

### NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Melanoma Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 May 28.

Published in final edited form as:

Melanoma Res. 2009 April; 19(2): 80-86. doi:10.1097/CMR.0b013e328322fc45.

#### Polymorphisms in the Syntaxin 17 Gene are not Associated with Human Cutaneous Malignant Melanoma

Zhen Zhen Zhao, David L. Duffy, Shane Thomas, Nicholas G. Martin, Nicholas K. Hayward, and Grant W. Montgomery

Queensland Institute of Medical Research, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

#### Abstract

The prevalence of cutaneous malignant melanoma (CMM) has increased significantly in most Caucasian populations in recent decades. Both genetic and environment are significant risk factors involved in the development of CMM. A germline mutation in the *Syntaxin 17 (STX17)* gene was recently identified in horses causing premature hair gray and associated with susceptibility to melanoma. We hypothesized that common germline variants in the *STX17* gene might be associated with predisposition to human CMM or might interact with other melanoma risk genes. We conducted a case-control study by genotyping 26 tagging single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) across the *STX17* gene region in an Australian sample and performed logistic regression analysis for predicting the possible SNP interactions in a combined dataset. Our results do not support an association between CMM and any of the *STX17* SNPs and provide no evidence for interactions between the melanoma risk SNP rs910873 on chromosome 20 and any of the *STX17* SNPs. We conclude that common variants in the *STX17* gene region do not play a key role in the pathogenesis of human melanoma.

#### Keywords

Syntaxin 17; melanoma; polymorphisms

#### INTRODUCTION

Cutaneous malignant melanoma (CMM) is a form of skin cancer that arises from melanocytes. The prevalence and incidence of melanoma have increased significantly faster over the past few decades than any other cancer worldwide, particularly in Caucasian populations (Armstrong and Kricker, 1994; Berwick and Wiggins, 2006). The relative recurrence risk to siblings was estimated at 2.24 in a combined study of familial melanomas (Ford *et al.*, 1995), suggesting that the familial cases carry an inherited susceptibility to CMM. Although the precise etiology of melanoma remains unknown, much data from molecular and epidemiologic studies clearly indicate that both genetic and environmental risk factors are involved (Hayward, 2003; Hussussian *et al.*, 1994; Koh *et al.*, 1990; Lejeune, 1986; Lynch and Fusaro, 1986).

Several different moderate to high risk melanoma genes have been identified (de Snoo and Hayward, 2005; Hussussian *et al.*, 1994; Landi *et al.*, 2006; Pollock *et al.*, 2002; Zuo *et al.*,

To whom correspondence should be addressed at: Queensland Institute of Medical Research, Brisbane, Queensland 4029, Australia. Zhen.Zhao@qimr.edu.au.

1996). Multiple somatic and/or germline mutations in these genes increase the risk of developing melanoma through altering normal programmes of cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis (Davies *et al.*, 2002; Ranade *et al.*, 1995). A genome-wide association study has recently identified and replicated a new melanoma risk locus on chromosome 20, close to the agouti signaling protein gene (*ASIP*) encoding an antagonist of the human melanocortin-1 receptor (*MC1R*) which regulates synthesis of melanin (Brown *et al.*, 2008). In the study, SNP rs910873 was determined as a most highly associated SNP within the locus with human melanoma and the rs910873 risk allele was related to early onset of CMM (Brown *et al.*, 2008).

Study of a horse model identified a germline mutation in the *Syntaxin 17 (STX17)* gene that causes premature hair graying is also associated with susceptibility to melanoma (Rosengren Pielberg *et al.*, 2008). Horses homozygous for the mutation showed more rapid graying and have a higher incidence of melanomas in glabrous skin. Strong associations between the *STX17* germline mutation, *ASIP* genotype and melanoma development were observed in gray horses (Rosengren Pielberg *et al.*, 2008). One question that arises from the finding is whether variation in *STX17* either on its own or through interaction with other melanoma risk SNPs such as rs910873 is associated with human CMM.

In the STX17 genomic region, four genes are located in close proximity to each other including NR4A3 (nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 3; OMIM 600542), STX17 (OMIM 604204), TXNDC4 (thioredoxin domain containing 4; OMIM 609170) and *INVS* (inversin; OMIM 243305). They map to a region of horse chromosome 25 which is syntenic with human chromosome band 9q31.1 (Locke et al., 2002; Pielberg et al., 2005). The long arm of chromosome 9 has been documented as a region to which a combined ocular-cutaneous melanoma risk gene has been located (Cannon-Albright et al., 1992; Zhu et al., 2007). Additionally, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) on 9q21-33 has been documented in 49% of 76 melanoma cell lines and homozygous deletion of markers in this region were observed in 5 samples (Stark and Hayward, 2007). These data, together with the cis-acting regulatory mutation that causes premature hair graying and susceptibility to melanoma in the horse, provide strong evidence for a locus on 9q in human CMM. The interaction between the variations of these genes may represent a common pathway linked to both human melanoma and horse melanoma susceptibility. We thus hypothesized that common germline variants in the region of the STX17 gene might be associated with predisposition to human melanoma and conducted a case-control study to test for association between SNPs in the STX17 genomic region and melanoma risk.

#### RESULTS

We genotyped 26 SNPs across the *STX17* gene in 1560 melanoma cases and 1650 controls after selecting correlated tagging SNPs from the HapMap database ( $\gamma^2 < 0.9$ ) aiming to completely cover this genomic region. All control genotype frequencies were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. The overall genotype completion rate was 98.2%. Strong linkage disequilibrium (LD) between SNPs were detected in *STX17* (rs7024182 with rs10988912,  $\gamma^2$ =0.95; with rs4742776,  $\gamma^2$ =0.82; rs10760704 with rs7038506  $\gamma^2$ =0.999), *NR4A3* (rs7023690 with rs2416878  $\gamma^2$ =0.84), *TXNDC4* (rs12552646 with rs1361668  $\gamma^2$ =0.94; with rs1535667  $\gamma^2$ =0.89) and *INVS* (rs7020636 with rs16918878  $\gamma^2$ =0.95). Allele frequencies did not differ significantly between cases and controls for any of the SNPs (Table 1) and none were significantly associated with melanoma (Table 1, Figure 1A).

Because the horse melanomas occur primarily as jet black firm nodules well circumscribed in the dermis of glabrous skin (non-hair bearing), we hypothesized that variation in the *STX17* gene region may contribute to risk of CMM in a site-specific manner. The allele

frequency differences between melanoma body site (glabrous versus non-glabrous) and controls were analysed. A weak allelic association with melanoma for the STX17 promoter SNP rs7024182 was detected in the 117 melanomas located on the external ear and face (Figure 1B). Allele frequency difference of SNP rs7024182 between the subset of melanomas and controls gave a significant P = 0.019 (Case frequency = 0.274; Control frequency = 0.349). However, the difference was not significant after correcting for multiple testing. We expected if rs7024182 is associated with glabrous melanomas, other SNPs correlated with rs7024182 should also show evidence of association. We therefore conducted a HapMap database searching for statistically similar SNPs (ssSNP) to rs7024182 using the web-based program ssSNPer (Nyholt, 2006). Four statistically similar SNPs typed in our samples were identified: rs10988912,  $r^2 = 0.921$ ; rs4742776,  $r^2 = 0.702$ ; rs7023690,  $r^2$ = 0.654; rs2416878,  $r^2$  = 0.512. Analysis of LD between SNP rs7024182 and the four ssSNPs confirmed they are correlated in our sample with a decreased evidence of association with glabrous melanomas compared to rs7024182 (rs10988912 P = 0.023; rs4742776 P = 0.091; rs7023690 P = 0.045; rs2416878 P = 0.102). However, the allele frequency differences were not significant for these ssSNPs after correcting for multiple testing. Haplotype analyses on the 26 SNPs identified 5 haplotype blocks in both case and control samples (Figure 2). Tests of association with the haplotypes either in 1560 melanoma cases or in 117 glabrous melanomas indicated none significantly contributed to disease susceptibility after adjusting for multiple testing.

To further evaluate the association signal observed from SNP rs7024182, we performed analyses based on primary clinical phenotypic data available (Table 2). Stratification of cases according to site of melanoma produced the smallest *P*-values of 0.008 and 0.023 on the face for allelic association and genotypic association tests, respectively. Genotyping frequency differences between 96 facial tumours and 1650 controls gave a significant *P*= 0.033 ( $\chi^2 = 4.54$ ) for a dominant model and a significant *P*= 0.022 ( $\chi^2 = 5.19$ ) for a recessive model. However, the differences were not significant after correcting for multiple testing.

A recent study from our group identified a new melanoma risk locus close to the *ASIP* gene on chromosome 20 (Brown *et al.*, 2008). To identify possible SNP interactions between the *STX17* common variation and the SNP rs910873 which was strongly associated with CMM on chromosome 20, we conducted logic regression analysis in an attempt to identify the CMM risk conferred by SNP interactions. In a combined data, we did not detect SNP-SNP interactions between SNP rs910873 and any of the *STX17* SNPs. Moreover, we investigated the effects of common variation at *STX17* on melanocytic naevus count and on pigmentation classifications of eye and hair colours. We found no evidence for association between any of the *STX17* SNPs and any phenotypes.

#### DISCUSSION

A germline mutation in intron 6 of the *STX17* gene has been reported to cause premature hair graying and susceptibility to melanoma in gray horses (Rosengren Pielberg *et al.*, 2008). We screened *STX17* for association with melanoma risk because of the recent report of strong association between the *STX17* germline mutation, *ASIP* genotype and melanoma development in horses and the location of the *STX17* gene corresponds to a human melanoma susceptibility region on chromosome 9q.

*STX17*, together with neighbouring *NR4A3* (encoding a member of the *NR4A* orphan nuclear receptor family) which has been associated with cell cycle regulation and has an established link with carcinogenesis (Maxwell and Muscat, 2006), were highly expressed in horse melanomas. *STX17* belongs to the syntaxin family, which encodes membrane proteins

involved in synaptic vesicle fusion. To determine whether an association exists between common variants of *STX17* and human CMM, we genotyped 26 tag-SNPs across the four genes in the region. The tagging SNPs we selected for this study could capture 100 percent of alleles with  $\gamma^2$  cut off at 0.9. If the genetic predisposition of melanoma is influenced by common variation in the region, we would expect to detect evidence of association in the SNPs and SNP haplotypes in our sample. Our results do not support an association between melanoma and common variation in the *STX17* gene in human melanoma predisposition. We found no evidence for SNP-SNP interactions between SNP rs910873 (*ASIP*) and any of the *STX17* SNPs. Haplotype analyses using sliding windows of 2–5 contiguous SNPs did not identify any evidence for association between the tested variants and melanoma. However, our results do not exclude the possibility that either unknown variants in weak LD with the genotyped SNPs or rare variants of large effect in this region influence melanoma risk.

Determination of the anatomic site distribution of CMM is not fully understood, although sun exposure is believed to be associated causally with the disease. A study of 844 patients with head and neck melanoma compared with 4858 patients with other anatomical region's melanoma estimated a significant increased risk of 2.6 for the patients with melanoma on face (Hoersch et al., 2006). Similar association was observed in an Australian population (Green, 1992; Green et al., 1988), whereas others did not support site specific theory (Chen et al., 1996; Randi et al., 2006; Rieger et al., 1995; Rodenas et al., 1997). It has been postulated that the STX17 duplication leads to proliferation of dermal melanocytes in glabrous (non-hairy) skin, thus predisposing to melanoma development (Rosengren Pielberg et al., 2008). We hypothesized that variation in the STX17 gene may contribute to risk of CMM in a site-specific manner. Data analysis using site-restricted cases provided weak evidence for association between the STX17 promoter SNP rs7024182 and CMM on the face, but no association was observed for this SNP with CMM on the external ear. This result may be due to a site-restricted sample size which is too small to have sufficient power to detect a true association. Since the overall results did not support an association between common variation in the STX17 gene region and CMM, we conclude that if the risk of melanoma on glabrous skin is influenced by the STX17 promoter SNP rs7024182, the effect size would not be large. Replication studies are required to confirm or refute this result.

In this study, we examined the association between CMM and common SNPs or haplotypes in human in the region syntenic to the horse gray-causing mutation containing the *STX17*, *NR4A3*, *TXNDC4* and *INVS* genes. Our data do not support an association between common variation in these genes and melanoma risk. We found no evidence for SNP-SNP interactions between SNP rs910873 (*ASIP*) and any of the *STX17* SNPs. However, our results can not exclude genomic deletions or insertions in the region which are not in LD with the genotyped SNPs. We conclude that common variants in the *STX17* gene region do not play a key role in the pathogenesis of human cutaneous malignant melanoma.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Participants**

An Australian case–control panel was made up of 1560 familial melanoma cases drawn from Queensland, unselected for age at onset (Queensland study of Melanoma: Environment and Genetic Associations, (Baxter *et al.*, 2008), and 1650 controls drawn from parents of twins enrolled in the Brisbane Twin Nevus Study (Zhu *et al.*, 1999). All cases had incident primary melanomas. Tumour location and thickness were recorded. None of the controls have been diagnosed with melanoma. All samples are of European descent. The project was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Queensland Institute of Medical Research and the Australian Twin Registry.

#### Genotyping

To cover the region of Gray-causing mutation, we selected 26 functional and tagging SNPs  $(\gamma^2 \text{ cut off } 0.9)$  based on data from Pielberg's paper (Rosengren Pielberg *et al.*, 2008) and public databases including the International HapMap Project (http://www.hapmap.org/), and NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). There were 8 SNPs selected from the NR4A3 gene, 8 SNPs selected from the STX17 gene, 6 SNPs selected from the TXNDC4 gene and 4 SNPs selected from INVS gene. A region of 473.4 kb on chromosome 9 was covered by these SNPs. SNP sequences were downloaded from the Chip Bioinformatics database (http:// snpper.chip.org/) and the sequences were cross-checked with NCBI before assay design. Multiplex assays were designed for the 26 SNPs using the Sequenom MassARRAY Assay Design software (version 3.1). SNPs were typed using iPLEX<sup>TM</sup> Gold chemistry and analyzed using a Sequenom MassARRAY Compact Mass Spectrometer (Sequenom Inc, San Diego, CA, USA). Briefly, The 2.5 µl PCR reactions were performed in 384-well plates using 12.5 ng genomic DNA, 0.9 unit of Taq polymerase (HotStarTaq, Qiagen, Valencia, CA), 500 µmol of each dNTP, 1.625 mM of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 100 nmol of each PCR primers (Bioneer, Korea). PCR thermal cycling was 15 min at 94°C, followed by 45 cycles of 20 sec at 94°C, 30 sec at 56°C, 60 sec at 72°C. The post-PCR reactions were performed in a final 5  $\mu$ l of extension reaction containing 1× of termination mix, 1× of DNA polymerase, and 570 nM to 1240 nM extension primers. A two-step 200 short cycles program was used for the iPLEX Gold reaction as described in our previously study (Zhao et al., 2006). The products were spotted on a SpectroChip (Sequenom Inc, San Diego, CA, USA), and data were processed and analysed by MassARRAY TYPER 3.4 software (Sequenom Inc, San Diego, CA, USA).

#### Statistical analysis

SNP genotypes were tested for departures from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) for 1650 controls using Haploview version 4.1 (Barrett *et al.*, 2005). Allelic association between melanoma and the SNPs were tested using the PLINK program (http:// pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/purcell/plink/). Associations between categorical groups were tested by use of  $\chi^2$  statistics. The global significance level was derived from multiple tests and values < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. Linkage disequilibrium (LD), haplotype frequencies and blocks were determined by Haploview using the default method of Gabriel *et al* (Gabriel et al., 2002). We conducted logic regression mythology for predicting the possible SNP interactions between the common variation in the *STX17* gene region and the SNP rs910873 (Kooperberg and Ruczinski, 2005; Schwender and Ickstadt, 2008).

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Cancer Institute (NCI) of the US National Institutes of Health (CA88363), and the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (NHMRC) (380385, 389892, 496675, 402761). N.K.H. and G.W.M. are supported by the NHMRC Fellowships scheme.

#### Abbreviations

CMM	cutaneous malignant melanoma
STX17	Syntaxin 17
SNPs	single nucleotide polymorphisms

#### REFERENCES

Armstrong BK, Kricker A. Cutaneous melanoma. Cancer Surv. 1994; 19-20:219-240.

- Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ. Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. Bioinformatics. 2005; 21:263–265. [PubMed: 15297300]
- Baxter AJ, Hughes MC, Kvaskoff M, Siskind V, Shekar S, Aitken JF, et al. The Queensland Study of Melanoma: environmental and genetic associations (Q-MEGA); study design, baseline characteristics, and repeatability of phenotype and sun exposure measures. Twin Res Hum Genet. 2008; 11:183–196. [PubMed: 18361720]
- Berwick M, Wiggins C. The current epidemiology of cutaneous malignant melanoma. Front Biosci. 2006; 11:1244–1254. [PubMed: 16368510]
- Brown KM, Macgregor S, Montgomery GW, Craig DW, Zhao ZZ, Iyadurai K, et al. Common sequence variants on 20q11.22 confer melanoma susceptibility. Nat Genet. 2008; 40:838–840. [PubMed: 18488026]
- Cannon-Albright LA, Goldgar DE, Meyer LJ, Lewis CM, Anderson DE, Fountain JW, et al. Assignment of a locus for familial melanoma, MLM, to chromosome 9p13-p22. Science. 1992; 258:1148–1152. [PubMed: 1439824]
- Chen YT, Dubrow R, Holford TR, Zheng T, Barnhill RL, Fine J, et al. Malignant melanoma risk factors by anatomic site: a case-control study and polychotomous logistic regression analysis. Int J Cancer. 1996; 67:636–643. [PubMed: 8782651]
- Davies H, Bignell GR, Cox C, Stephens P, Edkins S, Clegg S, et al. Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer. Nature. 2002; 417:949–954. [PubMed: 12068308]
- de Snoo FA, Hayward NK. Cutaneous melanoma susceptibility and progression genes. Cancer Lett. 2005; 230:153–186. [PubMed: 16297704]
- Ford D, Bliss JM, Swerdlow AJ, Armstrong BK, Franceschi S, Green A, et al. Risk of cutaneous melanoma associated with a family history of the disease. The International Melanoma Analysis Group (IMAGE). Int J Cancer. 1995; 62:377–381. [PubMed: 7635561]
- Gabriel SB, Schaffner SF, Nguyen H, Moore JM, Roy J, Blumenstiel B, et al. The structure of haplotype blocks in the human genome. Science. 2002; 296:2225–2229. [PubMed: 12029063]
- Green A. A theory of site distribution of melanomas: Queensland, Australia. Cancer Causes Control. 1992; 3:513–516. [PubMed: 1420853]
- Green A, Beardmore G, Hart V, Leslie D, Marks R, Staines D. Skin cancer in a Queensland population. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1988; 19:1045–1052. [PubMed: 3204179]
- Hayward NK. Genetics of melanoma predisposition. Oncogene. 2003; 22:3053–3062. [PubMed: 12789280]
- Hoersch B, Leiter U, Garbe C. Is head and neck melanoma a distinct entity? A clinical registry-based comparative study in 5702 patients with melanoma. Br J Dermatol. 2006; 155:771–777. [PubMed: 16965427]
- Hussussian CJ, Struewing JP, Goldstein AM, Higgins PA, Ally DS, Sheahan MD, et al. Germline p16 mutations in familial melanoma. Nat Genet. 1994; 8:15–21. [PubMed: 7987387]
- Koh HK, Kligler BE, Lew RA. Sunlight and cutaneous malignant melanoma: evidence for and against causation. Photochem Photobiol. 1990; 51:765–779. [PubMed: 2195564]
- Kooperberg C, Ruczinski I. Identifying interacting SNPs using Monte Carlo logic regression. Genet Epidemiol. 2005; 28:157–170. [PubMed: 15532037]
- Landi MT, Bauer J, Pfeiffer RM, Elder DE, Hulley B, Minghetti P, et al. MC1R germline variants confer risk for BRAF-mutant melanoma. Science. 2006; 313:521–522. [PubMed: 16809487]
- Lejeune FJ. Epidemiology and etiology of malignant melanoma. Biomed Pharmacother. 1986; 40:91– 99. [PubMed: 3527290]
- Locke MM, Penedo MC, Bricker SJ, Millon LV, Murray JD. Linkage of the grey coat colour locus to microsatellites on horse chromosome 25. Anim Genet. 2002; 33:329–337. [PubMed: 12354140]
- Lynch HT, Fusaro RM. Hereditary malignant melanoma: a unifying etiologic hypothesis. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1986; 20:301–304. [PubMed: 3943068]
- Maxwell MA, Muscat GE. The NR4A subgroup: immediate early response genes with pleiotropic physiological roles. Nucl Recept Signal. 2006; 4:e002. [PubMed: 16604165]
- Nyholt DR. ssSNPer: identifying statistically similar SNPs to aid interpretation of genetic association studies. Bioinformatics. 2006; 22:2960–2961. [PubMed: 17038340]

- Pielberg G, Mikko S, Sandberg K, Andersson L. Comparative linkage mapping of the Grey coat colour gene in horses. Anim Genet. 2005; 36:390–395. [PubMed: 16167981]
- Pollock PM, Walker GJ, Glendening JM, Que Noy T, Bloch NC, Fountain JW, et al. PTEN inactivation is rare in melanoma tumours but occurs frequently in melanoma cell lines. Melanoma Res. 2002; 12:565–575. [PubMed: 12459646]
- Ranade K, Hussussian CJ, Sikorski RS, Varmus HE, Goldstein AM, Tucker MA, et al. Mutations associated with familial melanoma impair p16INK4 function. Nat Genet. 1995; 10:114–116. [PubMed: 7647780]
- Randi G, Naldi L, Gallus S, Di Landro A, La Vecchia C. Number of nevi at a specific anatomical site and its relation to cutaneous malignant melanoma. J Invest Dermatol. 2006; 126:2106–2110. [PubMed: 16645584]
- Rieger E, Soyer HP, Garbe C, Buttner P, Kofler R, Weiss J, et al. Overall and site-specific risk of malignant melanoma associated with nevus counts at different body sites: a multicenter casecontrol study of the German Central Malignant-Melanoma Registry. Int J Cancer. 1995; 62:393– 397. [PubMed: 7635564]
- Rodenas JM, Delgado-Rodriguez M, Farinas-Alvarez C, Herranz MT, Serrano S. Melanocytic nevi and risk of cutaneous malignant melanoma in southern Spain. Am J Epidemiol. 1997; 145:1020– 1029. [PubMed: 9169911]
- Rosengren Pielberg G, Golovko A, Sundstrom E, Curik I, Lennartsson J, Seltenhammer MH, et al. A cis-acting regulatory mutation causes premature hair graying and susceptibility to melanoma in the horse. Nat Genet. 2008; 40:1004–1009. [PubMed: 18641652]
- Schwender H, Ickstadt K. Identification of SNP interactions using logic regression. Biostatistics. 2008; 9:187–198. [PubMed: 17578898]
- Stark M, Hayward N. Genome-wide loss of heterozygosity and copy number analysis in melanoma using high-density single-nucleotide polymorphism arrays. Cancer Res. 2007; 67:2632–2642. [PubMed: 17363583]
- Zhao ZZ, Nyholt DR, Le L, Martin NG, James MR, Treloar SA, et al. KRAS variation and risk of endometriosis. Mol Hum Reprod. 2006; 12:671–676. [PubMed: 16973828]
- Zhu G, Duffy DL, Eldridge A, Grace M, Mayne C, O'Gorman L, et al. A major quantitative-trait locus for mole density is linked to the familial melanoma gene CDKN2A: a maximum-likelihood combined linkage and association analysis in twins and their sibs. Am J Hum Genet. 1999; 65:483–492. [PubMed: 10417291]
- Zhu G, Montgomery GW, James MR, Trent JM, Hayward NK, Martin NG, et al. A genome-wide scan for naevus count: linkage to CDKN2A and to other chromosome regions. Eur J Hum Genet. 2007; 15:94–102. [PubMed: 17063143]
- Zuo L, Weger J, Yang Q, Goldstein AM, Tucker MA, Walker GJ, et al. Germline mutations in the p16INK4a binding domain of CDK4 in familial melanoma. Nat Genet. 1996; 12:97–99. [PubMed: 8528263]

Zhao et al.



#### Figure 1.

Association analyses of the 26 polymorphisms genotyped in 1650 controls compared with (A) 1560 familial melanoma cases, (B) 117 site-restrict melanomas on external ear and face.



#### Figure 2.

Twenty-six polymorphisms genotyped in the human *STX17* gene region. The linkage disequilibrium plot of single nucleotide polymorphisms estimated as r<sup>2</sup> using Haploview (above) and common haplotypes and association analysis with melanomas (below). Shading key: white  $\gamma^2=0$ ; shades of grey  $0>\gamma^2<1$ ; black  $\gamma^2=1$ 

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

# Table 1

Association analyses of the polymorphisms genotyped in 1560 familial melanoma cases and 1650 controls.

				Minor	Allele Fr	equency		Allelic A	ssociation
dl ANSdb	Position	Gene	Role	Alleles	Case	Control	X <sup>2</sup>	Ρ	OR (95% CI)
rs10819693	chr9:101577981	NR4A3	Upstream	C <a< td=""><td>0.209</td><td>0.214</td><td>0.223</td><td>0.637</td><td>0.97 (0.86–1.10)</td></a<>	0.209	0.214	0.223	0.637	0.97 (0.86–1.10)
rs4743365	chr9:101613594	NR4A3	Upstream	С	0.123	0.129	0.537	0.464	$0.95\ (0.82{-}1.10)$
rs13295822	chr9:101615501	NR4A3	Promoter	A <g< td=""><td>0.170</td><td>0.168</td><td>0.080</td><td>0.778</td><td><math>1.02\ (0.89-1.16)</math></td></g<>	0.170	0.168	0.080	0.778	$1.02\ (0.89-1.16)$
rs2900223	chr9:101636142	NR4A3	3' UTR	C≤T	0.235	0.247	1.060	0.303	$0.94\ (0.84{-}1.06)$
rs2416879	chr9:101653493	NR4A3	Intron	G <a< td=""><td>0.107</td><td>0.109</td><td>0.101</td><td>0.751</td><td>0.97 (0.83–1.14)</td></a<>	0.107	0.109	0.101	0.751	0.97 (0.83–1.14)
rs7023690	chr9:101663149	NR4A3	Intron	C <a< td=""><td>0.440</td><td>0.443</td><td>0.025</td><td>0.874</td><td><math>0.99\ (0.90{-}1.10)</math></td></a<>	0.440	0.443	0.025	0.874	$0.99\ (0.90{-}1.10)$
rs2416878	chr9:101672056	NR4A3	Downstream	A <g< td=""><td>0.398</td><td>0.399</td><td>0.000</td><td>0.993</td><td>1.10(0.90-1.11)</td></g<>	0.398	0.399	0.000	0.993	1.10(0.90-1.11)
rs12554558	chr9:101679119	NR4A3	Downstream	С	0.083	060.0	1.139	0.286	0.91 (0.76–1.08)
rs10988912	chr9:101680853	STX17	Upstream	G <c< td=""><td>0.332</td><td>0.338</td><td>0.176</td><td>0.675</td><td><math>0.98\ (0.88{-}1.09)</math></td></c<>	0.332	0.338	0.176	0.675	$0.98\ (0.88{-}1.09)$
rs7024182	chr9:101694268	STX17	Upstream	T <c< td=""><td>0.343</td><td>0.349</td><td>0.187</td><td>0.665</td><td><math>0.98\ (0.88{-}1.08)</math></td></c<>	0.343	0.349	0.187	0.665	$0.98\ (0.88{-}1.08)$
rs4742776	chr9:101702452	STX17	Promoter	T <c< td=""><td>0.358</td><td>0.358</td><td>0.000</td><td>0.987</td><td>1.00(0.90-1.11)</td></c<>	0.358	0.358	0.000	0.987	1.00(0.90-1.11)
rs2416938	chr9:101720516	STX17	Intron	A <g< td=""><td>0.310</td><td>0.320</td><td>0.637</td><td>0.425</td><td><math>0.96\ (0.86{-}1.07)</math></td></g<>	0.310	0.320	0.637	0.425	$0.96\ (0.86{-}1.07)$
rs10760704	chr9:101748385	STX17	Intron	G <a< td=""><td>0.325</td><td>0.335</td><td>0.751</td><td>0.386</td><td><math>0.95\ (0.86{-}1.06)</math></td></a<>	0.325	0.335	0.751	0.386	$0.95\ (0.86{-}1.06)$
rs7038506	chr9:101749953	STX17	Intron	T <c< td=""><td>0.324</td><td>0.334</td><td>0.852</td><td>0.356</td><td><math>0.95\ (0.86{-}1.06)</math></td></c<>	0.324	0.334	0.852	0.356	$0.95\ (0.86{-}1.06)$
rs7865257	chr9:101755787	STX17	Intron	G <a< td=""><td>0.365</td><td>0.343</td><td>3.430</td><td>0.064</td><td>1.10 (0.99–1.22)</td></a<>	0.365	0.343	3.430	0.064	1.10 (0.99–1.22)
rs10116142	chr9:101767497	STX17	Intron	G <c< td=""><td>0.374</td><td>0.377</td><td>0.064</td><td>0.800</td><td><math>0.99\ (0.89{-}1.09)</math></td></c<>	0.374	0.377	0.064	0.800	$0.99\ (0.89{-}1.09)$
rs3824510	chr9:101854271	TXNDC4	Intron	T <a< td=""><td>0.084</td><td>0.083</td><td>0.019</td><td>0.890</td><td>1.01 (0.85–1.21)</td></a<>	0.084	0.083	0.019	0.890	1.01 (0.85–1.21)
rs16918878	chr9:101886451	TXNDC4	Intron	T <c< td=""><td>0.296</td><td>0.300</td><td>0.128</td><td>0.720</td><td><math>0.98\ (0.88{-}1.09)</math></td></c<>	0.296	0.300	0.128	0.720	$0.98\ (0.88{-}1.09)$
rs1361668	chr9:101896127	TXNDC4	Intron	G <c< td=""><td>0.461</td><td>0.474</td><td>1.231</td><td>0.267</td><td><math>0.95\ (0.86{-}1.04)</math></td></c<>	0.461	0.474	1.231	0.267	$0.95\ (0.86{-}1.04)$
rs7024375	chr9:101901434	TXNDC4	<b>Promoter</b>	G <t< td=""><td>0.166</td><td>0.171</td><td>0.424</td><td>0.515</td><td><math>0.96\ (0.84{-}1.09)</math></td></t<>	0.166	0.171	0.424	0.515	$0.96\ (0.84{-}1.09)$
rs12552646	chr9:101908296	TXNDC4	<b>Promoter</b>	T <c< td=""><td>0.445</td><td>0.458</td><td>1.219</td><td>0.270</td><td><math>0.95\ (0.86{-}1.04)</math></td></c<>	0.445	0.458	1.219	0.270	$0.95\ (0.86{-}1.04)$
rs12346672	chr9:101908590	TXNDC4	Promoter	G <a< td=""><td>0.310</td><td>0.287</td><td>3.911</td><td>0.048</td><td>1.12 (1.00–1.24)</td></a<>	0.310	0.287	3.911	0.048	1.12 (1.00–1.24)
rs1535667	chr9:101934076	SANI	Intron	T <c< td=""><td>0.471</td><td>0.486</td><td>1.585</td><td>0.208</td><td><math>0.94\ (0.85{-}1.04)</math></td></c<>	0.471	0.486	1.585	0.208	$0.94\ (0.85{-}1.04)$
rs7020636	chr9:101998420	SANI	Intron	G <a< td=""><td>0.302</td><td>0.306</td><td>0.115</td><td>0.734</td><td><math>0.98\ (0.88{-}1.09)</math></td></a<>	0.302	0.306	0.115	0.734	$0.98\ (0.88{-}1.09)$
rs4273907	chr9:102017116	SANI	Intron	T <c< td=""><td>0.085</td><td>0.083</td><td>0.022</td><td>0.882</td><td>1.01 (0.85–1.21)</td></c<>	0.085	0.083	0.022	0.882	1.01 (0.85–1.21)
rs16919019	chr9:102051408	SANI	Intron	G <c< td=""><td>0.092</td><td>0.107</td><td>3.365</td><td>0.067</td><td>0.86 (0.73–1.01)</td></c<>	0.092	0.107	3.365	0.067	0.86 (0.73–1.01)

## Table 2

Allelic and genotypic association analyses of the STX17 promoter SNP rs7024182 on the anatomic site of melanomas compared with 1650 controls.

Site of CMMNo casesMinorExternal car21External car21Skin of other and unspecified parts of face96Glabrous (non-hairy) skin117Skin of scalp and neck71Skin of trunk538	Minor allele frequency							
External ear 21 Skin of other and unspecified parts of face 96 Glabrous (non-hairy) skin 117 Skin of scalp and neck 71 Skin of trunk 538		Ρ	$\mathbf{X}^2$	$\mathbf{TT}$	TC	cc	Ρ	$\mathbf{X}^2$
Skin of other and unspecified parts of face 96   Glabrous (non-hairy) skin 117   Skin of scalp and neck 71   Skin of trunk 538	0.357	0.910	0.200	7	11	~	0.906	0.200
Glabrous (non-hairy) skin 117 Skin of scalp and neck 71 Skin of trunk 538	0.255	0.008	7.045	4	41	51	0.023	7.520
Skin of scalp and neck 71 Skin of trunk 538	0.273	0.019	5.490	9	52	59	0.049	6.010
Skin of trunk 538	0.357	0.326	2.240	11	28	31	0.326	2.240
Oldin of manon limb and charildon	0.359	0.406	1.800	70	243	219	0.406	1.800
occ interview and shound the second s	0.343	0.667	0.810	41	156	150	0.667	0.810
Skin of lower limb and hip 399	0.334	0.552	1.190	43	175	173	0.552	1.190
Non-glabrous skin	0.348	0.294	2.450	165	602	573	0.294	2.450