Corrigendum: Iowa gambling task: there is more to consider than long-term outcome. Using a linear equation model to disentangle the impact of outcome and frequency of gains and losses

Annette Horstmann[1,2]*, Arno Villringer[1,2,3,4] and Jane Neumann[1,2]

[1] Department of Neurology, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, Max Planck Society, Leipzig, Germany
[2] Integrated Research and Treatment Center Adiposity Diseases, Leipzig University Medical Center, Leipzig, Germany
[3] Clinic for Cognitive Neurology, University Hospital Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany
[4] Mind and Brain Institute, Berlin School of Mind and Brain, Humboldt University Berlin, Berlin, Germany
*Correspondence: horstmann@cbs.mpg.de

Edited by:
Björn Brembs, University of Regensburg, Germany

A commentary on

Iowa gambling task: there is more to consider than long-term outcome. Using a linear equation model to disentangle the impact of outcome and frequency of gains and losses
by Horstmann, A., Villringer, A., and Neumann, J. (2012). Front. Neurosci. 6:61. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2012.00061

A mistake regarding the exemplary weights given in **Table 4** of our original publication was recently brought to our attention. We corrected the weights and give the complete and updated table in this commentary.

Table 4 | (corrected): Example (one subject's) least squares solution of the linear equation model for mean choices in block 5.

Deck	Long-term outcome (x_1)	Gain frequency (x_2)	Loss frequency (x_3)	Choices [%]
A	−0.86	−0.86	−1.47	0.15
B	−0.86	0.86	0.34	0.50
C	0.86	−0.86	0.79	0.10
D	0.86	0.86	0.34	0.25
Weights	−0.145	0.110	0.089	