment of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* to pea root hairs is required for competitive nodule infection. J. Bacteriol. 2008; 190:4706–15. [PubMed: 18441060]
- Winans SC. Transcriptional induction of an *Agrobacterium* regulatory gene at tandem promoters by plant-released phenolic compounds, phosphate starvation, and acidic growth media. J. Bacteriol. 1990; 172:2433–2438. [PubMed: 2185220]
- Yuan ZC, Zaheer R, Finan TM. Regulation and properties of PstSCAB, a high-affinity, high-velocity phosphate transport system of Sinorhizobium meliloti. J. Bacteriol. 2006a; 188:1089–102. [PubMed: 16428413]
- Yuan ZC, Zaheer R, Morton R, Finan TM. Genome prediction of PhoB regulated promoters in Sinorhizobium meliloti and twelve proteobacteria. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006b; 34:2686–97. [PubMed: 16717279]
- Zhang L, Murphy PJ, Kerr A, Tate ME. Agrobacterium conjugation and gene regulation by N-acyl-Lhomoserine lactones. Nature. 1993; 362:446–448. [PubMed: 8464475]



**Fig. 1. Biofilm formation in flow cells is stimulated by** *phoB* **expression under Pi -replete conditions, and Pi -limitation-enhanced biofilm formation requires** *phoR*

(A) SDCM images of A. tumefaciens TD5 (phoB::pTD105; pTD115,  $P_{lac}$ -traR,  $P_{tar}$ -phoB) mutant derivatives expressing GFP and cultivated in once-through flow cells for 96 h in ATMN medium. Side and bottom panels are orthogonal views of the biofilms. (B) Static biofilm assays of A. tumefaciens WT and JW6 ( $P_{lac}$ -pit<sub>Sm, phoR:Ω</sub> Km) with 72 h cultures in ATGN medium (79 mM  $P_i$ ) and  $P_i$ -limited medium (50  $\mu$ M). The  $A_{600}$  of solubilized CV stain from adherent biomass was normalized by planktonic growth  $OD_{600}$  of the culture. Values are averages of triplicate assays and error bars are standard deviation.



**Fig. 2.** *A. tumefaciens* **C58 and** *S. meliloti orfA-pit* **loci and gene products** Diagram shows the genetic context of the *orfA-pit* genes in A. tumefaciens C58 and S. meliloti 1021. Pit and Pit\* gene products are also shown, with gray ovals indicating transmembrane domains.



#### **Fig. 3. Biofilm formation and the Pi limitation effect require the UPP but not other polysaccharides**

(A) CV-stained coverslip biofilms (48 h) of A. tumefaciens polysaccharide mutant derivatives grown in ATGN (high  $P_i$ , 79 mM) and  $P_i$ -limiting medium (low  $P_i$ , 50  $\mu$ M). (B) Measurement of  $A_{600}/OD_{600}$  values for acetic-acid-solubilized CV-stained 72-h biofilms under high and low P<sub>i</sub>. Values are averages of triplicate assays and error bars are standard deviation. (C) Lectin labeling of A. tumefaciens C58 in short-term binding assays on PVC coverslip inoculated from planktonic cultures grown in ATGN and P<sub>i</sub>-limiting medium. Cells were mixed with fl-DBA just prior to inoculation and, after 1 h, viewed at 100X magnification on a Nikon E800 epifluorescence microscope (excitation, 460-500 nm; emission, 510-560 nm) with an overlay of phase contrast and fluorescence images.



**Fig. 4. Paralogues of UppE- and PhoB-dependent functional redundancy under Pi limitation** (A) CV-stained coverslip biofilms (72 h) of A. tumefaciens mutant derivatives grown in ATGN (high  $P_i$ , 79 mM) and  $P_i$ -limiting medium (low  $P_i$ , 50  $\mu$ M). (B) Measurement of  $A_{600}/OD_{600}$  values for acetic-acid-solubilized CV-stained 72 h biofilms under high and low Pi . Values are averages of triplicate assays and error bars are standard deviation. (C) Diagram of domain structure for UppE and Atu0102 and ExoY paralogues. Gray ovals indicate transmembrane domains, the large dark gray bar is the WbaP domain and the white rectangles indicate the PHPT I, II and III motifs. (D) Neighbor-joining tree of UppE paralogues. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 1.22142857 is shown. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths reflecting evolutionary distances. The evolutionary distances were computed using the p-distance method (Nei and Kumar, 2000) and are in the units of the number of amino acid differences per site. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated, and a total of 210 positions were in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA5 (Tamura et al., 2011).



#### **Fig. 5. Lectin labeling of UPP in the** *phoB* **mutant and PHPT paralogue mutants under high and low Pi**

Short-term binding assays were performed with A. tumefaciens derivatives grown in high  $P_i$ (79 mM) and low  $P_i$  (50  $\mu$ M) cultures and incubated in suspension with PVC coverslips after 2 h incubation with af-WGA at room temperature. After washing, coverslips were viewed at 100X magnification on a Nikon E800 epifluorescence microscope (excitation, 510-560 nm; emission, >610 nm) with an overlay of phase contrast and fluorescence images.

#### **Table 1**

# Strains and plasmids







<sup>1</sup> All *A. tumefaciens* strains are derivatives of C58, except TD5, which is derived from the Ti plasmidless derivative A. tumefaciens NTL4, originally from C58 (Luo et al., 2001)