Nitrate Absorption and Assimilation in Ryegrass as Influenced by Calcium and Magnesium¹

Received for publication March 9, 1972

M. A. MORGAN,² W. A. JACKSON, AND R. J. VOLK Department of Soil Science, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27607

ABSTRACT

The absorption and assimilation patterns of ${}^{15}NO_{3}^{-}$ supplied as the Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ salts to intact ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) seedlings were compared. No statistically significant effect of ambient cation on the amounts of ${}^{15}NO_{3}^{-}$ absorbed was observed in the initial six hours, but during the subsequent six hours, absorption from Ca(${}^{15}NO_{3}$)₂ exceeded that from Mg (${}^{15}NO_{3}$)₂.

Lower rates of ${}^{15}NO_{3}{}^{-}$ assimilation were found in roots exposed to $Mg({}^{15}NO_{3})_{2}$ than in those exposed to $Ca({}^{15}NO_{3})_{2}$. It was proposed that Mg^{2+} initiated a restriction in ${}^{15}NO_{3}{}^{-}$ reduction in roots, probably as a consequence of a Mg^{2+} -induced physiological Ca^{2+} deficiency. Lower ${}^{15}N$ translocation rates were also observed from $Mg({}^{15}NO_{3})_{2}$. These effects of Mg^{2+} in depressing ${}^{15}NO_{3}{}^{-}$ assimilation and translocation occurred prior to an effect on ${}^{15}NO_{3}{}^{-}$ uptake.

In shoots, larger amounts of reduced ¹⁵N products occurred with Ca(¹⁵NO₃)₂ than with Mg(¹⁵NO₃)₂. It was concluded that this was due to enhanced translocation of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ (and possibly its reduced products) in presence of Ca²⁺ rather than to specific cation effects on ¹⁵NO₃⁻ assimilation in the shoots.

Beneficial effects of ambient Ca^{2+} , relative to Mg^{2+} , on NO_3^{-} absorption by nitrogen-depleted wheat seedlings have been reported (18), but whether this effect invariably occurs is not known. Similarly, the suggestion (17) that ambient Ca^{2+} enhances NO_3^{-} translocation also requires further documentation.

Relatively little direct information is available regarding the extent to which absorbed NO_3^- is reduced in root tissue of intact plants. Nitrate reductase activities generally are lower in roots than in leaves (2) although sizable activities have been observed in the former (14–16, 27). Moreover, the presence of amides, amino acids, and ureides in the bleeding xylem sap of decapitated plants exposed to NO_3^- indicates a significant capacity for NO_3^- reduction in the intact root tissue of some species (3, 22, 23, 29, 30). Whether Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} are directly involved in NO_3^- assimilation by roots has not been examined, although the accumulation of NO_2^- in shoots (7, 24), and lack of carbohydrates in roots of Ca^{2+} .

The paucity of information on NO_a⁻ absorption, reduction,

and assimilation by roots, and the possibility of Ca²⁺ modifying these processes, prompted the present investigation. We reasoned that Ca²⁺, or Mg²⁺, or both, might affect NO₃⁻ reduction by roots by: (a) influencing the rate of NO_3^- absorption, thereby influencing both the amount of NO3⁻ available for reduction and the amount of nitrate reductase (a substrate-inducible enzyme); (b) directly altering the *in vivo* activities of NO₃⁻ reduction and assimilatory enzymes; and (c) modifying the translocation of NO3⁻ to the shoots, thereby indirectly altering the amount of NO₃⁻ available for reduction in the roots. We report here results of two experiments with perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne). The first was conducted to determine the general pattern of ¹⁵N uptake from Ca(¹⁵NO₃)₂ and its relative distribution between roots and shoots. The more detailed second experiment compared the effects of ambient Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ on the ¹⁵N absorption, assimilation, and translocation patterns of plants supplied with highly enriched ¹⁵NO₄⁻. The data indicate that absence of Ca2+ in the ambient medium resulted in alterations in the products of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ assimilation prior to the time that net ¹⁵NO₃⁻ uptake was affected significantly.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiment I, 30-Day-Old Plants. Seeds of perennial ryegrass (L. perenne) were surface-sterilized with 5% H_2O_2 and germinated in opaque polyethylene cups with bottoms of stainless steel screen. Developing roots thus grew through the screen into aerated nutrient solution. Each cup (one culture) contained 25 seedlings after thinning. Forty cultures were placed in 13 liters of aerated nutrient solution and the plants were grown in a chamber maintained at 24 to 25 C during the light period (16 hr, 75.6 hectolux at leaf surface) and at 18 to 19 C during the dark period. The nutrient solution contained 50 μM K₂SO₄, 50 μM MgSO₄, 0.1 mM Ca(H₂PO₄)₂, 0.25 mM NaNO₃, 1 mg iron per liter as FeEDTA, and one-fifth the trace elements supplied by Hoagland's solution (8). Solutions were changed every other day. At 28 days after sowing, NaNO₃ was deleted from the solution and 2 days later the experiment was initiated.

Immediately prior to application of the treatment solution roots were rinsed by dipping 10 times in each of two 15-liter tanks of distilled water and extraneous water allowed to drain off. Roots were then exposed to an aerated solution containing $0.25 \text{ mM Ca}(^{15}\text{NO}_{a})_{2}$ enriched to 46.7 atom % ^{15}N . Light intensity was 54.0 hectolux and temperature 28 ± 1 C. Except for the initial 30 min when the acidity rose to pH 5.9, solution acidity was maintained at pH 6.5 ± 0.3 by periodic addition of dilute H₂SO, or NaOH. Duplicate cultures were harvested at 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 16 hr after exposure to ^{15}N ; a single culture was harvested after 24 hr. After rinsing the roots in redistilled water and blotting dry, roots and shoots were weighed separately, freeze-dried, reweighed, and ground in a Wiley mill to pass a 40-mesh screen. The tissue was then

¹ Paper No. 3685 of the Journal Series of the North Carolina State University Agricultural Experiment Station, Raleigh, North Carolina. These investigations were supported by the United States Atomic Energy Agency, Grant AT(40-1)-2410.

² Present address: Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University College, Glasnevin, Dublin 9, Ireland.



FIG. 1. Deposition of ¹⁵N in roots, shoots, and intact seedlings (open symbols) and percentage of total absorbed ¹⁵NO₃⁻ recovered in the shoots (closed symbols) of 30-day-old seedlings exposed to $Ca(^{15}NO_3)_{2}$.

analyzed for total nitrogen (21) using Devarda's alloy to reduce NO_{s}^{-} and for ¹⁵N enrichment by mass spectrometric methods (26).

Experiment II, 21-Day-Old Plants. Cultures contained 45 to 50 seedlings per cup after thinning. Plants were grown in a chamber at 22 ± 1 C during the light period (16 hr, 238 hecto-lux) and at 18 ± 1 C during the dark period. Four cultures were placed in 1-liter plastic beakers containing 820 ml of aerated nutrient solution, containing 0.1 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.25 mM Ca(NO₃)₂, and 0.25 mM MgSO₄. Iron and other trace elements were supplied as in experiment I. These solutions were replaced every other day, and 2 days prior to the experiment the Ca(NO₃)₂ concentration was doubled.

Two experimental treatments were applied 1 hr after the beginning of the light period on the 21st day after sowing. Following thorough rinsing and draining of the roots as previously described, plants were placed in the aerated single salt solutions of Ca(¹⁵NO₃)₂ or Mg(¹⁵NO₃)₂, both applied at 0.75 mM and 97.5 atom % ¹⁵N enrichment. During the 12-hr absorption period, illumination was maintained at 238 hectolux and air temperature at 24 \pm 1 C. Initial solution acidity was pH 5.0, but it rose rapidly to 6.8. Four replicates of two cultures each were harvested after 0-, 3-, 6-, and 12-hr exposure to each treatment solution. Root and shoot samples were then treated as outlined for experiment I.

Tissue nitrogen was separated into four fractions. Weighed samples were extracted with cold, 70% ethanol in an Omnimixer and the insoluble residue was separated by Buchner filtration. The ethanol-soluble portion was evaporated to dryness by means of a warm air stream and partitioned into chloroform-soluble and water-soluble constituents. Following acidification of the latter to pH 2.7, Dowex 50W-X8 resin in hydrogen form was used to separate NO₃⁻ from reduced nitrogenous compounds, which were eluted with 1.2 N K₂SO₄.

The NO_3^- content of the treatment solutions was determined by ultraviolet absorption at 207 nm (1). Tissue NO_3^- was determined by the method of Johnson and Ulrich (12). Total nitrogen in the other three fractions was determined by Cope's procedure (5). The ¹⁵N enrichment of all fractions was determined by mass spectrometry (26).

All data were calculated on the basis of a unit weight of dry root tissue and analyzed statistically by the analysis of variance technique. Appropriate LSD values are indicated in the figures by the ordinate scale values of vertical lines.

RESULTS

The patterns of ¹⁵NO₈⁻ absorption and ¹⁵N translocation by the 30- and 21-day-old plants exposed to Ca(¹⁵NO₈)₂ were surprisingly similar in view of their different ages, different growing conditions, and the different experimental conditions during the absorption period. In both instances, a decline in the rate of absorption occurred after the first few hours (Figs. 1 and 2A) as a consequence of decreasing accumulation of ¹⁵N in root tissue. During this period the deposition of ¹⁵N in shoots occurred at nearly constant rates (~420 and 490 μ g ¹⁵N per g dry roots per hr for the 30- and 21-day-old plants, respectively). With 21-day-old plants, ¹⁵NO₈⁻ absorption during the first 6 hr was unaffected by the accompanying cation (Fig. 2A). By 12 hr, however, significantly less ($p \le 0.01$) ¹⁵NO₈⁻ had been absorbed from Mg(¹⁵NO₈)₂, due primarily to a diminished accumulation of ¹⁵N in the roots (Fig. 2B).

Accumulation of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ in the roots was significantly greater $(p \leq 0.01)$ during the 3- to 6-hr period when Mg(¹⁵NO₃)₂, rather than Ca(¹⁵NO₃)₂, was applied (Fig. 3). In the shoots, however, the Mg(15NO₃)₂ treatment resulted in less accumulation of ¹⁵NO₃⁻, particularly between 6 and 12 hr ($p \leq 0.01$). In contrast to ¹⁵NO₃, amounts of ¹⁵N-labeled water-soluble reduced constituents (amino acids, amides) in the shoots of both treatments exceeded those in the roots (Fig. 4) after the 3rd hr. In both roots and shoots, Ca(15NO₃)₂ rather than Mg(15NO₃)₂, resulted in greater accumulation of ¹⁵N in the water-soluble reduced N fraction (Fig. 4). The difference was evident in the roots by the 3rd hr ($p \leq 0.05$) and became highly significant $(p \leq 0.01)$ by the 6th hr. In spite of sizable variation in the ¹⁵N of this fraction in the shoots, the advantage for the Ca(15NO₃)₂ treatments was evident ($p \leq 0.05$) by the 6th hr. On the other hand, accumulation of ¹⁵N in the ethanol-insoluble fraction of both roots and shoots was not significantly affected during the first 6 hr (Fig. 5). Between 6 and 12 hrs, however, significantly greater $(p \leq 0.01)$ ¹⁵N accumulation in the ethanolinsoluble fraction occurred in both tissues of the Ca(15NO₃)₂ treatment compared to Mg(15NO3)2. Chloroform-soluble 15N constituted a relatively small proportion of the total ¹⁵N absorbed (data not presented). No significant influence of the ambient cation on this fraction was detectable in the root tissue; in the shoots the advantage for Ca²⁺ was statistically significant $(p \leq 0.01)$ only by the 12th hr.

DISCUSSION

Influence of Ca²⁺ versus Mg²⁺ on ¹⁵NO₃⁻ Absorption. It has been suggested that ambient Ca²⁺ enhances anion uptake by neutralizing negative charges in the boundary region of absorbing cells (10, 25), while Mg²⁺ is less effective (9). In the present studies, however, no significant difference between the two cations was observed in net ¹⁵NO₃⁻ uptake until after 6 hr (Fig. 2A). Since 1 hr was sufficient for Mg(NO₃)₂ solutions to displace the peripheral Ca²⁺ from roots of ryegrass similar to those used here (19), the initial displacement of Ca²⁺ from the roots did not alter the net ¹⁵NO₃⁻ absorption rates. The decrease in uptake from the Mg(¹⁵NO₃)₂ treatment after 6 hr was associated with a smaller amount of ¹⁵N reaching the shoots (Fig. 2B). Significant ambient cation effects on the magnitude of the ¹⁵NO₃⁻ (Fig. 3) and water-soluble reduced ¹⁵N (Fig. 4) pools occurred prior to the effect on net ¹⁵NO₃⁻ absorption (Fig. 2A).



FIG. 2. Deposition of ¹⁵N in intact seedlings (A) and total ¹⁵N accumulation in roots (B) and shoots (B) of 21-day-old plants exposed to $Ca(^{15}NO_3)_2$ or $Mg(^{15}NO_3)_2$.



FIG. 3. Accumulation of ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ in roots and shoots of 21-dayold seedlings exposed to Ca(${}^{15}NO_3$)₂ or Mg(${}^{15}NO_3$)₂.

The data therefore indicate an influence of the ambient cation on nitrogen assimilation reactions prior to an influence on net ${}^{15}NO_{3}$ – uptake.

Influence of Ca²⁺ versus Mg²⁺ on ¹⁵N Translocation. After the first 2 or 3 hr, translocation of absorbed ¹⁵N to the shoots oc-



FIG. 4. Accumulation of water-soluble reduced ^{15}N in roots and shoots of 21-day-old seedlings exposed to $Ca(^{15}NO_8)_2$ or $Mg(^{15}NO_3)_2$.

curred at nearly constant rates when 30-day-old or 21-day-old plants were exposed to $Ca({}^{15}NO_3)_2$. The constant rate occurred in spite of a decreasing rate of accumulation of ${}^{15}N$ in the root tissue (Figs. 1 and 2B). Even during the first 3 hr the amount of ${}^{15}N$ reaching the shoots constituted nearly 30% of the amount



FIG. 5. Accumulation of ethanol-insoluble ${}^{15}N$ in roots (A) and shoots (B) of 21-day-old seedlings exposed to $Ca({}^{15}NO_3)_2$ or $Mg({}^{15}NO_3)_2$.

absorbed. It therefore appears that the rate of ¹⁵N translocation was not closely dependent upon the rate of ¹⁵N accumulation in root tissue, and that an efficient translocation system was operating in concert with the ¹⁵NO₈⁻ accumulation and assimilation systems in the root tissue.

Exposure to Mg(¹⁵NO₃)₂, rather than Ca(¹⁵NO₃)₂, decreased the translocation of ¹⁵N, particularly after 6 hr (Fig. 2B). Calculations of the proportion of total absorbed ¹⁵N which was recovered in the shoots (percentage translocation) reveal a significantly ($p \le 0.01$) higher value (39.7%) for Ca(¹⁵NO₃)₂ than for Mg(¹⁵NO₃)₂ (34.7%) by the 6th hr although the total amount of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ absorbed (Fig. 2A) was nearly identical for the two treatments at this time.

Concentrations of NO3⁻ and water-soluble reduced N compounds in the xylem translocation stream can vary considerably (22, 23, 29, 30). It is therefore not possible to decide for certain whether the lower ¹⁵N translocation in the Mg(¹⁵NO₃)₂ treatment was due entirely to lower translocation of "NO3", entirely to lower translocation of water-soluble reduced ¹⁵N, or a combination of the two effects. The data do indicate, however, that ¹⁵NO₃⁻ translocation was depressed. A minimal value for ¹⁵NO₃⁻ translocated during a specific time is given by the increase in "NO₃" in the shoots during that time. For the 6- to 12-hr period, the increase in ¹⁵NO₃⁻ was considerably greater for the Ca($^{15}NO_3$)₂ treatment (698 μ g ^{15}N per g dry roots) than for the Mg(${}^{15}NO_{3}$)₂ treatment (392 μ g ${}^{15}NO_{3}$ -N per g dry roots; cf. Fig. 3). The total reduced ¹⁵N in the shoots during this period increased by a greater amount with Ca(15NO3)2 than with Mg(${}^{15}NO_3$)₂ (2250 versus 1603 μ g ${}^{15}N$ per g dry roots, respectively, Fig. 6) which indicates that ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ reduction in the shoots was not impaired by Ca²⁺ relative to Mg²⁺. Hence, the increase in ¹⁵NO₃⁻ in the shoots indicates an advantage for Ca²⁺ relative to Mg²⁺ in the process of ¹⁵NO₈ translocation. A beneficial influence of ambient Ca^{2-} on NO_{a-}^{-} translocation was suggested earlier by Minotti *et al.* (17).

Influence of Ca²⁺ versus Mg²⁺ on ¹⁵NO₃⁻ Assimilation in Roots. Reduced ¹⁵N continued to accumulate in the roots throughout the 12-hr absorption period with a maximal rate in the 3- to 6-hr period (Fig. 6A). The decline after 6 hr was associated with decreased rates of accumulation of ¹⁵N in the water-soluble reduced fraction (Fig. 4). Accumulation of the ethanol-insoluble fraction continued nearly linearly to 12 hr (Fig. 5). We assume that translocation of water-soluble reduced ¹⁵N from roots to shoots occurred at a greater rate than the reverse process (23). The quantities of all reduced ${}^{15}N$ in the roots at each harvest (Fig. 6A) therefore represent only minimal values of the actual amounts reduced there. For the $Ca(^{15}NO_3)$, treatment, these minimal rates of reduction in the root tissue during the 0- to 3-, 3- to 6-, and 6- to 12-hr time periods were 163, 251, and 181 μ g ¹⁵N per hr per g dry roots, respectively. The corresponding values for the Mg(15NO3)2 treatment were 143, 216, and 138 µg ¹⁵N per hr per g dry roots, which indicates less efficiency in reduction of the absorbed ¹⁵NO₃⁻. During the 3- to 6-hr period, when the minimal rate of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ reduction clearly became greater with Ca(¹⁵NO₃)₂, the accumulation rates of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ were 279 and 493 µg ¹⁵N per hr per g dry roots for the Ca(¹⁵NO₃)₂ and Mg(¹⁵NO₃)₂ treatments, respectively (Fig. 3). The greater reduction rate with Ca²⁺ was therefore not due to a higher substrate concentration. The advantage for Ca²⁺ in total amount of reduced ¹⁵N present in the roots was highly significant ($p \leq 0.01$) by the 6th hr (Fig. 6A). Similarly, the proportion of total ¹⁵N in the roots present in reduced forms was also significantly ($p \leq 0.01$) greater for Ca(¹⁵NO₃)₂ by the 6th hr (Fig. 7). Since the Mg(¹⁵NO₃)₂ did not increase the amount of reduced ¹⁵N in the shoots (Fig. 6B) or increase the relative proportion of "N present there in reduced form (Fig. 7), this treat-



FIG. 6. Accumulation of reduced ¹⁵N in roots (A) and shoots (B) in 21-day-old seedlings exposed to Ca(¹⁵NO₃)₂ and Mg(¹⁵NO₃)₂.



FIG. 7. Percentage of total absorbed ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ -N in roots, shoots, and intact seedlings recovered in the reduced N fraction of 21-day-old seedlings exposed to Ca(${}^{15}NO_3$)₂ and Mg(${}^{15}NO_3$)₂.

ment resulted in a restriction in the rate of ${}^{15}NO_{3}{}^{-}$ reduction in the roots. Furthermore, this restriction apparently occurred during the 3- to 6-hr period, *i.e.*, prior to a restriction in ${}^{15}NO_{3}{}^{-}$ absorption (*cf.* Fig. 2A).

The consistency of Ca²⁺ versus Mg²⁻ in promoting higher amounts of reduced ¹⁵N in the roots indicates that during exposure to Mg(¹⁵NO₃)₂ there was a restriction in the reduction of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ to ammonium, or a restriction in the amination reactions leading to the formation of amino acids, or both. Failure of transport of the intermediates in these reactions to the appropriate reaction centers, as well as decreased concentrations or activities of the appropriate enzymes, could account for the depressed rates. The onset of the restriction in ¹⁵NO₃⁻ reduction of the roots exposed to Mg(¹⁵NO₃)₂ must have been fairly rapid because statistically significant ($p \leq 0.05$) cation effects were exerted on the water-soluble reduced ¹⁵N fraction of the roots by the 3rd hr (Fig. 4). We propose that the restriction resulted from suboptimal cell surface Ca^{2+} in the $Mg(^{15}NO_3)_2$ treatment. The roots contained sizeable Mg^{2+} concentrations prior to exposure to the ¹⁵NO₃⁻ solutions and were not depleted of Mg²⁺ appreciably during exposure to Ca(¹⁵NO₃)₂ (19). Upon exposure to Mg(15NO₃)₂, however, two distinct Ca²⁺ fractions in the roots were clearly delineated, the largest of which was rapidly displaced within the 1st hr (19). The second fraction was only very slowly displaced for at least 12 hr. Thus, ryegrass roots exposed to Mg(¹⁵NO₃)₂ for more than 1 hr were probably deprived of physiologically sufficient amounts of Ca2+. Although Ca²⁺ deficiency did not influence the efficiency of nitrate reduction in wheat roots (4, 17), a physiological deficiency of Ca²⁺ in our ryegrass roots seems the best explanation for the restriction in reduction of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ when Mg(¹⁵NO₃)₂, rather than Ca(¹⁵NO₃)₂, was applied.

Increased vacuolization (28) is one of the changes (13) in root cells resulting from low ambient Ca^{2+} . Accordingly, greater compartmentalization of ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ away from reactions centers could have occurred with $Mg({}^{15}NO_3)_2$ compared to $Ca({}^{15}NO_3)_2$, the result being an increased ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ accumulation (Fig. 3) and decreased ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ reduction (Fig. 7). Since membrane turnover rates are rapid, possibly approaching 5 min (6), there appears to have been ample opportunity for the cation treatments to have exerted differential influences on membrane synthesis and reconstruction in the present experiments.

Influence of Ca²⁺ versus Mg²⁺ on ¹⁵NO₃⁻ Assimilation in Shoots. By the 6th hr, the total quantities of reduced ¹⁵N in the shoots exceeded that in the roots (Fig. 6) and as early as the 3rd hr the proportion of total ¹⁵N in the shoots occurring in reduced form was substantially greater than in the roots (65 and 39%, respectively; Fig. 7). The water-soluble reduced ¹⁵N fraction of the roots tended to become saturated by the 6th hr but ¹⁵N continued to accumulate at sizable rates in this fraction of the shoots (Fig. 4). It is possible that the upward translocation process was partially responsible for the continued accumulation of ¹⁵N in the water-soluble reduced ¹⁵N fraction of the shoots (Fig. 4) while removal from this fraction into ethanolinsoluble components (Fig. 5) was proceeding vigorously in the shoot tissue. Because of uncertainty in the magnitude of the upward translocation of water-soluble reduced ¹⁵N, the present data do not permit an accurate estimate of the relative efficiencies of the roots and shoots in ¹⁵NO₃⁻ reduction and assimilation.

Incorporation of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ into the reduced components of the shoots was consistently greater with $Ca(^{15}NO_3)_2$ than with $Mg(^{15}NO_3)_2$ (Figs. 4 and 5). The larger quantities of ¹⁵N present in the shoots with $Ca(^{15}NO_3)_2$ (Fig. 2B) were due, in part at least, to the greater rate of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ translocation discussed previously. Calculations of the proportion of total ¹⁵N present which

was recovered in reduced components of the shoots indicates, in contrast to the roots, no statistically significant difference between the cation treatments (Fig. 7). Hence, the enhanced ¹⁵N assimilation in the shoots with Ca(¹⁵NO₃)₂ was probably due to the greater translocation rates of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ (and possibly water-soluble reduced ¹⁵N) than to any direct cation effect on the assimilation processes in shoots.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. BARKEMEYER, H. 1966. Determination of nitrate content in tobacco by means of ultraviolet spectrometry. Beitr. Tabakforschung 3: 455-459.
- 2. BEEVERS, L. AND R. H. HAGEMAN, 1969. Nitrate reduction in higher plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 20: 495-522.
- BOLLARD, E. G. 1960. Transport in the xylem. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 11: 141-166.
- BURSTROM, H. 1954. Studies on growth and metabolism of roots. X. Investigations of the calcium effect. Physiol. Plant. 7: 332-342.
- COPE, W. A. 1916. Kjeldahl modification for determination of nitrogen in nitro-substitution compounds. J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 8: 592-593.
- GROSS, L. 1967. Active membranes for active transport. J. Theor. Biol. 15: 298-306.
- HARPER, J. E. AND G. M. PAULSON. 1969. Nitrogen assimilation and protein synthesis in wheat seedlings as affected by mineral nutrition. I. Macronutrients, Plant Physiol. 44: 69-74.
- HOAGLAND, D. R. AND D. I. ARNON. 1950. The water-culture method for growing plants without soil. Calif. Agr. Exp. Sta. Circ. 347.
- 9. HOOYMANS, J. J. M. 1964. The role of calcium in the absorption of anions and cations by excised barley roots. Acta Bot. Neer. 13: 507-540.
- HYDE, A. H. 1966. Nature of the calcium effect in phosphate uptake by barley roots. Plant Soil 24: 328-332.
- JOHAM, H. E. 1957. Carbohydrate distribution as affected by calcium deficiency in cotton. Plant Physiol. 32: 113-117.
- JOHNSON, C. M. AND A. ULRICH. 1950. Determination of nitrate in plant material, Anal. Chem. 22: 1526-1529.
- MARSCHNER, H., R. HANDLEY, AND R. OVERSTREET. 1966. Potassium loss and changes in the fine structure of corn root tips induced by H-ion. Plant Physiol. 41: 1725-1735.
- MIFLIN, B. J. 1967. Distribution of nitrate and nitrite reductase in barley. Nature 214: 1133-1134.
- MIFLIN, B. J. 1970. Nitrate and nitrite reductase systems in barley roots. Rev. Roum. Biochim. 7: 53-60.
- MINOTTI, P. L. AND W. A. JACKSON. 1970. Nitrate reduction in roots and shoots of wheat seedlings. Planta 95: 36-44.
- MINOTTI, P. L., D. WILLIAMS, AND W. A. JACKSON. 1968. Nitrate uptake and reduction as affected by calcium and potassium. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 32: 692-698.
- MINOTTI, P. L., D. WILLIAMS, AND W. A. JACKSON. 1969. Nitrate uptake by wheat as influenced by ammonium and other cations. Crop Sci. 9: 9-14.
- MORGAN, M. A. 1970. Direct and indirect effects of calcium and magnesium on a proposed nitrate absorption mechanism by higher plants. Ph.D. thesis. North Carolina State University, Raleigh.
- NIGHTINGALE, G. T., R. M. ADDOMS, W. R. ROBBINS, AND L. G. SCHERMER-HORN. 1931. Effects of calcium deficiency on nitrate absorption and on metabolism in tomato. Plant Physiol. 6: 605-630.
- OGG, C. L. 1960. Determination of nitrogen by the micro-Kjeldahl Method. Assn. Offic. Agr. Chem. J. 43: 689-693.
- PATE, J. S. 1968. Physiological aspects of inorganic and intermediate nitrogen metabolism (with special reference to the legume *Pisum arvense L.). In:* E. C. Hewitt and C. B. Cutting, eds., Recent Aspects of Nitrogen Metabolism in Plants. Academic Press, New York, pp. 219-240.
- PATE, J. S. 1971. The movement of nitrogenous solutes in plants. In: Nitrogen-15 in Soil-Plant Studies. Panel Proceedings Series, STI/PUB/278, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria.
- PAULSON, G. M. AND J. E. HARPER. 1968. Evidence for a role of calcium in nitrate assimilation in wheat seedlings. Plant Physiol. 43: 775-780.
- PITMAN, M. G. 1964. The effect of divalent cations on the uptake of salt by beetroot tissue. J. Exp. Bot. 15: 444-456.
- 26. RITTENBERG, D. 1948. The preparation of gas samples for mass spectrographic isotope analyses. Symposium on Preparation and Measurement of Isotopic Tracers. Edwards Brothers, Inc., Ann Arbor, Mich. pp. 31-42.
- SANDERSON, G. W. AND E. C. STOCKING. 1964. Enzymatic assimilation of nitrate in tomato plants. I. Reduction of nitrate to nitrite. Plant Physiol. 39: 416-422.
- SOROKIN, H. AND A. L. SOMMER. 1929. Changes in the cells and tissues of root tips induced by the absence of calcium. Amer. J. Bot. 16: 23-29.
- WALLACE, W. AND J. S. PATE. 1965. Nitrate reductase in the field pea (*Pisum arvense*, L.). Ann. Bot. (London) 29: 655-671.
- WALLACE, W. AND J. S. PATE. 1967. Nitrite assimilation in higher plants with special reference to the cocklebur (*Xanthium pennsylvanicum*, Wallr.). Ann. Bot. (London) 31: 213-228.