

## NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 July 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2012 July ; 15(4): 357-361. doi:10.1097/MCO.0b013e328354727e.

### Fructose toxicity: is the science ready for public health actions?

Luc Tappy, MD<sup>1,2</sup> and Bettina Mittendorfer, PhD<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physiology, University of Lausanne, Lausanne Switzerland <sup>2</sup>Service of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, Lausanne Switzerland <sup>3</sup>Center for Human Nutrition, Department of Internal Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

#### Summary

**Purpose of review**—The assumption that fructose may be "toxic" and involved in the pathogenesis of non communicable diseases such as obesity, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and even cancer has resulted in the call for public health action, such as introducing taxes on sweetened beverages. This review evaluates the scientific basis for such action.

**Recent findings**—Although some studies hint towards some potential adverse effects of excessive fructose consumption especially when combined with excess energy intake, the results from clinical trials do not support a significant detrimental effect of fructose on metabolic health when consumed as part of a weight maintaining diet in amounts consistent with the average estimated fructose consumption in Western countries. However, definitive studies are missing.

**Summary and conclusion**—Public health policies to eliminate or limit fructose in the diet should be considered premature. Instead, efforts should be made to promote a healthy life style that includes physical activity and nutritious foods while avoiding intake of excess calories until solid evidence to support action against fructose is available. Public health is almost certainly to benefit more from policies that are aimed at promoting what is known to be good than from policies that are prohibiting what is not (yet) known to be bad.

#### Keywords

Fructose; sugar; hypertriglyceridemia; insulin resistance; obesity; diabetes; non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

#### Introduction

Recently, the potential adverse metabolic effects of sugars, in particular fructose have been the focus of attention. The assumption that fructose, especially when consumed with sweetened beverages, may be "toxic" and involved in the pathogenesis of non communicable diseases such as obesity, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and even cancer has been spurred by the introduction of high fructose corn syrup (HFCS) and the concomitant increase in obesity (1). This concept has been of major interest to journalistic inquisitiveness and has been promoted in the lay press (2), as well as in articles published in high impact peer-reviewed journals (3). The suspicion about specifically deleterious effects of fructose has resulted in the call for public health action, such as introducing taxes on sweetened

Address correspondence to: Luc Tappy, MD, Department of Physiology, University of Lausanne, 7, rue du Bugnon, CH-1005 Lausanne, Switzerland, luc.tappy@unil.ch.

The authors have no conflict of interest regarding this article

beverages (4). These statements have had a wide echo and may be influential in devising public health actions in the fight against obesity and related diseases. Indeed, taxes on sweetened (with sugar or HFCS) beverages have been introduced in France at the beginning of this year, and are presently being considered in several other countries around the world. One may however question whether such action would rest on solid scientific and clinical evidence.

#### Sources of fructose in our diet

An immediate difficulty one encounters when trying to evaluate the scientific literature is to identify what is the potential deleterious factor we are talking about. Based on biochemical considerations and some experimental evidence, fructose may indeed be the main target, due to the fact that it is essentially metabolized in splanchnic tissues, and that its metabolism and conversion into other intermediary substrates is not regulated by insulin or the energy status of the liver (5). However, there is no food containing pure fructose only, and fructose and glucose are always co-ingested, either as free hexoses in fruits and honey, or as free glucosefructose mixtures in HFCS, or bound together as sucrose. In HFCS, which is produced by processing corn starch to yield glucose, and then processing the glucose to produce a syrup that contains various amounts of fructose, the most commonly used grade (and that found in soft drinks) is HFCS 55 which contains 55% fructose and 45% glucose; roughly the same as the fructose to glucose ratio in sucrose (6). Thus, fructose intake is essentially proportional to caloric sweetener intake regardless of whether the sweetener is sucrose (sugar) or HFCS. In the USA and in Europe, half of the added sugar intake results from consumption of sweetened solid food items (yogurt, cereal bars, chocolate bars, ice-creams, etc.) and half from consumption of sweetened beverages (regular sodas, fruit juices, energy drinks, sport drinks, and sweetened milk products) (7). Approximately 50% of the added sugar intake comes from sucrose and 50% from HFCS, with fructose accounting for  $\sim 10\%$  of total calorie intake (7, 8). Therefore, it is difficult to dissect the effect of fructose per se from the effect of added sugar intake (regardless of whether it comes from beverages or other food items) in any practically meaningful way. Along the same lines, evaluating the effect of added sugar intake carries the question of whether or not to account for the extra calories or not and what calories to use as a replacement (i.e., coke vs. water, coke vs. milk, coke vs. diet coke, sugar vs. glucose? etc). Now, what have we learned so far and where does the fear of fructose coming from? Is it legitimate?

#### Observations collected from epidemiological studies

Due to the fact that most nutritional tables used for epidemiological studies did not specifically include fructose data, the bulk of epidemiological studies have assessed the relationship between metabolic diseases on one hand, and either sugar intake or sweetened beverage intake on the other hand. A comprehensive review of these studies is beyond the scope of this editorial but most report that sugar/calorically sweetened beverage intake is associated with increased body weight and obesity (9). Interpretation of these results however is complicated because sweetened beverage intake is also associated with increased total energy intake and increased consumption of processed and high-fat foods (such as fried potato products and processed meats) as well as reduced consumption of fruits and vegetables and reduced physical activity (10). In fact, several studies that adjusted for total energy intake in their analysis failed to observe a direct positive relationship between sugar intake and obesity (11-13). Not surprisingly, a recent meta-analysis including 41 short-term intervention trials concluded that fructose increased body weight when included in a hypercaloric, but not when included in an energy balanced, isocaloric diet (14). In addition, although, it has been proposed that fructose may be less satiating than other carbohydrates (15), and that consumption of sugar with sweetened beverages is incompletely compensated

by a reduction of solid food intake (16), a recent systematic review of the literature on this topic concluded that there is no consistent evidence that fructose effects body weight when consumed at levels corresponding to usual, western intake (17). Associations between sugar and/or sweetened beverage intake and diabetes, dyslipidemia, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, and markers of cardiovascular diseases have also been reported (18-20). However, these associations were not independent of body weight. The data collected from epidemiological studies therefore support the idea that sugar and sweetened beverage consumption most likely contribute quite significantly to excess energy intake and obesity, but do not demonstrate that fructose *per se* or even just sugar are responsible for increased energy intake or metabolic diseases.

#### **Observations collected from clinical trials**

In general, the results from clinical trials do not support a significant detrimental effect of fructose on metabolic health and although some studies hint towards some potential adverse effects, the clinical relevance of these findings is unclear. Many short term studies, performed in the 1980's showed that fructose substituted for starch or sucrose, increased fasting and postprandial triglyceride concentrations in healthy subjects and in type 2 diabetes patients (21). Since then, several overfeeding studies in non-obese and overweight subjects have confirmed the hypertriglyceridemic effect of fructose (22-24). When consumed in amounts consistent with the average estimated fructose consumption by Americans and other people from Western societies, fructose did not affect plasma lipid concentrations (25, 26) but it increased the number of small dense LDL particles, which may be associated with an increased cardiovascular risk (27). Twenty four hour glucose and insulin concentrations are also not adversely affected by a diet providing as much as 25% of energy as fructose (equivalent to ~50% of dietary caloric intake as sugar or HFCS) for 10 weeks; in fact fructose lowered plasma glucose and insulin concentrations (28, 29). Even in the context of fructose overfeeding, providing about 30% excess energy via fructose, wholebody insulin-mediated glucose disposal, assessed by using the gold-standard hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp technique, was unchanged (22, 23, 30) and fasting hepatic glucose production was only marginally increased by about 14% (30). Furthermore, as far as we can tell, only one study has found that consumption of 200 g of fructose per day during 2 weeks increased blood pressure (31) whereas others report no effect (22, 24) and a recent meta-analysis including 13 isocaloric and 2 hypercaloric fructose feeding intervention studies concluded that substitution of fructose for other carbohydrates did not adversely affect blood pressure in human subjects (32).

Of significant concern could be the potential of fructose to preferentially increase visceral fat deposition and stimulate ectopic fat accumulation, especially in the liver. However, so far fructose-related increases in visceral and ectopic fat accumulation have only been observed in subjects who consumed quite substantial amounts (about 150 g/d or more) of fructose for 1 week up to 6 months and either received a hypercaloric diet per design or gained significant amounts of weight during the study (23, 24, 33) so it is unclear how much of the increase in these fat depots is simply due to excess energy intake. The mechanisms responsible for increased hepatic fat deposition during fructose overfeeding studies involve a stimulation of hepatic de novo lipogenesis and an inhibition of adipose lipolysis and hepatic lipid oxidation (23, 24, 30). Furthermore, there is concern that fructose may cause hepatic inflammation and accelerate the progression of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). In rodent studies, fructose not only induces hepatic steatosis but also enhances hepatic TNF-a and PAI-1 production (34, 35), two mediators thought to be involved in liver inflammation, and stimulates the progression of NAFLD to NASH in animals fed a high saturated fat diet (36). It also impairs liver regeneration after partial hepatectomy (36). Thus, there is concern that fructose may exert a pro-inflammatory

effect on liver cells, which, when combined with other risk factors, such as excess energy or high fat intake or low physical activity, may trigger progression of NAFLD to NASH.

# So, is there a need for public policy against fructose or rather a need for more scientific inquiry?

There are indeed reasons to be concerned about an excessive intake of fructose and a call for limiting its consumption is in place because excess energy intake in the form of fructose (just like any other energy source) is associated with excess body weight and metabolic alterations that often accompany obesity. However, there is clearly a need for more clinically relevant research before taking drastic public health actions to specifically target fructose-containing caloric sweeteners, which may divert authorities from other, possibly more important public health actions, such as promoting an increase in physical activity, a decrease in energy consumption in general and an increase in the consumption of fresh fruit and vegetables because there is little evidence that fructose itself causes significant metabolic alterations when consumed in amounts that are consistent with current dietary habits. However, crucial studies that will provide definitive answers to the concerns of fructose toxicity and others which should guide decisions of public policy makers are still missing. What are they?

- 1. What are the metabolic effects of fructose when consumed in amounts consistent with that consumed on average in the general population under conditions of weight stability?
- 2. Are there beneficial effects to removing fructose, especially sugar/HFCS-sweetened beverages, from the diet and will it decrease obesity in the population (or simply shift the consumption of excess energy from beverages to other items)? In this regard, there is an urgent need for intervention studies. Recently the CHOICE study indicated that replacing sweetened beverages by non caloric beverages in a group of overweight adults led to a 2 % weight loss after 6 months (37). However, avoiding these beverages was a choice made with the intent to lose weight and equal amounts of weight were lost in the control subjects who reduced calorie intake through cutting down on foods of their choice. Which leads to the next question.
- **3.** What are the consequences of "forcing" fructose, especially HFCS-sweetened beverages from the market? Will this result in an increase in the use of sugar again or an increase in artificial sweetener consumption and if so, is this safer? Or might a ban of sweetened beverages simply shift the consumption of sugar/HFCS in beverages to the consumption of sugar in other goods to satisfy ones sweet tooth?
- 4. Does dietary sugar/HFCS/fructose, especially when consumed in the form of beverages, impair the control of food intake and induce excessive energy intake (38)? So far, there is no compelling evidence for this, but studies have mostly been limited to single meals (39-42).
- 5. What are the long term effects of heavy fructose consumption? So far most studies have been limited to relative short-term interventions. However, given the fact that marked alterations of molecular events can be observed over short periods and that alterations of glucose homeostasis can be documented within a few days to weeks in animal models (43), it appears unlikely that alterations of energy metabolism or endocrine regulations independent of a body fat increase would take several years in human subjects.

6. Are there particularly susceptible populations and what are the reasons for their increased susceptibility? In rats, fructose causes adverse metabolic effects in males and in oophorectomized females, but not in non-oophorectomized females (44). In humans, short term fructose overfeeding also produced much blunted metabolic effects in pre-menopausal females (45-47). It has also been suggested that fructose causes more significant metabolic alterations in insulin resistant subjects (48). Finally, it has been proposed that the consequences of sugar consumption on hepatic fat may vary according to ethnic groups and genetic variations (49). The concept that susceptibility to fructose may be different presently rests on a small number of studies, and needs to be assessed more broadly in a public health perspective.

#### Conclusion

Rather than damning fructose, efforts should be made to promote a healthy life style that includes physical activity and fresh fruits and vegetables while avoiding intake of excess calories until solid evidence to support action against fructose is available. Public health is almost certainly to benefit more from policies that are aimed at promoting what is known to be good than from policies that are prohibiting what is not (yet) known to be bad.

#### Acknowledgments

The work performed in LT's laboratory regarding fructose metabolism has been supported by grants 135782, 138428, 121995, and 67787 from the Swiss National Foundation for Science. BM is supported by NIH grants DK 56341 (Nutrition and Obesity Research Center) and RR024992 (Washington University School of Medicine Clinical Translational Science Award).

#### References

- 1. Bray G, Nielsen S, Popkin B. Consumption of high-fructose corn syrup in beverages may play a role in the epidemic of obesity. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004; 79:737.
- 2. Taubes, G. Is Sugar Toxic. New York Times; Apr 7th. 2011 http://www.nytimes.com/2011/04/17/ magazine/mag-17Sugar-t.html?pagewanted=all [accessed 03. 04.2011]
- 3\*. Lustig RH, Schmidt LA, Brindis CD. Public health: The toxic truth about sugar. Nature. Feb 2.2012 482:27. This position paper proposes that sugar is linked to non-communicable diseases and proposes stringent regulations to limit its use. [PubMed: 22297952]
- Brownell KD, et al. The public health and economic benefits of taxing sugar-sweetened beverages. N Engl J Med. Oct 15.2009 361:1599. [PubMed: 19759377]
- 5. Mayes PA. Intermediary metabolism of fructose. Am J Clin Nutr. 1993; 58(suppl):754S. [PubMed: 8213607]
- White JS. Misconceptions about high-fructose corn syrup: is it uniquely responsible for obesity, reactive dicarbonyl compounds, and advanced glycation endproducts? The Journal of nutrition. Jun. 2009 139:1219S. [PubMed: 19386820]
- Marriott BP, Olsho L, Hadden L, Connor P. Intake of added sugars and selected nutrients in the United States, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2003-2006. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. Mar.2010 50:228. [PubMed: 20301013]
- Vos MB, Kimmons JE, Gillespie C, Welsh J, Blanck HM. Dietary fructose consumption among US children and adults: the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Medscape journal of medicine. 2008; 10:160. [PubMed: 18769702]
- 9\*. Hu FB, Malik VS. Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes: epidemiologic evidence. Physiology & behavior. Apr 26.2010 100:47. This review presents the evidence that the intake of sweetened beverages is associated with body weight at the population level, and suggests that the intake of calories with sweetened beverages may be incompletely compensated by a reduction of solid food intake. [PubMed: 20138901]

- 10\*\*. Mozaffarian D, Hao T, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Hu FB. Changes in diet and lifestyle and long-term weight gain in women and men. The New England journal of medicine. Jun 23.2011 364:2392. This study reports the relationship between dietary intakes and body weight gain in 3 large cohorts of US men and women followed for up to 20 years. It indicates that not only sweetened beverages, but also potatoes, processed meat, and other food items are positively associated with weight gain. [PubMed: 21696306]
- Bolton-Smith C, Woodward M. Dietary composition and fat to sugar ratios in relation to obesity. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. Dec.1994 18:820. [PubMed: 7894521]
- Maillard G, et al. Macronutrient energy intake and adiposity in non obese prepubertal children aged 5-11 y (the Fleurbaix Laventie Ville Sante Study). Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. Dec.2000 24:1608. [PubMed: 11126213]
- 13\*. Song WO, et al. Is obesity development associated with dietary sugar intake in the U.S.? Nutrition. 2012 In Press. This cross-sectional study reports that, based on NHANES I and NHANES III data, sugar consumption, expressed as % total energy intake, is not associated with BMI. It also documents that average sugar intake did not increase between 1970 and 1990.
- 14\*. Sievenpiper JL, et al. Effect of fructose on body weight in controlled feeding trials: a systematic review and meta-analysis. AIM. Feb 21.2012 156:291. This meta-analysis confirms that short term intervention studies result in weight gain when fructose is associated with hypercaloric, but not isocaloric, weight maintenance diets.
- Teff KL, et al. Dietary fructose reduces circulating insulin and leptin, attenuates postprandial suppression of ghrelin, and increases triglycerides in women. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. Jun.2004 89:2963. [PubMed: 15181085]
- 16. Wolf A, Bray GA, Popkin BM. A short history of beverages and how our body treats them. Obesity reviews : an official journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity. Mar. 2008 9:151. [PubMed: 18257753]
- 17\*\*. Dolan LC, Potter SM, Burdock GA. Evidence-based review on the effect of normal dietary consumption of fructose on development of hyperlipidemia and obesity in healthy, normal weight individuals. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. Jan.2010 50:53. This evidence-based, systematic review concludes that fructose does not cause biologically relevant changes in plasma triglyceride concentration or body weight when consumed in amounts usually consumed by the majority of our populations. [PubMed: 20047139]
- 18\*\*. Welsh JA, et al. Caloric sweetener consumption and dyslipidemia among US adults. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association. Apr 21.2010 303:1490. This study indicates that both total sugar intake and % total energy intake as sugars are positively associated with plasma triglyceride concentration. The association became non-significant when analyzed taking BMI as an independent variable into account. [PubMed: 20407058]
- 19\*. Welsh JA, Sharma A, Cunningham SA, Vos MB. Consumption of added sugars and indicators of cardiovascular disease risk among US adolescents. Circulation. Jan 25.2011 123:249. This study, based on NHANES 1999-2004 data, indicates that added sugars consumption among US adolescents is positively associated with multiple markers of cardiovascular disease risk. [PubMed: 21220734]
- 20. Assy N, et al. Soft drink consumption linked with fatty liver in the absence of traditional risk factors. Can J Gastroenterol. Oct.2008 22:811. [PubMed: 18925303]
- Sievenpiper JL, et al. Heterogeneous effects of fructose on blood lipids in individuals with type 2 diabetes: systematic review and meta-analysis of experimental trials in humans. Diabetes Care. Oct.2009 32:1930. [PubMed: 19592634]
- 22. F D, Lê KA, Stettler R, Ith M, Kreis R, Vermathen P, Boesch C, Ravussin E, Tappy L. A 4-wk high-fructose diet alters lipid metabolism without affecting insulin sensitivity or ectopic lipids in healthy humans. Am J Clin Nutr. 2006; 84:1374. [PubMed: 17158419]
- 23. Le KA, et al. Fructose overconsumption causes dyslipidemia and ectopic lipid deposition in healthy subjects with and without a family history of type 2 diabetes. The American journal of clinical nutrition. Jun.2009 89:1760. [PubMed: 19403641]
- 24. Stanhope KL, et al. Consuming fructose-sweetened, not glucose-sweetened, beverages increases visceral adiposity and lipids and decreases insulin sensitivity in overweight/obese humans. The Journal of clinical investigation. May.2009 119:1322. [PubMed: 19381015]

- Livesey G, Taylor R. Fructose consumption and consequences for glycation, plasma triacylglycerol, and body weight: meta-analyses and meta-regression models of intervention studies. Am J Clin Nutr. Nov.2008 88:1419. [PubMed: 18996880]
- 26. Dolan LC, Potter SM, Burdock GA. Evidence-based review on the effect of normal dietary consumption of fructose on blood lipids and body weight of overweight and obese individuals. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. Nov.2010 50:889. [PubMed: 21108071]
- 27. Aeberli I, et al. Low to moderate sugar-sweetened beverage consumption impairs glucose and lipid metabolism and promotes inflammation in healthy young men: a randomized controlled trial. The American journal of clinical nutrition. Aug.2011 94:479. [PubMed: 21677052]
- Swarbrick MM, et al. Consumption of fructose-sweetened beverages for 10 weeks increases postprandial triacylglycerol and apolipoprotein-B concentrations in overweight and obese women. Br J Nutr. Nov.2008 100:947. [PubMed: 18384705]
- Stanhope KL, et al. Metabolic responses to prolonged consumption of glucose- and fructosesweetened beverages are not associated with postprandial or 24-h glucose and insulin excursions. The American journal of clinical nutrition. Jul.2011 94:112. [PubMed: 21613559]
- Faeh D, et al. Effect of fructose overfeeding and fish oil administration on hepatic de novo lipogenesis and insulin sensitivity in healthy men. Diabetes. Jul.2005 54:1907. [PubMed: 15983189]
- 31. Perez-Pozo SE, et al. Excessive fructose intake induces the features of metabolic syndrome in healthy adult men: role of uric acid in the hypertensive response. IJO. Mar.2010 34:454.
- 32\*\*. Ha V, et al. Effect of fructose on blood pressure: a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled feeding trials. Hypertension. Apr.2012 59:787. This meta-analysis reports that isocaloric substitution of fructose for other carbohydrates does not adversely affect blood pressure. [PubMed: 22331380]
- 33\*. Maersk M, et al. Sucrose-sweetened beverages increase fat storage in the liver, muscle, and visceral fat depot: a 6-mo randomized intervention study. The American journal of clinical nutrition. Feb.2012 95:283. In this study, overweight subjects were randomized to receive 1L/day of either sugar-sweetened sodas, isocaloric skimmed milk, artificially sweetened sodas, or water for 6 months. The increases in hepatic and visceral fat were greater with sugar sweetened sodas than other drinks. [PubMed: 22205311]
- 34. Kanuri G, Spruss A, Wagnerberger S, Bischoff SC, Bergheim I. Fructose-induced steatosis in mice: role of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1, microsomal triglyceride transfer protein and NKT cells. Lab Invest. Jun.2011 91:885. [PubMed: 21423135]
- 35. Kanuri G, Spruss A, Wagnerberger S, Bischoff SC, Bergheim I. Role of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFalpha) in the onset of fructose-induced nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in mice. The Journal of nutritional biochemistry. Jun.2011 22:527. [PubMed: 20801629]
- 36. Kohli R, et al. High-fructose, medium chain trans fat diet induces liver fibrosis and elevates plasma coenzyme Q9 in a novel murine model of obesity and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Hepatology. Sep.2010 52:934. [PubMed: 20607689]
- 37\*. Tate DF, et al. Replacing caloric beverages with water or diet beverages for weight loss in adults: main results of the Choose Healthy Options Consciously Everyday (CHOICE) randomized clinical trial. The American journal of clinical nutrition. Mar.2012 95:555. The results from this randomized weight-loss trial indicate that eliminating sweetened beverages from the diet promotes greater weight loss than modifiying general dietary intake in overweight adults. [PubMed: 22301929]
- 38\*\*. Pan A, Hu FB. Effects of carbohydrates on satiety: differences between liquid and solid food. Current opinion in Clinical Nutrition and Metabolic Care. Jul.2011 14:385. This review assess the hypothesis that consumption of calories with sweetened beverages is incompletely compensated by reduction in calories from other foods. [PubMed: 21519237]
- Soenen S, Westerterp-Plantenga MS. No differences in satiety or energy intake after high-fructose corn syrup, sucrose, or milk preloads. The American journal of clinical nutrition. Dec.2007 86:1586. [PubMed: 18065574]
- 40. Moran TH. Fructose and satiety. The Journal of nutrition. Jun.2009 139:1253S. [PubMed: 19403706]

- Akhavan T, Anderson GH. Effects of glucose-to-fructose ratios in solutions on subjective satiety, food intake, and satiety hormones in young men. The American journal of clinical nutrition. Nov. 2007 86:1354. [PubMed: 17991646]
- 42. Monsivais P, Perrigue MM, Drewnowski A. Sugars and satiety: does the type of sweetener make a difference? The American journal of clinical nutrition. Jul.2007 86:116. [PubMed: 17616770]
- Wei Y, Pagliassotti MJ. Hepatospecific effects of fructose on c-jun NH2-terminal kinase: implications for hepatic insulin resistance. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. Nov.2004 287:E926. [PubMed: 15198936]
- Galipeau D, Verma S, McNeill JH. Female rats are protected against fructose-induced changes in metabolism and blood pressure. American journal of physiology Heart and circulatory physiology. Dec.2002 283:H2478. [PubMed: 12427595]
- 45. Stanhope KL, et al. Twenty-four-hour endocrine and metabolic profiles following consumption of high-fructose corn syrup-, sucrose-, fructose-, and glucose-sweetened beverages with meals. The American journal of clinical nutrition. May.2008 87:1194. [PubMed: 18469239]
- 46. Couchepin C, et al. Markedly blunted metabolic effects of fructose in healthy young female subjects compared with male subjects. Diabetes Care. Jun.2008 31:1254. [PubMed: 18332156]
- 47\*. Tran C, et al. Sex differences in lipid and glucose kinetics after ingestion of an acute oral fructose load. Br J Nutr. Oct.2010 104:1139. This study documents that de novo lipogenesis is less stimulated after fructose ingestion in pre-menopausal women than in men. [PubMed: 20540820]
- 48. Teff KL, et al. Endocrine and metabolic effects of consuming fructose- and glucose-sweetened beverages with meals in obese men and women: influence of insulin resistance on plasma triglyceride responses. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. May.2009 94:1562. [PubMed: 19208729]
- 49\*. Davis JN, et al. Increased hepatic fat in overweight Hispanic youth influenced by interaction between genetic variation in PNPLA3 and high dietary carbohydrate and sugar consumption. The American journal of clinical nutrition. Dec.2010 92:1522. This study indicates that hepatic fat content is associated with sugar consumption in subjects with a polymorphism of PNPLA3, suggesting that metabolic responses to sugar intake may depend on a person's genetic background. [PubMed: 20962157]

#### Key bullet points

- There is some evidence that suggests excessive fructose consumption especially when combined with excess energy intake may have adverse effects on metabolic health.
- The results from clinical trials do not support a significant detrimental effect of fructose on metabolic health when consumed as part of a weight maintaining diet in amounts consistent with the average estimated fructose consumption in Western countries.
- Public health policies to eliminate or limit fructose in the diet should be considered premature.
- Crucial studies that will provide definitive answers to the concerns of fructose toxicity and others which should guide decisions of public policy makers are still missing.