## Molecular Cloning and Chromosomal Assignment of the Human Homolog of *int*-1, a Mouse Gene Implicated in Mammary Tumorigenesis

LAURA J. VAN 'T VEER,<sup>1</sup> AD GEURTS VAN KESSEL,<sup>2</sup> HARM VAN HEERIKHUIZEN,<sup>3</sup> ALBERT VAN OOYEN,<sup>1</sup> AND ROEL NUSSE<sup>1</sup>\*

Department of Molecular Biology, The Netherlands Cancer Institute, 1066 CX Amsterdam,<sup>1</sup> Department of Cell Biology and Genetics, Erasmus University, Rotterdam,<sup>2</sup> and Department of Biochemistry, Free University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam,<sup>3</sup> The Netherlands

Received 12 June 1984/Accepted 16 August 1984

Viral mammary tumorigenesis in mice is frequently initiated by proviral activation of a highly conserved cellular gene called *int*-1. We have cloned the human homolog of this putative mammary oncogene and compared its structure to that of the mouse gene by heteroduplex analysis. The human *int*-1 gene was localized on chromosome 12 by use of somatic cell hybrids.

The genetic events leading to breast cancer can be studied experimentally in mice infected with mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV). This retrovirus induces adenocarcinomas of the mammary gland after a long latency period. MMTV does not transform cells in culture. From these biological properties, as well as from a biochemical analysis of the viral genome, it has been concluded that MMTV does not carry a viral oncogene (14).

The absence of a viral oncogene does not preclude the use of MMTV as a tool for studying oncogenes operating in mammary oncogenesis. Other slowly oncogenic retroviruses, such as avian leukosis virus, activate, by insertional mutagenesis, host cell oncogenes (2, 8). These cellular genes, c-myc in bursal lymphomas (2, 8) and c-erb (1) in erythroleukemias, were previously identified as cellular progenitors of viral oncogenes of acutely transforming retroviruses. In mammary tumors induced by MMTV, previously unknown genes, called int-1 (7) and int-2 (9), were implicated as cellular oncogenes activated by proviral insertion. These genes were identified by "transposon tagging": MMTV proviral DNA was used as a probe to clone host cell DNA adjacent to a provirus from a mammary tumor bearing a single integrated provirus. The cellular domains appeared to be occupied by MMTV proviruses in a large number of independent mammary tumors and were actively transcribed as a consequence of proviral integration (6).

Probes from the transcriptional domain of *int*-1 detect, at a reduced hybridization stringency, homologous sequences in various other organisms, including humans and *Drosophila* spp. (6). We have used this high degree of conservation to isolate a molecular clone of the human *int*-1 homolog.

In initial experiments, the human *int*-1 homolog was characterized by digestion of DNA from normal human tissues with restriction enzymes, followed by Southern blotting (13) and hybridization with mouse *int*-1 probes. Only a single copy of *int*-1 was found in the human genome.

The human *int*-1 was isolated from a library of human placental DNA constructed in the bacteriophage vector Charon 4A. From  $5 \times 10^5$  individual recombinant phages we

isolated two positive phage clones by hybridization with a mouse *int*-1 probe under moderately stringent conditions (6). Restriction enzyme analysis proved that the clones were partially overlapping and that they both corresponded to the authentic human *int*-1 gene.

The extent of homology between the mouse and human *int*-1 sequences was determined by examination of heteroduplex structures with an electron microscope. For this purpose, we selected a fragment from the mouse *int*-1 locus that contained the transcriptional unit of the gene. From S1 nuclease analysis of *int*-1 RNA in mouse mammary tumors, we established (15) that the transcriptional unit consists of at least four exons all present on a 12-kilobase (kb) *Bg/III* fragment (Fig. 1B). The polyadenylation signal has been mapped to 130 nucleotides from the *Bg/III* site, but the transcription initiation point of *int*-1 has not been mapped with certainty. This fragment from the human *int*-1 clone (Fig. 1A shows a restriction map of this fragment).

Examination of the molecules with the electron microscope revealed heteroduplex structures (Fig. 1C). A tracing of the molecules is shown in Fig. 1D.

A typical heteroduplex contained four regions of homology between the mouse gene and the human gene. The location and length of the double-stranded regions corresponded to the exon sequences of the mouse gene (Fig. 1B). In general, however, the length of the duplex regions exceeded the length of the exons, especially at the 5' end of the gene, where duplex region I was considerably longer than exon I. We interpret this as homology in the 5' regulatory sequences of the two genes, but as yet we know little about the *int*-1 promoter region. More upstream from region I no homology was observed; the two strands remained separated over a distance of 5 to 6 kb, with a peculiar hairpin structure in one of the molecules.

At the 3' ends of the heteroduplex molecules, doublestranded region IV did not continue into two single strands. This discontinuity is apparently due to the close proximity of the end of the mouse *int*-1 gene to the boundary of the Bg/IIfragment. The segment in between the Bg/II site and the polyadenylation site either is too short to visualize or is

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.



FIG. 1. Heteroduplex analysis of mouse and human *int*-1 DNA. (A) 13.2-kb *Eco*RI (R) fragment and some other restriction sites (*Hind*III [H]; *Kpn* [K]) of the human *int*-1 gene. The position of the pAL1 subclone that was used as a hybridization probe is also shown. (B) 12-kb *Bg*/II (Bg) fragment of the mouse *int*-1 gene. The positions of the exons (see reference 15) are indicated by thick bars. (C) Electron micrograph of a typical heteroduplex molecule. (D) Tracing of the molecule shown in (c). The thick lines correspond to double-stranded regions.

conserved between mice and humans. The protruding single strand belongs to the human *int*-1 DNA molecule.

This heteroduplex analysis illustrates the high extent of homology between the mouse and human *int*-1 genes and confirms the authenticity of the human *int*-1 clone. This



FIG. 2. Hybridization of the pAL1 probe to *Hind*III-digested human DNA (lane A), Chinese hamster DNA (lane B), and three different hybrid cell clones (lanes C through E). The 2.8-kb fragment is diagnostic for the human *int*-1 gene.

clone will be used to examine the structure and expression of *int*-1 in human mammary tumors and in other malignancies. As a first step in this direction, we have established the chromosomal location on *int*-1, in view of the recent accumulation of evidence that chromosomal aberrations may result in the activation of cellular oncogenes (for example, the chromosomal translocations in B-cell tumors affecting

 
 TABLE 1. Segregation of int-1 with human chromosomes in human-rodent somatic cell hybrids<sup>a</sup>

| Chromosome | No. of hybrids with indicated chromosome/ <i>int</i> -1 fragment pattern |     |     |     |  |  |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
|            | +/+                                                                      | +/- | -/+ | -/- |  |  |
| 1          | 11                                                                       | 4   | 8   | 16  |  |  |
| 2          | 8                                                                        | 3   | 11  | 17  |  |  |
| 3          | 9                                                                        | 5   | 9   | 16  |  |  |
| 4          | 14                                                                       | 10  | 5   | 10  |  |  |
| 5          | 12                                                                       | 6   | 8   | 13  |  |  |
| 6          | 16                                                                       | 9   | 3   | 11  |  |  |
| 7          | 7                                                                        | 5   | 11  | 16  |  |  |
| 8          | 13                                                                       | 11  | 6   | 9   |  |  |
| 9          | 10                                                                       | 9   | 9   | 11  |  |  |
| 10         | 12                                                                       | 5   | 5   | 17  |  |  |
| 11         | 10                                                                       | 7   | 7   | 15  |  |  |
| 12         | 20                                                                       | 0   | 1   | 18  |  |  |
| 13         | 5                                                                        | 3   | 14  | 17  |  |  |
| 14         | 11                                                                       | 6   | 7   | 15  |  |  |
| 15         | 3                                                                        | 4   | 16  | 16  |  |  |
| 16         | 9                                                                        | 7   | 10  | 13  |  |  |
| 17         | 10                                                                       | 12  | 9   | 8   |  |  |
| 18         | 8                                                                        | 5   | 11  | 15  |  |  |
| 19         | 8                                                                        | 8   | 10  | 13  |  |  |
| 20         | 9                                                                        | 8   | 10  | 12  |  |  |
| 21         | 12                                                                       | 11  | 7   | 9   |  |  |
| 22         | 12                                                                       | 11  | 7   | 9   |  |  |

 $^a$  A total of 39 clones were tested. Chromosome spreads were R-banded with acridine orange after heat denaturation. At least 16 metaphases were analyzed per hybrid cell line.

TABLE 2. Presence or absence of (12:21) (q14:q21) LE translocation chromosomes, the chromosome 12 markers PEPB, LDHB and c-Ki-*ras*-2, and human *int*-1 sequences in two somatic cell hybrids

| Hybrid clone | Presence (+) or absence (-) of: |    |       |                       |      |      |            |       |  |  |
|--------------|---------------------------------|----|-------|-----------------------|------|------|------------|-------|--|--|
|              | Human chromosome                |    |       | Chromosome 12 marker" |      |      |            |       |  |  |
|              | 12                              | 21 | 12:21 | 21:12                 | PEPB | LDHB | c-Ki-ras-2 | int-1 |  |  |
| A1WCB        | _                               | +  | _     | +                     | +    | _    | _          | _     |  |  |
| A1WBF2       | -                               | +  | +     | -                     | -    | +    | +          | +     |  |  |

 $^{a}$  LDHB and PEPB isoenzymes were analyzed by cellulose acetate electrophoresis. The c-Ki-*ras*-2 oncogene was analyzed by molecular hybridization with the p640 probe (11).

the c-myc gene [4, 16]). The mode of proviral activation of *int*-1 in mammary tumors is very reminiscent of the activation of c-myc in avian leukosis virus-induced chicken bursal lymphomas (2, 6, 8); accordingly, *int*-1 may be activated by chromosomal translocations as well. An assignment to a particular human chromosome could be helpful in investigating this possibility as well as in providing a DNA marker specific for a human chromosome.

A panel of somatic cell hybrids between human cells and rodent cells segregating human chromosomes was examined for the presence of *int*-1. DNA from the hybrids was digested with several restriction enzymes and blot hybridized to the pAL1 probe, a 2.8-kb *Hin*dIII fragment of *int*-1 (see Fig. 1A), chosen because it does not contain highly repetitive human sequences. By selecting an appropriate enzyme, *Hin*dIII, we could differentiate the human sequences from the rodent *int*-1 homolog, which even under highly stringent hybridization conditions were still prominent in the hybrid cell DNA as a 12-kb band (Fig. 2). The presence of human *int*-1 restriction fragments in the hybrid cells was correlated with the chromosome content of the hybrid.

Table 1 shows that the human int-1 restriction fragments segregated almost completely with chromosome 12. In 39 hybrids, one discordancy was found: a hybrid clone with detectable int-1 sequences but no recognizable chromosome 12. Chromosome breakage probably resulted in the loss of fragments of chromosome 12, with retention of int-1 containing fragments. Discordancies with other chromosomes were invariably higher, allowing the conclusion that int-1 is present on human chromosome 12. A more specific assignment was made possible by annealing of the int-1 probe to hybrid cells containing (12:21) (q14:q21) LE translocations of chromosome 12 (Table 2). Hybrid A1WBF2 contained the q14 to pter part of chromosome 12, translocated to a part of chromosome 21. This hybrid clone had retained the isoenzyme marker lactate dehydrogenase (LDHB) (located in chromosome 12, p 12.2) and the DNA marker c-Ki-ras-2 (located in chromosome 12, p 12.1 [3, 5, 10, 11]) and also contained int-1 sequences. Hybrid A1WCB contained the reverse complement of the same chromosomal translocation and was positive for the isoenzyme marker peptidase (PEPB) but negative for int-1, LDHB, and c-Ki-ras-2. Thus, int-1 is located on the q14 to pter part of chromosome 12.

The chromosomal assignment of *int-1* does not point immediately to a possible involvement in human cancers. Chromosome 12 is not frequently found to be translocated or otherwise altered in those human malignancies, such as leukemias, that contain well-defined karyological abnormali-

ties (4, 16). Mammary tumors, and many other solid tumors as well, do not manifest consistent karyological aberrations (12). Nevertheless, the heterogeneous chromosomal aberrations typical of mammary tumors may also result in oncogene activation. We are currently investigating a possible involvement of *int*-1 in human mammary tumorigenesis by measuring its expression and by examining its genomic content in tumor tissue.

We thank R. Weinberg for providing the p640 c-Ki-ras-2 subclone, L. Hood for the library of the human genome, and P. Borst for useful comments on the manuscript.

A.V.O. was supported by the Netherlands Cancer Society (Koningin Wilhelmina Fonds).

## LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Fung, Y. K. T., W. G. Lewis, L. B. Crittenden, and H. J. Kung. 1983. Activation of the cellular oncogene *c-erbB* by LTR insertion: molecular basis for induction of erythroblastosis by Avian Leukosis Virus. Cell 33:357-368.
- 2. Hayward, W. G., B. E. Neel, and S. M. Astrin. 1981. Activation of a cellular oncogene by promoter insertion in ALV induced lymphoid leukosis. Nature (London) 290:475-480.
- 3. Jahnwar, S. C. 1983. Localization of c-ras oncogene family on human germ line chromosomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. U.S.A. 80:4794-4797.
- Klein, G. 1983. Specific chromosomal translocation and the genesis of B-cell derived tumors in mice and men. Cell 32:311– 315.
- McBride, O. W., D. C. Swant, S. R. Tronick, R. Gol, D. Klimanis, D. E. Moore, and S. A. Aaronson. 1983. Regional chromosomal localization of N-ras, K-ras-1, K-ras-2 and myb oncogenes in human cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 11:8221–8236.
- Nusse, R., A. van Ooyen, D. Cox, Y. K. T. Fung, and H. Varmus. 1984. Mode of proviral activation of a putative mammary oncogene (*int*-1) on mouse chromosome 15. Nature (London) 307:131-136.
- 7. Nusse, R., and H. E. Varmus. 1982. Many tumors induced by the mouse mammary tumor virus contain a provirus integrated in the same region of the host genome. Cell 31:99–109.
- 8. Payne, G. S., J. M. Bishop, and H. E. Varmus. 1982. Multiple arrangements of viral DNA and an activated host oncogene in bursal lymphomas. Nature (London) 295:209–213.
- 9. Peters, G., S. Brookes, R. Smith, and C. Dickson. 1983. Tumorigenesis by Mouse Mammary Tumor Virus: evidence for a common region for provirus integration in mammary tumors. Cell 33:369–377.
- Ryan, J., P. E. Barker, K. Shimizu, M. Wigler, and F. H. Ruddle. 1983. Chromosomal assignment of a family of human oncogenes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 80:4460-4463.
- Sakaguchi, A. Y., S. L. Naylor, T. B. Shaws, J. J. Tode, M. McCoy, and R. A. Weinberg. 1981. Human c-Ki-ras-2 protooncogene on chromosome 12. Science 219:1081–1083.
- 12. Sandberg, A. A. 1980. The chromosomes in human cancer and leukemia. Elsevier/North-Holland Publishing Co., New York.
- Southern, E. M. 1975. Detection of specific sequences among DNA fragments separated by gel electrophoresis. J. Mol. Biol. 38:503-517.
- 14. Teich, N., J. Wyke, T. Mak, A. Bernstein, and W. Hardy. 1982. Pathogenesis of retrovirus-induced disease, p. 785–998. *In* R. A. Weiss et al. (ed.), RNA tumor viruses: molecular biology of tumor viruses. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- van Ooyen, A., L. van 't Veer, H. van Heerikhuizen, and R. Nusse. 1984. Structural analysis of the murine *int-1* gene, a putative mammary oncogene. UCLA Symp. Mol. Cell. Biol., vol. 17, in press.
- Yunis, J. J. 1983. The chromosomal basis of human neoplasia. Science 221:227-236.