Online Submissions: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/wjg@wjgnet.com doi:10.3748/wjg.v19.i25.4060 World J Gastroenterol 2013 July 7; 19(25): 4060-4065 ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online) © 2013 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

BRIEF ARTICLE

Impact of postoperative complications on long-term survival after radical resection for gastric cancer

Qing-Guo Li, Ping Li, Dong Tang, Jie Chen, Dao-Rong Wang

Qing-Guo Li, Ping Li, Dong Tang, Jie Chen, Dao-Rong Wang, Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Subei People's Hospital of Jiangsu Province (the First Affiliated Hospital of Yangzhou University), Yangzhou 225001, Jiangsu Province, China

Author contributions: Li QG, Li P, Tang D, Chen J and Wang DR contributed equally to this study.

Correspondence to: Dao-Rong Wang, MM, Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Subei People's Hospital of Jiangsu Province (the First Affiliated Hospital of Yangzhou University), 98 Nantong West Road, Yangzhou 225001, Jiangsu Province, China. 734909944@qq.com

Telephone: +86-514-87373282 Fax: +86-514-87937405 Received: January 21, 2013 Revised: May 11, 2013

Accepted: May 18, 2013 Published online: July 7, 2013

Abstract

AIM: To investigate the potential impact of complications in gastric cancer patients who survive the initial postoperative period.

METHODS: Between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2006, 432 patients who received curative gastrectomy with D2 lymph node dissection for gastric cancer at our department were studied. Associations between clinicopathological factors [age, sex, American Society of Anesthesiologists grade, body mass index, tumornode-metastases (TNM) stage and tumor grade], including postoperative complications (defined as any deviation from an uneventful postoperative course within 30 d of the operation and survival rates) and treatmentspecific factors (blood transfusion, neoadjuvant therapy and duration of surgery). Patients were divided into 2 groups: with (n = 54) or without (n = 378) complications. Survival curves were compared between the groups, and univariate and multivariate models were conducted to identify independent prognostic factors.

RESULTS: Among the 432 patients evaluated, 61 complications occurred affecting 54 patients (12.50%).

Complications included anastomotic leakages, gastric motility disorders, anastomotic block, wound infections, intra-abdominal abscesses, infectious diarrhea, bleeding, bowel obstructions, arrhythmias, angina pectoris, pneumonia, atelectasis, thrombosis, unexplained fever, delirium, ocular fungal infection and multiple organ failure. American Society of Anesthesiologists grade, body mass index, combined organ resection and median duration of operation were associated with higher postoperative complications. The 1-, 3- and 5-year survival rates were 83.3%, 53.2% and 37.5%, respectively. In the univariate analysis, the size of lesions, TNM stage, blood transfusion, lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, and postoperative complications were significant predictors of overall survival. In the multivariate analysis, only TNM stage and the presence of complications remained significant predictors of reduced survival.

CONCLUSION: The occurrence of in-hospital postoperative complications was an independent predictor of worse 5-year overall survival rate after radical resection of gastric cancer.

© 2013 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

Key words: Gastric cancer; Perioperative complication; Surgical resection; Complications

Core tip: The concept of perioperative complications as a risk factor for survival is well known in gastric cancer, however, the potential impact of complications for patients who survive the initial postoperative period has not been determined. We showed that the occurrence of in-hospital postoperative complications is an independent predictor of worse 5-year overall survival after radical resection of gastric cancer. In 432 patients evaluated, 61 complications occurred affecting 54 patients (12.50%). American Society of Anesthesiologists grade, body mass index, combined organ resection and median duration of operation were associated with higher post-



WJG | www.wjgnet.com 4060 July 7, 2013 | Volume 19 | Issue 25 |

operative complications. The 1-, 3- and 5-year survival rates were 83.3%, 53.2% and 37.5%, respectively.

Li QG, Li P, Tang D, Chen J, Wang DR. Impact of postoperative complications on long-term survival after radical resection for gastric cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2013; 19(25): 4060-4065 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v19/i25/4060.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v19. i25.4060

INTRODUCTION

Globally, gastric cancer ranks fourth and fifth in males and females, respectively, in terms of incidence, and ranks third and fifth in males and females, respectively, in terms of mortality^[1]. China is classified as a high incidence area for gastric cancer. Stomach cancer has the third highest incidence and is the second leading cause of death among all cancers^[2]. Surgery is the cornerstone in the treatment of gastric cancer. Although postoperative complications after surgical resection of gastric cancer are common, the potential long-term impact of these complications for patients who survive the initial postoperative period is not well understood. Western countries have published complication rates ranging from 35% to 46%, and mortality rates from 4% to 16% after D2 lymph node dissection [3-5]. Major complications include anastomotic leakage, intraabdominal bleeding, intra-abdominal abscess, intestinal obstruction and wound infection. Previous investigations focused on the immediate effect of postoperative complications and their impact on acute perioperative course and length of hospital stay. The concept of perioperative complications as a risk factor for survival is well known in other cancer surgery, such as esophageal, colorectal cancer liver metastases, hilar cholangiocarcinoma and colorectal cancer^[6-9]. To date, few studies have determined the potential impact of early surgical complications on long-term survival for patients with gastric cancer. The aim of this study was to assess the impact of prognostic factors, in particular perioperative complications, on the long-term survival of patients undergoing radical resection for gastric cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Patients' medical records and clinicopathological data during the period from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006 were studied retrospectively at the Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, First Clinic Medical School of Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, China. Patients' inclusion criteria were: (1) All patients in the study had histologically confirmed gastric adenocarcinoma and received curative gastrectomy with D2 lymph node dissection; (2) Information regarding postoperative complications and mortality was available for each patient studied. As

a result, 432 patients were eligible for analysis. All these patients were followed up for a minimum of 60 mo after gastric resection. These 432 patients comprised 263 men (60.88%) and 169 women (39.12%). Their median age was 64 years (range, 28-83 years). The follow-up of patients after surgery was 5 years. Numbers of subtotal and total gastric resection were 186 and 246, respectively, and gastrointestinal reconstruction comprised 82 Billroth I, 68 Billroth II and 282 Roux-en-Y anastomoses. This research was in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the ethics committee of the First Clinic Medical School of Yangzhou University. The main characteristics of 432 people included study are summarized in Table 1.

Assessment of complications

Complications were defined as any deviation from an uneventful postoperative course within 30 d of the operation. A recently published standardized complication classification system (Clavien-Dindo classification) was used to grade postoperative complications^[10]. Briefly, grade I complications include any deviation from the normal postoperative course not needing specific treatment, as well as wound infections treated topically at the bedside. Grade II complications can be treated solely by drugs, blood transfusion, physiotherapy and nutritional support. Grade III complications require interventional or surgical treatment, without (IIIa) or with (IIIb) general anesthesia. Grade IV complications are life-threatening complications requiring intensive-care unit management (IVa, single organ dysfunction; IVb, multiple organ dysfunction). Grade V represents death of the patient. In the present study, if a patient had more than 1 complication, the grade used for analysis was defined by the highest-ranked complication.

Follow-up

Complete follow-up was available for all study patients. Follow-up was calculated from the date of surgery. Follow-up data were obtained by phone, letter, and the outpatient clinical database. The end of the follow-up period was 5 years after surgery.

Statistical analysis

The impact of clinicopathological and therapy-related variables with a potential influence on a postoperative complication were investigated. For this purpose, patients with postoperative complications were compared with those who recovered normally. The two groups were compared by univariate analysis with respect to clinicopathological factors [age, sex, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) grade, body mass index (BMI), tumor-node-metastases (TNM) stage and tumor grade] and treatment-specific (blood transfusion, neoadjuvant therapy and duration of surgery) variables. For the outcome analysis, the patients were divided into two groups: those with complications and those with no postoperative complications. To investigate the impact of such complications on postoperative



Table 1 Intergroup comparison of epidemiological and treatment-related variables in 432 patients

Variable	Postoperative complications	No postoperative complications	P value
	(n = 54)	(n = 378)	
Age (yr), median (range)	60 (28-80)	59 (23-76)	0.516^{1}
Sex			0.236^{2}
Male	37	226	
Female	17	152	
ASA grade			0.000^{2}
I	24	341	
П	18	33	
Ш	11	4	
IV	1	0	
Body mass index (kg/m²)			0.040^{2}
< 28	42	332	
≥ 28	12	46	
TNM stage			0.358^{2}
I	5	49	
П	9	94	
Ш	28	174	
IV	12	61	
Tumor size (cm), mean (range)	4.9 (2.0-12.0)	4.7 (0.5-12.0)	0.338^{1}
Combined organ resection			0.000^{2}
No	35	346	
Yes	19	32	
Neoadjuvant therapy			0.126^{2}
No	50	366	
Yes	4	12	
Median (range) duration of operation (min)	220 (175-310)	195 (160-300)	0.0001

 1t test; $^2\mathrm{Pearson}\,\chi^2.$ ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists; TNM: Tumor-node-metastases.

outcome, the two groups were compared using Kaplan-Meier survival curves. Postoperative complications are listed in Table 2. Assessment of the oncological relevance of the complication was based on an analysis of the two groups of patients. The 5-year survival rates were first subjected to univariate analysis, followed by multivariate analysis. Three patients who died within 30 d after surgery were excluded from the survival analysis.

RESULTS

Complications

Sixty-one complications occurred, affecting 54 patients (12.50%). Complications were graded into seven categories according to their severity. The details are given in Table 2. Ten patients suffered from gastric resection-related complications. Thirteen patients experienced infectious complications. Eight patients had bleeding complications and six had bowel obstructions. Five patients developed cardiac complications. Eleven patients had pulmonary complications. Two had thrombosis and six patients had other complications. Three patients died during hospitalization within 5 to 26 d after the initial gastrectomy, representing an in-hospital mortality of 0.69%. Two patients died as a result of postoperative sepsis and multiple organ failure. One died from acute respiratory distress syndrome.

Table 2 Post-operative complication types, frequencies and severities

Variables	Number
Gastric resection-related complications	
Anastomotic leakages	5
Gastric motility disorders	4
Anastomotic block	1
Infectious complications	
Wound infection	7
Intra-abdominal abscess	5
Infectious diarrhea	1
Bleeding complications	
Anastomotic bleeding	2
Intra-abdominal bleeding	5
Subcutaneous hematoma surrounding drainage tubes	1
Bowel obstructions	6
Pulmonary complications	
Pneumonia	10
Atelectasis	1
Cardiac complications	
Arrhythmia	4
Angina pectoris	1
Thrombosis	
Deep venous thrombosis	1
Portal venous thrombosis	1
Other complications	
Delirium	2
Unexpected fever	2
Ocular fungal infection	1
Multiple-organ failure	1
Incidence and severity (Clavien-Dindo grade)	
Ι	8
П	35
 a	11
Ⅲb	2
IVa	1
IVb	1
V	3

Risk factors for post-operative complication

The variables age, sex, ASA grade, BMI, TNM stage, mean tumor size, combined organ resection, neoadjuvant therapy and median duration of operation were investigated by Pearson χ^2 test or by t test. Only ASA grade, BMI, combined organ resection and median duration of operation had an independent impact on the occurrence of complications (P < 0.05).

Survival

The 1-, 3- and 5-year survival rates were 83.3%, 53.2% and 37.5%, respectively. In the univariate analysis, survival was not influenced by gender, age or BMI. In contrast, the size of the lesions, TNM stage, blood transfusion, lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion, neoadjuvant chemotherapy and postoperative complications were significant predictors of overall survival (Table 3). In the multivariate analysis, perineural invasion, the size of the lesions, blood transfusion, lymphovascular invasion and neoadjuvant chemotherapy were no longer predictive factors for reduced survival. However, the TNM stage and the presence of complications remained significant predictors of reduced survival (Table 4).

Table 3 Univariate survival analysis of gastric cancer patients according to various clinicopathological variables and complications

Variable	Patients	5-yr survival	Log rank χ^2 test	P value
Gender			2.847	0.092
Male	262	34.00%		
Female	167	43.70%		
Age (yr)			1.157	0.282
≤ 60	230	40.00%		
> 60	199	35.20%		
BMI (kg/m^2)			0.018	0.893
≤ 26	278	38.50%		
> 26	151	36.40%		
Size of lesions (cm)			8.130	0.004
< 5	269	42.00%		
≥ 5	160	30.60%		
TNM stage			60.453	0.000
I	54	72.20%		
Π	101	54.90%		
III	202	28.70%		
IV	72	12.70%		
Blood transfusion			4.982	0.026
Yes	74	27.00%		
No	355	40.00%		
Lymphovascular inva	sion		4.673	0.031
Yes	69	21.70%		
No	360	40.80%		
Perineural invasion			5.237	0.022
Yes	36	25.00%		
No	393	38.90%		
Neoadjuvant chemoth	nerapy		7.124	0.008
No	411	38.60%		
Yes	18	16.70%		
Complications			25.946	0.000
Yes	51	21.80%		
No	378	39.90%		

BMI: Body mass index; TNM: Tumor-node-metastases.

DISCUSSION

In the surgical approach for early and selective advanced gastric cancer, gastrectomy with D2 lymphadenectomy is justified^[11,12]. Local tumor control and long-term oncological survival are dependent on the quality of the surgical treatment and the surgeon's case-load^[13]. The occurrence of postoperative complications is higher in inexperienced hands, and there is a considerable difference in early surgical outcomes among centers^[14,15]. Overall survival rate is higher at specialized centers. Therefore, it may be stated that gastric cancer surgery is safe at specialized centers. The postoperative complications at our institution were in the acceptable range: most patients had a smooth recovery and postoperative mortality was not high.

In this study, ASA grade, BMI, combined organ resection and duration of operation were greater in the postoperative complications group than in the no complications group. These findings are in agreement with recent reports showing similar predictors of postoperative complications. ASA grade was reported to affect surgical complications^[1], but this was not consistent with prior studies reported by Kawamura *et al*^[16]. A negative effect of BMI on perioperative complications of gastrectomy has also been reported^[17,18]; elevated BMI was signifi-

 Table 4 Predictors of survival: Multivariate analysis

HR (95%CI)	P value
1.2 (0.9-1.5)	0.156
1.6 (1.4-1.9)	0.000
0.9 (0.5-1.4)	0.752
1.0 (0.6-1.6)	0.841
0.7 (0.4-1.0)	0.107
1.5 (0.8-2.5)	0.134
2.5 (1.8-3.6)	0.000
	1.2 (0.9-1.5) 1.6 (1.4-1.9) 0.9 (0.5-1.4) 1.0 (0.6-1.6) 0.7 (0.4-1.0) 1.5 (0.8-2.5)

Cox regression analysis of patient survival. TNM: Tumor-node-metastases.

cantly associated with increased weight of the stomach extirpated *en bloc* with omentum and perigastric lymph nodes, which was found to increase operative times. Additional organ resection in surgical therapy for gastric cancer has been associated with increased complications and perioperative mortality in pursuit of a D2 lymph-adenectomy^[19,20]. A large retrospective study from Japan found no survival difference when patients undergoing gastrectomy alone were compared to patients with additional organ resection, however, the complication rate was greater^[21]. The duration of operation was greater in the postoperative complications group than in the no complications group. Patients with advanced TNM stage, combined organ resection and elevated BMI always require a longer operation time than others.

Although this is the first study to identify the independent impact of postoperative complications among patients undergoing surgery for gastric cancer, other investigators have explored the potential relationship between postoperative complications and long-term survival beyond the initial perioperative period in other malignancies. In an analysis of 197 colorectal cancer liver metastases patients, Schiesser et al^[22] reported 30% perioperative complications, and the median survival time of patients with perioperative complications was 3.2 years, compared to 4.4 years in those patients without complications. Similar results were reported for hilar cholangiocarcinoma^[8]. Given these findings, it is logical to fully explore the potential impact of in-hospital, postoperative complications on long-term cancer survival. Mechanisms currently under discussion include more serious immunosuppression and more obvious inflammation associated with postoperative complications. Generally, postoperative complications have been suggested to lead to an extended period of immunosuppression, which permits residual tumor cells to proliferate and survive in the host. One example supporting this hypothesis is based, in part, on the finding that in several other malignancies, perioperative blood transfusions correlated with negative immunomodulatory effects and earlier cancer recurrence^[23,24]. Our findings could be explained in a similar manner. Infective complications are the most common complications, including intra-abdominal abscesses, wound infections, pneumonia, infectious diarrhea and anastomotic leakage, which can also cause abdominal infection. Several studies have demonstrated a correlation

between long-term outcomes after curative resections of solid tumors and postoperative infection and sepsis [7,25-27]. Infection and sepsis potentiate proinflammatory cytokine cascades, including tumor necrosis factor-alpha and interleukins 1, 6 and 8. These immune modulators can affect the function and regulation of natural killer cells, cytotoxic T-lymphocytes and antigen-presenting cells [28-30]. Hypothetically, micrometastases may progress rapidly during brief and prolonged periods of relative immunosuppression resulting from postoperative complications. Besides, both sepsis and blood transfusion may stimulate vascular endothelial growth factor release, which is one of the most potent stimulators of metastatic growth [31,32]. This combination of transfusion and sepsis may stimulate cancer recurrence [33].

Our results show a clear association between postoperative complications and long-term survival for patients undergoing resection for gastric cancer. The study highlights the significance not only of appropriate patient selection and surgical technique, but also serves to emphasize the potential impact that postoperative monitoring and hospital care can have on long-term outcomes. Performance of a safe operation with minimal blood loss, careful lymphadenectomy and gastrointestinal reconstruction are important for reducing post-operative complications. Avoidance of complications improves long-term survival.

In summary, our study aimed to evaluate the impact of complications on survival for patients who received radical surgery for gastric cancer. We found that the occurrence of in-hospital postoperative complications was an independent predictor of worse 5-year overall survival rate after radical resection of gastric cancer.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Jing Li, Department of Medical Oncology, Second Clinic Medical School of Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, China for technical help with setting up the gastric database.

COMMENTS

Background

The concept of perioperative complications as a risk factor for survival is well known in gastric cancer, however, the potential impact of complications for patients who survive the initial postoperative period has not been determined. This article studies the potential impact of complications on patients who survive the initial postoperative period.

Research frontiers

Perioperative complications are an important factor after surgery. Currently, many experts have focused on lymphatic metastasis for survival; however, perioperative complications have not been unequivocally addressed. In this study, the authors demonstrate that the occurrence of in-hospital postoperative complications was an independent predictor of worse 5-year overall survival rate after radical resection of gastric cancer.

Innovations and breakthroughs

Between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2006, 432 patients who received curative gastrectomy with D2 lymph node dissection were studied. Associations between clinicopathological factors, including postoperative complications and survival, were studied using univariate and multivariate models.

Applications

To understand survival during the initial postoperative period of gastric cancer, this article studied the potential impact of complications for patients who survive the initial postoperative period. In the univariate analysis, size of lesions, tumor-node-metastases (TNM) stage, blood transfusion, lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion, neoadjuvant chemotherapy and postoperative complications were significant predictors of overall survival. In the multivariate analysis, only TNM stage and the presence of complications remained significant predictors of reduced survival.

Peer review

The article provides a detailed description of the survival impact of postoperative complications. This data is valuable for the treatment of gastric malignancy.

REFERENCES

- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin 2011; 61: 69-90 [PMID: 21296855 DOI: 10.3322/caac.20107]
- Yang L. Incidence and mortality of gastric cancer in China. World J Gastroenterol 2006; 12: 17-20 [PMID: 16440411]
- 3 Bonenkamp JJ, Songun I, Hermans J, Sasako M, Welvaart K, Plukker JT, van Elk P, Obertop H, Gouma DJ, Taat CW. Randomised comparison of morbidity after D1 and D2 dissection for gastric cancer in 996 Dutch patients. Lancet 1995; 345: 745-748 [PMID: 7891484 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(95)90637-1]
- 4 Cuschieri A, Fayers P, Fielding J, Craven J, Bancewicz J, Joypaul V, Cook P. Postoperative morbidity and mortality after D1 and D2 resections for gastric cancer: preliminary results of the MRC randomised controlled surgical trial. The Surgical Cooperative Group. *Lancet* 1996; 347: 995-999 [PMID: 8606613 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(96)90144-0]
- Marrelli D, Pedrazzani C, Neri A, Corso G, DeStefano A, Pinto E, Roviello F. Complications after extended (D2) and superextended (D3) lymphadenectomy for gastric cancer: analysis of potential risk factors. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2007; 14: 25-33 [PMID: 17024558 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-006-9063-3]
- 6 Rizk NP, Bach PB, Schrag D, Bains MS, Turnbull AD, Karpeh M, Brennan MF, Rusch VW. The impact of complications on outcomes after resection for esophageal and gastroesophageal junction carcinoma. *J Am Coll Surg* 2004; 198: 42-50 [PMID: 14698310 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2003.08.007]
- Farid SG, Aldouri A, Morris-Stiff G, Khan AZ, Toogood GJ, Lodge JP, Prasad KR. Correlation between postoperative infective complications and long-term outcomes after hepatic resection for colorectal liver metastasis. *Ann Surg* 2010; 251: 91-100 [PMID: 19858702 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181bfda3c]
- 8 Chauhan A, House MG, Pitt HA, Nakeeb A, Howard TJ, Zyromski NJ, Schmidt CM, Ball CG, Lillemoe KD. Postoperative morbidity results in decreased long-term survival after resection for hilar cholangiocarcinoma. *HPB* (Oxford) 2011; 13: 139-147 [PMID: 21241432 DOI: 10.1111/ j.1477-2574.2010.00262.x]
- 9 Law WL, Choi HK, Lee YM, Ho JW. The impact of postoperative complications on long-term outcomes following curative resection for colorectal cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2007; 14: 2559-2566 [PMID: 17522945 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-007-9434-4]
- 10 Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. *Ann Surg* 2004; 240: 205-213 [PMID: 15273542 DOI: 10.1097/01. sla.0000133083.54934.ae]
- Sano T, Sasako M, Yamamoto S, Nashimoto A, Kurita A, Hiratsuka M, Tsujinaka T, Kinoshita T, Arai K, Yamamura Y, Okajima K. Gastric cancer surgery: morbidity and mortality results from a prospective randomized controlled trial comparing D2 and extended para-aortic lymphadenectomy--Japan Clinical Oncology Group study 9501. *J Clin*



- Oncol 2004; **22**: 2767-2773 [PMID: 15199090 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2004.10.184]
- 12 Roviello F, Marrelli D, Morgagni P, de Manzoni G, Di Leo A, Vindigni C, Saragoni L, Tomezzoli A, Kurihara H. Survival benefit of extended D2 lymphadenectomy in gastric cancer with involvement of second level lymph nodes: a longitudinal multicenter study. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2002; 9: 894-900 [PMID: 12417512 DOI: 10.1007/BF02557527]
- 13 Sah BK, Zhu ZG, Chen MM, Xiang M, Chen J, Yan M, Lin YZ. Effect of surgical work volume on postoperative complication: superiority of specialized center in gastric cancer treatment. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 2009; 394: 41-47 [PMID: 18584204 DOI: 10.1007/s00423-008-0358-7]
- 14 de Manzoni G, Verlato GE. Gastrectomy with extended lymphadenectomy for primary treatment of gastric cancer (Br J Surg 2005; 92: 5-13). Br J Surg 2005; 92: 784 [PMID: 15912487 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.4839]
- Pedrazzani C, Marrelli D, Rampone B, De Stefano A, Corso G, Fotia G, Pinto E, Roviello F. Postoperative complications and functional results after subtotal gastrectomy with Billroth II reconstruction for primary gastric cancer. *Dig Dis Sci* 2007; **52**: 1757-1763 [PMID: 17404848 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-006-9655-6]
- 16 Kawamura H, Homma S, Yokota R, Yokota K, Watarai H, Hagiwara M, Sato M, Noguchi K, Ueki S, Kondo Y. Inspection of safety and accuracy of D2 lymph node dissection in laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy. World J Surg 2008; 32: 2366-2370 [PMID: 18668280 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-008-9697-3]
- 17 Schumacher G, Schlechtweg N, Chopra SS, Rösch T, Veltzke-Schlieker W, Thuss-Patience P, Schmidt SC, Neuhaus P. Impact of the body mass index on the prognosis and complication rate after surgical resection of cancers at the oesophagogastric junction. *Zentralbl Chir* 2009; 134: 66-70 [PMID: 19242885 DOI: 10.1055/s-0028-1098706]
- 18 Kim MG, Yook JH, Kim KC, Kim TH, Kim HS, Kim BS, Kim BS. Influence of obesity on early surgical outcomes of laparoscopic-assisted gastrectomy in gastric cancer. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech 2011; 21: 151-154 [PMID: 21654297 DOI: 10.1097/SLE.0b013e318219a57d]
- McCulloch P, Ward J, Tekkis PP. Mortality and morbidity in gastro-oesophageal cancer surgery: initial results of AS-COT multicentre prospective cohort study. BMJ 2003; 327: 1192-1197 [PMID: 14630753 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.327.7425.1192]
- 20 Park DJ, Lee HJ, Kim HH, Yang HK, Lee KU, Choe KJ. Predictors of operative morbidity and mortality in gastric cancer surgery. *Br J Surg* 2005; 92: 1099-1102 [PMID: 15931657 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.4952]
- 21 **Kasakura Y**, Fujii M, Mochizuki F, Kochi M, Kaiga T. Is there a benefit of pancreaticosplenectomy with gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer? *Am J Surg* 2000; **179**: 237-242 [PMID: 10827328 DOI: 10.1016/S0002-9610(00)00293-2]
- 22 Schiesser M, Chen JW, Maddern GJ, Padbury RT. Periop-

- erative morbidity affects long-term survival in patients following liver resection for colorectal metastases. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2008; **12**: 1054-1060 [PMID: 18085344 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-007-0438-y]
- 23 Katz SC, Shia J, Liau KH, Gonen M, Ruo L, Jarnagin WR, Fong Y, D'Angelica MI, Blumgart LH, Dematteo RP. Operative blood loss independently predicts recurrence and survival after resection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Surg* 2009; 249: 617-623 [PMID: 19300227 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e31819ed22f]
- 24 Blumberg N, Heal J, Chuang C, Murphy P, Agarwal M. Further evidence supporting a cause and effect relationship between blood transfusion and earlier cancer recurrence. Ann Surg 1988; 207: 410-415 [PMID: 3355265 DOI: 10.1097/0 0000658-198804000-00007]
- 25 Chok KS, Ng KK, Poon RT, Lo CM, Fan ST. Impact of post-operative complications on long-term outcome of curative resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Br J Surg* 2009; 96: 81-87 [PMID: 19065644 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.6358]
- 26 Ito H, Are C, Gonen M, D'Angelica M, Dematteo RP, Kemeny NE, Fong Y, Blumgart LH, Jarnagin WR. Effect of postoperative morbidity on long-term survival after hepatic resection for metastatic colorectal cancer. *Ann Surg* 2008; 247: 994-1002 [PMID: 18520227 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e31816c405f]
- 27 Kressner U, Graf W, Mahteme H, Påhlman L, Glimelius B. Septic complications and prognosis after surgery for rectal cancer. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2002; 45: 316-321 [PMID: 12068187 DOI: 10.1007/s10350-004-6174-4]
- 28 Balkwill F, Mantovani A. Inflammation and cancer: back to Virchow? *Lancet* 2001; 357: 539-545 [PMID: 11229684 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(00)04046-0]
- 29 Menetrier-Caux C, Montmain G, Dieu MC, Bain C, Favrot MC, Caux C, Blay JY. Inhibition of the differentiation of dendritic cells from CD34(+) progenitors by tumor cells: role of interleukin-6 and macrophage colony-stimulating factor. *Blood* 1998; 92: 4778-4791 [PMID: 9845545]
- 30 Horn F, Henze C, Heidrich K. Interleukin-6 signal transduction and lymphocyte function. *Immunobiology* 2000; 202: 151-167 [PMID: 10993289 DOI: 10.1016/S0171-2985(00)80061-3]
- 31 Kraft A, Weindel K, Ochs A, Marth C, Zmija J, Schumacher P, Unger C, Marmé D, Gastl G. Vascular endothelial growth factor in the sera and effusions of patients with malignant and nonmalignant disease. *Cancer* 1999; 85: 178-187 [PMID: 9921991]
- 32 Nash GF, Chopada A, Patel H, Kakkar AK: Stored blood products stimulate cancer growth. *Br J Surg* 2002; **89**: 19 [DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2168.89.s.1.9_10.x]
- 33 Mynster T, Christensen IJ, Moesgaard F, Nielsen HJ. Effects of the combination of blood transfusion and postoperative infectious complications on prognosis after surgery for colorectal cancer. Danish RANX05 Colorectal Cancer Study Group. Br J Surg 2000; 87: 1553-1562 [PMID: 11091245 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2168.2000.01570.x]

P-Reviewers Nagahara H, Ooi LL, Scarpa M, Xia H S-Editor Wen LL L-Editor Webster JR E-Editor Li JY



