Identification of a Second *trans*-Acting Gene Controlling Maltose Fermentation in *Saccharomyces carlsbergensis*

ROBERT A. DUBIN,^{1*} EDWARD L. PERKINS,² RICHARD B. NEEDLEMAN,² AND CORINNE A. MICHELS¹

Department of Biology, Queens College and the Graduate School of the City University of New York, Flushing, New York 11367,¹ and Department of Biochemistry, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, Michigan 48201²

Received 9 December 1985/Accepted 22 April 1986

Maltose fermentation in Saccharomyces spp. requires the presence of a dominant MAL locus. The MAL6 locus has been cloned and shown to encode the structural genes for maltose permease (MAL61), maltase (MAL62), and a positively acting regulatory gene (MAL63). Induction of the MAL61 and MAL62 gene products requires the presence of maltose and the MAL63 gene. Mutations within the MAL63 gene produce nonfermenting strains unable to induce the two structural gene products. Reversion of these mal63 nonfermenters to maltose fermenters nearly always leads to the constitutive expression of maltase and maltose permease, and constitutivity is always linked to MAL6. We demonstrated that for one such revertant, strain C2, constitutivity did not require the MAL63 gene, since deletion disruption of this gene did not affect the constitutive expression of the structural genes. In addition, constitutivity was trans acting. Deletion disruption of the MAL6-linked structural genes for maltase and maltose permease in this strain did not affect the constitutive expression of a second, unlinked maltase structural gene. We isolated new maltose-fermenting revertants of a nonfermenting strain which carried a deletion disruption of the MAL63 gene. All 16 revertants isolated expressed maltase constitutively. In one revertant studied in detail, strain R10, constitutive expression was demonstrated to be linked to MAL6, semidominant, trans acting, and residing outside the MAL63-MAL61-MAL62 genes. From these studies we propose the existence of a second trans-acting regulatory gene at the MAL6 locus. We call this new gene MAL64. We mapped the MAL64 gene 2.3 centimorgans to the left of MAL63. The role of the MAL64 gene product in maltose fermentation is discussed.

Maltose fermentation in Saccharomyces spp. requires the presence of at least one of five unlinked MAL loci: MAL1, MAL2, MAL3, MAL4, and MAL6 (1). All of the MAL loci show significant homology to each other both on the sequence level as determined by Southern blot analysis (10, 11, 17) and on the functional level as determined by complementation analysis (3, 13, 16; M. Charron, personal communication). To understand the mechanisms controlling maltose fermentation and to determine the functions encoded by a MAL locus, we undertook an analysis of the MAL6 locus.

The genetic organization of MAL6 is shown in Fig. 1. The locus is a cluster of three genes: MAL61, MAL62, and MAL63 (16). All three genes are required for maltose fermentation. The MAL62 gene codes for the enzyme maltase (3, 5). MAL61 encodes maltose permease (2, 3; Y. S. Chang, R. A. Dubin, C. A. Michels, and R. B. Needleman, submitted for publication). Transcripts encoded by MAL61 and MAL62 are highly induced by maltose and repressed by glucose (5, 16; Chang et al., submitted). The genes are divergently transcribed from an approximately 700-base-pair region which contains the promoters for both genes and, most likely, any controlling sequences.

The MAL63 gene encodes a regulatory function. After mutagenesis of a MAL6 fermenting strain, ten Berge et al. (26) isolated a number of nonfermenting mutants which were uninducible for maltase and maltose permease but did synthesize uninduced levels of these enzymes. Genetic analysis showed the mutations to be recessive and linked to MAL6. Chang et al. (submitted) mapped these mutations to within the MAL63 gene and clearly showed that the MAL63 gene product is *trans* acting and required for the induction of maltase, maltose permease, and the *MAL61* and *MAL62* transcripts.

ten Berge et al. (25, 27) also isolated maltose-fermenting revertants of the mal63 nonfermenting mutants. Most of these revertants were constitutive for the synthesis of both maltase and maltose permease and were recessive to the wild type and to the nonfermenting mal6 mutants; all were linked to MAL6. In their analysis of these constitutive mutations, ten Berge et al. (25) proposed that they were alleles of the regulatory gene. We show here that constitutivity in one of these revertants, C2, lies in a gene outside the MAL63-MAL61-MAL62 gene cluster. In addition, we isolated other MAL6-linked constitutive revertants and demonstrated that in one strain, R10, constitutivity similarly resides in a gene outside the MAL63-MAL61-MAL62 gene cluster. This new gene, which we refer to as MAL64, maps 2.3 centimorgans to the left of the MAL63 gene. The role of the MAL64 gene product in the regulation of maltose fermentation is discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and growth conditions. Yeast strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Plasmids were propagated in strain RR1. Strain 8-2B was derived from the constitutive revertant C2 isolated by ten Berge et al. (27). Since the original C2 mutant was obtained in an *S. carlsbergensis* strain, it was likely to contain cryptic *MAL1g* and *MAL3g* loci in its background (17). Using the type of genetic analysis described by Michels and Needleman (10), we found that the *MAL1g* and *MAL3g* loci were present and were later crossed out of the strain. The *MAL* genotype of 8-2B is *MAL6-C2*

^{*} Corresponding author.



FIG. 1. *MAL6* locus of strain CB11. The restriction map of the *MAL6* locus of CB11 is shown (—), along with the locations of the three transcribed gene regions *MAL61*, *MAL62*, and *MAL63*, the directions of their transcription (~~), and functions.

MAL12. MAL6-C2, because of its derivation, contains both the *MAL6*-linked constitutive mutation C2 and the original *mal6-13* mutation from which it was isolated. Additionally, this strain carries in its background a partially functional allele of the *MAL1* locus which has been shown to contain only a functional *MAL12* gene encoding maltase. Isogenic strains were constructed as previously described (5). Yeast strains were grown on yeast extract-peptone (YEP) medium (1% [wt/vol] yeast extract plus 1% [wt/vol] peptone) plus the indicated amount of a specified carbon source. Maltose fermentation is defined as the production of acid and gas 1 to 3 days after inoculation and determined in 5 ml of YEP plus 2% (wt/vol) maltose medium in Durham tubes.

Reversion of A9. Approximately 4×10^7 cells of strain A9 were plated onto a plate containing YEP plus 2% glucose. A solution of nitrosoguanidine in acetone-ethanol-water was prepared, and 1 drop of this mixture was placed in the center of the plate. After growth overnight at 30°C, the plate was replica plated onto YEP plus 0.5% maltose (filter sterilized) and incubated at 30°C. Cells growing on this plate were purified and tested for maltose fermentation in Durham tubes.

Measurement of PNPGase activity. p-Nitrophenyl- α -Dglucopyranosidase (PNPGase) activity, measured as the rate of release of p-nitrophenol from PNPG, was determined by the method described by Dubin et al. (5). (Growth conditions are described below.) The rate of hydrolysis of maltose and alpha-methylglucoside into glucose was measured either by the glucostat assay or by the production of NADPH from NADP by using hexokinase and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (9, 21). Based upon a comparison of the PNPGase, maltase, and alpha-methylglucosidase activities found, it is clear that nearly all PNPGase levels are an accurate measure of the amount of maltase present in strain 332-5A. The small amount of alpha-methylglucoside cleavage observed results from the slight activity of maltase on this alternate substrate (15).

Permease assay. The rate of maltose transport was determined by the method of Serrano (24). The values reported represent the picomoles of $[^{14}C]$ maltose transported in 2 min/mg (dry weight) of cells. The cells were grown to mid-log phase in the designated media.

Yeast transformation. Yeast transformation was done by the method of Ito et al. (7), using lithium acetate. All transformants were screened for stability of the selective marker to determine whether the selective marker was being maintained in an integrated state or episomally. For gene disruptions (23) and for site-directed plasmid integration (20), transformants which stably maintained the selective marker were further screened by Southern gel transfer analysis with techniques described by Dubin et al. (5), or by standard genetic analysis (12), or both. Gel transfer analysis of DNA and RNA. DNA and RNA isolation and Southern and Northern blot analyses were performed as previously described (5, 16).

RESULTS

Constitutivity in C2 does not require MAL63. The previously reported genetic analysis regarding the MAL6 locus (3, 5, 6, 16; Chang et al., submitted) is summarized in Fig. 1. The restriction map of the MAL6 locus of strain CB11 and the approximate positions of the genes that constitute this complex locus, their directions of transcription, and functions are shown.

Strain 8-2B is a derivative of the constitutive strain C2 isolated by ten Berge et al. (27) as a Mal⁺ revertant of the *mal6-13* mutation. It was constructed as outlined above. Strain 8-2B is genetically *MAL6-C2 MAL12*. Strain 8-2B is

TABLE 1. Yeast strains

Strain	Genotype	Source
8-2B	MATa MAL6-C2 MAL12 ura3-52 leu2-3.112 trp1 ade	This study
8-2B∆63-1 and -2	Isogenic to 8-2B except MAL63::URA3	This study
8-2B∆61/∆62-5	Isogenic to 8-2B except MAL61/MAL62::LEU2	This study
8-2B∆12-6	Isogenic to 8-2B except MAL12::LEU2	This study
RDY101-1B	Isogenic to 8-2BΔ12-6 except MATα	This study
332-5A	MATa MAL6 MAL12 ura3-52 leu2-3,112 trp1 his	Dubin et al. (5)
612-1D	Isogenic to 332-5A except MATα	This study
612-1D-T	Spontaneous Trp ⁺ revertant of 612-1D	This study
332-5AΔ61/Δ62-9	Isogenic to 332-5A except MAL61/MAL62::LEU2	This study
2b-46	Isogenic to 332-5A except MAL62::LEU2	Dubin et al. (5)
LB34 and LB35	Isogenic to 332-5A except MAL61/MAL62/ MAL63::LEU2	This study
A9	Isogenic to 332-5A except MAL63::URA3	Chang et al. (submitted)
654-5D	Isogenic to A9 except $MAT\alpha$	This study
R10	Isogenic to A9 except MAL64-10	This study
618-2B	Isogenic to R10 except $MAT\alpha$	This study
618-2B-T	Spontaneous Trp ⁺ revertant of 618-2B	This study
R10Δ61/Δ62-12	Isogenic to R10 except MAL61/MAL62::LEU2	This study

constitutive for maltase and maltose permease (Table 2). Northern blot analysis of RNA isolated from 8-2B (Fig. 2) demonstrates that MAL61- and MAL62-specific transcripts are expressed at high levels under uninduced (YEP plus 2% galactose) growth conditions. This is in contrast to the situation in inducible strains, where maltase, maltose permease, and the MAL61- and MAL62-specific transcripts require the presence of maltose for high levels of expression (4, 16, 28; Chang et al., submitted).

Constitutivity may arise through the loss of a repressor, the alteration of a positive activator, or changes in specific binding sites for such effectors. Chang et al. (submitted) demonstrated that *mal6* nonfermenters of the type isolated by ten Berge et al. (26) have mutations within *MAL63*. Maltose-fermenting constitutive revertants of these *mal6* strains, like C2, were demonstrated to be linked to *MAL6*, and from complementation studies, it was concluded that *mal6* and the *MAL6-C* constitutive revertants are allelic (25, 27). Thus, both would contain mutations only within the *MAL63* gene. *MAL63* is a *trans*-acting positive regulatory protein (Chang et al., submitted). If *MAL6-C* were an allele of *MAL63*, it would likely encode an activator which no longer requires maltose. To test this directly, we deleted *MAL63* in the *MAL6-C2* derivative 8-2B.

MAL63 was deleted from strain 8-2B by the one-step gene disruption technique of Rothstein (23). Plasmid pDM3 deletes the two HindIII fragments within MAL63 and replaces them with URA3, as described by Chang et al. (submitted). *Eco*RI-restricted pDM3 was used to transform strain 8-2B to Ura⁺. Five stable transformants were isolated, and integrative disruption was confirmed by Southern blot analysis (data not shown). All five were maltose fermenters. Two isolates, $8-2B\Delta 63-1$ and $8-2B\Delta 63-2$, were subjected to further analysis. Both were genetically shown now to contain URA3 linked to MAL6. The deletion of MAL63 does not alter the constitutive expression of maltase and maltose permease in these strains (Table 2). Northern blot analysis of $8-2B\Delta 63-1$ (Fig. 2) and 8-2B Δ 63-2 (data not shown) demonstrates that the MAL61 and MAL62 transcripts remain constitutively expressed. These results are in contrast with those obtained in an identical deletion in a wild-type MAL6 strain. Chang et al. (submitted) showed that deletion of MAL63 in MAL6 MAL12 strain 332-5A results in a nonfermenting strain that is uninducible for maltase, maltose permease, and the MAL61 and MAL62 transcripts. Taken together, these results strongly demonstrate that MAL63 is not required for MAL6 constitutivity and that constitutivity lies outside the MAL63 gene.

Constitutivity is trans acting and does not lie in the MAL61-

TABLE 2. Maltase and maltose permease in constitutive strains 8-2B and $8-2B\Delta 63^{a}$

Strain	Maltase activity		Maltose transport	
	Uninduced	Induced	Uninduced	Induced
332-5A	5	310	10	460
8-2B	152	427	365	381
8-2B∆63-1	172	392	ND^{b}	ND
8-2B∆63-2	137	412	268	432

^a Cells were grown about 14 h to mid-log phase in either uninducing medium (YEP plus 2% galactose) or inducing medium (YEP plus 2% maltose). Maltase activity was determined by measuring the rate of hydrolysis of PNPG and is expressed as nanomoles of substrate split per minute per milligram of protein at 30°C. Maltose transport is reported as picomoles of [¹⁴C]maltose transported per 2 min/mg (dry weight) of cells.

^b ND, Not determined.



FIG. 2. Northern blot analysis of constitutive strains 8-2B and 8-2B Δ 63-1. Poly(A⁺) RNA was prepared after growth on either YEP medium plus 2% galactose (uninduced) or YEP medium plus 2% galactose and 2% maltose (induced). The RNA was size fractionated on a formaldehyde-containing agarose gel, transferred to nitrocellulose, and probed with *MAL61*- or *MAL62*-specific plasmids labeled with [³²P]dCTP by nick translation. The *MAL61*-specific probe contains the 1.5-kb *PstI-EcoRI* fragment internal to the *MAL61* gene. The *MAL62*-specific probe contains the 1.0-kb *PstI-EcoRI* fragment internal to the *MAL62* gene.

MAL62 gene region. MAL6-linked constitutivity could result from an alteration in a common upstream region controlling both structural genes. Because the MAL61 and MAL62 genes are divergently transcribed and because both transcripts initiate within an approximately 700-base-pair region, it is possible that a single *cis*-acting alteration in this region could lead to the constitutive expression of both genes. Alternatively, the constitutive mutation could reside within either structural gene, or within a new, as yet uncharacterized regulatory site or gene.

To begin to localize the C2 mutation within the MAL6 locus, we isolated the region containing the MAL61 and MAL62 genes from strain 8-2B. This was done by integrating a selectable plasmid at the MAL6-C2 locus and recovering this plasmid from the genome, along with its flanking MAL6 DNA. With probes derived from the wild-type MAL6 locus of strain CB11, we demonstrated extensive sequence homology between the wild-type MAL6 locus and the constitutive locus present in strain 8-2B. Southern blot analysis of strain 8-2B (data not shown) showed that the maltase and maltose permease structural genes are contained on the same 7.3kilobase (kb) HindIII fragment as are those of the wild-type MAL6 locus (17). Based upon the demonstrated homology between the 7.3-kb fragment in the wild type and that of the constitutive mutant, a subclone of the wild-type locus was used to direct the integration of a yeast-selectable plasmid at the MAL6 locus of constitutive strain 8-2B. A 1.6-kb ClaI-HindIII fragment derived from the wild-type MAL6 locus and homologous to the region flanking the 3' end of the MAL62 gene (16; Fig. 3) was cloned into YIp5. The HindIII site was deleted by filling in with T4 DNA polymerase, and integration was directed to MAL6 by digesting the plasmid with HpaI before transforming strain 8-2B. Stable Ura⁺ transformants were selected, and integration at MAL6 was confirmed for one strain, $8-2B\Delta C\Delta H-6$, by Southern blot and genetic analyses. Total genomic DNA was prepared from this strain, digested with HindIII, and ligated under dilute



FIG. 3. *MAL6* locus of strain 8-2B and restriction maps of pRD1 and pRD3. The *MAL6* locus of the constitutive strain 8-2B was cloned by site-directed integration by using homology to the wild-type *MAL6* locus of strain CB11. A plasmid containing the 1.6-kb *ClaI-Hind*III fragment located 3' to the *MAL62* gene was constructed, and the *Hind*III site was deleted as described in the text. The resulting plasmid, pY6 Δ C Δ H was used to transform strain 8-2B. Integration was directed to *MAL6* by restricting pY6 Δ C Δ H with *HpaI* (marked by \blacklozenge on the map) before transformation. Integration at *MAL6* was confirmed in one transformant. Total genomic DNA prepared from this transformant was restricted with *Hind*III, ligated under dilute conditions, and used to transform RR1 to ampicillin resistance. pRD1 was recovered, and its restriction map is presented. Regions of homology to the wild-type *MAL6* locus were determined by Southern blot analysis with *MAL6*-specific probes derived from strain CB11. The gene disruption plasmid pRD3 was constructed by replacing the two *Bg/III* fragments present in pRD1 with the *LEU2*-containing *Bg/II* fragment of CV9. pRD3 was restricted with *PsI* and used to transform various *MAL* strains to disrupt both the *MAL61* and *MAL62* genes; its restriction map is presented.

conditions; the ligated DNA was used to transform bacterial strain RR1 selecting for ampicillin resistance. Plasmid pRD1 was recovered (Fig. 3). Restriction mapping of this cloned region showed that, for the enzymes tested, it is largely identical to the *MAL6* locus of CB11 with only two changes: the *Eco*RI site near the 5' end of the *MAL61* transcript has been lost, and a new *Bgl*II site within the *MAL61* gene has been acquired. These site differences, as will be seen below, appear to represent polymorphisms between the *MAL6* loci of the *S. carlsbergensis* strains used by ten Berge et al. (25–27) and Needleman and Michels (17) and are not functionally significant. Hybridization between cloned probes derived from the *MAL6* locus of CB11 and the *MAL6* locus derived from strain 8-2B confirmed the organizational similarity (data not shown).

Strain 8-2B carries, in addition to the MAL6 locus, a partially functional allele of the MAL1 locus which contains a functional copy of the maltase structural gene (MAL12). Since strain 8-2B contains two maltase structural genes, we attempted to determine whether the MAL12 gene is constitutively expressed in strains carrying the MAL6-linked constitutive mutation C2. If the MAL12 gene were constitutively expressed, then constitutivity would be a trans-acting function. To determine this, we deleted the MAL61 and MAL62 genes from strain 8-2B as follows. The two BglII fragments spanning the region from the MAL61 to the MAL62 genes and including the 5' ends of both genes were deleted in pRD1 and replaced with the BglII fragment containing the LEU2selectable marker from CV9 (Fig. 3). The resulting new plasmid, pRD3, was digested with PstI and used to transform strain 8-2B. Stable Leu⁺, maltose-nonfermenting transformants were isolated and shown by Southern blot analysis to have disrupted the MAL6 locus. One transformant, strain 8-2B Δ 61/ Δ 62-5, was genetically analyzed, shown to carry the MAL6::LEU2 disruption, and selected for further analysis. A deletion disruption of the MAL12 gene in strain 8-2B was also constructed with plasmid pDM2b, as previously described (5), and strain 8-2B Δ 12-6 was isolated. Disruption at MAL12 in 8-2B Δ 12-6 was confirmed by Southern blot analvsis. Strain RDY101-1B was constructed and is isogenic to 8-2B Δ 12-6 except at the mating type locus. The MAL- 12::LEU2 disruption in strain RDY101-1B was confirmed by genetic analysis. The results of determining maltase activity in these disruption strains are shown in Table 3. Although deletion of the functional MAL12 gene (strain RDY101-1B) has little effect on the level of constitutively synthesized maltase or on the ability of the strain to ferment, deletion of the MAL61 and MAL62 genes (strain $8-2B\Delta 61/\Delta 62-5$) produces a nonfermenter with reduced, but significant, levels of constitutively expressed maltase activity. To demonstrate that the residual maltase activity in strain 8-2B Δ 61/ Δ 62-5 in fact results from the constitutive expression of the MAL12 gene, we mated the two single disruption strains, $8-2B\Delta 61/\Delta 62$ -5 and RDY101-1B, to produce diploid RDY103. RDY103 was sporulated, and the resulting tetrads were analyzed. Five tetrads from this diploid are shown in Table 4. By monitoring the leucine phenotype of the segregants and their ability to ferment maltose, one can determine whether the disrupted or undisrupted alleles of the MAL6 and MAL12 loci are present. Tetrad 1 is a nonparental ditype, tetrad 14 is a parental ditype, and tetrads 5, 8, and 10 are tetratypes.

TABLE 3. Effects of gene disruptions on the uninduced levels of maltase activity in wild-type and constitutive strains^a

Strain ⁶	MAL genotype	Uninduced maltase activity ^c	
8-2B	MAL6-C2 MAL12	221	
8-2B∆61/∆62-5	MAL6-C2 MAL61/MAL62::LEU2 MAL12	60	
RDY101-1B	MAL6-C2 MAL12::LEU2	192	
332-5A	MAL6 MAL12	3	
332-5A Δ61/ Δ62-9	MAL6 MAL61/MAL62::LEU2 MAL12	2	

^a Cells were pregrown in YEP medium plus 2% galactose, diluted into fresh YEP medium plus 2% galactose, and allowed to grow 8 to 9 h (mid- to late-log phase).

^b Strains 8-2B, RDY101-1B, 332-5A, and R10 are maltose fermenters. Strains 8-2B Δ 61/ Δ 62-5 and 332-5A Δ 61/ Δ 62-9 are maltose nonfermenters.

^c Maltase activity was determined by measuring the rate of hydrolysis of PNPG and is expressed as nanomoles of substrate split per minute per milligram of protein at 30°C.

Comparison of the constitutive (2% galactose grown) level of maltase synthesis of the various segregants clearly indicates that the *MAL12* maltase gene at the *MAL1* locus is responsible for the constitutively expressed maltase activity in $8-2B\Delta 61/\Delta 62-5$.

Deletion of the MAL61 and MAL62 genes, using pRD3, in the inducible MAL6 MAL12 strain 332-5A also produces nonfermenters; however, these do not express maltase activity constitutively. One such transformant, $332-5A\Delta 61/\Delta 62$ -9, was analyzed by Southern blotting and genetic analysis, and the MAL6::LEU2 disruption was confirmed. Disruption of this region in the inducible strain 332-5A does not lead to the constitutive expression of maltase at the MAL12 locus (Table 3).

Together, these results clearly indicate that the MAL6linked constitutive mutation C2 is controlling the expression of the unlinked MAL12 gene located at the MAL1 locus and thus strongly supports the existence of a second *trans*-acting regulatory gene controlling the expression of maltase and maltose permease. It is also clear that this *trans*-acting function is neither the MAL61 nor MAL62 gene. We refer to this second MAL6-linked regulatory gene as MAL64.

Isolation and characterization of new MAL6-linked constitutive strains. We previously demonstrated that deletion disruption of the MAL63 gene with plasmid pDM3, in an inducible strain, 332-5A, produces a nonfermenting strain unable to induce maltase, maltose permease, or the MAL61 and MAL62 transcripts (Chang et al., submitted). A9 is one such strain that carries the MAL63::URA3 deletion disruption.

Revertants of A9 were obtained by using nitrosoguanidine mutagenesis followed by selection for growth on YEP plates containing 0.5% maltose. Revertants appeared at a frequency of approximately 10^{-6} . For 16 revertants, the specific activity of maltase was determined under induced (YEP plus 2% maltose) and uninduced (YEP plus 2% galactose) growth conditions. All 16 revertants were found to synthesize significant levels of maltase, ranging from 50 to 250% of induced levels under noninducing conditions. Thus, by using this selection method, it appears that constitutivity is the most common result of the reversion of A9.

We selected one revertant, R10, for further study. Strain R10 expresses maltase and maltose permease constitutively (Table 5). R10 retains the Ura⁺ phenotype of the original MAL63:: URA3 disruption, Southern blot analysis confirmed the continued presence of the original disruption, and both Ura⁺ and constitutivity segregate with MAL6 (see below). R10 was crossed to a series of isogenic strains carrying either the wild-type MAL6, the MAL63::URA3 deletion, or MAL6C-R10. Strain R10 is partially dominant to wild type (Table 5). This is apparent from the cross R10 \times 612-1D, where the uninduced level of maltase in the MAL6C-R10/MAL6 heterozygous diploid is slightly higher than that in the homozygous wild-type diploid (332-5A \times 612-1D). In addition, the MAL6C-R10/MAL6 heterozygous diploid is constitutive for maltose permease. R10 is also partially dominant to the MAL63:: URA3 deletion. This is evidenced from the cross between the noninducing strain A9 and the constitutive revertant 618-2B (which is isogenic to R10 except at MAT), where it is demonstrated that the diploid is partially constitutive for maltase and fully constitutive for maltose permease.

Clearly, constitutivity in strain R10 must lie outside MAL63. Constitutivity in strain R10 is also a *trans*-acting function. This was demonstrated by deleting the MAL61 and MAL62 genes in this strain and determining whether the

 TABLE 4. Uninduced maltase activity in segregants from diploid RDY103^a

Tetrad segregant	Leucine phenotype	Maltose fermentation	Uninduced maltase activity ^b
1 A	+	_	2
В	-	+	133
С	+	-	2
D	-	+	176
5 A	_	+	159
B	+	+	103
Ē	+	_	2
D	+	-	149
8 A		+	263
B	+	_	3
Ċ	+	-	83
D	+	+	218
10 A	+	_	4
B	_	+	311
Ē	+	_	70
D	+	+	199
14 A	+	_	87
B	+	+	200
Ē	+	+	226
Ď	+	<u> </u>	91

^a Diploid RDY103 was constructed by mating strain 8-2B Δ 61/ Δ 62-5 (MAL6-C2 MAL61/MAL62::LEU2 MAL12) with RDY101-1B (MAL6-C2 MAL12:: LEU2). Cells were pregrown in YEP medium plus 2% galactose, diluted into fresh YEP medium plus 2% galacose, and allowed to grow 8 to 9 h (mid- to late-log phase).

^b Maltase activity was determined by measuring the rate of hydrolysis of PNPG and is expressed as nanomoles of substrate split per minute per milligram of protein at 30°C.

MAL12 gene was constitutively expressed. Strain R10 was transformed with PstI-digested pRD3 DNA, and Leu⁺ maltose nonfermenters were isolated. Strain R10 Δ 61/ Δ 62-12 was isolated, and the MAL6::LEU2 disruption was confirmed by Southern blot and genetic analyses. When grown under noninducing conditions (YEP plus 2% galactose), strain R10 Δ 61/ Δ 62-12 produces nearly 570 U of maltase. This strain thus continues to express maltase constitutively, confirming that constitutivity in strain R10, as in the C2 mutant, is trans acting. It also demonstrates that neither the MAL61 nor the MAL62 gene is required for constitutivity in strain R10. Since the constitutive mutations in both strains C2 and R10 are linked to MAL6, are epistatic to mal63, and express trans-acting constitutivity, it is likely that both mutations lie within the MAL64 gene. Thus, strains C2 and R10 would carry the MAL64-C2 and MAL64-10 alleles, respectively. It is not possible to confirm allelism by standard complementation tests, due to the partial dominance of strain R10. When the two constitutive strains are crossed $(8-2B \times 618-2B)$, the MAL6-C2/MAL6C-R10 diploid is partially constitutive for maltase (Table 5), making it difficult to interpret whether the effect is due to dominance between alleles or between genes.

As additional evidence that the constitutive mutation in R10 lies outside the MAL61, MAL62, and MAL63 coding regions, these three genes were deleted from the genome of the MAL6 MAL12 wild-type strain 332-5A, and the heterozygous diploid between this deletion strain and the R10 constitutive mutant was shown to be partially constitutive for maltase. The deletion of the MAL61, MAL62, and

Strain	MAL genotype or description	Maltase activity ^b		Maltose transport ^c	
		Uninduced	Induced	Uninduced	Induced
332-5A	MAL6 MAL12	88	742	9	490
A9	MAL63::URA3 MAL12	2	2	23	19
R10	MAL63::URA3 MAL6C-R10 MAL12	1,200	1,570	980	665
Diploid strains					
$332-5A \times 612-1D$	$WT^d \times WT$	41	535	11	281
$R10 \times 612-1D$	const ^e × WT	153	943	340	359
$R10 \times 618-2B$	$const \times const$	1,120	891	445	460
A9 × 654-5D	$\Delta MAL63 \times \Delta MAL63$	32	27	14	17
A9 × 612-1D	$\Delta MAL63 \times WT$	23	185	18	307
$A9 \times 618-2B$	$\Delta MAL63 \times \text{const}$	411	1,047	315	359
8-2B × 618-2B	$MAL6-C2 \times MAL6C-R10$	254	1,263	ND ^r	ND

TABLE 5. Maltase activity and maltose transport in strains 332-5A, A9, R10, and various R10 diploid strains^a

^a Cells were pregrown in YEP medium plus 2% dextrose, diluted into fresh uninducing medium (YEP plus 2% galactose) or inducing medium (YEP plus 2% maltose), and allowed to grow to mid-log phase.

^b Maltase activity was determined by measuring the rate of hydrolysis of PNPG and is expressed as nanomoles of substrate split per minute per milligram of protein at 30°C. Maltose transport is reported as picomoles of [14C]maltose transported per 2 min/mg (dry weight) of cells.

^d WT, Wild type.

const, Constitutive.

^f ND, Not determined.

MAL63 coding regions was accomplished as follows. Plasmid YEpMAL6 (Fig. 1) contains an approximately 13-kb yeast DNA fragment including these three genes and was derived from the wild-type MAL6 locus (16). The two BglII fragments containing the three transcribed regions were replaced by a BglII fragment carrying the LEU2-selectable marker from CV9. This plasmid is called pLB (Fig. 4). Plasmid pLB was restricted with HindIII and used to transform strain 332-5A. Stable Leu⁺ transformants were selected, and integration at the MAL6 locus was confirmed by Southern blot and tetrad analyses. Two transformants, LB34 and LB35, were selected for further study.

LB34 and LB35 are themselves uninducible nonfermenters. Nonetheless, when crossed to strains carrying the R10 constitutive revertant, the diploids LB34 \times 618-2B-T and LB35 \times 618-2B-T are partially constitutive for maltase, producing levels similar to those found in the diploid between the wild-type MAL6 and the constitutive revertant R10 (612-1D-T \times R10) (Table 6). If the constitutive mutation did lie within this region, the LB34 \times 618-2B-T and LB35 \times 618-2B-T diploids would be expected to be fully constitutive for maltase. This result strongly suggests that the constitutive mutation lies outside the coding regions defined by the MAL61, MAL62, and MAL63 genes.

It is interesting that the diploids from crosses between

strain LB34 or LB35 and strain 612-1D-T, which carries the wild-type MAL6 locus, induce maltase only to very low levels (Table 6). Similar results were found for the diploid from a cross between A9 and 612-1D. The meaning of this repressing effect on the induction of maltase is unclear but could result from the increased ratio of the number of MAL64 genes to MAL63 genes in these diploids.

Linkage of the MAL63 gene to the constitutive mutation MAL64. Constitutive revertant R10 was crossed to strain 612-1D-T, a spontaneous Trp⁺ revertant of strain 612-1D, which is genotypically MAL6 MAL12 (Table 1). The diploid was sporulated and dissected, and 142 tetrads were analyzed. The parental MAL genotypes (and phenotypes) are R10 MAL61 MAL62 MAL63:: URA3 MAL64-10 (Ura+ Mal+, constitutive) × 612-1D-T MAL61 MAL62 MAL63 MAL64 (ura⁻ Mal⁺, inducible). Segregants resulting from recombination between the MAL63 and MAL64 genes will have the genotypes (and phenotypes) MAL61 MAL62 MAL63::URA3 MAL64 (Ura⁺ mal⁻, uninducible) and MAL61 MAL62 MAL63 MAL64-10 (ura⁻ Mal⁺, constitutive). A total of 13 such recombinants were obtained. Of these, six were reciprocal recombinants found in three tetrads, and the remaining seven were gene convertants. Isolation of the ura⁻ Mal⁺ constitutive class of recombinants indicates that MAL64-10 is epistatic to both mal63 and MAL63. A total of 10 segreg-



FIG. 4. Construction of the disruption plasmid pLB. The gene disruption plasmid pLB was constructed by replacing the two BglII fragments in YEpMAL6 with the LEU2-containing Bg/II fragment of CV9. pLB was restricted with HindIII and used to transform strain 332-5A to disrupt the MAL61, MAL62, and MAL63 genes.

ants having an unusual phenotype which could not be explained by simple recombination were also obtained. The phenotype of eight of these was Ura^+ Mal⁺ and inducible, and they appear to be gene conversions induced at the *ura3* locus. The phenotype of the remaining two unusual segregants was ura^- mal⁻ and uninducible. The explanation for these is presently under analysis, but we suspect they could have been the result of a rearrangement in this region. These 10 unusual segregants were not considered when calculating map distance. Thus, 13 recombinants were obtained in 558 segregants giving a map distance between *MAL63* and *MAL64* of 2.3 centimorgans.

The MAL64 gene was shown to lie to the left of the MAL63 gene (Fig. 1) by the following cross. Strain 618-2B-T carrying the MAL64-constitutive mutation from strain R10 and the URA3 deletion disruption of MAL63 was mated to strain 2b-46, which contains a LEU2 deletion disruption of MAL62 (5): 618-2B-T MAL61 MAL62 MAL63::URA3 MAL64-10 (Ura⁺ leu⁻ Mal⁺, constitutive) \times 2b-46 MAL61 MAL-62::LEU2 MAL63 MAL64 (ura⁻ Leu⁺ Mal⁺, inducible). (Strain 2b-46 is a maltose fermenter despite the deletion in the MAL62 gene, because of the presence of the MAL12 gene, a MAL1-linked copy of the gene encoding maltase [5].) The haploid segregants of this cross were analyzed by random spore analysis selecting for Ura⁺ Leu⁺ haploid segregants. It is predicted that if MAL64 lies to the left of MAL63, all the Ura⁺ Leu⁺ segregants should be Mal⁺ and constitutive; if MAL64 lies to the right of both MAL63 and MAL62, all the Ura⁺ Leu⁺ segregants should be mal⁻ and uninducible; if MAL64 lies between MAL63 and MAL62, the Ura⁺ Leu⁺ segregants should fall into two classes: Mal⁺ and constitutive, and mal⁻ and uninducible. A total of 151 Ura⁺ Leu⁺ segregants were obtained, all of which were maltose fermenters. Of these 151 segregants, 23 were assayed; all of these were found to synthesize maltase constitutively. This result, along with the previous cross, places the MAL64 gene 2.3 centimorgans to the left of MAL63 (Fig. 1).

DISCUSSION

We previously demonstrated that induction of the maltose fermentative enzymes is controlled by the MAL63 gene product (Chang et al., submitted). Mutations in this gene, including several isolated by ten Berge et al. (26), as well as a deletion disruption of MAL63, lead to an uninducible phenotype that is recessive to the wild type. The MAL63 gene product, therefore, is a positive regulator. Ten Berge et al. (25, 27) found that their uninducible mal6 mutant strains were easily reverted to maltose fermenters that constitutively expressed maltase and maltose permease. These constitutive mutations were linked to MAL6 and nearly always recessive to the wild-type MAL6 locus, and when mated to strains carrying different mal6 alleles, the diploids exhibited various degrees of inducibility. We undertook the analysis described in the present report to localize the site of the alteration in these constitutive revertants and to understand the recessive nature of the mutations. Such information would enable us to define the regulatory mechanisms controlling maltose fermentation.

The results described here clearly demonstrate that the MAL6-linked constitutive mutations C2 (27) and R10 (isolated in the present report) each represent alterations in a *trans*-acting function, as evidenced from our demonstration of the constitutive expression of a second maltase structural gene located outside the MAL6 locus at a partially functional

 TABLE 6. Constitutivity in strain R10 does not reside within the MAL63-MAL61-MAL62 gene cluster^a

	MAL genotype or	Maltase activity ^b		
Strain	description	Uninduced	Induced	
332-5A	MAL6 MAL12	44	648	
612-1D-T	MAL6 MAL12	63	861	
R10	MAL63::URA3 MAL61 MAL62 MAL64-10 MAL12	1,200	1,570	
618-2B-T	MAL63::URA3 MAL61 MAL62 MAL64-10 MAL12	1,108	1,272	
LB34	MAL63/MAL61/ MAL62::LEU2 MAL64 MAL12	24	10	
LB35	MAL63/MAL61/ MAL62::LEU2 MAL64 MAL12	25	19	
332-5A × 612-1D-T	$WT^c \times WT$	15	731	
$R10 \times 618-2B-T$	$const^d \times const$	1,802	1,896	
$R10 \times 612-1D-T$	$const \times WT$	125	1,446	
$LB34 \times 612-1D-T$	Δ [<i>MAL61/62/63</i>] × WT	11	160	
LB35 × 612-1D-T	$\Delta[MAL61/62/63] \times WT$	10	184	
A9 × 612-1D	$\Delta MAL63 \times WT$	23	185	
$LB34 \times 618-2B-T$	Δ [<i>MAL61/62/63</i>] × const	85	1,264	
LB35 × 618-2B-T	$\Delta[MAL61/62/63] \times \text{const}$	74	700	

^a Cells were pregrown in YEP medium plus 2% dextrose, diluted into fresh uninducing medium (YEP plus 2% galactose) or inducing medium (YEP plus 2% maltose), and allowed to grow to mid-log phase.

^b Maltase activity was determined by measuring the rate of hydrolysis of PNPG and is expressed as nanomoles of substrate split per minute per milligram of protein at 30°C.

^c WT, Wild type.

^d const, Constitutive.

MAL1-linked locus. This trans-acting function is not an allele of the previously described positive regulatory gene MAL63 because, as shown in the present report, the MAL63 gene is dispensable for constitutivity in a derivative of constitutive strain C2 and because the constitutive R10 mutant was in fact isolated from a noninducible strain carrying a deletion of the MAL63 gene. Neither the MAL61 and MAL62 gene products nor their common upstream sequences are involved in MAL6-linked constitutivity. Deletion of both genes in constitutive strains does not affect the constitutive expression of an unlinked maltase structural gene. This result is supported by the fact that the MAL6linked structural genes isolated from constitutive strain 8-2B are unable to complement strains lacking a functional MAL63 gene or its equivalent (unpublished data). Based upon these results, we propose that in the constitutive revertants a second MAL6-linked regulatory gene, the MAL64 gene, controls the expression of the maltose fermentative enzymes. Although we have not demonstrated allelism between the constitutive mutations in strains C2 and R10, it is likely that both lie within the MAL64 gene. We have mapped the MAL64-10 mutation in strain R10 to be 2.3 centimorgans to the left of the MAL63 gene (Fig. 1). The role of the MAL64 gene product is not apparent from the results reported here. Analysis of diploids presented in Tables 5 and 6 was originally undertaken in an attempt to understand the regulatory role of the MAL64 gene product and to elucidate possible interactions with the MAL63 gene product. The results appear inconsistent and are open to several interpretations, including that the wild-type MAL64 gene product may not play a major role in the regulation of maltose fermentation. The exact nature of the *MAL64* and *MAL64*-constitutive functions must await the cloning and characterization of the genes. This work is currently under way.

The MAL loci are highly homologous with regard to both the sequence of the locus and the functions encoded by these loci. The most extensive comparison has been made between the MAL1 and the MAL6 loci. Naturally occurring mutant alleles of the MAL1 locus are complemented by various strains carrying mutations in the genes of the MAL6 locus (13; Chang et al., submitted). Additionally, plasmid subclones of MAL6 complement point mutations and deletion disruptions of the genes of the MAL1 locus (3; M. J. Charron, R. A. Dubin, and C. A. Michels, submitted for publication). The MAL1 locus has been isolated along with several kilobases of flanking DNA (Charron et al., submitted). Structural comparisons between MAL6 and MAL1 show that the homology between these two loci is extensive within the 9.0-kb region containing the MAL61-62-63 gene cluster, but beyond this region homology is largely absent. Preliminary studies on the other MAL loci (Charron and Michels, unpublished data) provide similar evidence supporting the proposal that only three functions are required to ferment maltose: the two structural genes encoding maltase and maltose permease and the regulatory gene encoding the positive regulator. This comparative study underscores the possible unique nature of the MAL64 gene and argues against a required role for its gene product in the regulation of maltose fermentation.

Constitutive mutations have been isolated in other maltose-fermenting strains carrying other MAL loci. Zimmerman and Eaton (29) isolated a series of constitutive revertants of a mal2 nonfermenting mutant. All the revertants were tightly linked to MAL2, all were dominant to the wild type, and about 50% were glucose repression insensitive. Khan and Eaton (8) describe a constitutive allele of the MAL4 locus which they show to be closely linked to MAL4, dominant to the wild-type MAL4 allele, and glucose repression insensitive. In another study, constitutive mutations were isolated directly from maltose-fermenting strains containing either the MAL1 or the MAL3 loci, and these were linked to their respective MAL loci (14). It will be interesting to localize the site and nature of these constitutive mutations.

Several of the MAL-constitutive mutations in the appropriate genetic backgrounds have been shown to be pleiotropic and affect other enzymes involved in sugar metabolism. The MAL6-linked constitutive mutation C2, originally isolated in a strain inducible for the alphamethylglucoside-fermenting enzymes, resulted not only in the constitutive synthesis of maltase but also in the partially constitutive synthesis of alpha-methylglucosidase (25, 27). Additionally, the MAL2-linked constitutive mutations isolated in a strain unable to ferment alpha-methylglucoside led to the constitutive synthesis of alpha-methylglucosidase (29). Trehalose accumulation is also controlled by MAL-constitutive loci. The accumulation of trehalose in glucose-grown cells depends upon the presence of a MALconstitutive gene in the background. This has been demonstrated for the MAL2-, MAL4-, and MAL6-linked constitutive loci (18, 22). This phenotype is independent of the presence of trehalose synthetase, suggesting that MALconstitutive loci control a second system involved in trehalose accumulation (19). It would appear that the MAL regulatory genes represent master controlling genes coordinating the expression of many functions involved in the metabolism of the various alpha-glucosides (such as maltose,

alpha-methylglucoside, and trehalose) used or stored by this yeast.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Public Health Service grants GM28216 to C.A.M. and GM32558 to R.B.N. from the National Institutes of Health. Additional support was obtained from the Board of Higher Education of the City University of New York, Professional Staff Congress Research Award Program.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Barnett, J. A. 1976. The utilization of sugars by yeasts. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 32:126-234.
- 2. Cohen, J. D., M. J. Goldenthal, B. Buchferer, and J. Marmur. 1984. Mutational analysis of the *MAL1* locus of *Saccharomyces*: identification and functional characterization of three genes. Mol. Gen. Genet. **196**:208–216.
- Cohen, J. D., M. J. Goldenthal, T. Chow, B. Buchferer, and J. Marmur. 1985. Organization of the MAL loci of Saccharomyces: physical identification and functional characterization of three genes at the MAL6 locus. Mol. Gen. Genet. 200:1-8.
- de Kroon, R. A., and V. V. Kroningsberger. 1970. An inducible transport system for α-glucosidase in protoplasts of Saccharomyces carlsbergensis. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 204:590-609.
- 5. Dubin, R. A., R. B. Needleman, D. Gossett, and C. A. Michels. 1985. Identification of the structural gene encoding maltase within the *MAL6* locus of *Saccharomyces carlsbergensis*. J. Bacteriol. 164:605-610.
- Federoff, H. J., J. D. Cohen, T. R. Eccleshall, R. B. Needleman, B. A. Buchferer, J. Giacalone, and J. Marmur. 1982. Isolation of a maltase structural gene from *Saccharomyces carlsbergensis*. J. Bacteriol. 149:1064–1070.
- Ito, H., Y. Fukuda, K. Murata, and A. Kimura. 1983. Transformation of intact yeast cells treated with alkali cations. J. Bacteriol. 153:163-168.
- 8. Khan, N. A., and N. R. Eaton. 1974. Genetic control of maltase formation in yeast. I. Strains producing high and low basal levels of enzyme. Mol. Gen. Genet. 112:317–322.
- 9. Lloyd, J. B., and W. J. Whelan. 1969. An improved method for enzymatic determination of glucose in the presence of maltose. Anal. Biochem. 30:467–470.
- Michels, C. A., and R. B. Needleman. 1983. A genetic and physical analysis of the MAL1 and MAL3 standard strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Gen. Genet. 191:225-230.
- 11. Michels, C. A., and R. B. Needleman. 1984. The dispersed, repeated family of *MAL* loci in *Saccharomyces* spp. J. Bacteriol. 157:949-952.
- Mortimer, R. K., and D. C. Hawthorne. 1975. Genetic mapping in yeast. Methods Cell Biol. 6:221–233.
- Naumov, G. J. 1976. Comparative genetics of yeast. XVI. Genes for maltose fermentation in *Saccharomyces carlsbergensis* N.C.Y.C. 74. Genetika 12:87-100.
- Needleman, R. B., and N. R. Eaton. 1974. Selection of yeast mutants constitutive for maltase synthesis. Mol. Gen. Genet. 133:135-140.
- Needleman, R. B., H. J. Federoff, T. R. Eccheshall, B. Buchferer, and J. Marmur. 1978. Purification and characterization of an α-glucosidase from Saccharomyces carlsbergensis. Biochemistry 17:4657-4661.
- 16. Needleman, R. B., D. B. Kaback, R. A. Dubin, E. L. Perkins, N. G. Rosenberg, K. A. Sutherland, D. B. Forrest, and C. A. Michels. 1984. *MAL6* of *Saccharomyces*: a complex genetic locus containing three genes required for maltose fermentation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81:2811-2815.
- 17. Needleman, R. B., and C. Michels. 1983. Repeated family of genes controlling maltose fermentation in *Saccharomyces carlsbergensis*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 3:796–802.
- Oliveira, D. E., E. G. C. Rodrigues, J. R. Mattoon, and A. D. Panek. 1981. Relationships between trehalose metabolism and maltose utilization in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. II. Effect of constitutive *MAL* genes. Curr. Genet. 3:235-242.
- 19. Operti, M. S., D. E. Oliveira, A. B. Freitas-Valle, E. G.

Oestricher, J. R. Mattoon, and A. D. Panek. 1982. Relationships between trehalose metabolism and maltose utilization in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. III. Evidence for alternative pathways of trehalose synthesis. Curr. Genet. 5:69–76.

- Orr-Weaver, T. L., J. W. Szostak, and R. J. Rothstein. 1983. Genetic applications of yeast transformation with linear and gapped plasmids. Methods Enzymol. 101:228-245.
- Ouewehand, J., and R. van Wijk. 1972. Regulation of maltase and alpha-methylglucosidase synthesis in genetically defined strains of Saccharomyces carlsbergensis. Mol. Gen. Genet. 117:30-38.
- Panek, A. D., A. L. Sampaio, G. C. Braz, S. J. Baker, and J. R. Mattoon. 1979. Genetic and metabolic control of trehalose and glycogen synthesis: new relationships between energy reserves, catabolite repression and maltose utilization. Cell. Mol. Biol. 25:345-354.
- Rothstein, R. J. 1983. One-step gene disruption in yeast. Methods Enzymol. 101:202-211.
- 24. Serrano, R. 1977. Energy requirements for maltose transport in yeast. Eur. J. Biochem. 80:97-102.

- 25. ten Berge, A. M. A., G. Zoutewelle, and R. B. Needleman. 1974. Regulation of maltose fermentation in *Saccharomyces carlsbergensis*. III. Constitutive mutations at the *MAL6*-locus and suppressors changing a constitutive phenotype into a maltose negative phenotype. Mol. Gen. Genet. 131:113-121.
- 26. ten Berge, A. M. A., G. Zoutewelle, and K. W. van de Poll. 1973. Regulations of maltose fermentation in *Saccharamyces carlsbergensis*. I. The function of the gene *MAL6*, as recognized by *mal6*-mutants. Mol. Gen. Genet. 123:233-246.
- ten Berge, A. M. A., G. Zoutewelle, K. W. van de Poll, and H. P. J. Bloemers. 1973. Regulation of maltose fermentation in Saccharomyces carlsbergensis. II. Properties of a constitutive MAL6-mutant. Mol. Gen. Genet. 125:139-146.
- van Wijk, R., J. Ouwehand, T. van de Bos, and V. V. Kroningsberger. 1969. Induction and catabolite repression of α-glucosidase synthesis in protoplasts of Saccharomyces carlsbergensis. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 186:178-191.
- 29. Zimmerman, F. K., and N. R. Eaton. 1974. Genetics of induction and catabolite repression of maltase synthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Gen. Genet. 134:261-272.