

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Bioorg Med Chem Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 June 13.

Published in final edited form as:

Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2011 September 15; 21(18): 5239–5243. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.07.043.

Synthesis and Evaluation of Quinazolin-4-ones as Hypoxiainducible Factor-1α Inhibitors

Wenwei Huang* , **Ruili Huang**, **Matias Attene Ramos**, **Srilatha Sakamuru**, **Erika E. Englund**, **James Inglese**, **Christopher P. Austin**, and **Menghang Xia**

NIH Chemical Genomics Center, National Human Genome Research Institute, NIH, 9800 Medical Center Dr, Rockville, MD 20850

Abstract

Quinazolin-4-one **1** was identified as an inhibitor of the HIF-1α transcriptional factor from a highthroughput screen. HIF-1 α up-regulation is common in many cancer cells. In this paper, we describe an efficient one-pot sequential reaction for the synthesis of quinazolin-4-one **1** analogues. The structure-activity relationship (SAR) study led to the 5-fold more potent analogue, **16**.

Keywords

hypoxia-inducible factor-1α; quinazolin-4-ones; parallel synthesis

Hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF-1) is a dimeric transcription factor consisting of an oxygen regulated α-component and a constitutively expressed β-component. At normal oxygen levels, HIF-1α is degraded via the pVHL-mediated ubiquitin-proteosomal pathway. Under hypoxic conditions, HIF-1α rapidly accumulates and dimerizes with HIF-1β. This heterodimer binds to the DNA hypoxia-response element (HRE) and activates a diverse array of target genes.¹ This pathway is particularly relevant to the cancer field because oxygen levels in tumors are commonly lower than in the surrounding tissues. Hypoxic cells are resistant to radiation damage and their distances from blood vessels reduce the potency of anti-cancer drugs. Hypoxia additionally promotes the up-regulation of genes involved in drug resistance. HIF-1 is directly responsible for the induction of numerous genes that are present at higher levels in cancer cells, in particular VEGF. The overexpression of HIF-1 has been related to the aggressiveness and vascularity of tumors, and mortality rate in patients. Despite the introduced difficulties in treating hypoxic tumors, the hypoxic environment found in tumor cells can be exploited for targeted therapy. One strategy to achieve this involves the identification of HIF-1 inhibitors as potential anti-cancer drugs.² We recently reported a high-throughput cell-based HIF-1 mediated β-lactamase reporter gene assay. Upon screening a library of 73,000 compounds (PubChem AID:915 ([http://](http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)), several compounds were identified as novel inhibitors of the HIF-1 signaling pathway.³ Among these hits, quinazolin-4-one 1 (NCGC00056044) showed good drug-like properties and was selected for further exploration.

[©] Published by Elsevier Ltd.

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel: 301-217-5740; Fax: 301-217-5736; huangwe@mail.nih.gov.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Three areas were selected for structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies: (1) substitution in area A; (2) piperazine region B; and (3) phenyl substitution in area C (Figure 1).

To facilitate our compound synthesis for the SAR study, we modified a reported method⁴ to remove the need for intermediate purification. In addition, a microwave reactor was used to accelerate the synthesis. Acylation of anthranilic acid **2** with chloroacetyl chloride gave **3,** which was treated with aniline **4** to afford chloride **5** (Scheme 1). The chloride was reacted with amine **6** to give compounds **1, 7-36**. All three steps were conducted in one-pot without the need for intermediate isolation. This protocol was carried out in a parallel fashion to prepare the analogues which were purified via HPLC.⁵

Compound **39** was prepared as described in Scheme 2. Reaction of **37** with 2-furoyl chloride, followed by a hydrolysis reaction yielded acid **38**. The desired **39** was obtained via a microwave assisted one-pot three-component reaction of **38**, acid **2a**, and 2-ethoxyaniline.⁶

Scheme 3 describes the synthesis of the area C analogue **42**. Nitro-reduction of **40** gave **41**. Alkylation of the aniline nitrogen in **41** using ethyl iodide followed by a Boc-deprotection gave **42**.

All analogues were evaluated in a cell-based HIF-1 mediated β-lactamase reporter gene assay under hypoxic conditions.⁷ Area A showed little tolerance for substitution (Table 1). The C-6 methoxy (**7**), C-5 iodo (**9**), and C-4 and C-5 dimethoxy (**10**) substitutions were inactive. Compound **8** with a methyl group at C-6 was active, but it was 3-fold less potent than the original hit (**1**). Considering these results, our efforts focused on the optimization of areas B and C (Figure 1).

Modification of piperazine region B is shown in Table 2. Acetylation of N-4 (**11**) resulted in similar activity to the hit compound (**1**), but capping the piperazine nitrogen with a benzamide (**12**) or ethyl carbamate (**13**) resulted in a loss of activity. N-4 methylation (**14**) or benzylation (**15**) resulted in a 2-fold and 64-fold loss of activity respectively. Ultimately, the most active compound was the unsubstituted N-4 analogue (**16**), which was about 5-fold more potent than **1**. N-4 was critical for activity because when it was replaced with either a carbon (**19**) or oxygen (**18**), activity was lost. In fact, both piperazine nitrogens were important because replacement of N-1 with a carbon (**39**) also resulted in a 40-fold loss of activity. Finally, the piperazine ring was expanded to homopiperazine (**17**) and there was a slight loss in activity relative to **16**, but this analogue was still more potent than **1**.

The modification of area C was explored in table 3. The first set of compounds was based on piperazine scaffold A (Table 3, entries 1-12) and there was almost no tolerance for substitution. The only moderately successful analogue was 2-OMe (**29**), but even this was 8 fold less active than **1**. Scaffold B presented a greater opportunity for SAR analysis (entries 13-20). Large alkoxy groups, such as benzyloxy (**33**), or isobutyloxy (**34**) at C-2 resulted in significant loss of activity in comparison with ethoxy (**16**). Moving the methoxy group from the 2 to 4 position resulted in a complete loss of activity (**29** vs. **36**). A dramatic substitution effect was observed at the 5 position. Replacement of the nitro group (32) with a $CF₃(31)$ resulted in more than a 20-fold improvement in potency. Finally, by comparing **35, 16**, and **42**, the ethoxy group appeared to be better than ethoxythio or ethylamine at the C-2 position.

To confirm HIF-1α inhibition activity, compounds **18** and **16** were evaluated in a Western blot analysis.⁸ At 1 μM, **16** completely suppressed HIF-1α accumulation while **18** had no effect on the protein accumulation (Figure 2). This result is in agreement with the compounds' activities observed in the cell-based assay. However, compound **18** at 10 μM also inhibited HIF-1α protein accumulation. Stockwell and coworkers reported that these

Bioorg Med Chem Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 June 13.

quinazolin-4-ones caused rapid death of human tumor cells (BJ-TERT/LT/ST/RAS^{V12} cells) via RAS-RAF-MEK dependent signaling.⁹ Because Ras, a well known oncogene, has been shown to stimulate HIF-1 α expression via the Raf/Mek/ERK pathway,¹⁰ it is possible that the activity of these quinazolin-4-ones against HIF-1α accumulation is via the RAS signaling pathway.

In conclusion, we have identified a series of novel quinazolin-4-one HIF-1α inhibitors. A library synthesis and SAR studies revealed analogue **16** as the new lead, which was almost 5-fold more potent than the hit (**1**). The inhibition of HIF-1α was further confirmed in Western blot analysis. Detailed mechanistic studies and evaluation of these compounds as anti-cancer agents in rare types of cancer are currently under investigation and will be reported in due course.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Paul Shinn and Danielle Van Leer for compound management, William Leister and Jeremy Smith for analytical chemistry. This research was supported by the Molecular Libraries Initiative of the National Institutes of Health Roadmap for Medical Research, National Institutes of Health.

References and notes

- 1. Weidemann A, Johnson RS. Cell Death Differ. 2008; 15:621. [PubMed: 18259201]
- 2. (a) Yewalkar N, Deore V, Padgaonkar A, Manohar S, Sahu B, Kumar P, Jalota-Badhwar A, Joshi K, Sharma S, Kumar S. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2010; 20:6426. [PubMed: 20932758] (b) Park S-Y, Jang W-J, Yi E-Y, Jang J-Y, Jung Y, Jeong J-W, Kim Y-J. J Pineal Res. 2010; 48:178. [PubMed: 20449875] (c) Shimizu K, Maruyama M, Yasui Y, Minegishi H, Ban HS, Nakamura H. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2010; 20:1453. [PubMed: 20083404] (d) Narita T, Yin S, Gelin CF, Moreno CS, Yepes M, Nicolaou KC, Van Meir EG. Clin Cancer Res. 2009; 15:6128. [PubMed: 19789328] (e) Kasper AC, Moon EJ, Hu X, Park Y, Wooten CM, Kim H, Yang W, Dewhirst MW, Hong J. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2009; 19:3783. [PubMed: 19423348] (f) Won M-S, Im N, Park S, Boovanahalli SK, Jin Y, Jin X, Chung K-S, Kang M, Lee K, Park S-K, Kim HM, Kwon BM, Lee JJ, Lee K. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2009; 385:16. [PubMed: 19433063] (g) Uno M, Ban HS, Nakamura H. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2009; 19:3166. [PubMed: 19435661] (h) Diaz-Gonzalez JA, Russell J, Rouzaut A, Gil-Bazo I, Montuenga L. Cancer Biol Ther. 2005; 4:1055. [PubMed: 16294030] (i) Harada H, Hiraoka M. Curr Signal Transduction Ther. 2010; 5:188.(j) Mooring SR, Wang BH. Sci China:Chem. 2011:24.(k) Li Y, Ye D. Curr Cancer Drug Tar. 2010; 10:782.(l) Semenza G. Drug Discovery Today. 2007:19.(m) DeBerardinis RJ, Lum JJ, Hatzivassiliou G, Thompson CB. Cell Metab. 2008:11. [PubMed: 18177721] (n) Wang X-H, Cavell BE, Alwi SS, Packham G. Biochem Pharmacol. 2009; 78:261. [PubMed: 19376091] (h) Garcia-Maceira P, Mateo J. Oncogene. 2009; 28:313. [PubMed: 18978810] (o) Li SH, Shin DH, Chun Y-S, Lee MK, Kim M-S, Park J-W. Mol Cancer Ther. 2008; 7:3729. [PubMed: 19074848] (p) Greenberger LM, Horak ID, Filpula D, Sapra P, Westergaard M, Frydenlund HF, Albaek C, Schroder H, Orum H. Mol Cancer Ther. 2008; 7:3598. [PubMed: 18974394] (q) Ban HS, Yoshikazu U, Nakamura H. Expert Opin Ther Patents. 2011:131.
- 3. Xia M, Bi K, Huang R, Cho M-H, Sakamuru S, Miller SC, Li H, Sun Y, Printen J, Austin CP, Inglese J. Mol Cancer. 2009; 8:117. [PubMed: 20003191]
- 4. Becklin RR, Chepanoske CL, Pelter JM, Qi L, Robbins PB, Sahasrabudhe SR, Selliah R, Simmons K, Stockwell BR, Venkat RG, Von Rechenberg M, Zhen E. PCT Int Appl. 2006 WO2006081331.
- 5. General procedure for synthesis of compounds **1, 7-36**. To a solution of anthranilic acid **2** (0.40 mmol) and Hünig's base (0.60 mmol) in anhydrous acetonitrile (1.0 mL) was added a solution of chloroacetyl chloride (0.44 mmol) in acetonitrile (0.5 mL) at 4 °C. After stirring at r.t. for 2 h, POCl₃ (112 μ L, 1.2 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added followed by a solution of aniline (0.60 mmol) in

Bioorg Med Chem Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 June 13.

acetonitrile (0.50 mL). The resulting mixture was heated in a microwave reactor at 150 \degree C for 15 min, cooled to r.t. and treated with K_2CO_3 (300 mg) and EtOH (1.0 mL). The mixture was heated at 150 °C for 5 min. To this mixture, a solution of amine (0.44 mmol) in EtOH (0.5 mL) was added. The mixture was heated in microwave for 10 min at 150 °C and the solid was filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by preparative HPLC. Example: 3-(2-Ethoxyphenyl)-2-(piperazin-1-ylmethyl)quinazolin-4(3H)-one (**16**) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.22 (t, *J*=6.9 Hz, 3H), 2.40-2.53 (m, 2H), 2.60-2.72 (m, 2H), 2.91-3.09 (m, 4H), 3.27 (d, J=14.3 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (d, J=14.3 Hz, 1H), 3.96-4.17 (m, 2H), 6.99-7.15 (m, 2H), 7.22 (dd, $J=7.6$, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.38-7.55 (m, 2H), 7.70-7.83 (m, 2H), 8.30 (d, $J=7.6$ Hz, 1H); LCMS: R_t = 4.5 min, 98%; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $C_{21}H_{24}N_4O_2$ [M+1]⁺ 365.1983, found 365.1982.

- 6. Liu JF, Lee JY, Dalton AM, Bi G, Yu LB, Baldino CM, McElory E, Brown E. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005:1241.
- 7. HRE β-lactamase reporter gene assay: HRE-bla ME-180 cells were dispensed at 2500 cells/6 μl/ well in 1536-well black wall/clear bottom plates (Greiner Bio-One North America, Monroe, NC) using a Flying Reagent Dispenser (Aurora Discovery, CA). 23 nL of the compound were transferred to the assay plate using a pin tool (Kalypsys, San Diego, CA) resulting in a 261-fold dilution. The assay plates were incubated at 37 °C in hypoxia chamber (1% oxygen) for 17 h. After 1 μL of LiveBLAzer™ B/G FRET substrate (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) mixture was added into the plates, the assay plates were incubated at room temperature for 2.5 h, and the fluorescence intensity (405 nm excitation, and 460 and 530 nm emissions) was measured by an Envision plate reader (Perkin Elmer, Shelton CT).
- 8. For western blot analysis, 1×10^6 HRE-bla ME-180 cells per well were cultured in a 6-well plate. After 6 h, the cells were treated for 17 h with DMSO in a normal atmosphere (negative control), or with 1 or 10μ M solutions of **16** or **18** in DMSO at 1% oxygen. After the treatment, the cells were lysed in lysis buffer (Invitrogen) containing 1% of protease inhibitor (Sigma) for 10 min on ice. After the samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 14000 rpm and 4 °C, supernatants were collected and subjected to SDS-PAGE analysis on a 10% Tris-Glycine gel (Invitrogen). Same amount of protein (25 ug) from each sample was loaded into the gel. Proteins were transferred to a PVDF membrane (Invitrogen) and the membrane was blotted with primary antibodies against HIF-1α at a 1:250 dilution (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Santa Cruz, CA) or β-Actin at 1:5000 dilution (Sigma) as a loading control. HRP conjugated secondary antibody (1:1000 dilution; anti-goat anti-rabbit or anti-mouse, Santa Cruz, CA) was used together with the Western Blot Luminol reagent kit (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA) to develop the membrane that was read with the ChemiDoc XRS system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).
- 9. (a) Yagoda N, von Rechenberg M, Zaganjor E, Bauer AJ, Yang WS, Fridman AJ, Smukste I, Peltier JM, Boniface JJ, Smith R, Lessnick SL, Sahasrabudhe S, Stockwell BR. Nature. 2007; 447:864. [PubMed: 17568748] (b) Yang WS, Stockwell BR. Chem Biol. 2008:234. [PubMed: 18355723]
- 10. (a) Lim J, Lee E, You H, Lee JW, Park J, Chun J. Oncogene. 2004:9427. [PubMed: 15543236] (b) Blum R, Jacob-Hirsch J, Amariglio N, Rechavi G, Kloog Y. Cancer Res. 2005:999. [PubMed: 15705901]

1

Figure 1. Optimization plan for NCGC00056044 (**1**)

Huang et al. Page 6

Figure 2.

Effect of compounds **16** and **18** on the accumulation of the HIF-1α protein under hypoxia conditions

Scheme 1.

Reagents and conditions: (i) $PrNEt_2$, ACN, r.t.; (ii) ArNH₂ (4), POCl₃, MW 150 °C, 15 min; (iii) K₂CO₃, EtOH, MW 150 °C, 5 min; then amine **6**, MW 150 °C, 10 min.

Huang et al. Page 8

Scheme 2.

Reagents and conditions: (i) *I*PrNEt₂, DCM, 2-furoyl chloride; (ii) LiOH; (iii) 2ethoxyaniline, **2a**, pyridine, MW 230 °C, 10 min.

Table 1

Modification at the $R¹$ position*

* Values of IC50 are the mean of three independent experiments.

Huang et al. Page 11

Table 2

SAR study for the piperazine region*

* Values of IC50 are the mean of three independent experiments.

 NIH-PA Author ManuscriptNIH-PA Author Manuscript

SAR study for R² position *

Bioorg Med Chem Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 June 13.