

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Toxicology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 October 09.

Published in final edited form as:

Toxicology. 2012 October 9; 300(0): 1–11. doi:10.1016/j.tox.2012.04.016.

DEVELOPMENTAL CIGARETTE SMOKE EXPOSURE: LIVER PROTEOME PROFILE ALTERATIONS IN LOW BIRTH WEIGHT PUPS

Lorena Canales¹, Jing Chen¹, Elizabeth Kelty¹, Sadiatu Musah¹, Cindy Webb², M. Michele Pisano^{2,3}, and Rachel E. Neal^{1,3,4}

¹Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, School of Public Health and Information Sciences, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY

²Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Craniofacial Biology, ULSD, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY

³Birth Defects Center, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY

Abstract

Cigarette smoke is composed of over 4000 chemicals many of which are strong oxidizing agents and chemical carcinogens. Chronic cigarette smoke exposure (CSE) induces mild alterations in liver histology indicative of toxicity though the molecular pathways underlying these alterations remain to be explored. Utilizing a mouse model of 'active' developmental CSE (gestational day (GD) 1 through postnatal day (PD) 21; cotinine > 50 ng/mL) characterized by low birth weight offspring, the impact of developmental CSE on liver protein abundances was determined. On PD21, liver tissue was collected from pups for 2D SDS-PAGE based proteome analysis with statistical analysis by Partial Least Squares-Discriminant Analysis (PLS-DA). Protein spots of interest were identified by ESI-MS/MS with impacted molecular pathways identified by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. Developmental CSE decreased the abundance of proteins associated with the small molecule biochemistry (includes glucose metabolism), lipid metabolism, amino acid metabolism, and inflammatory response pathways. Decreased gluconeogenic enzyme activity and lysophosphatidylcholine availability following developmental CSE were found and supports the impact of CSE on these pathways. Proteins with increased abundance belonged to the cell death and drug metabolism networks. Liver antioxidant enzyme abundances [Glutathione-S-Transferase (GST) and Peroxiredoxins] were also altered by CSE, but GST enzymatic activity was unchanged. In summary, cigarette smoke exposure spanning pre- and post-natal development resulted in persistent decreased offspring weights, decreased abundances of liver metabolic proteins, decreased gluconeogenic activity, and altered lipid metabolism. The companion paper details the kidney proteome alterations in the same offspring.

Keywords

developmental cigarette smoke exposure; CSE; proteome; liver

^{© 2012} Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

⁴Corresponding Author: rachel.neal@louisville.edu; 485 E. Gray Street, Louisville, KY 40292, 502-852-3179.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1. INTRODUCTION

Maternal behavior and nutrition are key determinants of offspring health. According to the Center for Disease Control and Prevention, approximately one in five women will smoke at some time during pregnancy (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2001). Maternal cigarette smoke exposure during gestation is associated with a host of congenital defects and negative outcomes in children including Sudden Infant Death Syndrome, intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), childhood asthma, and cognitive deficits (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2010; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2006).

Cigarette smoke contains over 4,000 chemical compounds which are present in mainstream and sidestream smoke (American Lung Association, 2010). Nicotine, carbon monoxide, heavy metals (lead, arsenic, and cadmium) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons such as benzo(a)pyrene are present in cigarette smoke—many of which are known human carcinogens (National Toxicology Program, 2005). The toxins in cigarette smoke generally have high water solubility and low molecular weight that allows non-facilitated transfer across the placenta to the fetus (Zdravkovic *et al.*, 2005). Rapidly proliferating fetal/neonatal tissues are highly sensitive to harmful agents including the many chemicals contained in cigarette smoke (Tomatis, 1989). The liver is a primary site of exogenous compound detoxification and possesses a high metabolic capacity (Maton *et al.*, 1993). In a murine model of cigarette smoke exposure (CSE; high dose passive exposure model utilizing 2R1 reference cigarettes), mild liver toxicity was noted (Izzotti *et al.*, 2003).

The present study focused on the impact of developmental CSE on liver proteome expression profiles. A model of active CSE was utilized that exposed C57BL/6 mice for 6 hrs/day and 7 days/week from gestation day (GD) 1 through postnatal day (PD) 21 to Marlboro cigarettes, the most common brand of cigarettes smoked by young women (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2010). CSE induced intrauterine growth retardation with the persistence of decreased pup weights throughout the postnatal exposure window. A narrower objective of this study was to examine whether developmental CSE induced alterations in the abundance of liver metabolic proteins.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Animals

Adult C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Jackson Labs (Bar Harbor, ME). Animals were housed and maintained in the University of Louisville Research Resources Center, an Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care accredited facility. Animals were maintained in a controlled temperature/humidity environment with a 12 hour light/dark cycle and free access to Purina LabDiet 5015 and water. Adult male and nulliparous female C57BL/6J mice were housed together overnight. Gestational day (GD) 0 was counted as the presence of a seminal plug, indicative of mating, on the following morning.

2.2. Murine Cigarette Smoke Exposure Model

Dams were randomly assigned to either the SHAM or cigarette smoke exposure (CSE) group. A Teague TE-10C whole body cigarette smoke inhalation exposure system (Teague Enterprises; Davis, CA, (Teague *et al.*, 1994) – a microprocessor controlled instrument that produces mainstream and/or sidestream smoke –was used to generate and deliver cigarette smoke. Cigarette smoke was generated from Philip Morris Marlboro Red brand cigarettes[™] (Philip Morris; Richmond, VA; 15mg of tar/cigarette; 1.1mg nicotine/cigarette; additives), selected since it represents the most popular brand of cigarettes consumed among 18–25 year olds - the age group containing the majority of maternal smokers (Substance Abuse and

Mental Health Services Administration, National Household Survey on Drug Abuse, 1999). Cigarettes were smoked using the standard Federal Trade Commission method: a two second, 35 cm³ puff, once a minute for a total of 9 min (Teague *et al.*, 1994). For quality control purposes, paired exposure chambers (one receiving cigarette smoke and one receiving ambient air [Sham]) were characterized twice during each daily exposure session for: total suspended particulates (TSP), temperature, carbon monoxide levels, and humidity. Animals were exposed to cigarette smoke (CSE) or filtered ambient air (Sham) for 6hr/day from GD1 to PD21. Litters were <u>not</u> culled to generate uniform litter size. Chamber conditions including total suspended particulates (TSP), carbon monoxide levels, humidity, and temperature were measured twice daily. On PD21, pups were euthanized by asphyxiation with carbon dioxide followed by thoracotomy and cardiac puncture. Tissues were harvested and stored at –80°C until analysis.

2.3. 2D-SDS-PAGE

Liver tissue (~ 0.05 g tissue wet weight; 10 Sham and 8 CSE liver samples from a single male pup per litter) was homogenized in 0.5 ml sample preparation buffer [7M urea, 2M thiourea, 40mM dithiothreitol (DTT)] and stored at -80°C for a short time until analysis. Protein concentration for each of the samples was determined using the Bradford Assay (Bradford, 1976). Four hundred micrograms of protein in rehydration buffer (8M urea, 2% CHAPS, 2 µl IPG buffer pH 3–10, 2.5 mg/ml DTT, 0.002% bromophenol blue) was applied to IPGphor Drystrips (Nonlinear, 3–11, 180 mm \times 3 mm \times 0.5 mm, GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ). First dimension separation by isoelectric focusing at 22,000 Volt hours (Vhrs) was performed with a hold at 100 Volts until further processing. The IEF strips were stored at -80°C for 1 hr followed by: 1) equilibration for 30 minutes in reducing buffer (6M urea, 75 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.8, 29.3% glycerol, 2% SDS, 0.002% bromophenol blue with 3.5 mg/ml DTT) and 2) equilibration in alkylating buffer (same buffer with 45 mg/ml iodoacetamide instead of DTT) for an additional 30 minutes. Second dimension SDS-PAGE separation (25cm x 20.5cm 15% polyacrylamide gels) was performed overnight (18 hrs; 100V). Protein spots were visualized by Colloidal Coomassie Blue G-250 (3 day staining) followed by water washes until a clear background was evident.

2.3. Image Analysis

Gels were scanned using an Epson Expression 10000 XL scanner with transparency attachment. Densitometric analysis of gel images was performed with Progenesis SameSpots software (Nonlinear Dynamics; New Castle-on-Tyne, UK). Protein spots were detected automatically and manually adjusted where necessary for accuracy. For each protein spot, intensity was measured, background subtracted, and individual spot density normalized by total pixel density of each gel. Spots with average normalized pixel depth 100 relative abundance units and non-normalized areas with pixel depth below 100 were removed as noise. The averaged normalized spot abundance was compared between groups to determine fold differences in abundance.

2.4. Mass Spectrometry-Based Protein Spot Identification

Protein spots were excised and destained with 50% ethanol in 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ for a minimum of 5 washes. Excised gel spots were then dehydrated in acetonitrile (ACN), dried, and rehydrated with 10 ng/µl trypsin and 40mM NH₄HCO₃. Proteins were digested at room temperature for approximately 18 hrs. Peptides were eluted in 50% ACN/5% formic acid followed by 95% ACN/5% formic acid and stored at -20° C until analysis. The mass to charge ratio of peptides was determined by direct inject LTQ/FT-ICR-MS/MS (or HPLC interface) with collision induced dissociation for structural feature identification. Peptide identification was performed with the Mascot (Matrix Sciences v 2.2.2) search algorithm utilizing the NCBInr (with decoy) database (updated June 1, 2010). Search parameters

included: mammalian class, 2 missed cleavages, carbamidomethyl C variable modification, enzyme trypsin/P, and an allowed peptide charge of 1⁺, 2⁺, or 3⁺. Positive protein identification required a total MOWSE absolute probability entire protein score 100 composed of a minimum of two peptides with individual scores MOWSE absolute probability scores 50 (Neuhoff *et al.*, 1985; Pappin *et al.*, 1993).

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using PASW Statistics 18 software. The data for pup weight and litter weight were compared between treatment groups (SHAM and CSE) for from PD7-PD21 (ANOVA). A paired-samples t-test was used to test significance of the average growth across the postnatal days. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used for statistical analysis of protein spot intensities with ρ <0.05 considered statistically significant and ρ <0.10 considered a trend. Partial Least Squares-Discriminant Analysis (PLS-DA) modeling of variance between groups with Variable Importance in Projection (VIP) rankings was used to identify protein spot features whose normalized abundance determined the differences between groups (Karp *et al.*, 2005). Sequential removal of top ranked protein spots was performed until the variance between groups was eliminated. Due to the number of gels per group (n=10 for SHAM group and n=8 for CSE group without technical replicates), the dataset was not split into a test and validation set.

2.6. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA)

Ingenuity Pathway Analysis was used to determine families of proteins and metabolic pathways of the proteins that were identified (Ingenuity Systems, 2010). The Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) "Core Analysis" function is an algorithm used to identify interaction networks. IPA does not show a direct connection between groups of proteins of interest; however, IPA identifies significant pathways associated with the altered proteins. IPA uses its own language, which does not correlate with other programs used in protein and gene identification (Jimenez-Marin *et al.*, 2009).

2.7. Glutathione-S-Transferase (GST) Assay

Liver GST activity was measured as an indicator of detoxification activity (Boyland and Chasseaud, 1969). The total GST activity of the liver was measured using the enzyme driven conjugation of 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB) to reduced glutathione (absorbance read at 340 nm each minute for 15 minutes; Cayman Chemical Company). The absorbance per minute was divided by amount of protein (mg) to determine the specific activity for each sample (Jakoby, 1978).

2.8. Fructose 1,6-Bisphosphatase (F1,6-BPase) Activity Assay

A spectrophotometric enzymatic assay developed by Gancedo and Gancedo (1971) was used to determine if F1,6-BPase activity was compromised in the liver of CSE offspring. Fructose 1,6-bisohphatase activity in liver tissue was determined by measuring the rate of NADP conversion to NADPH at 340 nm. The test mixture was made of 50nM imidazole/HCl at a pH of 7, 0.1 M KCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 1 MM EDTA, 0.1 mM Fructose 1,6-bisphophatase, 0.25 mM NADP, 0.5 units of glucose phosphate isomerase, and 0.5 units of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase. Liver homogenates (~200 μ g protein) were added to start the reaction. The absorbance was read at 340 nm once a minute for a total of 5 minutes. The change in absorbance per minute was divided by amount of protein (mg) used to determine specific activity for each sample (Jakoby, 1978).

2.9. Pyruvate Carboxylase Activity Assay

A reaction solution (Payne and Morris, 1969) containing the following components was made: 1.0 M Tris/HCl pH=8, 0.5 NaHCO3, 0.1 M MgCl2, 1.0 mM Acetyl CoA, 0.1 M Pyruvate, 0.1 M ATP, 0.0039 g DTNB in 1.0 mL ethanol, and 1000 units per mL of citrate synthase. Liver homogenates (~200 μ g protein) were added to the wells to start the reaction. Absorbance was read at 412 nm for a total of 5 minutes. The change in absorbance per minute was divided by amount of protein (mg) to determine specific activity for each sample (Jakoby, 1978).

2.10. Serum Metabolome Profiles

Serum (10 μ L) was extracted with 100 μ L of ice cold methanol. The mixture was vortexed for 30 seconds, incubated on ice for 1hr, followed by centrifugation at 4 °C for 20 minutes. The clear supernatant was separated into several aliquots and speedvaced to dryness for storage. Following reconstitution and acidification with methanol and 0.1% formic acid, 10 μ L of this solution was introduced via direct chip-based nanoelectrospray (Advion TriVersa Nanomate) into a Thermo Electron LTQ-FT-ICR-MS (7 Tesla). The sample spray characteristics in positive ion mode were stable for greater than 10 minutes with an ion current between 10–90 nA, 2.1 kV spray voltage, and 0.05 psi head pressure. A spectral range of 50–1000 m/z was recorded for 0.5 min with 100,000 resolution. The raw spectra were processed with background noise filtered prior to peak detection and shaping, spectra deisotoping, and removal of blank peaks. Isotopic clusters were identified using an mass/ charge (m/z) tolerance of 0.0010 Da, minimum/maximum charge 1, and first allowed gap at position 3. The isotopic clustered peaks were excluded from analysis. Since the sample solution was prepared under acidic condition, proton adducts were considered. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests were performed.

The proton adducts of all possible lysophosphatidylcholines (LysoPC) were listed with varying numbers of double bonds added. The saturated fatty acid chains from 3 carbons LysoPC(3:0) to 30 carbons Lyso(30:0) were included with between 1 to 14 double bonds listed as possible (252 possible LysoPCs). The monoisotopic masses of these LysoPCs were calculated and compared with the mass list from our experimental spectra. The masses within 5ppm error were treated as masses of interest that perhaps contained LysoPCs.

The identification of the lipid content in the masses of interest were conducted in two steps. The accurate masses of interest were searched with Human Metabolome Database (HMDB) and Lipid MAPS for preliminary identification (proton adduct only, within 5 ppm error). The results were combined and the preliminary redundant identifications were deleted. The mass features of interest were subjected to MS/MS fragmentation for confirmation of the preliminary identifications. Samples (10 μ L) were re-injected and an Nth order double play method was utilized. The two scan events included were: 1) FT scan of 50–1000 m/z with 100,000 resolution, and 2) MS/MS analysis with CID (35 keV). Dynamic exclusion was enabled with mass window of 1.5 Da and exclusion duration of 600s.

In the current study, a putative phosphatidylcholine was positively identified if a fragment of m/z=184 was found (phosphocholine ion). Though in the literature, the neutral lost of 183 and 59 are usually used to identified the adduct of the PCs, the M+H-183 peak was shown in the mass spectra with similar intensities (Han *et al.*, 1996). In our study, m/z=184 and a neutral loss of M+H-183 (neutral loss of the phosphocholine headgroup) and/or M+H-59 [neutral loss of trimethylamine N(CH₃)₃] were found for the same PC (e.g.: PCs with m/z=784.5865 and 758.5715). Neutral lost of M+H-183 and/or M+H-59 also confirmed the PC identification. Fragmentation ions of 104 and 86 further confirm LysoPC (Lee *et al.*, 2009).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Exposure Conditions and Outcomes

The inhalation exposure chamber conditions and outcomes of the SHAM and CSE groups are reported in Table 1. Mean CO and TSP levels in the cigarette smoke exposure chamber (averaged across exposure period spanning GD1-PD21) were 138 ± 19.8 ppm and 25.4 ± 6.5 mg/m³, respectively (SHAM detection limit). Serum cotinine levels on PD21 (end of exposure) of dams from the CSE group were 89.7 ± 37.3 ng/ml and less than the limit of detection (4 ng/ml) in the SHAM group. CSE offspring cotinine levels (PD21) were 244.3 \pm 123.4 ng/ml while cotinine was not detected in the Sham offspring. Dam weights, number of pups, viability, and sex ratio were not impacted by CSE. At parturition, litters were maintained without culling or fostering.

At birth, CSE offspring were significantly smaller as in our prior study (Esposito *et al.*, 2008), with the persistence of reduced weights throughout the postnatal exposure period (p-value <0.05; Figure 1) though dam body weight was not impacted. For each litter, average pup weight was calculated (25 Sham and 20 CSE litters). The slope of the growth plot was similar between the offspring of the SHAM and CSE groups with a weight deficit of 13.2% evident on PD21. A single liver sample per litter from representative litters (10 Sham and 8 CSE) was utilized for proteome profiling.

3.2. Liver Proteome Profiles

As shown in Figure 2, the liver protein spot patterns from 2D-SDS-PAGE gels were similar between groups. The protein spots spanned an isoelectric focusing range of 3 to 11, with the acidic proteins on the left side of the gel image, the basic proteins on the right, and molecular weights descending from ~140 kDa to ~10 kDa. Several proteins spots were identified to validate the molecular weight ranges. The liver protein spot patterns of gels from the SHAM and CSE groups were similar without the presence/absence of spots between groups. Varying pixel depths (spot abundances) were found as the dominant difference between groups.

3.3. Partial Least Squares-Discriminant Analysis

A ranked order of importance (Variable Import in Projection: VIP) of protein spot densities was utilized in defining the differences between groups after building a multivariate inverse least squares discriminant model to classify sample groupings. Noise, identified by a sharp increase in slope of 3-D plot of spot pixel density or an aggregate normalized pixel depth of less than 1000, was removed from the dataset prior to PLS-DA modeling. Iterative PLS-DA models were generated encompassing normalized spot abundances of all proteins not determined to be noise with recursive feature elimination used to identify protein spots contributing to the separation of groups. When abundances of all protein spots were included, the first latent factor described approximately 88% of variance between groups with the second latent factor describing an additional 9% of variance. The 50 highest VIP scored protein spots (340 protein spots contributed to the separation of groups) were identified.

3.4. Gluconeogenic Enzyme Abundance and Activity

In Figure 3, a 2D-SDS-PAGE protein spot map is shown with color coded numbers labeling the top 50 ranked proteins with altered normalized abundances that contribute to the separation of groups as determined by PLS-DA (*decreased* in blue; *increased* in red; *unchanged* in black). The proteins identified as present in these spots are listed in Table 2 (*decreased* and *increased* abundance). Of particular interest to the current study, *decreased* abundance of enzymes of the gluconeogenesis pathway was found (Fructose 1, 6-

Bisphosphatase and Pyruvate Carboxylase). Fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase is responsible for the reversal of the rate limiting step of glycolysis and is a control point in gluconeogenesis (Eschrich and Herzog, 2002). Pyruvate Carboxylase mediates the conversion of pyruvate to oxaloacetate, the first step of gluconeogenesis. As shown in Figure 4, there is a trend towards decreased Fructose 1,6-Bisphophatase (p=0.06) and Pyruvate Carboxylase (p=0.08) enzymatic activity in liver of CSE offspring.

3.5. Antioxidant Enzyme Abundance and Activity

Liver antioxidant protein isoform abundances were altered by CSE. GST $\alpha 3$ (Spot 68; Figure 5) was *decreased* in abundance with an additional GST $\alpha 3$ spot (Spot 42) unchanged in liver of CSE offspring. Spots identified as GST ζ and GST $\mu 1$ were *increased* in abundance. Similarly, Peroxiredoxin 1 was *decreased* in abundance and Peroxiredoxin 6 was *increased* in abundance in the CSE group. GST activity was unaltered by CSE (Figure 4).

3.6. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis

Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software was used to identify interaction networks between groups of liver proteins altered by CSE (Figures 6 & 7). The shaded proteins within the networks are those identified as contributing to the difference between the SHAM and CSE groups with arrows denoting directionality of interaction. Solid lines indicate a direct interaction while dotted lines indicate an indirect interaction. Geometric shapes identify classes of proteins: cytokines (square), growth factors (dotted square), phosphatases (triangle), kinases (inverted triangle), ligand-dependent nuclear receptors (rectangle), Gprotein coupled receptors (vertical rectangle), ion channels (dotted vertical rectangle), peptidases (horizontal diamond), enzymes (vertical diamond), transcription regulators (horizontal ellipse), transmembrane receptors (vertical ellipse), transporters (trapezoid), and other important molecules (circle).

Figure 6 depicts the impact of liver proteins *altered* by CSE on the *amino acid metabolism*, *small molecule biochemistry and cellular morphology network* with Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor 4α (HNF4α) and retinoic acid as central nodes of the network. The following protein spots were identified as feeding into/out-of the HNF4α node: **Spot 1** (Galactose Mutarotase), **Spot 2** (Fructose 1,6 Bisphosphatase), **Spot 65** (Alanine-Glyoxylate Aminotransferase 2), **Spot 67** (Methylene Tetrahydrofolate Dehydrogenase), **Spot 50** (Gamma Actin, Alpha Methylacyl-CoA, Acetyl-CoA Transferase, Aldolase, Fructose Bisphosphate, and Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase), **Spot 72** (Hydroxyphenylpyruvate Dioxygenase), and **Spot 73** (Aldehyde Dehydrogenase). The following protein spots were identified as feeding into/out-of the retinoic acid node: **Spot 13** (Indolethylamine N-Methyltransferase 2) which is the protein connecting the HNF4α and retinoic acid nodes.

Figure 7 depicts the impact of liver proteins from CSE offspring with *decreased* abundance on the *lipid metabolism, small molecule biochemistry, and amino acid metabolism network* that contains Solute Carrier Family 2 (Facilitated Glucose Transporter Member 4) as the central node of the network. The following protein spots were identified as feeding into/outof the central node: **Spot 3** (Peroxiredoxin 1), **Spot 13** (Indolethylamine N-Methyltransferase), **Spot 50** (Gamma Actin, Alpha Methylacyl-CoA, Acetyl-CoA Transferase, Aldolase, Fructose Bisphosphate, and Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase), **Spot 52** (Heat Shock Protein), **Spot 53** (Isocitrate Dehydrogenase), **Spot 60** (Succinate Dehydrogenase Complex), **Spot 67** (Methylene Tetrahydrofolate Dehydrogenase), and **Spot 68** (4-Nitrophenylphosphatase Domain and Non-neuronal Snap 25 Like Protein). Though Spots 50 and 58 had multiple proteins identified as contributing to identification of impact

of CSE on this pathway, six additional proteins from six separate spots were linked to this pathway in support of the finding that the lipid metabolism network and perhaps the facilitated Glucose Transporter Member 4 protein are impacted by developmental exposure to cigarette smoke. Figures 6 & 7 are connected by a retinoic acid node.

3.8 Serum Lysophosphatidylcholine (LysoPC) Analyses

Utilizing a global metabolomics workflow, 4 LysoPCs were found to be significantly decreased in serum from CSE offspring (Figure 8); LysoPC (18:2); LysoPC (18:1); LysoPC (20:5); and LysoPC (20:4). Five additional LysoPCs identified in the spectra were not altered by developmental CSE: LysoPC (16:0), LysoPC (18:3); LysoPC (18:0); LysoPC (20:3), and LysoPC 22:6) (data not shown). Though not directly indicative of Peroxiredoxin 6 activity inhibition, the decreased LysoPC availability supports the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis finding that liver lipid metabolism is impaired by developmental CSE.

4. DISCUSSION

Maternal cigarette smoke exposure during pregnancy is detrimental to offspring health. Comparable to the current study, serum cotinine levels in excess of 50 ng/ml are typically classified as an 'active' smoking exposure paradigm (Koren *et al.*,1992). The mouse model of developmental CSE (GD1-PD21) employed in the present study exhibited low birth weight and sustained suppression of weight through weaning. The observed CSE-induced IUGR was previously linked to *in utero* exposure to cigarette smoke during the pre-implantation and peri-implantation periods of murine gestation (Esposito *et al.*, 2008). We report that CSE throughout the pre- and post-natal development period that continues until weaning results in sustained decreases in offspring weights.

In a similar study of the impact of developmental passive cigarette smoke exposure (89% sidestream/11% mainstream; TSP 83 mg/m³; 2R1 reference cigarettes) on mouse fetal liver genotoxic damage, including DNA adduct formation and oxidative nucleotide damage, was observed with attendant alterations in gene transcription and expression (Izzotti *et al.*, 2003). Specifically, 18 out of 50 genes differentially expressed in the fetal liver belonged to the xenobiotic metabolism pathway. Other genes impacted by CSE in the prior study included the following pathways/functional categories: stress response; protein repair, removal, and folding genes; cell cycle regulation; growth factors; and cytoskeletal proteins. Though the study was a passive cigarette smoke exposure model and had different exposure parameters (our study resulted in TSP of 25 mg/m³ derived from Marlboro Reds for 6 hours), similarities between the prior gene expression study and the current proteome study exist.

Genes encoding for isoforms of glutathione S-transferase, sulfur transferases, and heat shock proteins were found to be upregulated in passive cigarette smoke groups. Similarly, our study found altered protein abundance glutathione S-transferase isoforms (*increased* and *decreased* abundance), heat shock proteins (*decreased* abundance) and sulfur transferases (*increased* abundance). This is far from surprising as CSE has high levels of reactive oxygen species and free radicals (Valvanidis *et al.*, 2009) that contribute to a state of oxidative stress leading to damage to proteins, lipids, and DNA (Comhair and Erzurum, 2002). Although the gene expression study of passive cigarette smoke exposure (Izzotti *et al.*, 2003) does not detail effects on fetal phenotype, a similar study of developmental sidestream smoke exposure in mice by Esposito *et al.* (2008) resulted in intrauterine growth retardation.

Glucose homeostasis, maintained by the balance between liver gluconeogenesis and glycolysis (Benkovic and de Maine, 1982), is essential for cellular metabolic function and the production of ATP (Newsholme and Leech, 1985). Pyruvate Carboxylase converts pyruvate to oxaloacetate at the initiation of gluconeogenesis (Attwood et al., 1985) while the

conversion of fructose-1,6-bisphosphate to fructose-6-phosphate by Fructose 1,6-Bisphosphatase is a rate limiting step in gluconeogenesis (Benkovic and de Maine, 1982). Developmental CSE decreased protein spot abundances of F1,6-BPase and Pyruvate Carboxylase in the liver. Similarly, a trend toward decreased gluconeogenic enzymatic activity in the liver of CSE offspring was found. Together, this indicates impaired gluconeogenesis in CSE offspring liver that may result in impaired growth and development of CSE offspring.

Ingenuity Pathway Analysis extends the probable impact of developmental CSE on liver function to include the <u>amino acid metabolism, small molecule biochemistry, and cellular</u> <u>morphology network</u> (numerous proteins with *increased* and *decreased* abundance in liver of the CSE group). HNF4a, a central node in this network, regulates genes that control lipid homeostasis (Hayhurst *et al.*, 2001). The binding and activation of HNF4a is controlled by fatty acyl-CoA thio-esters with the binding process highly dependent on the degree of saturation and length of fatty acids (Hertz *et al.*, 1998). The current study does not address whether actual HNF4a expression or activity is affected by developmental CSE--but the finding of altered serum lipid availability substantiates the impact of developmental CSE on liver lipid metabolism that would also impact HNF4a function.

The liver lipid metabolism, small molecule biochemistry, and amino acid metabolism pathway, which is an extension of the above pathway, was also identified by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis as impacted by developmental CSE. Peroxiredoxin 6, Acetyl Co-A acetyltransferase 1, and Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 were protein spots (associated with the lipid metabolism pathway) identified to have decreased abundance in CSE offspring liver. Acetyl Co-A acetyltransferase 1 converts free cholesterol in cells into lipids by esterification and plays a prominent role in lipid synthesis and turnover (Rudel et al., 2005). Lack of Aldehyde dehydogrenase 1 results in reduction of retinol production (Deltour et al., 1999), which is essential for proper growth and development (Mendelsohn et al., 2004). Peroxired xin 6 has been shown to function as both an antioxidant and a phospholipase A_2 with phosphatidylcholines as the primary target (Manevich et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2000). Inhibition of Peroxiredoxin phospholipase A_2 activity results in degradation dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC), the primary component of lung surfactant (Manevich et al., 2005). Serum metabolomic analysis found a significant decrease in availability of 4 lysophosphatidylcholines in the CSE offspring thus supporting the supposition that liver lipid metabolism was altered by developmental CSE.

Facilitated Glucose Transporter Member 4 (GLUT4; also known as SLC2A4) is a central node in the above pathway. Facilitated Glucose Transporter Member 4 mediates the homeostatic transport of glucose from the blood into cell cytoplasm (Mueckler, 1994). Glucose is essential for metabolic function and the production of ATP (Newsholme and Leech, 1985). Coupled with the finding of decreased liver gluconeogenic activity, the availability of glucose appears to be compromised in the CSE offspring liver.

In summary, 'active' CSE from GD1-PD21 results in growth retardation throughout the exposure period. The observed decrease in newborn weight was sustained throughout postnatal exposure as the rate of weight gain was similar between the SHAM and CSE groups (slope of growth plots did not vary). Several liver metabolic networks are impacted in offspring exposed to cigarette smoke. These networks include lipid metabolism, glucose metabolism and cell death and inflammatory response networks. Serum metabolomic analysis confirmed alterations in lipid metabolism in CSE offspring. We interpret the findings of this study as an indication that continuing CSE on the background of low birth weight (LBW) leads to systemic metabolic dysregulation characterized by impaired chaperoning/antioxidant scavenging and lipid dysregulation as well as impaired glucose

metabolism. The impact of CSE cannot be separated from LBW in the current model though the observed alterations may result in low birth weight by disrupting systemic glucose availability of exposed offspring. Future studies examining the continuity of impact on metabolic pathways post-cessation of exposure are of great interest in understanding the impact developmental CSE on long term offspring health.

Acknowledgments

Research described in this article was supported in part by PHS NIH P20 RR/DE-17702, PHS NIH P30 ES014443, PHS NIH R21 DA027466, and the University of Louisville School of Interdisciplinary Graduate Studies-Ethnic Minority Scholarship program. We thank the University of Louisville Center for Regulatory and Environmental Analytical Metabolomics, specifically Dr. Bogdan Bogdanov, for assistance with FT-ICR-MS. We thank Mr. Todd Birtles for the maintenance of the inhalation exposure system and for conducting daily animal exposures.

References

- American Lung Association. [Accessed on May 13, 2010] Secondhand Smoke Fact Sheet. 2010. www.lungusa.org
- Attwood PV, Keech DB. Pyruvate carboxylase. Curr Top Cell Regul. 1984; 23:1–55. [PubMed: 6373162]
- Benkovic SJ, de Maine MM. Mechanism of action of fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase. Adv Enzymol Related Areas Mol Biol. 1982; 53:45–82.
- Boyland E, Chasseaud LF. The role of glutathione and glutathione S-transferases in mercapturic acid biosynthesus. Adv Enzymol. 1969; 32:173–219. [PubMed: 4892500]
- Bradford M. Analytical Biochemistry. 1976; 72:248. [PubMed: 942051]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Surgeon General's Report. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Coordinating Center for Health Promotion National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion Office of Smoking and Health; 2001. Women and smoking.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Reproduction and Birth Outcomes. Low Birth Weight and Growth Retardation in the Environment. 2010 Retrieved on May 12, 2010.
- Chen JW, Dodia C, Feinstein SI, Jain MK, Fisher AB. 1-Cys peroxiredoxin, a bifunctional enzyme with glutathione peroxidase and phospholipase A2 activities. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275(37):28421–7. [PubMed: 10893423]
- Comhair SA, Erzurum SC. Antioxidant responses to oxidant-mediated lung disease. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2002; 283(2):L246–55. [PubMed: 12114185]
- Deltour L, Foglio MH, Duester G. Impaired retinol utilization in Adh4 alcohol dehydrogenase mutant mice. Dev Genet. 1999; 25(1):1–10. [PubMed: 10402668]
- Eschrich K, Herzog B. Fructose-1,6-Bisphosphatase. Encyclopedia Of Molecular Medicine. 2002
- Esposito ER, Horn KH, Greene RM, Pisano MM. An animal model of cigarette smoke-induced *in utero* growth retardation. Toxicology. 2008; 246:193–202. [PubMed: 18316152]
- Gancedo JM, Gancedo C. Fructose-1,6-Diphosphatase, Phosphofructokinase and Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase from Fermenting and Non-Fermenting Yeasts. Arch Mikrobiol. 1971; 76:132–138. [PubMed: 4324161]
- Han X, Gubitosi-Klug RA, Collins BJ, Gross RW. Alterations in individual molecular species of human platelet phospholipids during thrombin stimulation: electrospray ionization mass spectrometry-facilitated identification of the boundary conditions for the magnitude and selectivity of thrombin-induced platelet phospholipid hydrolysis. Biochemistry. 1996; 35(18):5822–32. [PubMed: 8639543]
- Hayhurst GP, Lee Y, Lambert G, Ward JM, Gonzales FJ. Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor 4 a (Nuclear Receptor 2A1) Is Essential for Maintenance of Hepatic Gene Expression and Lipid Homeostasis. Molecular and Cellular Biology. 2001; 21(4):1393–1403. [PubMed: 11158324]
- Hertz R, Magenheim J, Berman I, Bar-Tana J. Fatty acyl-CoA thioesters are ligands of hepatic nuclear factor-4alpha. Nature. 1998; 392:512–516. [PubMed: 9548258]

- Ingenuity Systems. [Accessed on October 27, 2010] Ingenuity Pathway Analysis: Overview. 2010. http://www.ingenuity.com/products/pathways_analysis.html
- Izzotti A, Balansky RM, Cartiglia C, Camoirano A, Longobardi M, De Flora S. Genomic and transcriptional alterations in mouse fetus liver after transplacental exposure to cigarette smoke. The FASEB Journal. 2003; 17(9):1127–9.
- Jakoby WB. The glutathione S-transferases: A group of multifunctional detoxification proteins. Adv Enzymol Relat Areas Mol Biol. 1978; 46:383–414. [PubMed: 345769]
- Jimenez-Marin A, Collado-Romero M, Ramirez-Boo C, Garrido JJ. Biological pathway analysis by ArrayUnlock and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. BMC Proceedings. 2009:1–6.
- Karp NA, Griffin JL, Lilley KS. Application of partial least squares discriminant analysis to twodimensional difference gel studies in expression proteomics. Proteomics. 2005; 5:81–90. [PubMed: 15744836]
- Koren G, Klein J, Forman R, Graham K, Phan MK. Biological markers of intrauterine exposure to cocaine and cigarette smoking. Dev Pharmacol Ther. 1992; 18:228–236. [PubMed: 1306811]
- Lee LH, Shui G, Farooqui AA, Wenk MR, Tan CH, Ong WY. Lipidomic analyses of the mouse brain after antidepressant treatment: evidence for endogenous release of long-chain fatty acids? Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2009; 12(7):953–64. [PubMed: 19203412]
- Manevich Y, Fisher A. Peroxiredoxin 6, a 1-Cys peroxiredoxin, functions in antioxidant defense and lung phospholipid metabolism. Free Radical biology & Medicine Vol. 2005; 38:1422–1432.
- Maton, A.; Hopkins, J.; McLaughlin, CW.; Johnson, S.; Warner, MC.; LaHart, D.; Wright, JD. Human Biology and Health. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, USA: Prentice Hall; 1993.
- Mendelsohn C, Lohnes D, Decimo D, Lufkin T, LeMeur M, Chambon P, Mark M. Function of the retinoic acid receptors (RARs) during development (II). Multiple abnormalities at various stages of organogenesis in RAR double mutants. Development. 1994; 120:2749–2771. [PubMed: 7607068]
- Mueckler M. Facilitated Glucose Transporters. Eur J Biochem. 1994; 219:713–725. [PubMed: 8112322]
- National Toxicology Program. Report on Carcinogens. 11. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service; 2005.
- Neuhoff V, Stamm R, Eibl H. Clear background and highly sensitive protein staining with Coomassie Blue dyes in polyacrylamide gels: a systematic analysis. Electrophoresis. 1985; 6:427–448.
- Newsholme, EA.; Leech, AR. Biochemistry for the Medical Sciences. John Wiley and Sons; Chichester: 1985.
- Pappin DJ, Hojrup P, Bleasby AJ. Rapid identification of proteins by peptide-mass fingerprinting. Curr Biol. 1993; 3:327–32. [PubMed: 15335725]
- Payne J, Morris JG. Pyruvate carboxylase in *Rhodopseudomonas spheroides*. J Gen Microbiol. 1969; 59:97–101. [PubMed: 5365367]
- Rudel L, Lee RG, Parini P. ACAT2 is a Target for Treatment of Coronary Heart Disease Associated with Hypercholerolemia. Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology Vol. 2005; 25:1112– 1118.
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Office of Applied Studies. National Household Survey on Drug Abuse; 1999.
- Teague, SV.; Pinkerton, KE.; Goldsmith, M.; Gebremichael, A.; Chang, S. Inhalation Toxicology. Taylor & Francis; 1994. Sidestream cigarette smoke generation and exposure system for environmental tobacco smoke studies.
- Tomatis, L. Overview of perinatal and multigeneration carcinogenesis. In: Napapkov, NP.; Rice, JM.; Tomatis, L.; Yamasaki, H., editors. Prenatal and Multigeneration Carcinogenesis. International Agency for Research on Cancer Sci. Publ; Lyon France: 1989. p. 1-15.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Office of the Surgeon General The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General. 2006. Retrieved on May 12, 2010
- U.S. Department of Health Human Services. [Accessed on October 27, 2010] Tobacco Use in America: Ch 7: Tobacco Product Brand Preferences Office of Applied Studies. 2010. http:// www.oas.samhsa.gov/nhsda/tobacco/chapter7.htm

- Valvanidis A, Vlachogianni T, Fiotakis K. Tobacco Smoke: Involvement of Reactive Oxygen Species and Stable Free Radicals in Mechanisms of Oxidative Damage, Carcinogenesis and Synergistic Effects with Other Respirable Particles. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2009; 6:445–462. [PubMed: 19440393]
- Zdravkovic T, Genbacev O, McMaster MT, Fisher SJ. The adverse effects of maternal smoking on the human placenta: a review. Placenta. 2005; 26:S81–S86. [PubMed: 15837073]

NIH-PA Author Manuscript



Figure 1. Developmental CSE Decreased Offspring Weight CSE offspring weights were lower than SHAM offspring weights on PD1; reduced CSE offspring weights persisted throughout exposure (weaning on PD21). For each litter, average pup weight was calculated (n= 25 Sham and 20 CSE litters). *p-value 0.05 for individual postnatal days.



Figure 2. Representative 2D-SDS-PAGE Gels of Liver Proteins from SHAM and CSE Offspring A side-by-side comparison of liver protein spot separation based on isoelectric focusing point (horizontal) and molecular weight (vertical) in the two experimental groups (SHAM-left; CSE-right). The gels are similar in number of spots without the appearance or loss of spots between groups.



Figure 3. Liver proteome profiles were altered by developmental CSE

The proteins with altered abundance following CSE that contributed to the separation of the groups in the PLS-DA model are circled and numbered (increased/decreased/unaltered). Refer to Table 2 for protein identifications.



Figure 4. Enzyme Activity Assays

Developmental CSE resulted in altered liver protein abundances for several GST isoforms in liver <u>without</u> a concurrent impact on GST activity. Decreased liver Fructose 1,6-Bisphosphatase (F1,6-BPase) and Pyruvate Carboxylase protein abundances following developmental CSE were matched with a trend towards decreased F1,6-BPase and Pyruvate Carboxylase activity.

^ap=0.06 ^bp=0.08

NIH-PA Author Manuscript



Figure 5. Developmental CSE alters GST isoform protein abundance in liver

Developmental CSE resulted in increased abundance in GST ζ (Spot 6) and GST μ 1 (Spot 16), decreased abundance of GST α 3 (Spot 68), and unaltered abundance of GST α 3 spot (Spot 42).



Figure 6. The Amino Acid Metabolism, Small Molecule Biochemistry, and Cellular Morphology Pathway is impacted by developmental CSE

Proteins identified as contributing to the separation of groups (CSE and Sham) are shadowed and connected to the network by arrows denoting directionality of impact. In the associated figure, solid lines indicated a direct interaction while dotted lines indicate an indirect interaction. Geometric shapes identify classes of proteins: phosphatases (triangle), kinases (inverted triangle), enzymes (vertical diamond), transcription regulators (horizontal ellipse), transporters (trapezoid), and other important molecules (circles).

Page 19





Figure 7. The liver Lipid Metabolism, Small Molecule Biochemistry, and Amino Acid Metabolism Pathway is impacted by developmental CSE

Proteins identified as contributing to the separation of groups (CSE and Sham) are shadowed and connected to the network by arrows denoting directionality of impact. All shadowed proteins were *decreased* in abundance.



Figure 8. Plot of the Intensities for the Serum Lysophosphatidylcholines Altered by Developmental CSE

The observed m/z value corresponding to the identified LysoPC is given. ^aLysoPC confirmed but might also include PE and/or other PCs *p < 0.05

Table 1

Exposure Conditions and Outcomes

Mean Carbon Monoxide and Total Suspended Particulates were calculated from measures taken twice daily from GD1-PD21. Cotinine levels were determined by tail vein sampling of the dam and offspring within 1 hour of cessation of exposure on PD21.

Outcome/Condition	SHAM	CSE
Carbon Monoxide (ppm)	0	$138\pm19.8^{\ast}$
TSP (mg/m ³)	0	25.4 ± 6.5 *
Dam Cotinine (ng/mL)	<4	89.7 ± 37.3 *
Pup Cotinine (ng/mL)	<4	244.3 ± 132.4 *

^{*r*} p < 0.05.

Table 2

Protein Indetifications of Spots With Altered Abundance Between Groups as Determined by PLS-DA modeling

Numbers in *blue* indicate proteins that had decreased abundance, numbers in *red* indicate proteins that had increased abundance, and *black* numbers indicate proteins that were unaltered. Corresponds to Figure 4. The 2-tailed ANOVA p-value is given but not utilized for ranking of proteins of interest.

Spot	ANOVA	VIP	Protein Identification	Score
		•	Increased Abundance	-
1	0.003	3.07	Galactose mutarotase [Mus musculus] gi 28892785	186
			Transaldolase [Mus musculus] gi 1553119	140
			Timm50 protein [Mus musculus] gi 16307513	122
2	0.004	3.12	Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase [Mus musculus] gi 6688750	134
3	0.008	2.84	Peroxiredoxin 1 [Mus musculus] gi 6754976	113
4	0.011	2.37	Carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase 1 [Mus musculus] gi 124248512	990
			Eukaryotic translation elongation factor 2 [Mus musculus] gi 600159	563
7	0.033	2.32	Aldh111 protein [Mus musculus] gi 23271467	1267
12	0.073	2.09	3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenase precursor [Mus musculus] gi 21704140	400
13	0.076	1.86	Indolethylamine N-methyltransferase [Mus musculus] gi 6678281	328
45	0.007	2.49	Pyruvate carboxylase [Mus musculus] gi 6679237	438
			Carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase 1 [Mus musculus] gi 124248512	361
47	0.035	2.4	Aldh111 protein [Mus musculus] gi 23271467	517
			Carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase 1 [Mus musculus] gi 124248512	482
			Sarcosine dehydrogenase [Mus musculus] gi 20149748	467
48	0.103	2.33	Carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase 1 [Mus musculus] gi 124248512	255
			Serinepyruvate aminotransferase, mitochondrial [Mus musculus] gi 10720292	181
49	0.043	2.29	Alcohol dehydrogenase 1 (class I) [Mus musculus] gi 6724311	425
50	0.06	2.28	Aldolase B, fructose-bisphosphate [Mus musculus] gi 21450291	435
			Acetyl-Coenzyme A acetyltransferase 3 [Mus musculus] gi 110625948	284
			Alpha-methylacyl-CoA racemase [Mus musculus] gi 2145186	152
			Gamma-actin [Mus musculus] gi 809561	170
52	0.042	2.14	Glutamate oxaloacetate transaminase 1, soluble [Mus musculus] gi 160298209	488
			Heat shock protein 9 [Mus musculus] gi 162461907	195
53	0.113	2.13	S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine hydrolase gi 21431841	487
			4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Mus musculus] gi 849053	400
			Cystathionase [Mus musculus] gi 22122387	325
			Medium-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase [Mus musculus] gi 6680618	299
			Acetyl-Coenzyme A acetyltransferase 1 precursor [Mus musculus] gi 21450129	273
			Isocitrate dehydrogenase [NADP] cytoplasmic [Mus musculus] gi 6647554	265
			Acetyl-Coenzyme A acyltransferase 2 (mitochondrial 3-oxoacyl-Coenzyme A thiolase) [Mus musculus] gi 29126205	239
55	0.007	1.99	Carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase 1 [Mus musculus] gi 124248512	285

Spot	ANOVA	VIP	Protein Identification	Score
56	0.122	1.95	Acetyl-Coenzyme A acetyltransferase 1 precursor [Mus musculus] gi 21450129	346
58	0.119	1.87	Aldehyde dehydrogenase 7 family, member A1 isoform b [Mus musculus] gi[188035915	394
59	0.145	1.87	Nicotinate phosphoribosyltransferase domain containing 1 [Mus musculus] gi 31982089	328
			Mitochondrial aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 [Mus musculus] gi 6753036	263
			Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1B1 [Mus musculus] gi 21312260	186
			Electron-transferring-flavoprotein dehydrogenase [Mus musculus] gi 21313290	225
60	0.163	1.84	Carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase 1 [Mus musculus] gi 124248512	900
			Sdha protein [Mus musculus] gi 15030102	195
63	0.08	1.8	Pyruvate carboxylase [Mus musculus]gi 6679237	497
			Echs1 protein [Mus musculus] gi 12805413	399
65	0.125	1.78	Serine hydroxymethyltransferase 1 (soluble) [Mus musculus] gi 12845885	370
			Alanine-glyoxylate aminotransferase 2 [Mus musculus]gi 73661178	335
			Homogentisate 1, 2-dioxygenase [Mus musculus]	317
			Branched chain keto acid dehydrogenase E1 beta [Mus musculus]gi 40353220	144
67	0.244	1.7	NADPH-dependent retinol dehydrogenase/reductase [Mus musculus] gi 11559414	314
			C1-tetrahydrofolate synthase [Mus musculus] gi 19850913	143
68	0.301	1.69	4-nitrophenylphosphatase domain and non-neuronal SNAP25-like protein homolog 1 [Mus musculus] gi 6679066	238
			Glutathione S-transferase, alpha 3 [Mus musculus] gi 31981724	246
69	0.204	1.66	Unidentified	-
71	0.17	1.65	Carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase 1 [Mus musculus] gi 124248512	1549
			Aldh111 protein [Mus musculus] gi 23271467	158
72	0.299	1.64	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Mus musculus] gi 849053	320
73	0.313	1.63	Aldh111 protein [Mus musculus] gi 23271467	623
74	0.107	1.63	Unnamed protein product [Mus musculus] gi 26337367	204
	_	-	Decreased Abundance	
5	0.011	3.06	RBL-DNP kinase 18kDa subunit (p18) [Mus musculus] gi 206580	334
6	0.013	2.82	Chain A, Crystal Structure Of Glutathione Transferase Zeta 1-1 (Maleylacetoacetate Isomerase) [Mus Musculus] gi 88192700	197
8	0.036	2.32	Peroxiredoxin 6 [Mus musculus] gi 3219774	362
9	0.044	2.07	Tropomyosin 3, gamma [Mus musculus] gi 40254525	359
11	0.07	2.16	Mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase [Mus musculus] gi 63100260	232
			Electron transferring flavoprotein, alpha polypeptide [Mus musculus] gi 13097375	217
14	0.079	2.04	Sorbitol dehydrogenase precursor [Mus musculus domesticus] gi 1009706	234
			Sorbitol dehydrogenase [Mus musculus domesticus] gi 22128627	106
16	0.101	1.97	Glutathione S-transferase mu 1 [Mus musculus] gi 6754084	261
43	0.006	3.13	mCG17595, isoform CRA_a [Mus musculus] gi 148672065	210
44	0.029	2.62	Unidentified	-
46	0.075	2.48	Peptidylprolyl isomerase A [Mus musculus] gi 6679439	252
51	0.066	2.14	Long-chain-fatty-acidCoA ligase 1 [Mus musculus] gi 729927	567

Canales et al.

Spot	ANOVA	VIP	Protein Identification	Score
54	0.051	2.13	Not Identified	
57	0.168	1.9	Calreticulin [Mus musculus] gi 6680836	213
61	0.126	1.83	Aldh111 protein [Mus musculus] gi 23271467	309
			Electron transferring flavoprotein, beta polypeptide [Mus musculus] gi 12832367	225
62	0.188	1.83	Carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase 1 [Mus musculus] gi 124248512	548
64	0.149	1.78	HMG CoA synthase [Mus musculus] gi 555835	608
66	0.003	1.72	Aldh111 protein [Mus musculus] gi 23271467	282
70	0.079	1.66	Regucalcin [Mus musculus] gi 6677739	282
	-		Unaltered	-
17	-	-	Calreticulin [Mus musculus] gi 6680836	178
18	-	-	Tumor rejection antigen gp96 [Mus musculus] gi 6755863	484
19	-	-	Prolyl 4-hydroxylase, beta polypeptide [Mus musculus] gi 129729	276
20	-	-	Regucalcin [Mus musculus] gi 6677739	349
21	-	-	Unidentified	-
22	-	-	Heat shock protein 70 cognate [Mus musculus] gi 309319	312
	-	-	Albumin [Mus musculus] gi 163310765	272
23	-	-	Sarcosine dehydrogenase [Mus musculus] gi 20149748	343
24	-	-	Mitochondrial aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 [Mus musculus] gi 6753036	363
25	-	-	Hemoglobin, beta adult major chain [Mus musculus] gi 31982300	317
	-	-	Hemoglobin beta [Mus musculus] gi 229301	291
26	-	-	Glutamate dehydrogenase 1 [Mus musculus] gi 6680027	316
27	-	-	Unidentified	-
28	-	-	Cytosolic malate dehydrogenase [Mus musculus] gi 387129	122
29	-	-	Unidentified	-
30	-	-	Unidentified	-
31	-	-	Unidentified	-
32	-	-	Unidentified	-
33	-	-	Hemoglobin subunit alpha [Mus musculus] gi 122441	164
34	-	-	78 kDa glucose-regulated protein [Mus musculus] gi 2506545	687
35	-	-	Tubulin, alpha 1B [Mus musculus] gi 34740335	270
36	-	-	Phenylalanine-4-hydroxylase [Mus musculus] gi 129974	206
37	-	-	Methionine adenosyltransferase I, alpha [Mus musculus] gi 19526790	472
38	-	-	Chain A, Rat Liver S-Adenosylhomocystein Hydrolase gi 4139571	481
39	-	-	HMG CoA synthase[Mus musculus] gi 555835	276
40	-	-	Glutamate dehydrogenase 1 [Mus musculus] gi 6680027	492
41	-	-	Argininosuccinate synthetase 1 [Mus musculus] gi 6996911	232
42	-	-	Glutathione S-transferase, alpha 3 [Mus musculus] gi/193703	156