Differential control of seed primary dormancy in Arabidopsis ecotypes by the transcription factor SPATULA

Fabián E. Vaistij^{a,1}, Yinbo Gan^{a,1,2}, Steven Penfield^{a,3}, Alison D. Gilday^a, Anuja Dave^a, Zhesi He^a, Eve-Marie Josse^b, Giltsu Choi^c, Karen J. Halliday^b, and Ian A. Graham^{a,4}

^aCentre for Novel Agricultural Products, Department of Biology, University of York, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom; ^bSchool of Biological Sciences, Institute of Molecular Plant Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JH, United Kingdom; and ^cDepartment of Biological Sciences, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon 305-701, Korea

Edited by Mark Estelle, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA, and approved May 9, 2013 (received for review January 31, 2013)

Freshly matured seeds exhibit primary dormancy, which prevents germination until environmental conditions are favorable. The establishment of dormancy occurs during seed development and involves both genetic and environmental factors that impact on the ratio of two antagonistic phytohormones: abscisic acid (ABA), which promotes dormancy, and gibberellic acid, which promotes germination. Although our understanding of dormancy breakage in mature seeds is well advanced, relatively little is known about the mechanisms involved in establishing dormancy during seed maturation. We previously showed that the SPATULA (SPT) transcription factor plays a key role in regulating seed germination. Here we investigate its role during seed development and find that, surprisingly, it has opposite roles in setting dormancy in Landsberg erecta and Columbia Arabidopsis ecotypes. We also find that SPT regulates expression of five transcription factor encoding genes: ABA-INSENSITIVE4 (ABI4) and ABI5, which mediate ABA signaling; REPRESSOR-OF-GA (RGA) and RGA-LIKE3 involved in gibberellic acid signaling; and MOTHER-OF-FT-AND-TFL1 (MFT) that we show here promotes Arabidopsis seed dormancy. Although ABI4, RGA, and MFT are repressed by SPT, ABI5 and RGL3 are induced. Furthermore, we show that RGA, MFT, and ABI5 are direct targets of SPT in vivo. We present a model in which SPT drives two antagonistic "dormancy-repressing" and "dormancy-promoting" routes that operate simultaneously in freshly matured seeds. Each of these routes has different impacts and this in turn explains the opposite effect of SPT on seed dormancy of the two ecotypes analyzed here.

phytohormone analyses | chromatin immunoprecipitation | transcriptomic analyses

Plants have evolved to alter how they grow and develop in response to signals from their environment. A good example of this is the process of seed germination, which marks the start of growth following a period of quiescence or primary dormancy. This dormant state enables seeds to survive in the soil until conditions are favorable for growth. In Arabidopsis and many other species, dormancy can be released by either low temperature of imbibed seeds (stratification) or by an extended period of dry seed storage (after-ripening). Regulation of germination results from a balance between levels of and sensitivity to the antagonistic phytohormones abscisic acid (ABA) and gibberellic acid (GA) (1). ABA is synthesized during seed development leading to induction of primary dormancy, which inhibits precocious germination. ABA acts through ABA-response transcription factors, such as ABA-INSENSITIVE3 (ABI3), ABI4, and ABI5, which are all known to affect seed dormancy and germination (2–9). Opposite to the action of ABA, GA promotes germination by triggering 26S-proteosome degradation of the growth-repressing DELLA transcription factors. In Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) there are five different DELLA genes and four of them [GA-INSENSITIVE (GAI), REPRESSOR-OF-GA, (RGA), RGA-LIKE2 $(RGL2)$, and $RGL3$] have a role in repressing germination

(10–15). The mechanism for establishing primary dormancy during seed maturation remains poorly understood with only a few genes, such as DELAY-OF-GERMINATION (DOG1) being identified that are not directly involved in seed maturation or phytohormone metabolism (16–22). Environmental conditions during seed set influence dormancy status. For example, low temperature conditions result in increased primary dormancy of mature Arabidopsis seeds (23–25) by inducing expression of genes associated with dormancy and influencing ABA and GA levels (24).

The phosphatidyl ethanolamine-binding protein (PEBP) family is an evolutionary conserved group of proteins present from bacteria to animals and plants. In Arabidopsis, the better characterized PEBP-family members so far are FLOWERING-LOCUS (FT), TERMINAL-FLOWER1 (TFL1), and MOTHER-OF-FT-AND-TFL1 (MFT). Although FT and TFL1 are antagonistic regulators of flowering time control (26–28), MFT is involved in regulating seed germination (29, 30), localizing in the nucleus and acting as a transcription factor that directly binds to the ABI5 promoter to repress its expression in nondormant seeds (30). SPATULA (SPT) is a transcription factor belonging to the basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH) subfamily, which also includes PHYTOCHROME-INTERACTING-(LIKE)-FACTORS (PIFs and PILs) (31). SPT was originally described as a regulator of septum, style, and stigma growth during gynoecium development (32–34) and promotes seed dispersal (35). SPT also plays a role integrating day time and temperature signaling to repress growth of vegetative tissue, such as hypocotyls, cotyledons, and leaves (36–39). We showed previously that SPT is also involved in germination of freshly matured (but not after-ripened) seeds by regulating expression of genes involved in GA biosynthesis in imbibed seeds (38). Interestingly, expression of SPT peaks during seed development (40) as well as seed germination (38), which suggested to us that it may be involved in the poorly understood process of primary dormancy establishment.

In the present study, we investigated further the role of SPT in seed dormancy/germination using mutant and overexpressing lines in two different Arabidopsis ecotype backgrounds (Landsberg

Author contributions: F.E.V., Y.G., S.P., A.D., K.J.H., and I.A.G. designed research; F.E.V., Y.G., S.P., A.D.G., and A.D. performed research; G.C. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; F.E.V., Y.G., S.P., A.D.G., A.D., Z.H., E.-M.J., G.C., K.J.H., and I.A.G. analyzed data; and F.E.V. and I.A.G. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

¹F.E.V. and Y.G. contributed equally to this work.

²Present address: Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture and Biotechnology, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310029, China.

³Present address: Department of Biosciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QD, United Kingdom.

⁴To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: ian.graham@york.ac.uk.

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental) [1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental)

erecta, Ler, and Columbia, Col). Unexpectedly, we found that SPT has opposite effects in germination in the two ecotypes analyzed: although in Ler SPT represses dormancy, in Col SPT promotes it. We also investigated the role of SPT in gene expression and found that it promotes ABI5 and RGL3 and represses ABI4, RGA, and MFT in freshly matured seeds before germination in both Ler and Col ecotypes. We also found that MFT promotes primary dormancy and germination in freshly matured and after-ripened seeds, respectively. We propose a model in which the role of SPT on gene expression explains the opposite spt mutant phenotypes in the Ler and Col ecotypes.

Results and Discussion

SPT Has Opposite Effects on Seed Primary Dormancy in Ler and Col. We previously described the role of SPT in germination of freshly matured Ler seeds (38). Here we extend our phenotypic analysis to the Col ecotype. As reported earlier (38), Ler seeds carrying the spt-2 mutation, resulting in an R^{209} K amino acid change in the DNA-binding domain, are extremely dormant (Fig. 1A). However, seeds from SPT overexpressing (SPToe) lines exhibit increased germination (Fig. 1A). In contrast, Col seeds of the spt-11 and spt-12 T-DNA insertion loss-of-function mutants are less dormant and SPToe seeds are more dormant than WT under unstratified conditions (Fig. 1C). After stratification, all of the genetic backgrounds analyzed in Col germinated at 100% (Fig. 1C). Comparison of Ler and Col nucleotide sequences determined that the germination differences between these ecotypes are not because of allelic variation at the SPT locus. The spt-12 T-DNA insertion null mutant was introgressed from Col into Ler and in this ecotype spt-12 seeds are more dormant than WT (Fig. 1B). To assess the effect of spt-2 in Col, we took advantage of the fact that it is a semidominant mutation (38) by overexpressing the spt-2 cDNA (SPT2oe) in Col plants: SPT2oe seeds are less dormant than WT-Col controls (Fig. 1D). Taken together, these results underline the importance of background ecotype in determining the role played by SPT, which results in more germination in Ler and less in Col. These results led us to retest the experimental materials we used to report on the role of SPT in germination (38). Consistent with the results shown in Fig. 1C, we found that the SPToe data reported previously was derived from a Col rather than a Ler background. Furthermore, spt-10, which was reported as a loss-of-function allele in Ler because of a transposon insertion near the 5′ end of the first exon, actually results in increased transcript levels ([Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1)). The similarity of the spt-10 and Ler overexpressor germination phenotypes lead us to conclude that this particular allele acts as a SPT2oe at this stage of development.

To gain more information about the mode of action of SPT, exogenously applied GA and the ABA biosynthesis inhibitor

on ecotype background. Germination assays of: (A) SPToe, WT, and spt-2 in Ler (unstratified, u; or stratified, s); (B) spt-12 retrogressed into Ler (stratified, s; two independent retrogressions are shown); (C) SPToe, WT, spt-11 and spt-12 in Columbia (Col) (unstratified, u; or stratified, s); (D) two independent SPT2oe lines in Col (unstratified, u); (E) WT and spt-2 in Ler (stratified) supplemented with GA (100 μM), Norflurazon (Norf; 50 μM), or both; and (F) WT, spt-2 and $aba1-1$ singlemutants, spt-2 aba1-1 double-mutant, gai-6 rga-2 rgl1-1 rgl2-1 quadruple-mutant (della4), and spt-2 della4 quintuple-mutant in Ler (stratified). Assays were performed on freshly matured seeds; germination was counted 7 d after imbibition in continuous light. (G–J) ABA and GA in freshly matured dry seed (DS) and 1- and 2-d after imbibition (DAI) of WT and spt mutants in Ler and Col. Relative phytohormone abundance (Rel. phytohorm. abund.) values were obtained by dividing absolute amounts of all samples by the WT DS amount, which was defined as the reference point. In all panels error bars represent standard deviation (SD) of at least three determinations. Asterisks and letters above the bars indicate statistically significant differences ($P < 0.05$). See

Norflurazon (100 and 50 μM, respectively) were used in germination assays. Both these compounds promote germination of WT seeds and rescue the extreme spt-2 dormancy phenotype (Fig. 1E). Furthermore, the germination promoting effect of these compounds on spt-2 is synergistic resulting in close to 100% germination (Fig. 1E). A series of genetic analyses were also carried out by introducing spt-2 into aba1-1 (disrupted in ABA biosynthesis) and a quadruple rgl1-1/rgl2-1/gai-6/rga-2 DELLA mutant (della4; having a constitutive GA response). Germination assays determined that the extreme spt-2 dormancy phenotype is alleviated by decreasing endogenous ABA levels or constitutively activating the GA response pathway (Fig. 1F).

To better characterize the spt mutants, endogenous levels of ABA and GA were measured in freshly matured dry and imbibed seeds. Because stratification abolishes the *spt* mutant phenotype in Col (Fig. 1C), the analyses were performed on unstratified samples. The ABA and GA levels are presented relative to the respective WT dry-seed samples (for absolute values, see [Table](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST1) [S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST1)): In spt-2, ABA levels are significantly higher in dry and imbibed seeds (Fig. 1G); GA levels are significantly lower in dry seeds but unchanged in imbibed seeds (Fig. 1H). In spt-12, ABA levels are lower throughout the time course (Fig. 1I) and GA abundance is higher in dry seeds but unchanged in imbibed seeds (Fig. 1J). Although the differences in ABA and GA accumulation in spt mutant backgrounds compared with their respective WTs is generally less than twofold, the same trends were observed in independent experiments. Thus, ABA and GA levels in dry seeds are consistent with the dormancy status of the spt mutants in Ler and Col (Fig. $1 \land$ and C). These observations, together with the fact that SPT is expressed during seed development ([Fig. S2\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2), led us to further investigate its possible role at this developmental stage.

ABI5 and RGA Are Direct Targets of SPT. To find possible direct targets of SPT, we assessed expression of selected genes involved in ABA and GA biosynthesis [ABA1, GA-3-OXIDASE1 (GA3OX1), and GA3OX2], ABA signaling (ABI3, ABI4, and ABI5), GA signaling *(GAI, RGA, RGL2, and RGL3)*, and seed dormancy (DOG1) that are expressed during seed development and germination [\(Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2)). We measured transcript abundance of these genes in freshly matured dry seeds as an indicator of expression during late stages of seed development (Fig. 2 A and B). ABA1 expression is increased in spt-2 and decreased in spt-12, consistent with ABA levels in these two mutants, but there is no difference in GA3OX1 and GA3OX2 expression despite GA levels being altered. Regarding the ABA-signaling genes, ABI3 expression is unchanged, ABI4 is increased, and ABI5 decreased in both Ler and Col spt mutants. Of the GA-signaling genes, the expression of GAI and RGL2 are unchanged in the mutant backgrounds, RGL3 expression is repressed in both Ler and Col spt mutants, and RGA transcript levels are increased twofold in Ler spt-2 but unchanged in Col spt-12. DOG1 transcript accumulation is unchanged in spt mutant irrespective of ecotype.

The bHLH-type transcription factors bind to the E-box (CANNTG) motif present in target gene promoters (41). The members of the PIF/PIL subfamily, to which SPT belongs, preferentially bind to the G-box (CACGTG) motif (42–44). We screened the promoter regions of the SPT-regulated genes for these motifs. Although *ABI5* and *RGA* promoters have five and three G-boxes, respectively, ABA1, ABI4, and RGL3 promoters only contain E-boxes (Fig. 2C and [Table S2\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST2). To test whether SPT binds directly to these promoters in vivo, ChIP followed by quantitative PCR (qPCR) analyses were performed on fully expanded green siliques of a MYC-epitope tagged SPT line (SPTmyc) in Ler. Primers spanning different regions of each of the promoters (Fig. 2C) were

Fig. 2. ABI5, RGA, and MFT are direct targets of SPT. (A and B) Relative transcript abundance (Rel. transc. abund.) in Ler and Col freshly matured dry seeds of selected genes involved in ABA and GA biosynthetic and signaling pathways, DOG1 and MFT. Error bars represent SD of three determinations. (C) Schematic representation of the 2,500-bp region upstream of the ATG start codon of the indicated genes: Vertical thick black bars represent G-box motifs; vertical thick gray bars represent E-box motifs in G-box free promoters; light gray rectangles indicate the presence of an upstream gene; horizontal thin black lines represent amplicons assayed for SPT binding. (D) Relative ChIP-qPCR enrichment (Rel. ChIP enrich.) of the indicated promoter regions compared with the negative MLS control. Assays were performed on silique samples from a SPTmyc epitope-tagged transgenic line (Ler) with (+Ab) or without (−Ab) anti-MYC antibodies. Error bars represent standard error (SE) of three replicates (similar results were obtained in independent experiments). Asterisks above the bars indicate statistically significant differences ($P < 0.05$). See Experimental Procedures for details.

used, as well as an internal control region of the unrelated G-box free MALATE SYNTHASE (MLS) gene promoter. These experiments show enrichment of only the ABI5 and RGA G-Box specific amplicons (Fig. 2D). We found that the promoters of both ABI5 and RGA are also direct targets of SPT in Col [\(Fig. S3\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3). Interestingly, despite the in vivo SPT–RGA interaction in Col, we did not find RGA expression to be altered in spt-12 (Fig. 2B and [Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3)).

During seed imbibition, SPT expression coincides with that of GA3OX1 and GA3OX2 [\(Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2)). Expression of both these genes is altered by SPT in stratified imbibed germinating Ler seeds (38) ([Fig.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1) [S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1)) and their promoters each contain a G-box motif ([Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3) and [Table S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST2)). To test whether SPT binds directly to these promoters in imbibed seeds, ChIP-qPCR analyses were performed but failed to detect any SPT-GA3OX1 or SPT-GA3OX2 interactions, suggesting that these genes are not primary targets of SPT [\(Fig. S3\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3).

Transcriptomic and ChIP Analyses Reveal MFT as a Direct Target of SPT. To find other SPT targets, we analyzed transcriptomic data available in our laboratory from freshly matured stratified Ler seeds sampled 1 d after imbibition, which is the time point when SPT expression is highest in germinating Ler seeds (38). Two sets of transcriptomic comparisons were carried out: (i) SPToe vs. WT vs. spt-2, and (ii) aba1-1 vs. spt-2 aba1-1. The first comparison identifies many genes that are up- or down-regulated depending on levels of SPT, but because this material shows large differences in germination capacity (Fig. 1A), many of these genes will not be direct targets of SPT. In the second comparison germination

capacity is similar, because aba1-1 rescues the strong dormancy phenotype of spt-2 (Fig. 1F). We found that only 10 genes had their profiles altered in the same pattern between the two comparison sets [\(Table S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST3)). Six of these genes contain G-boxes within their promoters [\(Tables S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST2) and [S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST3)) with one of these, MFT, which is repressed by SPT, having three (Fig. 2C and [Table S2\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST2). Interestingly, the MFT expression profile parallels that of SPT during seed development ([Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2)). Transcript abundance in freshly matured dry seeds and ChIP-qPCR analyses on silique material showed that MFT expression increases in the absence of SPT (Fig. $2A$ and B) and SPTmyc binds to the three MFT promoter regions assessed in Ler and Col backgrounds (Fig. 2D and [Fig. S3\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3). However, these SPT-MFT interactions were not observed in material from germinating seeds [\(Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3)). Of the other G-box containing putative primary SPT targets described in [Table](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST3) [S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST3), ChIP-qPCR analyses indicate that only the At4g33980 gene is a direct target of SPT ([Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3)) and although the gene function is not known, it is interesting to note that it is mainly expressed during seed development ([Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2)).

MFT Promotes Primary Dormancy. MFT was recently shown to be involved in seed germination (29, 30). However, although MFT has been found to negatively regulate ABA signaling and promote germination in *Arabidopsis* (30), in wheat (*Triticum aesti* vum) an MFT homolog (Ta-MFT) has been shown to be a key factor in promoting dormancy (29). The Arabidopsis study used after-ripened nondormant seeds but the wheat study used freshly

Fig. 3. MFT regulates seed primary dormancy. (A and C) Germination assays of freshly mature (FM) unstratified (u) and stratified (s) WT and mft-2 Ler and Col seeds. (B and D) Germination assays of afterripened (AR) stratified WT and mft-2 Ler and Col seeds supplemented with 10 μM ABA (+ABA) or without (−ABA). (E and F) ABA and GA in freshly matured dry seed of WT and *mft* mutants in Ler and Col. Absolute amounts were divided by the reference (WT DS) to obtain relative phytohormone abundance (Rel. phytohorm. abund.). (G and H) Relative transcript abundance (Rel. transc. abund.) of selected genes involved in ABA and GA signaling pathways in freshly matured WT and mft-2 Ler and Col dry seeds. (I and J) Germination assays of freshly matured unstratified WT, spt, and mft single mutants and the spt mft doublemutants Ler and Col seeds. Error bars represent SD of at least three determinations. Asterisks and letters above the bars indicate statistically significant differences ($P < 0.05$). See Experimental Procedures for details. (K) Model of SPT-mediated regulation of seed primary dormancy. Red and green lines from SPT denote repression and activation, respectively, by this transcription factor of ABI4, MFT, RGA, ABI5, and RGL3 gene expression. These red and green lines also represent the dormancy-repressing and dormancy-promoting routes, respectively. MFT and ABI5 are direct SPT targets (solid lines); RGA is a direct SPT target in Ler and Col but gene expression is only altered in Ler (dashed line); ABI4 and RGL3 are indirect SPT targets (dotted lines). Red horizontal line from MFT denotes the repressive action on RGA expression (whether RGA is a direct or indirect target of MFT is still unknown). Solid black lines from ABI4, MFT, RGA, ABI5, and RGL3 denote the promotion of primary seed dormancy by these transcription factors.

matured dormant seeds. These differences prompted us to assess whether MFT plays a role in regulating primary dormancy in Arabidopsis. We found that mft-2 Ler and Col seeds are less dormant than the corresponding WTs (Fig. $3A$ and C). We also analyzed sensitivity to exogenously applied ABA $(10 \mu M)$ on 2-mo-old after-ripened seeds and found that, as previously reported for Col (30), mft-2 nondormant Ler seeds are hypersensitive to the phytohormone (Fig. $3 B$ and D). We therefore conclude that MFT operates to promote (i) dormancy during seed development and (ii) germination in after-ripened imbibed seeds with exogenous ABA. Surprisingly, given the less-dormant phenotype of *mft*-2, relative endogenous levels of ABA in freshly matured dry seeds are approximately twofold higher in the *mft*-2 mutants compared with the Ler and Col WTs, and GA levels are similar (Fig. $3 E$ and F; for absolute values see [Table S1\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST1). The role of MFT in promoting germination in after-ripened seeds is mediated at least in part by directly repressing ABI5 expression (30). Hence, we assessed $ABI5$ expression (as well as other ABA and GA signaling related-genes) in freshly matured dry seeds. We found that of all these genes only RGA expression is altered, showing an increase in *mft*-2 in both ecotype backgrounds (Fig. 3) G and H). As with the elevated ABA levels, the increase in RGA expression is unexpected given that this gene promotes dormancy but in *mft*-2 dormancy levels are decreased. These results suggest that to promote dormancy, MFT acts downstream with or parallel to the ABA and GA response pathways. We reported recently that the *pxa1* mutant (that is disrupted in a peroxisomal ABC transporter activity) has low levels of seed germination despite accumulating higher GA levels than WT (45). Hence, the high ABA and GA levels in *mft* and *pxa1* mutants, respectively, may be a consequence of compensatory mechanisms attempting to rescue germination back to WT levels.

To gain insight into the combined action of SPT and MFT, we obtained the spt-2 mft-2 (in Ler) and spt-12 mft-2 (in Col) doublemutants and assessed germination of freshly matured seeds. We found the effect of the two mutations to be additive in Col as expected from the single-mutant phenotypes (Fig. 3J). However, in Ler, the two mutations are not additive and the double-mutant is less dormant than the *mft*-2 single-mutant despite the strong dormancy phenotype of spt-2 in this ecotype (Fig. 3I).

Arabidopsis seed primary dormancy is promoted by low-temperature conditions during seed development (23–25). Low temperature also increases seed dormancy in wheat and this correlates with an increase in Ta-MFT gene expression (29). Once primary dormancy is released, secondary dormancy can be induced depending on environmental conditions (46). A recent study has demonstrated that secondary dormancy of Arabidopsis Cvi ecotype seeds correlated with low seasonal soil temperatures and high MFT expression (47). Thus, MFT appears to be associated with the induction of both primary and secondary dormancy in Arabidopsis. Another recent study has described a temperature-mediated promotion of FT expression by PIF4 (48). Interestingly, PIF4 and FT belong to the same protein families as SPT and MFT, respectively. Our demonstration that SPT and MFT control the transition from seed to seedling parallels the control of the transition from vegetative to floral development by PIF4 and FT. Allelic variation at the PIF4 locus is known to be associated with several ecological traits, including flowering time (49). Here we find ecotype differences in SPT function between Ler and Col in the absence of allelic variation at the SPT locus.

Antagonistic Routes Mediated by SPT Control Primary Dormancy. Integrating the data reported here, we propose the following model for the role of SPT in setting seed primary dormancy (Fig. 3K). During seed development, SPT controls expression of transcription factors encoding genes that promote seed dormancy in both Ler and Col: SPT promotes ABI5 and represses MFT and RGA expression by binding to their respective promoters. This process creates two antagonistic "dormancy-repressing" and multaneously. Both routes are reinforced by the indirect action of SPT in repressing ABI4 and promoting RGL3. These routes have different outcomes in Ler and Col seeds and this, in turn, influences ABA1 expression and ABA/GA ratios in dry seeds (Figs. 1 G and I, and $2A$ and B). RGA enhances RGL2 function and they are both known to stimulate ABA biosynthesis (50), but it remains to be established whether this is through a direct effect on ABA1 expression. We propose that the low germination rates of spt mutant Ler seeds (Fig. $1 \land A$ and B) result from higher dormancy levels because of the elevated expression of MFT, RGA, and ABI4, which override the low expression of *ABI5* and *RGL3* (Fig. 2*A*). In our model the Ler-specific increase of RGA expression in the spt mutant background (Fig. $2A$ and B) may be a factor reinforcing the dormancy-repressing route in this ecotype. This role for RGA is supported by the rescue of the strong dormant phenotype of spt-2 when crossed into *della4* (Fig. 1*F*). In contrast to what happens in Ler, the high germination rates of spt mutant Col seeds (Fig. 1 C and D) result from lower dormancy levels because of the low ABI5 and RGL3 expression, which are dominant over the increased MFT and ABI4 expression (Fig. 2B). Our model is backed-up by the outcome of the spt mft double-mutant analyses. In Col the additive effect of the double-mutant is a consequence of the removal of these two dormancy-promoting factors. Furthermore, the fact that in Ler the spt mft double-mutant is not additive (the double-mutant germinates even more that the single mft-mutant and overrides the strong dormant phenotype of spt-2), suggests that MFT is a major component of the dormancy-repressing route in this ecotype.

"dormancy-promoting" routes that operate in both ecotypes si-

Apart from its role in dormancy/germination, SPT is also involved in fruit development (32–34) and repression of hypocotyl elongation and cotyledon and leaf size (36–38). Hence, the role of SPT as a growth repressor of vegetative developmental stages parallels its effect in promoting seed dormancy (i.e., repressing growth) in Col seeds. The nonseed-related roles of SPT do not appear to vary between ecotypes. The fact that perturbation of SPT has different outcomes in terms of seed dormancy in Ler and Col perhaps reflects adaptation to different environmental conditions. Our double-mutant and gene-expression analysis implicate MFT and RGA as candidates for the ecotype differences. Genetic variation in seed-germination sensitivity of Ler and Col to the GA-biosynthesis inhibitor paclobutrazol has been mapped to three quantitative trait loci, but none of these colocalize with MFT or RGA (51). This study highlights the central role played by the transcription factor SPT in controlling seed dormancy and demonstrates how fine-tuning of five other transcription factors can lead to very different outcomes depending on ecotypes.

Experimental Procedures

All mutant lines used in this study were described previously: spt-2 (32); spt-11 and spt-12 (36); abi3-4 (52); aba1-1 (53); rgl1-1 rgl2-1 gai-6 rga-2 (della4) (10); spt-2 della4 (37); mft-2 (30). The SPToe and SPT2oe transgenic lines were obtained by transforming plants with the pK2GW7 and pH2GW7 vectors carrying the SPT and spt-2 coding sequences, respectively, downstream of the 35S promoter. SPTmyc containing Ler plants were produced by transformation with a pGREENII based binary vector carrying SPT-12xMYC and Col plants with a derivative of the pBI121 binary vector in which the GUS sequence was replaced with the SPT-9xMYC. In both ecotypes the SPTmyc genes are driven by the 35S promoter.

Plants were grown in the departmental greenhouse facilities supplemented with artificial light to give a photoperiod of 16-h light at a temperature of ∼20–22 °C. Germination assays were performed as described previously (38). Stratification was performed during imbibition of seeds for 2–3 d in the dark at 4 °C. RNA extractions from 1-d after-imbibition seeds and ABA and GA (GA4) measurements were performed as described previously (38, 45). For RNA extractions from developing seeds the method was scaled down to use ∼200 seeds and 15% of the buffer volumes. cDNA synthesis was performed using standard methods. qPCR was performed using iQ SYBR GreenSupermix and the MyiQ Real-Time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Expression of ACTIN2 was used for normalization. Transcriptomic analyses and ChIP assays were

performed as described previously (42, 45). Public domain Affymetrix ATH1 data sets were obtained from NascArrays ([http://affymetrix.arabidopsis.](http://affymetrix.arabidopsis.info/narrays/experimentbrowse.pl) [info/narrays/experimentbrowse.pl\)](http://affymetrix.arabidopsis.info/narrays/experimentbrowse.pl) and TAIR ([www.arabidopsis.org\)](http://www.arabidopsis.org) and used to produce [Fig. S2.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2) A MLS specific amplicon was used as negative control. Sequence of primers used in RT-qPCRs and ChIP-qPCRs are listed in [Table S4.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST4) Relative positions of the G-box and E-box motifs are indicated in [Table S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1301647110/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201301647SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST2).

In relevant figures, asterisks indicate results of two tailed Student t test analyses performed in Microsoft Excel, comparing overexpressors, mutants, or treatments to corresponding controls. Grouped matching letters indicate

S
A
K

- 1. Graeber K, Nakabayashi K, Miatton E, Leubner-Metzger G, Soppe WJ (2012) Molecular mechanisms of seed dormancy. Plant Cell Environ 35(10):1769–1786.
- 2. Clerkx EJ, Vries HB, Ruys GJ, Groot SP, Koornneef M (2003) Characterization of green seed, an enhancer of abi3-1 in Arabidopsis that affects seed longevity. Plant Physiol 132(2):1077–1084.
- 3. Daszkowska-Golec A, et al. (2013) Arabidopsis suppressor mutant of abh1 shows a new face of the already known players: ABH1 (CBP80) and ABI4-in response to ABA and abiotic stresses during seed germination. Plant Mol Biol 81(1-2):189–209.
- 4. Finkelstein RR (1994) Mutations at two new Arabidopsis ABA response loci are similar to the abi3 mutations. Plant J 5(6):765-771.
- 5. Finkelstein RR, Lynch TJ (2000) The Arabidopsis abscisic acid response gene ABI5 encodes a basic leucine zipper transcription factor. Plant Cell 12(4):599–609.
- 6. Finkelstein RR, Wang ML, Lynch TJ, Rao S, Goodman HM (1998) The Arabidopsis abscisic acid response locus ABI4 encodes an APETALA 2 domain protein. Plant Cell 10(6): 1043–1054.
- 7. Lopez-Molina L, Chua NH (2000) A null mutation in a bZIP factor confers ABAinsensitivity in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Physiol 41(5):541–547.
- 8. Lopez-Molina L, Mongrand S, McLachlin DT, Chait BT, Chua NH (2002) ABI5 acts downstream of ABI3 to execute an ABA-dependent growth arrest during germination. Plant J 32(3):317–328.
- 9. Wu C, et al. (2012) HRS1 acts as a negative regulator of abscisic acid signaling to promote timely germination of Arabidopsis seeds. PLoS ONE 7(4):e35764.
- 10. Cao D, Hussain A, Cheng H, Peng J (2005) Loss of function of four DELLA genes leads to light- and gibberellin-independent seed germination in Arabidopsis. Planta 223(1): 105–113.
- 11. Lee S, et al. (2002) Gibberellin regulates Arabidopsis seed germination via RGL2, a GAI/RGA-like gene whose expression is up-regulated following imbibition. Genes Dev 16(5):646–658.
- 12. Penfield S, Gilday AD, Halliday KJ, Graham IA (2006) DELLA-mediated cotyledon expansion breaks coat-imposed seed dormancy. Curr Biol 16(23):2366-2370.
- 13. Piskurewicz U, et al. (2008) The gibberellic acid signaling repressor RGL2 inhibits Arabidopsis seed germination by stimulating abscisic acid synthesis and ABI5 activity. Plant Cell 20(10):2729–2745.
- 14. Piskurewicz U, Lopez-Molina L (2009) The GA-signaling repressor RGL3 represses testa rupture in response to changes in GA and ABA levels. Plant Signal Behav 4(1):63–65.
- 15. Tyler L, et al. (2004) Della proteins and gibberellin-regulated seed germination and floral development in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 135(2):1008–1019.
- 16. Alonso-Blanco C, et al. (2009) What has natural variation taught us about plant development, physiology, and adaptation? Plant Cell 21(7):1877–1896.
- 17. Bentsink L, Jowett J, Hanhart CJ, Koornneef M (2006) Cloning of DOG1, a quantitative trait locus controlling seed dormancy in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103(45): 17042–17047.
- 18. Léon-Kloosterziel KM, van de Bunt GA, Zeevaart JA, Koornneef M (1996) Arabidopsis mutants with a reduced seed dormancy. Plant Physiol 110(1):233–240.
- 19. Liu Y, et al. (2011) Identification of the Arabidopsis REDUCED DORMANCY 2 gene uncovers a role for the polymerase associated factor 1 complex in seed dormancy. PLoS ONE 6(7):e22241.
- 20. Liu Y, Koornneef M, Soppe WJ (2007) The absence of histone H2B monoubiquitination in the Arabidopsis hub1 (rdo4) mutant reveals a role for chromatin remodeling in seed dormancy. Plant Cell 19(2):433–444.
- 21. Nakabayashi K, et al. (2012) The time required for dormancy release in Arabidopsis is determined by DELAY OF GERMINATION1 protein levels in freshly harvested seeds. Plant Cell 24(7):2826–2838.
- 22. Peeters AJ, et al. (2002) Characterization of mutants with reduced seed dormancy at two novel rdo loci and a further characterization of rdo1 and rdo2 in Arabidopsis. Physiol Plant 115(4):604–612.
- 23. Donohue K, et al. (2008) Diversification of phytochrome contributions to germination as a function of seed-maturation environment. New Phytol 177(2):367–379.
- 24. Kendall SL, et al. (2011) Induction of dormancy in Arabidopsis summer annuals requires parallel regulation of DOG1 and hormone metabolism by low temperature and CBF transcription factors. Plant Cell 23(7):2568–2580.
- 25. Schmuths H, Bachmann K, Weber WE, Horres R, Hoffmann MH (2006) Effects of preconditioning and temperature during germination of 73 natural accessions of Arabidopsis thaliana. Ann Bot (Lond) 97(4):623–634.
- 26. Bradley D, Ratcliffe O, Vincent C, Carpenter R, Coen E (1997) Inflorescence commitment and architecture in Arabidopsis. Science 275(5296):80–83.
- 27. Kardailsky I, et al. (1999) Activation tagging of the floral inducer FT. Science 286(5446):1962–1965.
- 28. Kobayashi Y, Kaya H, Goto K, Iwabuchi M, Araki T (1999) A pair of related genes with antagonistic roles in mediating flowering signals. Science 286(5446):1960–1962.

nonsignificant differences from ANOVA followed by Tukey's honestly significant difference tests performed in R (v2.15.2; www.R-project.org).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Hao Yu for supplying mft-2 mutant seeds; Wim Soppe for constructive comments on the manuscript; Jeongmoo Park for advice on ChIP; and departmental horticultural and technology facility services for plant care and transcriptomic assays, respectively. This work was funded by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/E000541/1, BB/J000949/1, BB/F005296/1, BB/F005237/1) and the Garfield Weston Foundation.

- 29. Nakamura S, et al. (2011) A wheat homolog of MOTHER OF FT AND TFL1 acts in the regulation of germination. Plant Cell 23(9):3215–3229.
- 30. Xi W, Liu C, Hou X, Yu H (2010) MOTHER OF FT AND TFL1 regulates seed germination through a negative feedback loop modulating ABA signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 22(6):1733–1748.
- 31. Toledo-Ortiz G, Huq E, Quail PH (2003) The Arabidopsis basic/helix-loop-helix transcription factor family. Plant Cell 15(8):1749–1770.
- 32. Alvarez J, Smyth DR (1999) CRABS CLAW and SPATULA, two Arabidopsis genes that control carpel development in parallel with AGAMOUS. Development 126(11): 2377–2386.
- 33. Alvarez J, Smyth DR (2002) Crabs claw and Spatula genes regulate growth and pattern formation during gynoecium development in Arabidopsis thaliana. Int J Plant Sci 163(1):17–41.
- 34. Heisler MG, Atkinson A, Bylstra YH, Walsh R, Smyth DR (2001) SPATULA, a gene that controls development of carpel margin tissues in Arabidopsis, encodes a bHLH protein. Development 128(7):1089–1098.
- 35. Girin T, et al. (2011) INDEHISCENT and SPATULA interact to specify carpel and valve margin tissue and thus promote seed dispersal in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 23(10): 3641–3653.
- 36. Ichihashi Y, Horiguchi G, Gleissberg S, Tsukaya H (2010) The bHLH transcription factor SPATULA controls final leaf size in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Physiol 51(2): 252–261.
- 37. Josse EM, et al. (2011) A DELLA in disguise: SPATULA restrains the growth of the developing Arabidopsis seedling. Plant Cell 23(4):1337–1351.
- 38. Penfield S, et al. (2005) Cold and light control seed germination through the bHLH transcription factor SPATULA. Curr Biol 15(22):1998–2006.
- 39. Sidaway-Lee K, et al. (2010) SPATULA links daytime temperature and plant growth rate. Curr Biol 20(16):1493–1497.
- 40. Belmonte MF, et al. (2013) Comprehensive developmental profiles of gene activity in regions and subregions of the Arabidopsis seed. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110(5): E435–E444.
- 41. Chaudhary J, Skinner MK (1999) E-box and cyclic adenosine monophosphate response elements are both required for follicle-stimulating hormone-induced transferrin promoter activation in Sertoli cells. Endocrinology 140(3):1262–1271.
- 42. Oh E, et al. (2009) Genome-wide analysis of genes targeted by PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 3-LIKE5 during seed germination in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 21(2):403–419.
- 43. Oh E, et al. (2007) PIL5, a phytochrome-interacting bHLH protein, regulates gibberellin responsiveness by binding directly to the GAI and RGA promoters in Arabidopsis seeds. Plant Cell 19(4):1192–1208.
- 44. Reymond MC, et al. (2012) A light-regulated genetic module was recruited to carpel development in Arabidopsis following a structural change to SPATULA. Plant Cell 24(7):2812–2825.
- 45. Dave A, et al. (2011) 12-oxo-phytodienoic acid accumulation during seed development represses seed germination in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 23(2):583–599.
- 46. Penfield S, Springthorpe V (2012) Understanding chilling responses in Arabidopsis seeds and their contribution to life history. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 367(1586):291–297.
- 47. Footitt S, Douterelo-Soler I, Clay H, Finch-Savage WE (2011) Dormancy cycling in Arabidopsis seeds is controlled by seasonally distinct hormone-signaling pathways. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108(50):20236–20241.
- 48. Kumar SV, et al. (2012) Transcription factor PIF4 controls the thermosensory activation of flowering. Nature 484(7393):242–245.
- 49. Brock MT, Maloof JN, Weinig C (2010) Genes underlying quantitative variation in ecologically important traits: PIF4 (phytochrome interacting factor 4) is associated with variation in internode length, flowering time, and fruit set in Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol Ecol 19(6):1187–1199.
- 50. Piskurewicz U, Turecková V, Lacombe E, Lopez-Molina L (2009) Far-red light inhibits germination through DELLA-dependent stimulation of ABA synthesis and ABI3 activity. EMBO J 28(15):2259–2271.
- 51. van Der Schaar W, et al. (1997) QTL analysis of seed dormancy in Arabidopsis using recombinant inbred lines and MQM mapping. Heredity (Edinb) 79(Pt 2):190–200.
- 52. Ooms J, Leon-Kloosterziel KM, Bartels D, Koornneef M, Karssen CM (1993) Acquisition of desiccation tolerance and longevity in seeds of Arabidopsis thaliana (A comparative study using abscisic acid-insensitive abi3 mutants). Plant Physiol 102(4): 1185–1191.
- 53. Koornneef M, Jorna ML, Brinkhorst-Van de Swan DCL, Karssen CM (1982) The isolation of abscisic acid (ABA)-deficient mutants by selection of induced revertants in nongerminating gibberellins-sensitive lines of Arabidopsis thaliana. Theor Appl Genet 61(4):385–393.