RESEARCH CHILD CONTROL CONTROL CONTR

Secretoglobin expression in ovarian carcinoma: lipophilin B gene upregulation as an independent marker of better prognosis

Eliana Bignotti^{1*}, Renata A Tassi¹, Stefano Calza^{2,3}, Antonella Ravaggi¹, Elisa Rossi⁴, Carla Donzelli⁵ , Paola Todeschini¹, Chiara Romani¹, Elisabetta Bandiera¹, Laura Zanotti¹, Mario Carnazza¹, Francesco Quadraro¹ , Germana Tognon¹, Enrico Sartori¹, Sergio Pecorelli¹, Dana M Roque⁶ and Alessandro D Santin⁶

Abstract

Background: The aim of the present study was to investigate within ovarian carcinoma and normal ovarian biopsies the gene expression of multiple secretoglobin family members relative to mammaglobin B, which we previously reported as a promising novel ovarian carcinoma prognostic marker.

Methods: Using quantitative real-time Reverse Transcription PCR we tested 53 ovarian carcinoma and 30 normal ovaries for the expression of 8 genes belonging to the secretoglobin family: mammaglobin A, lipophilin A, lipophilin B, uteroglobin, HIN-1, UGRP-1, RYD5 and IIS. Next, we decided to expand the LipB gene expression analysis to a further 48 ovarian carcinoma samples, for a total of 101 tumor tissues of various histologies and to study its protein expression by immunohistochemistry in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumors and normal ovaries. Finally, we correlated lipophilin B gene and protein expression to conventional patient clinico-pathological features and outcome.

Results: We found significant mammaglobin A, lipophilin A, lipophilin B and RYD5 gene overexpression in ovarian carcinomas compared to normal ovaries. Lipophilin B mRNA showed a higher presence in tumors (75.4%) compared to normal ovaries (16.6%) and the most significant correlation with mammaglobin B mRNA ($r_s = 0.77$, p < 0.001). By immunohistochemical analysis, we showed higher lipophilin B expression in the cytoplasm of tumor cells compared to normal ovaries (p < 0.001). Moreover, lipophilin B gene overexpression was significantly associated with serous histology (serous vs clear cell $p = 0.027$; serous vs undifferentiated $p = 0.007$) and lower tumor grade ($p = 0.02$). Lower LipB mRNA levels (low versus high tertiles) were associated to a shorter progression-free (p = 0.03, HR = 2.2) and disease-free survival (p = 0.02, HR = 2.5) by univariate survival analysis and, importantly, they remain an independent prognostic marker for decreased disease-free ($p = 0.001$, HR = 3.9) and progression-free survival ($p = 0.004$, HR = 2.8) in multivariate Cox regression analysis.

Conclusions: The present study represents the first quantitative evaluation of secretoglobin gene expression in normal and neoplastic ovarian tissues. Our results demonstrate lipophilin B gene and protein upregulation in ovarian carcinoma compared to normal ovary. Moreover, lipophilin B gene overexpression correlates with a less aggressive tumor phenotype and represents a novel ovarian carcinoma prognostic factor.

Keywords: Ovarian carcinoma, Secretoglobins, Lipophilin B, Gene expression, Prognosis, Biomarker

* Correspondence: bignottieliana@yahoo.it ¹

¹ Angelo Nocivelli Institute of Molecular Medicine, Division of Gynecologic Oncology, University of Brescia, Viale Europa 11, 25123 Brescia, Italy Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© 2013 Bignotti et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background

Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) represents the fifth leading cause of cancer deaths among women, with 65,538 estimated new cases and 42,704 deaths in Europe during the 2012 [\[1](#page-9-0)]. The high mortality rate of EOC reflects its asymptomatic nature, a lack of adequate screening tests, the frequent diagnosis at late stage, and the frequency of chemoresistant recurrences [[2](#page-9-0)]. Unfortunately, EOC is fundamentally an incurable disease, and currently available prognostic parameters are not able to adequately predict its relapse and clinical course [[3\]](#page-9-0). There is a critical need to discover outcome-informative biomarkers to select those EOC patients who might benefit from individualized targeted therapies.

Secretoglobins are secreted proteins of small molecular weight belonging to a polypeptide family that includes at least nine family members in humans: SCGB1A1 (Uteroglobin or Clara cell 10 kDa protein [CC10]), SCGB1C1 (ligand binding protein RYD5 [RYD5]), SCGB1D1 (lipophilin A [LipA]), SCGB1D2 (lipophilin B [LipB] or BU101), SCGB1D4 (interferon-γ inducible SCGB [IIS]), SCGB2A1 (mammaglobin 2 [MGB2] or lipophilin C), SCGB2A2 (mammaglobin 1 [MGB1] or uteroglobin 2 [UGB2]), SCGB3A1 (uteroglobin-related protein 2 [UGRP2] or high-in-normal [HIN1]) and SCGB3A2 (uteroglobin-related protein 1 [UGRP1]) [[4-7](#page-9-0)]. Although the first secretoglobin polypeptide was discovered more than 30 years ago, the pathophysiological functions of the family are still poorly known. Secretoglobin expression has generally been observed in secretory epithelia [[8](#page-9-0)-[11\]](#page-9-0) and their dysregulated expression has been reported in several malignancies, such as lung [[12-14\]](#page-9-0), pituitary [[15\]](#page-9-0), breast [[16,17](#page-9-0)] and gynecological cancers [[18](#page-9-0)-[20](#page-9-0)].

Our group has recently reported mammaglobin B (MGB2) as highly expressed both at the gene and protein level in EOC tissues [[21,22\]](#page-9-0). Moreover, in a subsequent study we demonstrated that MGB2 expression characterizes a less aggressive EOC phenotype and is correlated with reduced risk of recurrence, suggesting its promising role as a novel marker for EOC prognosis [[23](#page-9-0)]. To the best of our knowledge, no studies have extensively investigated the gene expression of secretoglobins in EOC tissues and normal ovarian controls. Because of this lack of information, in the present study we quantified for the first time gene expression of all prominent human secretoglobin family members in a panel of fresh-frozen EOC and normal ovarian biopsies. Since lipophilin B (LipB) mRNA showed the highest correlation with MGB2, we further validated LipB gene expression in an expanded set of samples and, subsequently, LipB protein expression by immunohistochemistry. Finally, we correlated LipB gene and protein expression to conventional clinicopathological features and outcome endpoints in a selected group of homogeneously-treated EOC patients to determine its prognostic significance in EOC.

Methods

Patients

Cancer tissue samples were obtained from 101 patients diagnosed with EOC and treated at the Division of Gynecologic Oncology of the University of Brescia (Italy), between June 2003 and December 2010. The study has been performed following the Declaration of Helsinki set of principles and it has been approved by the Research Review Board- the Ethic Committee- of the Spedali Civili, Brescia, Italy (study reference number: 527/B4/4).

Each patient underwent primary cytoreductive surgery including total abdominal hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, omentectomy and pelvic and periaortic lymph node sampling, with cytological evaluation of ascites or peritoneal washings. Age, histological type, stage, grade, residual tumor, presence of ascites and lymph node involvement were recorded in all cases. Tumor staging was in accordance with the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) criteria, while tumor grade and histological type were determined following World Health Organization (WHO) standards; optimal cytoreduction was defined as no macroscopic residual tumor after primary surgery $(RT = 0)$.

Ninety-two EOC patients received the same postoperative platinum-based chemotherapy and were included in survival analysis. Specifically, 80% of patients received carboplatin and paclitaxel-combined regimen, 15% were treated with carboplatin only and the remaining 5% of patients had a platinum-based regimen combined with other drugs (i.e. topotecan, gemcitabine). Patients were followed from the date of surgery until death or the last observation (median follow-up 39 months, range = 7– 93 months). At the time of last follow-up, 31 patients (34%) were alive without evidence of disease, 15 patients (16%) were alive with disease and 46 patients (50%) were dead of disease.

Tissue collection and establishment of HOSE cell lines

All EOC specimens were collected and immediately frozen as previously described [[21](#page-9-0)]. Only samples containing at least 70% of tumor epithelial cells as assessed by a staff pathologist were used for total RNA extraction.

Control specimens included 10 normal human ovarian surface epithelial short-term cultures (HOSE), 10 freshfrozen normal ovarian biopsies and 10 normal ovarian surface epithelium brushings. HOSE were cultured from normal ovaries of patients undergoing hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy for benign pathologies, as previously reported [[21\]](#page-9-0) and only cell cultures containing at least 99% epithelial cells were retained for

total RNA extraction. Normal ovaries were manually sharply macrodissected and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Ovarian brushings were performed on the whole normal ovary with a cell culture scraper in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and cells were collected by centrifugation at 1000 rpm for 10 minutes. The cell pellet was then resuspended with 200 μl TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and immediately frozen at −20°C for further RNA extraction.

Total RNA extraction and quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted and purified from 101 EOC tissues with different histologies, 10 HOSE, 10 freshfrozen normal ovarian biopsies and 10 normal ovarian surface brushings. Total RNA extraction and quality control were performed as previously reported [\[21](#page-9-0)]. Since HIN-1 assay (Hs00369360_g1) is designed with sequences that are complementary also to genomic DNA, RNA samples were treated with TURBO DNase enzyme (TURBO DNA-free Kit; Ambion, Inc. Applied Biosystem Business, CA) to remove contaminating DNA eventually present. In particular, four μg of total RNA were digested with 2U of TURBO DNase enzyme in a 25 μlreaction for 30 minutes at 37°C. The digestion was stopped by adding 2.5 μl of DNase Inactivation Reagent, followed by centrifugation. One μg of extracted RNA was reverse-transcribed using random hexamers in a final volume of 20 μl according to the SuperScript TM II RT RnaseH-reverse transcriptase protocol (Invitrogen life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

QRT-PCR was performed on the ABI PRISM 7000 Sequence detection System (Applied Biosystem, Applera UK, Cheshire, UK) using the TaqMan Universal PCR master mix and the following Assay on Demand primers and probes (Applied Biosystem): Hs00255208_m1 (lipophilin B), Hs00267190_m1 (mammaglobin A), Hs00255210_m1 (lipophilin A), Hs00171092_m1 (uteroglobin), Hs00369360_g1 (HIN-1), Hs00369678_m1 (UGRP-1), Hs00377337_m1 (SCGB1C1) and Hs00419570_m1 (SCGB1D4). Reaction and thermal cycling conditions were performed as previously reported [\[21\]](#page-9-0). The comparative threshold cycle (Ct) method was used for the calculation of amplification fold and the delta-delta Ct method was used to obtain relative gene expression values, normalized using glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) as an internal control.

Immunohistochemistry on formalin-fixed tissues

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed on 100 formalin fixed-paraffin embedded EOC tissues of different histologies and 10 normal ovaries. Both tumor and normal samples were matched with the ones analyzed at the mRNA level by qRT-PCR. Antigen retrieval was performed in EDTA buffer pH 8 by using microwave at 750 W. Anti-lipophilin B antibody diluted 1:10 (S-17 goat polyclonal antibody, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) was applied for 45 minutes, followed by a secondary biotinylated anti-goat antibody diluted 1:20 (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) and the streptavidin-biotin complex (StreptABComplex/HRP, Dako, CA, USA). 3'3-diaminobenzidine (Dako, CA, USA) was used as chromogen and the sections were counterstained by hematoxylin (Dako).

Slides were analyzed at medium/high power view (20x and 40x magnification) and a scoring method based either on the intensity of the staining or on the percentage of positive tumor cells was applied as follows: intensity was scored 0 (negative), 1 (weak), 2 (moderate), 3 (strong), while percentage of positive cells was scored as 0 (0%), 1 (1-10%), 2 (11-50%), 3 (51-100%). A single scale with scores 0–9 was obtained multiplying the intensity and the percentage staining score and a total score was calculated grouping score 0 in total score 0, 1–3 in total score 1, 4 and 6 in total score 2 and 9 in total score 3.

Statistical analysis

The variation in secretoglobin gene expression measured by qRT-PCR between EOCs and healthy controls and among EOC histotypes was evaluated using Wilcoxon-Mann–Whitney test and a standard parametric ANOVA, respectively. The difference in LipB immunohistochemical staining between groups, considered on the ordinal scale, was investigated using Wilcoxon-Mann–Whitney test and with a nonparametric one-way ANOVA. Approximate p-values were computed through Monte Carlo resampling (using $B = 9999$ replications). Multiple comparison correction was applied for paired tests using a step-down procedure. The correlation between LipB expression measured by qRT-PCR and IHC staining was evaluated by means of the polyserial correlation coefficient. The association between LipB mRNA expression and clinicopathologic parameters was investigated with an ANOVA (after log transformation). The association between IHC, coded as ≤ 1 , 2 and 3 and clinical covariates were evaluated by means of Kruskal-Wallis and Wilcoxon-Mann-Whithney tests. For survival analysis, three endpoints (cancer relapse, cancer progression and death due to cancer) were used to calculate disease-free survival (DFS), progression-free survival (PFS) and disease-specific overall survival (OS), respectively. DFS was defined as the time interval between the date of surgery and the date of identification of disease recurrence, PFS was defined as the time interval between the date of surgery and the date of identification of progressive disease (disease not treatable with curative intent) and OS

was defined as the time interval between the date of surgery and the date of death. For all three endpoints the last date of follow-up was used for censored subjects. Survival models were fitted using the Cox proportional hazard model, while survival curves were drawn based on the Kaplan-Meier methods. The impact of LipB qRT-PCR expression on prognosis was evaluated categorizing the qRT-PCR values in tertiles computed on the whole cohort (Low: <891; Medium: 891–1782.9;? High: > 1782.9) while immunostaining was analyzed both as a continuous variable and by group $(≤1, 2$ and 3). Optimal threshold in ROC curve was computed as the marker value that minimizes the distance from the top left corner (sensitivity & specificity equal to 100%) and the curve. In all analyses, a p value <0.05 was considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the R language.

Results

Evaluation of secretoglobin gene expression by qRT-PCR

Secretoglobin gene expression was evaluated on 53 EOC tissues and 30 normal controls (NO), including 10 HOSE, 10 fresh-frozen normal ovarian biopsies and 10 normal ovarian surface epithelium brushings. As displayed in

Table 1, there was no difference in gene expression between EOC and NO for uteroglobin, HIN-1, UGRP-1 and IIS. Conversely, RYD5, mammaglobin A, lipophilin A, and lipophilin B genes were overexpressed in EOCs compared to NO. The optimal cut-off point of positivity in gene expression for each secretoglobin was determined by means of a receiver operating characteristic curve, and it was set at relative quantification = 0.5 for uteroglobin, UGRP-1, IIS, RYD5, mammaglobin A and lipophilin A, at relative quantification = 2.5 for HIN-1, at relative quantification = 2559.5 for mammaglobin B and, finally, at relative quantification = 2520.5 for Lipophilin B.

LipB mRNA showed the most significant correlation with mammaglobin B mRNA $(r_s = 0.77, p < 0.001)$, the expression of which was previously published by our group on the same cohort of patients [\[23](#page-9-0)] (reported in Table 1 in the present study). LipB mRNA was highly expressed in EOC (75.4%) compared to normal controls (16.6%). Consequently, we decided to expand the LipB qRT-PCR analysis to further 48 EOC samples, for a total of 101 tumor tissues of various histologies, and its overexpression was confirmed in ovarian tumor tissues compared to healthy controls (fold change = 84.8; $p <$ 0.001), as displayed in Figure [1.](#page-4-0) According to the chosen

Table 1 Distribution of secretoglobin mRNA expression in normal ovaries (NO) and epithelial ovarian cancers (EOC) and correlation with MGB2 gene expression⁷

Secretoglobin	% expressing	Relative mRNA expression	p value	Correlation with SCGB2A1 gene expression	
		Mean (SD)		Rho cc	p value
SCGB2A1 (Mammaglobin B)	EOC $46/53 = 86.7\%$	186883.54 (63045.00)			
	NO 2/23 = 8.6%	1432.00 (648.59)	p < 0.001		
SCGB2A2 (Mammaglobin A)	EOC $36/53 = 67.9%$	23295.42 (21171.01)		0.63	p < 0.001
	NO 2/30 = 6.6%	0.37(0.33)	p < 0.001		
SCGB1D1 (Lipophilin A)	EOC $40/53 = 75.4%$	4741.58 (2680.96)		0.66	p < 0.001
	NO 2/30 = 6.6%	5.83 (4.19)	p < 0.001		
SCGB1D2 (Lipophilin B)	EOC $40/53 = 75.4%$	122631.77 (48990.82)		0.77	p < 0.001
	$NO 5/30 = 16.6\%$	3818.80 (1908.12)	p < 0.001		
SCGB3A1 (HIN-1)	EOC $8/53 = 15.1\%$	386,48 (1606,95)		0.39	p < 0.001
	NO 3/30 = 10.0%	2194.48 (6305.41)	$p = 0.21$		
SCGB1C1 (RYD5)	EOC $37/53 = 69.8\%$	57.79 (26.83)	p < 0.001	0.36	p < 0.001
	NO 3/30 = 10%	2.70(2.14)			
SCGB1D4 (IIS)	EOC $29/53 = 54.7%$	72084.23 (69346.5)		0.50	p < 0.001
	NO 10/26 = 38.4%	340.77 (158.75)	$p = 0.411$		
SCGB1A1 (Uteroglobin)	EOC $11/53 = 20.7%$	138.72 (117.09)			
	$NO 5/30 = 16.6\%$	185.43 (116.76)	$p = 0.452$	0.25	$p = 0.03$
SCGB3A2 (UGRP-1)	EOC $6/53 = 11.3%$	2.85(1.65)			
	$NO 1/30 = 3.3%$	0.17(0.16)	$p = 0.190$	0.16	$p = 0.17$

* The p value indicates significance in the difference between NO and EOC for each secretoglobin mRNA expression (ns = not significant, SD = standard deviation, Rho cc = Spearman Rho correlation coefficient).

threshold, 5 out of 30 NO and 80 out of 101 tumor samples were positive for LipB mRNA expression. The sensitivity and specificity of the test were therefore 80.8% and 83.3%, respectively.

Validation of LipB protein expression by immunohistochemical staining

To confirm LipB gene expression at the protein level, immunohistochemistry was carried out on 100 primary EOCs of different histological types and 10 normal ovaries. Cytoplasmatic staining for LipB was detected in 95 out of 100 (95%) ovarian cancer specimens (Figure 2B), with the majority of primary EOCs (59%) showing a strong to moderate staining (score 2 and 3, Table [2\)](#page-5-0). On

the contrary, none of the 10 normal ovaries showed any immunoreactivity for LipB, neither in the surface epithelium nor in the stroma (Figure 2A). In all EOCs, LipB immunoreactivity localized exclusively to the cytoplasm of neoplastic epithelium; stromal cells were negative. No significant difference in LipB protein expression among different EOC histotypes was found. Finally, the correlation between LipB mRNA expression and IHC staining performed in paired tumor samples was not significant $(p = 0.811)$.

LipB expression and clinicopathologic variables

No significant correlation was found between LipB protein expression and clinicopathological variables (Table [2](#page-5-0)), however, LipB gene overexpression was significantly associated with lower tumor grade $(p = 0.02)$ and histological type (Table [3\)](#page-5-0). In particular, LipB gene expression was significantly higher in serous EOC compared to clear cell histotype and in serous and mixed tumors compared to undifferentiated histotype (Figure [3,](#page-6-0) $p = 0.027$, $p = 0.007$ and $p = 0.036$, respectively).

LipB expression and patient survival

As expected, known EOC clinical prognostic factors such as FIGO stage, residual tumor, presence of ascites and lymph node involvement showed a statistically significant association with DFS and PFS in univariate survival analyses (all p < 0.05, Table [4\)](#page-7-0), demonstrative of the representative nature of the patient cohort recruited in this study. In addition, as displayed in Figure [4](#page-8-0)A and [4B](#page-8-0) respectively, lower LipB mRNA levels (low versus high tertiles) showed a significant association with poor DFS $(p = 0.02)$ and shorter PFS $(p = 0.03)$. FIGO stage, residual tumor, presence of ascites and lymph node involvement were of prognostic significance for diseasespecific OS, whereas LipB gene expression was not. No significant correlation was found between LipB immunohistochemical staining and survival variables (Table [4\)](#page-7-0).

	LipB protein expression						
	Total	score ≤ 1	$score = 2$	$score = 3$	p-value		
		$n\frac{9}{6}$	$n\frac{6}{6}$	$n\frac{9}{6}$			
Age at diagnosis (y)							
≤ 60	48	22 (46)	20 (42)	6(12)	0.6		
>60	52	19 (37)	25 (48)	8(15)			
Histological type							
serous	51	25 (49)	17(33)	9 (18)			
endometrioid	17	5(29)	11(65)	1(6)			
clear cell	11	6(55)	3(27)	2(18)			
mucinous	3	0	1(33)	2(67)	0.3		
mixed	13	4(31)	9(69)	0			
undifferentiated	5	1(20)	4(80)	0			
FIGO stage							
$ + $	27	10(37)	13 (48)	4(15)			
$III + IV$	73	31 (42)	32 (44)	10(14)	0.7		
Histological grade							
G1	5	1(20)	2(40)	2(40)			
$G2 + G3$	95	40 (42)	43 (45)	12(13)	0.2		
Residual tumor (RT), cm							
$RT = 0$	40	14(35)	21 (52)	5 (13)			
RT > 0	60	27 (45)	24 (40)	9(15)	0.5		
Presence of ascites							
no	44	19 (43)	18 (41)	7(16)			
yes	56	22 (39)	27 (48)	7(13)	0.5		
Lymph nodal involvement							
negative	51	16 (31)	23 (45)	12 (24)			
positive	26	13 (50)	12 (46)	1(4)	0.3		
missing	23	12 (52)	10(44)	1(4)			

Table 2 Clinico-pathologic characteristics of 100 EOC patients and their association to LipB protein expression

Table 3 Clinico-pathologic characteristics of 101 EOC

patients and their association with LipB mRNA

FIGO stage, residual tumor, presence of ascites and LipB gene expression levels were then included in a multivariate survival analysis. As displayed in Table [4](#page-7-0), low LipB mRNA expression and the established prognostic factors for EOC were identified as independent predictors for poor DFS (all $p \le 0.02$). Moreover, low LipB mRNA expression level, along with advanced FIGO stage, retained significance as an independent prognostic factor for shorter PFS ($p = 0.004$, Table [4\)](#page-7-0). For OS, only FIGO stage was significantly predictive; among the other clinical parameters, LipB mRNA expression levels exhibited the highest trend toward significance ($p = 0.103$, Table [4\)](#page-7-0).

Discussion

Secretoglobins are small, secreted proteins increasingly recognized for their prognostic capacity in a variety of human cancers, though the precise pathophysiologic functions for most members remain to be elucidated [[24](#page-9-0)]. Secretoglobins participate via one to three cysteine bonds in antiparallel heterodimerization (e.g., lipophilin B with mammaglobin A and B) [[25](#page-9-0)-[27\]](#page-9-0). or homodimerization (e.g., uteroglobin) with the capacity to form tetramers [[10](#page-9-0)]. Such quaternary protein interactions underscore the importance of simultaneous characterization of the relative expression among multiple secretoglobin proteins. Thus, to complement previous findings by our group in EOC, suggesting that elevated mammaglobin B gene and protein expression prognosticate improved clinical outcome [[23\]](#page-9-0), in this report we describe for the first time relative expression patterns across a comprehensive panel of human secretoglobin family members. Secretoglobin gene expression was evaluated on 53

EOC tissues of different histologies and 30 normal controls (NO), including 10 HOSE, 10 fresh-frozen normal ovarian biopsies and 10 normal ovarian surface epithelium brushings. The choice of normal controls in ovarian carcinoma gene expression studies is still a matter of debate, since the origin and pathogenesis of this neoplasm is not completely understood.

In the present paper, we have chosen to use ovarian surface epithelial cells as controls, following the long lasting theory that, for a significant number of ovarian cancers, the pathogenesis is related to the invagination of the ovarian surface epithelium (OSE) at the time of ovulation into the underlying stroma to form inclusion cysts with a newly acquired müllerian phenotype (by metaplasia), as precursors of most of the ovarian cancer subtypes studied in our manuscript [\[28,29](#page-9-0)]. Consistent with this view, a recent report [[30\]](#page-9-0) has identified a specific transitional area of the OSE, as a previously unrecognized stem cell niche that can be susceptible to malignant transformation, providing additional implications for understanding ovarian carcinoma pathogenesis. For all these reasons we believe the controls used in our study are reasonable and in agreement with the current ovarian cancer literature.

In our analysis, compared to normal ovarian tissues, EOC specimens overexpressed mammaglobin A, lipophilin A, lipophilin B, and RYD5 genes. Based on studies of uteroglobin, the most well-characterized and founding member of the secretoglobin family, broad putative roles for these members may involve progesterone binding, immunomodulation, and activation of anti-inflammatory pathways [[10](#page-9-0)]. Interestingly, HIN-1 downregulation in lung, pancreatic, and prostate cancers has been shown to correlate with cellular differentiation status [\[31\]](#page-9-0), often via promoter hypermethylation; moreover, loss of UGB has been reported to be related to advancing grade in prostate carcinoma [[32](#page-9-0)]. Those results suggest a role for certain secretoglobins as tumor suppressors, the loss of which correlates with poor prognosis [[33](#page-9-0)]. Interestingly, while HIN-1 and RYD5 localize to chromosomes 19 and 11p15.5, respectively, mammaglobin A/B and lipophilin A/B map to chromosome 11q13 [\[5,24](#page-9-0)], chromosomal alterations of which have been implicated in poor prognosis in squamous cell carcinomas of the head / neck [\[34\]](#page-9-0) and in the pathogenesis of some breast cancers [[35](#page-9-0)].

Among EOCs, lipophilin B demonstrated not only the most marked differential gene expression compared to normal ovary, but also the strongest correlation with mammaglobin B gene expression. This relationship is not unanticipated, given that non-glycosylated LipB with a predicted molecular weight of 7.7 kDa has been shown to form covalent complexes with the heavily glycosylated 10.5 kDa mammaglobin A [\[18,27,36](#page-9-0)]. LipB overexpression has also been observed in malignant samples from breast, uterus, cervix, and pituitary [\[15-18](#page-9-0)]. Concordance of mammaglobin A and LipB mRNA expression in breast, uterine, and cervical cancers has been reported to range from 56-100% [\[18,36](#page-9-0)]; in contrast, no correlation has been demonstrated in kidney or prostate tissues [\[18\]](#page-9-0). Importantly, in the present paper we demonstrate for the first

time that LipB gene overexpression has potential prognostic utility and identifies less aggressive EOC phenotypes. LipB gene expression correlated with low-grade $(p = 0.02)$ tumors in our cohort of patients. Remarkably, lower LipB mRNA levels (low versus high tertiles) are an independent prognostic marker for decreased disease-free $(p = 0.001,$ $HR = 3.9$) and progression-free survival ($p = 0.004$, $HR = 2.8$). These findings are consistent with previous reports in breast cancer, suggesting that LipB mRNA overexpression correlates with favourable factors, such as retention of estrogen-receptor positivity, lowgrade disease and low proliferation rate [[16](#page-9-0)]. To our knowledge, few papers have investigated the functional role of LipB overexpression in cancer cells: Sjodin et al.

demonstrated that ectopic overexpression of lipophilin B did not affect the cell proliferation rate of breast carcinoma cells in vitro [[37\]](#page-10-0), while Tucker et al. reported that it does not appear to mediate chemoresistance to the estradiol derivative estramustine in hormone refractory prostate cancer [[38](#page-10-0)]. Despite undercharacterization of function, LipB has a well-described role as a tumorassociated antigen. Serum antibodies to lipophilin B have been detected in breast and ovarian cancers at titers that reflect advanced stage and which are absent in normal controls [\[39\]](#page-10-0). This strong response to lipophilin B could indicate that it may exist in the sera of cancer patients in the free form, though circulating lipophilin B itself has not yet been isolated from blood.

While EOCs also overexpressed LipB at the protein level compared to normal ovary, abundance as quantified by immunohistochemistry failed to demonstrate significant prognostic associations with clinicopathological variables or survival. This result is not completely unexpected considering that, in the analysis of our cohort of patients, the correlation coefficient between LipB mRNA and protein expression was not significant. Moreover, in this regard, a discrepancy between mRNA and the encoded protein abundance in cancer has been previously reported in several studies [\[40](#page-10-0)-[42](#page-10-0)]. This phenomenon is presumably a result of gene expression biology, suggesting various levels of regulation during protein synthesis in higher organisms (posttranscriptional regulation mechanisms involving mRNA stability, translation initiation and protein stability), likely mediated by the recently discovered class of biological molecules called non-coding RNAs.

Conclusions

In summary, this is the first quantitative investigation of gene expression across a comprehensive panel of secretoglobins in both normal ovaries and EOC tissues. Lipophilin B, a binding partner for mammaglobin B, emerged as one of the most differentially expressed secretoglobin family members in EOC tissues relative to normal ovaries. Consistent with previous findings by this group, suggesting that mammaglobin B gene overexpression identifies less aggressive EOC phenotypes with reduced risk of recurrence, in the present study lipophilin B also functioned as an independent prognostic marker for prolonged disease-free and progression-free survival. In this way,

the molecular characterization of secretoglobin expression by ovarian tumors predicts biologic behaviour and may eventually contribute to treatment algorithms. Future studies on Lipophilin B should focus upon elucidation of biologic function in order to contribute to the broader understanding of its role in EOC pathogenesis.

Consent

Written informed consent was obtained from the patients for the publication of this report and any accompanying images.

Abbreviations

EOC: Epithelial ovarian cancer; NO: Normal controls; HOSE: Human ovarian surface epithelial short-term cultures; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; WHO: World Health Organization; qRT-PCR: quantitative real-time Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction; RQ: Relative quantification; LipB: Lipophilin B; IHC: Immunohistochemistry; DFS: Disease-free survival; PFS: Progression-free survival; OS: Overall survival; HR: Hazard ratio; 95%CI: 95% confidence intervals.

Competing interests

All authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

ADS conceived, coordinated, designed the study, interpreted the data and revised the manuscript. EB participated in the study design, created the patients'database, reviewed medical records, interpreted the data, drafted and wrote the report. RAT helped in RNA extraction and in performing qRT-PCR experiments. SC performed statistical analyses, created the tables, interpreted the data and helped to draft the manuscript. AR supervised the research group and critically reviewed the manuscript. ER and CD performed pathological and immunohistochemical study. PT and CR helped in collecting patients' tissue samples and data from medical records and critically reviewed the manuscript. EB and LZ helped in collecting follow-up data and critically reviewed the paper. CM and QF evaluated the clinical records and participated in the interpretation of the data. GT and ES

participated in the study design, interpreted the data and critically reviewed the manuscript. SP provided funds and participated in the design of the study. DR helped in drafting the manuscript discussion and critically reviewed the paper. All of the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This investigation was supported by grants from the Nocivelli Foundation, Brescia, Italy, and by grants from the Istituto Superiore di Sanità (Programma onco-proteomica Italia-USA 527B4/4), Rome, Italy. Dr Elisa Rossi is supported by the JAE-Doc program of CSIC funded by FEDER. Moreover, this work was supported in part by grants from NIH R01 CA122728-01A4 and R01 CA154460-01A1, the Honorable Tina Brozman Foundation and Deborah Bunn Alley Ovarian Cancer Research Foundation to ADS. We wish to thank Prof Fabio Facchetti, Dr Marcella Falchetti and Dr Ardighieri Laura for their support in analyzing immunohistochemical results and Mrs. Anna Galletti and Mrs. Lucia Fontana for their excellent technical support to the project. We also highly appreciated the essential contribution of all the nurses working in the OR and in the Division of Obstetrics and Gynecology (Spedali Civili of Brescia, Italy) in the collection of tissue samples.

Author details

¹Angelo Nocivelli Institute of Molecular Medicine, Division of Gynecologic Oncology, University of Brescia, Viale Europa 11, 25123 Brescia, Italy. 2 Department of Molecular and Translational Medicine, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy. ³Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁴Centro de Investigaciones Biologicas, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas (CSIC) and Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Raras (CIBERER), Madrid, Spain. ⁵Department of Pathology, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy.
⁶Department of Obstatrics Gynecology, 8, Boproductive Sciences, Valo. ⁶Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology & Reproductive Sciences, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06520-8063 USA.

Received: 11 January 2013 Accepted: 27 June 2013 Published: 2 July 2013

References

- Ferlay J, Steliarova-Foucher E, Lortet-Tieulent J, et al: Cancer incidence and mortality patterns in Europe: estimates for 40 countries in 2012. Eur J Cancer 2013, S0959-8049(13):00007–5. doi[:10.1016/j.ejca.2012.12.027](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2012.12.027) [Epub ahead of print].
- 2. DiSaia PJ, Creasman WT: Epithelial ovarian cancer, Clinical gynecologic oncology. St. Louis: Mosby -Year Book, Inc; 2002:185–206.
- 3. Berman ML: Future directions in the surgical management of ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2003, 90(2 Pt 2):S33–S39.
- 4. Klug J, Beier HM, Bernard A, et al: Uteroglobin/Clara cell 10-kDa family of proteins: nomenclature committee report. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2000, 923:348–354.
- Ni J, Kalff-Suske M, Gentz R, et al: All human genes of the uteroglobin family are localized on chromosome 11q12.2 And form a dense cluster. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2000, 923:25–42.
- 6. Krop IE, Sgroi D, Porter DA, et al: HIN-1, a putative cytokine highly expressed in normal but not cancerous mammary epithelial cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001, 98(17):9796–9801.
- 7. Niimi T, Keck-Waggoner CL, Popescu NC, et al: UGRP1, A uteroglobin/Clara cell secretory protein-related protein, is a novel lung-enriched downstream target gene for the T/EBP/NKX2.1 Homeodomain transcription factor. Mol Endocrinol 2001, 15(11):2021–2036.
- Watson MA, Fleming TP: Isolation of differentially expressed sequence tags from human breast cancer. Cancer Res 1994, 54(17):4598–4602.
- 9. Becker RM, Darrow C, Zimonjic DB, et al: Identification of mammaglobin B, a novel member of the uteroglobin gene family. Genomics 1998, 54(1):70–78.
- 10. Mukherjee AB, Kundu GC, Mantile-Selvaggi G, et al: Uteroglobin: a novel cytokine? Cell Mol Life Sci 1999, 55(5):771–787.
- 11. Sjödin A, Guo D, Hofer PA, et al: Mammaglobin in normal human sweat glands and human sweat gland tumors. J Invest Dermatol 2003, 121(2):428–429.
- 12. Sjödin A, Guo D, Sørhaug S, et al: Dysregulated secretoglobin expression in human lung cancers. Lung Cancer 2003, 41(1):49–56.
- 13. Tachihara-Yoshikawa M, Ishida T, Watanabe K, et al: Expression of secretoglobin3A2 (SCGB3A2) in primary pulmonary carcinomas. Fukushima J Med Sci 2008, 54(2):61–72.
- 14. Kurotani R, Kumaki N, Naizhen X, et al: Secretoglobin 3A2/uteroglobinrelated protein 1 is a novel marker for pulmonary carcinoma in mice and humans. Lung Cancer 2011, J71(1):42–48.
- 15. Sjödin A, Guo D, Lund-Johansen M, et al: Secretoglobins in the human pituitary: high expression of lipophilin B and its down-regulation in pituitary adenomas. Acta Neuropathol 2005, 109(4):381–386.
- 16. Culleton J, O'Brien N, Ryan BM, et al: Lipophilin B: a gene preferentially expressed in breast tissue and upregulated in breast cancer. Int J Cancer 2007, 120(5):1087–1092.
- 17. Sjödin A, Ljuslinder I, Henriksson R, et al: Mammaglobin and lipophilin B expression in breast tumors and their lack of effect on breast cancer cell proliferation. Anticancer Res 2008, 28(3A):1493–1498.
- 18. Zafrakas M, Petschke B, Donner A, et al: Expression analysis of mammaglobin a (SCGB2A2) and lipophilin B (SCGB1D2) in more than 300 human tumors and matching normal tissues reveals their coexpression in gynecologic malignancies. BMC Cancer 2006, 6:88–100.
- 19. Tassi RA, Bignotti E, Falchetti M, et al: Mammaglobin B expression in human endometrial cancer. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2008, 18(5):1090-1096.
- 20. Onuma K, Dabbs DJ, Bhargava R: Mammaglobin expression in the female genital tract: immunohistochemical analysis in benign and neoplastic endocervix and endometrium. Int J Gynecol Pathol 2008, 27(3):418-425.
- 21. Bignotti E, Tassi RA, Calza S, et al: Differential gene expression profiles between tumor biopsies and short term primary cultures of ovarian serous carcinomas: identification of novel molecular biomarkers for early diagnosis and therapy. Gynecol Oncol 2006, 103(2):405–416.
- 22. Tassi RA, Bignotti E, Rossi E, et al: Overexpression of mammaglobin B in epithelial ovarian carcinomas. Gynecol Oncol 2007, 105(3):578–585.
- 23. Tassi RA, Calza S, Ravaggi A, et al: Mammaglobin B is an independent prognostic marker in epithelial ovarian cancer and its expression is associated with reduced risk of disease recurrence. BMC Cancer 2009, 9:253–264.
- 24. Jackson BC, Thompson DC, Wright MW, et al: Update of the human secretoglobin (SCGB) gene superfamily and an example of 'evolutionary bloom' of androgen-binding protein genes within the mouse scgb gene superfamily. Hum Genomics 2011, 5(6):691–702.
- 25. O'Brien N, Maguire TM, O'Donnovan N, et al: Mammaglobin a: a promising marker for breast cancer. Clin Chem 2002, 48:1362-1364
- 26. Lehrer RI, Xu G, Abduragimov A, et al: Lipophilin, a novel heterodimeric protein of human tears. FEBS Lett 1998, 432:163–167.
- 27. Colpitts TL, Billing-Mendel P, Friedman P, et al: Mammaglobin is found in breast tissue as a complex with BU101. Biochem 2001, 40:11048–11059.
- 28. Li J, Fadare O, Xiang L, Kong B, Zheng W: Ovarian serous carcinoma: recent concepts on its origin and carcinogenesis. J Hematol Oncol 2012, 5:8.
- 29. Auersperg N: Ovarian surface epithelium as a source of ovarian cancers: unwarranted speculation or evidence-based hypothesis? Gynecol Oncol 2013, 130:246–51.
- 30. Flesken-Nikitin A, Hwang CI, Cheng CY, Michurina TV, Enikolopov G, Nikitin AY: Ovarian surface epithelium at the junction area contains a cancer-prone stem cell niche. Nature 2013, 495(7440):241-5.
- 31. Krop I, Player A, Tablante A, et al: Frequent HIN-1 promoter methylation and lack of expression in multiple human cancer types. Mol Cancer Res 2004, 2:489–94.
- 32. Weeraratna AT, Cajigas JA, Schwartz A, et al: Loss of uteroglobin expression in prostate cancer: relationship to advancing grade. Clin Cancer Res 1997, 3(12 Pt 1):2295–2300.
- 33. Marchetti A, Barassi F, Martella C, et al: Downregulation of high in normal-1 (HIN-1) is a frequent event in stage I non-small cell lung cancer and correlates with poor clinical outcome. Clin Cancer Res 2004, 10:1338–43.
- 34. Akervall JA, Jin Y, Wennerberg JP, et al: Chromosomal abnormalities involving 11q13 are associated with poor prognosis in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Cancer 1995, 76(5):853–9.
- 35. Shuuring E: The involvement of the chromosome 11q13 region in human malignancies: cyclin D1 and EMS1 are two new candidate oncogenes–a review. Cancer 1995, 159(1):83–96.
- 36. Carter D, Douglass JF, Cornellison CD, et al: Purification and characterization of the mammaglobin/lipophilin B complex, a promising diagnostic marker for breast cancer. Biochem 2002, 41:6714–22.
- 37. Sjödin A, Ljuslinder I, Henriksson R, et al: Mammaglobin and lipophilin B expression in breast tumors and their lack of effect on breast cancer cell proliferation. Anticancer Res 2008, 28:1493–8.
- 38. Tucker JM, Lipatova Z, Beljanski V, et al: Evaluation of lipophilins as determinants of tumor cell response to estramustine. *J Pharmacol Exp* Ther 2005, 315(3):1158–62.
- 39. Carter D, Dillon DC, Reynolds LD, et al: Serum antibodies to lipophilin B detected in late-stage breast cancer patients. Clin Cancer Research 2003, 9:749–54.
- 40. Chen G, Gharib TG, Huang CC, et al: Discordant protein and mRNA expression in lung adenocarcinomas. Mol Cell Proteomics 2002, 1(4):304–13.
- 41. Greenbaum D, Colangelo C, Williams K, Gerstein M: Comparing protein abundance and mRNA expression levels on a genomic scale. Genome Biol 2003, 4(9):117.
- 42. Tian Q, Stepaniants SB, Mao M, et al: Integrated genomic and proteomic analyses of gene expression in mammalian cells. Mol Cell Proteomics 2004, 3(10):960–9.

doi:10.1186/1479-5876-11-162

Cite this article as: Bignotti et al.: Secretoglobin expression in ovarian carcinoma: lipophilin B gene upregulation as an independent marker of better prognosis. Journal of Translational Medicine 2013 11:162.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- **Convenient online submission**
- **Thorough peer review**
- **No space constraints or color figure charges**
- **Immediate publication on acceptance**
- **Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar**
- **Research which is freely available for redistribution**

BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit