

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Vet Microbiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 June 21.

Published in final edited form as:

Vet Microbiol. 2011 January 10; 147(0): 149–154. doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2010.06.021.

Detection of classical and newly described staphylococcal superantigen genes in coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from bovine intramammary infections

Joo Youn Park^a, Lawrence K. Fox^{a,*}, Keun Seok Seo^b, Mark A. McGuire^c, Yong Ho Park^d, Fred R. Rurangirwa^e, William M. Sischo^a, and Gregory A. Bohach^b

^aDepartment of Veterinary Clinical Science, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164, USA

^bDepartment of Microbiology, Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844, USA

^cDepartment of Animal and Veterinary Science, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844, USA

^dDepartment of Microbiology, College of Veterinary Medicine and the BK21 Program for Veterinary Science, Seoul National University, Seoul, 151-742, South Korea

^eDepartment of Veterinary Microbiology and Pathology, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164, USA

Abstract

The coagulase negative staphylococci (CNS) are the most prevalent mastitis pathogen group yet their virulence characteristics have not been well described. We investigated the presence of 19 classical and newly described staphylococcal superantigen (SAg) genes in CNS isolates from bovine intramammary infections (IMI). A total of 263 CNS representing 11 different *Staphylococcus* spp. were examined, and 31.2% (n = 82) of CNS isolates had one or more SAg genes; there were 21 different SAg gene combinations. The most prevalent combination of SAg genes (*seb, seln,* and *selq,* n = 45) was found in *S. chromogenes, S. xylosus, S. haemolyticus, S. sciuri* subsp. *carnaticus, S. simulans* and *S. succinus.* The genes for SAgs appear to be widely distributed amongst CNS isolated from bovine IMI.

Keywords

coagulase-negative staphylococci; staphylococcal superantigens; multiplex PCR

1. INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcal superantigens (SAgs) including staphylococcal enterotoxins (SEs) and toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 (TSST-1) were originally identified in *S. aureus*. Staphylococcal enterotoxins were named according to their emetic activities following oral administration in

^{© 2010} Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

^{*}Corresponding author mailing address: Department of Veterinary Medicine, Washington State, University, Pullman, 99164-6610, USA; fox@vetmed.wsu.edu, Phone: + 1-509-335-0786, Fax: + 1-509-335-0880.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

a primate model. Several SEs were designated as SE-like (SEl) since they either lack emetic properties or their emetic activities have not been tested in this model (Lina et al., 2004). There are 5 different types of classical SEs, SEA through SEE, which are antigenically distinct (Bergdoll, 1989). Recently, new types of SEs (SEG, SEH, SEI, SEIJ, SEIK, SEIL, SEIM, SEIN, SEIO, SEIP, SEIQ, SEIR, SES, SET, SEIU, and SEIV) have been described in *S. aureus* (Ono et al., 2008; Seo and Bohach, 2007; Thomas et al., 2006).

Compared to *S. aureus*, a relatively limited numbers of studies have examined the prevalence of SAg genes in Coagulase negative staphylococci (CNS) isolates. Crass and Bergdoll (1986) first identified CNS that produced TSST-1, SEA, or both from patients with toxic shock syndrome. Some studies also demonstrated that classical SEs and TSST-1 were produced by CNS species isolated from human clinical samples and intramammary infections of cattle and other ruminants (Bautista et al., 1988; Kuroishi et al., 2003; Valle et al., 1991; Cunha et al., 2007). Conversely, several larger surveys failed to detect genes encoding SAgs in CNS from human and veterinary specimens (Becker et al., 2001a; Becker et al., 2001b; Jaulhac et al., 1991; Kreiswirth et al., 1987; Nemati et al., 2008).

These observations collectively suggest that CNS isolates from bovine IMI could be a possible source of SAgs. Survey studies examining genetic constructs for SAg in CNS heretofore have been limited in scope, with either a limited number of samples collected or a limited number of SAg genes probed. To build upon these previous investigations we collected milk from more than 1000 cows on 6 dairy farms to gain a better insight into the prevalence of CNS with genes for SAgs. The focus of the current study was to investigate the prevalence and distribution of CNS bovine IMI isolates that possess the genes for classical and/or newly described SAgs.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Bacterial strains

Milk samples were aseptically collected in duplicate from functional mammary quarters on six dairies in Idaho and Washington. A mammary quarter was considered infected when a single type of CNS was presumptively identified on sheep blood agar after 48 h incubation at 37 °C and 5% CO₂, from duplicate milk samples, and the number of colony-forming unit/ ml of milk was greater than 200 (National Mastitis Council, 1987). A total of 263 CNS isolates which caused IMI were identified and speciation was determined by partial 16S rRNA and/or *rpoB* gene sequence analyses as previously described (Park et al., 2009). The species of CNS from bovine IMI used in the present study included: *S. chromogenes* (n = 190), *S. xylosus* (n = 24), *S. haemolyticus* (n = 16), *S. sciuri* subsp. *carnaticus* (n = 9), *S. hyicus* (n = 8), *S. simulans* (n = 7), *S. caprae* (n = 3), *S. epidermidis* (n = 2), *S. succinus* (n = 2), *S. succinus* (n = 1). Six *S. aureus* reference strains (Table 1) were used to establish multiplex PCR for 19 different SAg genes. All bacterial strains were stored in 20% glycerol solution at -80 °C.

2.2. Genomic DNA purification

Genomic DNA was purified as previously described (Pitcher et al., 1989). Briefly, bacteria were cultured in 5 ml of Todd Hewitt broth (THB, Becton Dickinson Diagnostic Systems, Sparks, MD) at 37 °C for 18 h and then harvested by centrifugation at 12,000 g for 5 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in TE buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.0, 10 mM EDTA), digested by treatment with 10 μ l of lysostaphin (1 mg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO) and 100 μ l of lysozyme (100 mg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich Co.) at 37 °C for 1 h. Cell lysates were treated with 500 μ l of lysis buffer (5 M guanidine thiocyanate, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5% v/v sarkosyl) followed by 250 μ l of ammonium acetate solution (7.5 M, Sigma-Aldrich Co.).

After mixing with an equal volume of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24:1), the sample was centrifuged at 18,000 g for 10 min. The upper aqueous phase containing DNA was collected into a fresh microtube and precipitated with isopropanol, followed by washing with 70% ethanol. The DNA concentration was adjusted to100 ng/µl by the addition of deionized water to achieve an OD = 2.0 at 260 nm (Nanodrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE).

2.3. Multiplex PCR for SAg genes

A multiplex PCR method described by Omoe et al. (2005) was used to amplify 19 different SAg genes (18 SE and TSST-1 genes). It appeared that primers for sea, seb, seln, and sela genes used in a previous study generated non-specific amplification in some species of CNS isolates, particularly S. chromogenes, the most prevalent species in bovine IMI. Thus primers for sea, seb, seln and selq genes were replaced with newly designed ones. Additionally, one more primer for the selu gene was included in this study. Primers and the expected size of PCR products for each SAg gene are described in Table 1. Multiplex PCR was performed with 4 different sets of primer mixtures (Set 1: sea, seb, sec, sed, see; Set 2: seg, seh, sei, selj, selp; Set 3: selk, selm, selo, tst1; Set 4: sell, seln, selq, selr, selu). Each reaction mixture (50 µl) consisted of 10×PCR buffer (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA), 1U Taq DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems Inc.), 0.4mM of dNTP mix (Applied Biosystems Inc.), 0.3 µM of each primer, 100 ng of template DNA and sterile deionized water. Multiplex PCR for SAg genes was carried out with the following thermal cycling conditions: an initial denaturation of DNA at 95°C for 10 min was followed by 35 cycles of amplification (95 °C for 30 s, 53 °C for 45 s, and 72 °C for 90 s), ending with a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The mixture of genomic DNA from S. aureus reference strains were used for multiplex PCR of each set as a positive control. All PCR products were analyzed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis in 0.5× Tris-borate-EDTA buffer. Amplification of non-template controls was attempted with each reaction to determine if DNA contamination occurred.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Modification of multiplex PCR for SAg genes

The modified multiplex PCR method in this study successfully amplified 19 different SAg genes from *S. aureus* reference strains (Fig. 1A and 1B). The sizes of the PCR products obtained from the positive control reference strains corresponded to their predicted sizes (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The SAg genes of all reference strains determined by a modified multiplex PCR were exactly identical to those previously described in other studies (Table 2). Non-specific reactions were not observed. An amplicon was not observed in the negative control, *S. aureus* RN4220. In addition, this modified multiplex PCR specifically amplified SAg genes from *S. chromogenes* as well as other CNS species of isolates without non-specific amplifications as shown in Fig. 2A and 2B, demonstrating representative multiplex PCR results observed in various CNS species isolated from bovine IMI.

3.2. Prevalence and distribution of SAg genes

Of the 263 CNS IMI isolates tested, 82 CNS isolates (31.2%) were found to have one or more SAg genes (Table 3). Isolates with the *selq* gene (22.4%, 59/263) were most prevalent followed by those with the *seb* (20.9%, 55/263) or *seln* gene (20.9%, 55/263) (Table 3). The *see, sell, selm, selp,* or *tst1* gene was not detected in any of isolates in this study (Table 3). The SAg genes were widely distributed among 9 different CNS species including *S. chromogenes, S. xylosus, S. hyicus, S. haemolyticus, S. sciuri* subsp. *carnaticus, S. simulans, S. capitis, S. epidermidis,* and *S. succinus* (Table 3). Of the *S. chromogenes,* 22.1 % (42/190) of the isolates were SAg gene-positive (Table 3). *Staphylococcus xylosus* was the second most prevalent CNS species in bovine IMI and 45.8% (11/24) of the isolates

were SAg gene-positive (Table 3). All *S. hyicus* (n = 8) and *S. capitis* (n = 1) were found to have SAg genes. No SAg genes were detected amongst *S. caprae* and *S. hominis* isolates (Table 3).

A total of 21 different SAg gene combinations were observed among the 82 SAg genepositive isolates (Table 4). The most common SAg gene combination was *seb*, *seln*, and *selq*, which was present in 54.9% (45/82) of SAg gene-positive CNS isolates (Table 4). Most of SAg gene-positive isolates had both classical and newly described SAg genes (73.2%, 60/82) (Table 4). Nineteen isolates had only newly described SAg genes whereas 3 isolates had classical SAg genes only (Table 4). Of the 42 SAg gene-positive *S. chromogenes* isolates, the combination of *seb*, *seln*, and *selq* genes was most prevalent (69.0%, 29/42) and the same combination also was most commonly observed in SAg genepositive *S. xylosus* isolates (Table 4).

4. DISCUSSION

Staphylococcal SAgs are typically associated with *S. aureus* as virulence factors and have profound effects on the host immune system through the subversion of immune responses and delays in the establishment of pathogen-specific immunity (McCormick et al., 2001; Seo et al., 2007). Several previous studies (Bautista et al., 1988; Kuroishi et al., 2003; Valle et al., 1991) have suggested the possibility that various CNS species isolated from ruminant mastitis possess the genes to produce some of the SAgs. We found that one or more classical and/or newly described SAg genes were observed in 31.2% of CNS isolates and these SAg genes were widely distributed in 9 different CNS species. In this study, a group of *seb, seln,* and *selq* genes was the most prevalent SAg gene combination (n = 45) in CNS IMI isolates and was observed in various CNS species (Table 4). The current study demonstrated that the *seb* gene was the most common classical SAg while only 3 isolates harbored the *sec* gene. This was not consistent with other findings (Cunha et al., 2007; Kuroishi et al., 2003; Valle et al., 1991) that SEC was the most prevalent classical SAg in CNS isolates regardless of the origin of isolates.

Still other investigators reported that no SAg genes were detected in human and veterinary CNS isolates and thus, SAgs, were not associated with CNS isolates (Becker et al., 2001a; Becker et al., 2001b; Nemati et al., 2008). Nemati et al. (2008) investigated the presence of 18 different SAg genes in CNS isolated from clinical or subclinical bovine IMI using PCR as similar to our study and showed that none of the CNS isolates were positive for SAg genes. They raised a possibility that the failure of SAg gene detection could be due to the low sequence similarity of SAg genes between CNS and *S. aureus*. However, we note in their study genomic DNA was prepared by a boiling method routinely used in PCR technique for Gram-negative microorganism. In our study we based our DNA extraction on cell lysis using lysostaphin and lysozyme rather than boiling cells. We conjecture that our use of enzymes to lyse cells may result in a better yield and quality of DNA, leading to fewer false-negative detection results, than might be found with boiling cells which could have denatured the DNA before extraction.

In this study, we noticed a particular phenomenon that SAg genes detected in CNS IMI isolates seemed to be unstable. Generally, the amplification intensity of SAg genes from CNS IMI isolates was much weaker than those from *S. aureus* reference strains (the positive control) (Fig. 2A and 2B) although an equal amount of genomic DNA was used for multiplex PCR. Furthermore, the amplicon intensity became weaker or even disappeared when genomic DNA was extracted from the culture following several passages of the organisms under laboratory conditions. Thus it could be hypothesized that genetic elements harboring SAg genes in CNS from bovine IMI are unstable, with stability losses with

passing generations. Evidence in support of this hypothesis can be found in a recent report (Ubeda et al., 2007) where SAg genes in some *S. aureus*, chromosomally integrated in a staphylococcal pathogenic island, could be replicated as multimeric plasmids and transferred to other staphylococci, both *S. aureus* and CNS. However, replication of multimeric plasmids was unstable such that some daughter cells in the passage of culture lost multimeric plasmid harboring SAg genes. Clearly further study is necessary to define the genetic elements harboring SAg genes in CNS and to identify culture conditions which are needed to stabilize the maintenance of genetic elements.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that investigated extensively and showed the presence of classical and/or newly described staphylococcal SAg genes in various species of CNS isolates from bovine IMI. We acknowledge the need to determine under what conditions those detected SAg genes in CNS isolates are maintained and expressed at the protein level. Our findings support the conjecture that CNS isolates from bovine IMI could serve as a possible reservoir of classical and newly described SAgs typically associated with the *S. aureus* pathogen.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dorothy Newkirk and Claudia Deobald for excellent technical assistance. This work was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health Grant P20 RR15587, P20 RR016454, and U54AI57141, and the Idaho Agricultural Experimental Station, and Idaho Dairymen's Association.

REFERENCES

- Bania J, Dabrowska A, Bystron J, Korzekwa K, Chrzanowska J, Molenda J. Distribution of newly described enterotoxin-like genes in *Staphylococcus aureus* from food. Int. J. Food. Microbiol. 2006; 108:36–41. [PubMed: 16380185]
- Bautista L, Gaya P, Medina M, Nunez M. A quantitative study of enterotoxin production by sheep milk staphylococci. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1988; 54:566–569. [PubMed: 3355142]
- Becker K, Haverkamper G, von Eiff C, Roth R, Peters G. Survey of staphylococcal enterotoxin genes, exfoliative toxin genes, and toxic shock syndrome toxin 1 gene in non-*Staphylococcus aureus* species. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 2001a; 20:407–409. [PubMed: 11476441]
- Becker K, Keller B, von Eiff C, Bruck M, Lubritz G, Etienne J, Peters G. Enterotoxigenic potential of *Staphylococcus intermedius*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2001b; 67:5551–5557. [PubMed: 11722906]
- Becker K, Roth R, Peters G. Rapid and specific detection of toxigenic *Staphylococcus aureus*: use of two multiplex PCR enzyme immunoassays for amplification and hybridization of staphylococcal enterotoxin genes, exfoliative toxin genes, and toxic shock syndrome toxin 1 gene. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1998; 36:2548–2553. [PubMed: 9705390]
- Bergdoll, MS. Staphylococcus aureus. New York, NY: Foodborne Bacterial Pathogens. Marcel Dekker, Inc.; 1989. p. 463-523.
- Crass BA, Bergdoll MS. Involvement of coagulase-negative staphylococci in toxic shock syndrome. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1986; 23:43–45. [PubMed: 3700606]
- Cunha MLRS, Calsolari RAO, Junior JPA. Detection of enterotoxin and toxic shock syndrome toxin 1 genes in *Staphylococcus*, with emphasis on coagulase-negative staphylococci. Microbiol. Immunol. 2007; 51:381–390. [PubMed: 17446677]
- Jaulhac B, De Buyser ML, Dilasser F, Prevost G, Piedmont Y. Screening of staphylococci for the toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 (TSST-1) gene. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 1991; 13:90–92. [PubMed: 1367543]
- Kreiswirth BN, Schlievert PM, Novick RP. Evaluation of coagulase-negative staphylococci for ability to produce toxic shock syndrome toxin 1. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1987; 25:2028–2029. [PubMed: 3117846]

- Kuroda M, Ohta T, Uchiyama I, Baba T, Yuzawa H, Kobayashi I, Cui L, Oguchi A, Aoki K, Nagai Y, Lian J, Ito T, Kanamori M, Matsumaru H, Maruyama A, Murakami H, Hosoyama A, Mizutani-Ui Y, Takahashi NK, Sawano T, Inoue R, Kaito C, Sekimizu K, Hirakawa H, Kuhara S, Goto S, Yabuzaki J, Kanehisa M, Yamashita A, Oshima K, Furuya K, Yoshino C, Shiba T, Hattori M, Ogasawara N, Hayashi H, Hiramatsu K. Whole genome sequencing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Lancet. 2001; 357:1225–1240. [PubMed: 11418146]
- Kuroishi T, Komine K, Kai K, Itagaki M, Kobayashi J, Ohta M, Kamata S, Kumagai K. Concentrations and specific antibodies to staphylococcal enterotoxin-C and toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 in bovine mammary gland secretions, and inflammatory response to the intramammary inoculation of these toxins. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 2003; 65:899–906. [PubMed: 12951423]
- Lina G, Bohach GA, Nair SP, Hiramatsu K, Jouvin-Marche E, Mariuzza R. Standard nomenclature for the superantigens expressed by *Staphylococcus*. J. Infect. Dis. 2004; 189:2334–2336. [PubMed: 15181583]
- McCormick JK, Yarwood JM, Schlievert PM. Toxic shock syndrome and bacterial superantigens: an update. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 2001; 55:77–104. [PubMed: 11544350]
- Monday SR, Bohach GA. Use of multiplex PCR to detect classical and newly described pyrogenic toxin genes in staphylococcal isolates. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1999; 37:3411–3414. [PubMed: 10488222]
- National Mastitis Council. Laboratory and Field Handbook on Bovine Mastitis. Fort Atkinson, WI: Hoard and Sons; 1987.
- Nemati M, Hermans K, Vancraeynest D, De Vliegher S, Sampimon OC, Baele M, De Graef EM, Pasmans F, Haesebrouck F. Screening of bovine coagulase-negative staphylococci from milk for superantigen-encoding genes. Vet. Rec. 2008; 163:740–743. [PubMed: 19103615]
- Omoe K, Hu DL, Takahashi-Omoe H, Nakane A, Shinagawa K. Comprehensive analysis of classical and newly described staphylococcal superantigenic toxin genes in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 2005; 246:191–198. [PubMed: 15899405]
- Omoe K, Ishikawa M, Shimoda Y, Hu DL, Ueda S, Shinagawa K. Detection of *seg seh*, and *sei* genes in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates and determination of the enterotoxin productivities of *S. aureus* isolates harboring *seg, seh*, or *sei* genes. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2002; 40:857–862. [PubMed: 11880405]
- Ono HK, Omoe K, Imanishi K, Iwakabe Y, Hu DL, Kato H, Saito N, Nakane A, Uchiyama T, Shinagawa K. Identification and characterization of two novel staphylococcal enterotoxins, types S and T. Infect. Immun. 2008; 76:4999–5005. [PubMed: 18710864]
- Park JY, Fox LK, Seo KS, McGuire MA, Park YH, Rurangirwa FR, Sischo WM, Bohach GA. Comparison of phenotypic and genotypic methods for the speciation of coagulase-negative staphylococcal isolates from bovine intramammary infections. Vet. Microbiol. 2009 Submitted to.
- Pitcher DG, Saunders NA, Owen RJ. Rapid extraction of bacterial genomic DNA with guanidine thiocyanate. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 1989; 8:151–156.
- Seo, KS.; Bohach, GA. Staphylococcus aureus. Doyle, M.; Montville, T., editors. Washington, D.C: ASM Press; 2007. p. 493-518.Food Microbiology, Fundamentals and Frontiers
- Seo KS, Lee SU, Park YH, Davis WC, Fox LK, Bohach GA. Long-term staphylococcal enterotoxin C1 exposure induces soluble factor-mediated immunosuppression by bovine CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. Infect. Immun. 2007; 75:260–269. [PubMed: 17030573]
- Su YC, Wong AC. Identification and purification of a new staphylococcal enterotoxin. H. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1995; 61:1438–1443.
- Thomas DY, Jarraud S, Lemercier B, Cozon G, Echasserieau K, Etienne J, Gougeon ML, Lina G, Vandenesch F. Staphylococcal enterotoxin-like toxins U2 and V, two new staphylococcal superantigens arising from recombination within the enterotoxin gene cluster. Infect. Immun. 2006; 74:4724–4734. [PubMed: 16861660]
- Ubeda C, Barry P, Penades JR, Novick RP. A pathogenicity island replicon in *Staphylococcus aureus* replicates as an unstable plasmid. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 2007; 104:14182–14188. [PubMed: 17693549]

Valle J, Vadillo S, Piriz S, Gomez-Lucia E. Detection of antibodies to staphylococcal enterotoxins in the serum and milk of healthy goats. FEMS Microbiol. Immunol. 1991; 3:53–58. [PubMed: 2059481]



FIG. 1.

Detection of staphylococcal superantigen genes from *S. aureus* reference strains by multiplex PCR. The SAg genes detected from *S. aureus* reference strains by multiplex PCR of each primer set were indicated at the bottom of each lane. Lanes; M,100 bp DNA ladder; 1,.primer set 1; 2, primer set 2; 3, primer set 3; 4, primer set 4.



FIG. 2.

Detection of staphylococcal superantigen genes from CNS isolates from bovine intramammary infections by multiplex PCR. The mixtures of genomic DNAs from 5 *S. aureus* reference strains were used for multiplex PCR as a positive control for each primer sets. Genomic DNA extracted from *S. aureus* RN4220 was used as a negative control for multiplex PCR. Results shown are representative data obtained from various species of bovine IMI CNS isolates harboring SAg genes. (A) primer set 1 and 2, (B) primer set 3 and 4; Lane M: 100 bp DNA ladder.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 1

Nucleotide sequences of primers and expected size of PCR products (bp) of staphylococcal superantigenic toxins used in this study

Park et al.

Gene	Primer	Oligonucleotides sequence $(5'$ to $3')$	PCR product (bp)	PCR set	References
sea	SEA-F	CAGCATACTATATTGTTTAAAGGC	400		This study
	SEA-R	CCTCTGAACCTTCCCATC			
seb	SEB-F	GTATGGTGGTGTAACTGAGCA	351	1	This study
	SEB-R	TCAATCTTCACATCTTTAGAATCA			
sec	SEC-F	CTCAAGAACTAGACATAAAAGCTAG G	271	1	(Becker et al., 1998)
	SEC-R	TCAAAATCGGATTAACATTATCC			
pas	SED-F	CTAGTTTGGTAATATCTCCTTTAAAC G	319	1	(Becker et al., 1998)
	SED-R	TTAATGCTATATCTTATAGGGTAAAC ATC			
see	SEE-F	CAGTACCTATAGATAAAGTTAAAAC AAGC	178	1	(Becker et al., 1998)
	SEE-R	TAACTTACCGTGGACCCTTC			
seg	SEG-F	AAGTAGACATTTTTGGCGTTCC	287	7	(Omoe et al., 2002)
	SEG-R	AGAACCATCAAACTCGTATAGC			
seh	SEH-F	GTCTATATGGAGGTACAACACT	213	2	(Omoe et al., 2002)
	SEH-R	GACCTITTALTTTCGCTGTC			
sei	SEI-F	GGTGATATTGGTGTAGGTAAC	454	2	(Omoe et al., 2002)
	SEI-R	ATCCATATTCTTTGCCTTTACCAG			
selj	SEJ-F	ATAGCATCAGAACTGTTGTTCCG	152	2	(Omoe et al., 2005)
	SEJ-R	CTTTCTGAATTTTACCACCAAAGG			
selk	SEK-F	TAGGTGTCTCTAATAATGCCA	293	ю	(Omoe et al., 2005)
	SEK-R	TAGATATTCGTTAGTAGCTG			
sell	SEL-F	TAACGGCGATGTAGGTCCAGG	383	4	(Omoe et al., 2005)
	SEL-R	CATCTATTTCTTGTGCGGTAAC			
selm	SEM-F	GGATAATTCGACAGTAACAG	379	3	(Omoe et al., 2005)
	SEM-R	TCCTGCATTAAATCCAGAAC			
seln	SEN-F	CATCATGCTTATACGGAGGAG	301	4	This study

t PCR References		3 (Omoe et al., 2005)		2 (Omoe et al., 2005)		4 This study		4 (Omoe et al., 2005)		4 This study		3 (Becker et al., 1998	
PCR product (bp)		214		381		251		166		500		447	
Oligonucleotides sequence (5' to 3')	CCCACTGAACCTTTTACGTT	TGTGTAAGAAGTCAAGTGTAG	TCTTTAGAAATCGCTGATGA	TGATTTATTAGTAGACCTTGG	ATAACCAACCGAATCACCAG	TCAAGGAGTTAGTTCTGGAAATT	GCTTACCATTGACCCAGAGA	GGATAAAGCGGTAATAGCAG	GTATTCCAAACACATCTAAC	ATCAGAAACAAACATTAAAGCCCA	TGACCATTTCCTTCGATAAACTTTAT	AAGCCCTTTGTTGCTTGCG	ATCGAACTTTGGCCCATACTTT
Primer	SEN-R	SEO-F	SEO-R	SEP-F	SEP-R	SEQ-F	SEQ-R	SER-F	SER-R	SEU-F	SEU-R	TST-F	TST-R
Gene		selo		selp		selq		selr		selu		tst1	

Vet Microbiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 June 21.

Park et al.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 2

S. aureus reference strains used in this study

Strains	Superantigen gene(s)	Reference
FRI 472	sed, seg, sei, selj, selm, seln, selo, selr, selu	(Monday and Bohach, 1999)
FRI 569	seh	(Su and Wong, 1995)
FRI 913	sea, sec, see, selk, sell, selq, tst1	(Bania et al., 2006)
MNHOCH	seb	(Monday and Bohach, 1999)
N315	sec, seg, sei, sell, selm, seln, selo, selp, tst1	(Kuroda et al., 2001)
RN4220	None	(Monday and Bohach, 1999)

Table 3

Staphylococcal superantigen (SAg) genes observed in coagulase-negative staphylococcal isolates from bovine intramammary infections

Park et al.

Species ⁴ No. of iolates genes, 30, acctionables S. A.genes,genes, $30, acctionables S. A.genes,30, acctionables No. ofgenes,30, acctionables No. ofgenes,genes,30, acctionables No. ofgenes,30, acctionables No. ofgenes,30, acctionables No. ofgenes,30, acctionables No. ofgenes,30, acctionables S. A.genes,30, acctionables No. ofgenes,30, acctionables No. ofgenes,30, acctionables S. A.genes,30, acctionables No. ofgenes,30, acctionables No. ofgenes No. ofgenes No. o$	N	0. of SAg	gene-pos	itive isola	tes						
S. chromogenes190424331100024S. xylosus2411091091000000S. xylosus1660301001000000S. haemolyticus166030100000000S. haemolyticus970203010000000S. sciuri subsp.976021000000000S. sciuri subsp.750301000000000S. sciuri subsp.750301000000000S. simulans750301000000000S. simulans7510000000000000S. spidemidis210000000000000000S. spidemidis110 <th>sed see seg seh</th> <th>sei se</th> <th>lj selk</th> <th>sell</th> <th>ielm sel</th> <th>n selc</th> <th>o selp</th> <th>selq</th> <th>selr</th> <th>selu</th> <th>tst1</th>	sed see seg seh	sei se	lj selk	sell	ielm sel	n selc	o selp	selq	selr	selu	tst1
S. xylosus2411090010000S. haemolyticus166030100030S. sciuri subsp.97020000030S. sciuri subsp.97020000030S. sciuri subsp.8804230107S. hyicus8803010007S. simulans7503010007S. simulans21000000000S. simulans21000000000S. simulans21000000000S. simulans21000000000S. simulans210000000000S. simulans210000000000S. supcimuls210000000000S. supcimuls11000<	1 0 0 0	0 2	4	0	0 33	3 0	0	37	-	з	0
S. haemolyticus1660301030 $S. sciuri subsp.$ 9702000401 $S. sciuri subsp.$ 9702000401 $carnaticus$ 88042300007 $S. hycuss$ 88042300007 $S. hycuss$ 8804230007 $S. hycuss$ 750301007 $S. hycuss$ 30000007 $S. simulans750301107S. simulans210000000S. simulans210000000S. simulans210000000S. subclemidis2100000000S. subclemidis11000000000S. subclemidis11000000000S. subclemidis11000000$	0 0 1 0	0 0	0	0	0	-	0	6	0	-	0
S. sciari subsp.97020000401carnaticus <td>1 0 0 0</td> <td>0 3</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0 3</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>ю</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	1 0 0 0	0 3	0	0	0 3	0	0	ю	0	0	0
carnaticusS. hyicus88042300007S. simulans750301000000S. simulans750300100000S. simulans75030000000S. simulans2100000000S. simulans2100000000S. spidemidis2100000000S. succinus21000000000S. succinus11000000000S. hominis10000000000S. hominis10000000000Total26382455360255512	0 0 0 0	4 0	-	0	0 2	0	0	5	0	0	0
S. hycicus88042300007S. simulans750301000000S. caprae300000000000S. caprae30000000000S. epidemidis2100000000S. succinus2100000000S. succinus1100000000S. tapitis10000000000S. hominis100000000000S. hominis100000000000S. hominis100000000000Total26382455360255512											
S. simulans 7 5 0 3 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 <t< td=""><td>3 0 0 0</td><td>0 0</td><td>7</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>4</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></t<>	3 0 0 0	0 0	7	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
S. caprae 3 0	1 0 0 0	1 0	0	0	0 3	0	0	ю	0	0	0
S. epidemidis 2 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S. succinus 2 1 0 1 0 <t< td=""><td>0 0 1 1</td><td>0 0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0 0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></t<>	0 0 1 1	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S. capits 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0	0	0	0 1	0	0	1	0	0	0
S. hominis 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total 263 82 4 55 3 6 0 2 2 5 5 12	0 0 0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	6 0 2 2	5 5	12	0	0 5:	5 1	0	59	1	4	0
² The species was determined by partial 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis except <i>S. caprae</i> and <i>S. capitis</i> whic	luence analysis except <i>S. ca</i>	<i>prae</i> and <i>S</i>	capitis v	vhich were	determine	ed by pa	tial <i>rpol</i>	g gene se	eduence	analysis	

 $b_{\rm No.}$ of isolates that were positive for at least one SAg gene.

Table 4

Combinations of staphylococcal superantigen (SAg) genes observed in 82 SAg gene-positive coagulasenegative staphylococcal isolates from bovine intramammary infections

Species ^a	Combinations of SAg genes	No. of isolates
S. chromogenes	sea, seb, selj, selk, seln, selq	1
(n = 42)	sea, seb, selj, selk, seln, selq, selr	1
	sea, seb, selk, seln, selq	1
	sea, selk	1
	seb, seln, selq	29
	seb, seln, selq, selu	1
	sec	1
	sed	1
	selq	4
	selu	2
S. xylosus	seb, seln, selq	8
(n = 11)	seb, seln, selq, selo	1
	seg	1
	selu	1
S. hyicus	seb, sec, sed, selk, seln, selq	1
(n = 8)	seb, sed, seln, selq	1
	seb, selk, seln, selq	2
	sec, sed, selk	1
	selk	3
S. sciuri subsp. carnaticus	seb, seln, selq	2
(n = 7)	sei	4
	selk	1
S. haemolyticus	seb, sed, seln, selq	1
(n = 6)	seb, seln, selq	2
	selj	3
S. simulans	seb, seln, selq	3
(n = 5)	sed	1
	sei	1
S. capitis $(n=1)$	seh	1
S. epidermidis $(n=1)$	seh, seg	1
S. succinus $(n=1)$	seb, seln, selq	1

Park et al.