DOI: 10.1111/mcn.12035

Original Article

Fruit and vegetable exposure in children is linked to the selection of a wider variety of healthy foods at school

Elizabeth V. Korinek, John B. Bartholomew, Esbelle M. Jowers and Lara A. Latimer The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, USA

Abstract

Schools often offer healthy fruits and vegetables (FV) and healthy entrées. However, children may resist these efforts due to a lack of familiarity with the offerings. While numerous exposures with a food increase its liking, it may be that an exposure to a variety of FV at home leads to greater willingness to select other foods – even those that are unrelated to those eaten at home. As an initial test of this possibility, this study was designed to examine how self-reports of exposure and consumption of various FV were associated with the selection of FV and lunch entrées at school. Participants (n = 59) were a convenience sample of elementary children. A median split was used to place students into high- and low-exposure groups for self-reports of both exposure and consumption at home. The primary dependent variables were self-reports of selecting FV at school; the children's absolute and relative ratings of eight 'healthier' lunch entrées; and self-reports of selecting these entrées. These entrées were recently added to the school menu and, therefore, tended to be less familiar to children. Food ratings were collected through taste exposures conducted at school. Results indicate that children who reported more frequent exposure to FV at home consumed a wider variety of FV at school and were more likely to report selecting 'healthier' entrées at school lunch. These data suggest that exposure to, and the consumption of, a variety of FV may make children more willing to select a wider range of FV and other healthy entrées.

Keywords: children, fruits and vegetables, exposure, school lunch.

Correspondence: Dr John B. Bartholomew, Department of Kinesiology and Health Education, The University of Texas at Austin, 1 University Station, D3700, Austin, TX 78712-1204, USA. E-mail: jbart@austin.utexas.edu

This study was approved by The University of Texas Institutional Review Board, and both parental consent and student assent were obtained prior to data collection.

Introduction

Childhood obesity is a nationwide epidemic. Results from the 1976–1980 and 2007–2008 National Health And Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) indicate that obesity increased from 6.5% to 19.6% in children aged 6–11 years, and from 5.0% to 18.1% in adolescents aged 12–19 years (Ogden *et al.* 2012). Obese and overweight children are at an increased risk for numerous adverse health conditions, including high blood pressure, hyperlipidemia, elevated insulin levels, elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels, type 2 diabetes, orthopaedic complications, and psychological and behavioural problems (depression, low self-esteem, anxiety) (Deckelbaum & Williams 2001; Weiss *et al.* 2004; Freedman *et al.* 2007). Children with a body mass index in the 85th–95th percentile are classified as overweight and are at risk for being overweight or obese later in life, with this risk increasing with increasing age (Deckelbaum & Williams 2001; Guo *et al.* 2002; Morrison *et al.* 2008). Thus, it is important to intervene early in order to establish lasting, long-term health behaviour patterns.

Although the causes of childhood obesity are complex, primary contributors appear to be an overall pattern of consumption emphasising high-fat foods and sugary beverages, and a decreased intake of fruits and vegetables (FV) (Nicklas et al. 2001; Berkey et al. 2012). In fact, pizza, snacks and desserts may account for one-third of the daily intake of a child's diet (Van Horn et al. 2005), with 30% of adolescents consuming fast food up to three or more times per week (Bauer et al. 2009). In contrast, FV consumption is known to aid in weight management due to its low-energy density and high water and fibre content (Rolls et al. 2004; Ledikwe et al. 2006; Vioque et al. 2008). Several researchers have demonstrated a link between an increased FV intake and a lower body weight (Kahn et al. 1997; Trudeau et al. 1998; Lin & Morrison 2002; He et al. 2004), as well as a reduced risk for chronic disease, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, certain types of cancers and metabolic syndrome (Riboli & Norat 2003; Esmaillzadeh et al. 2006; Yeh et al. 2008). Additionally, dietary patterns and eating behaviours developed during childhood have been shown to track into adolescence and adulthood (Kelder et al. 1994; Ritchie et al. 2007; Cutler et al. 2009).

Despite the increased awareness of the importance of FV intake and initiatives, such as Healthy People 2020, FV consumption among children in the United States falls well below recommendations. It is estimated that only 10–14% of girls and boys aged 4–8 years and 18–20% of children 9–13 years consume the USDA Nutrition Plate recommendations of five or more servings of FV per day (Guenther *et al.* 2006). A recent analysis of the 2003–2004 NHANES data by Kimmons *et al.* (2009) indicates that this may be an overestimation. When data were analysed in the form of two, non-consecutive 24-h recalls – instead of a single day – results indicated that a shocking 0.9% of adolescents aged 12–18 years met the recommendations.

This lack of FV consumption may derive from an absence of early and consistent exposure to a variety of FV. An early study by Birch et al. (1987) demonstrated that 'taste' exposures are more effective than merely 'look' exposures in increasing a child's preference for seven novel fruits. Likewise, Wardle et al. (2003b) found that daily exposure to sweet red pepper strips for 2 weeks, or eight tasting sessions, significantly increased both the liking and the consumption in children aged 5-7 years, compared to a no-treatment control. This effect is generalisable to parent intervention. Wardle et al. (2003a) demonstrated that a 14-day parent-led exposure to an initially disliked vegetable increased liking, ranking and consumption of the target vegetable in children 2-6 vears of age.

The evidence supporting the link between exposure, preference and intake of FV has led to the implementation of numerous school-based nutrition intervention programmes to prevent weight gain. With 50% of youth eating at least one meal at school and 10% consuming two (Hendy *et al.* 2005; Howerton *et al.* 2007), the school setting is an ideal environment for targeting food behaviour change in youth. In February of 2010, First Lady Michelle Obama introduced a nationwide initiative, Let's Move, and incorporated the HealthierUS School Challenge (HUSSC) into her campaign. HUSSC was

Key messages

- Both being offered and eating a range of fruits and vegetables (FV) at home predicted children's reports of consumption of FV offered at school lunch.
- Children offered a greater variety of FV at home were more likely to select the chef salad, Greek salad and veggie hummus plate than those not frequently offered FV at home.
- Future interventions should focus on ways to increase exposure to, and accessibility of, FV in both the home and the school environment, as well as decrease the number of competing foods offered in conjunction with these lower-fat, novel entrées.

established in 2004 and awards schools that participate in the National School Lunch Program, with a monetary incentive for meeting a distinguished standard of food quality, nutrition education and physical activity opportunities. Four levels of performance are awarded based on criteria set forth by the USDA: Bronze, Silver, Gold and Gold of Distinction. For example, an elementary school awarded the Gold Standard must offer a different vegetable every day of the week, with dark green or orange vegetables offered three or more days per week and cooked dry beans or peas at least once per week.

The relative newness of the HUSSC awards provides a novel area of research for scientists in the health domain. Attempts to implement this programme will necessitate change in menu construction - often for foods that are unfamiliar to most children. For example, wrap and pita sandwiches, main-dish salads and novel vegetables (e.g. sweet potatoes) are being offered at a greater frequency to children who are not likely to have experience with these foods. Given the relationship between the number of taste exposures and food preference (Birch et al. 1987; Lakkakula et al. 2011), one would expect these, less familiar foods to be bypassed by children. If so, this would prevent sufficient exposure to develop a positive opinion of their taste and, potentially, undermines the effort to modify eating behaviour through the school lunch menu. However, this raises the question of if exposure must be tied to the specific foods or, if exposure to a variety of FV might generalise to other food items.

The existing research has centred on the match between exposure and later food selection of the target item – e.g. exposure to celery increases liking and consumption of celery (Wardle *et al.* 2003a,b). However, might exposure to celery also increase children's willingness to select and enjoy a Thai salad and other novel entrées? There are reasons to expect this to be the case. Children who are exposed to a variety of FV may be more willing to experiment with other less familiar foods. Falciglia *et al.* (2000) found that neophobic children (unwilling to try new foods) had less overall diet quality, as assessed by the USDA Healthy Eating Index, than both 'average' and 'neophilic' children. In addition, exposure to more bitter FV might also create less reactance to other less sweet foods. Prior to testing these potential mediators, it would be reasonable to ascertain if exposure to a variety of FV is associated with taste ratings of less popular lunch entrées. Taste-test data have previously been used to describe the absolute and relative preference of low-fat entrées in elementary school children (Jowers *et al.* 2009). Results found numerous instances in which a high absolute rating for the entrée was paired with a low intention to select, when presented as an alternative to popular, higher-fat entrées (e.g. cheeseburger). The distinction between the absolute and relative ratings of the entrées demonstrates that low-fat foods can be palatable to children but not selected for lunch at school.

Thus, this study is designed to (1) examine the relationship between exposure to a variety of FV in children and the consumption of FV at school lunch; (2) to quantify the preference for several novel lunch entrées, recently introduced to the Gold Standard lunch menu; and (3) to examine the relationship between FV exposure at home and selection of these recently introduced lunch entrées at school.

Materials and methods

Participants

The participants were 59 ethnically diverse children enrolled in the third, fourth and fifth grades (total number of students enrolled = 264) in a Central Texas elementary school, awarded the USDA Gold Standard. Specific demographic information is reported in the Results section. Gender and race/ethnicity were based on self-report at the distribution of the first survey. This study was approved by The University of Texas Institutional Review Board, and both parental consent and student assent were obtained prior to data collection.

Instrumentation

The FV questionnaire was developed to test home and school exposure for five fruits (orange, pineapple, mandarin orange, melon and apple) and six vegetables (cucumber, carrots, bell pepper, broccoli, zucchini and tomato) offered as a part of this particular elementary school's lunch. These foods were chosen because they are offered as side dishes each week as a part of the Gold Standard menu. Previous studies have provided evidence that children will reliably report FV exposure. Domel et al. (1993) measured FV exposure and preference to fruits, vegetables and snacks in fourth and fifth graders, and found internal consistencies to range from 0.65 to 0.95 and testretest reliabilities from 0.65 to 0.84. A more recent study by Economos et al. (2008) assessed FV exposure in 6-9-year-old children, and found that over 90% of the children reported valid intake recall (as was confirmed through direct observation), with testretest reliabilities for recall ranging from 93% to 94% (fruits) and from 76% to 90% (vegetables). For the present study, we applied this methodology with two photos of a single fruit or a single vegetable. One was a photo of how it was offered at school and the second was a generic photo of the same item. To assess exposure, each page contained two identical columns, one in English and one in Spanish, with four multiplechoice questions that referred to the specific fruit or vegetable pictured at the top of the page (e.g. 'My parents have never offered this to me, sometimes offer this to me, always offer this to me'). The four questions are shown in Table 1 and are similar in language and format as those found in previous research (Economos et al. 2008). Cronbach's alphas

Table I. High and low group participant characteristics

| | Parents | s offer | Eat at home | |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|-------------|------|
| | Low | High | Low | High |
| Mean FV offered/Eaten (#) | 6.29 | 9.84 | 5.63 | 9.16 |
| Male (%) | 52.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 45.2 |
| Female (%) | 48.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 54.8 |
| Third graders (%) | 17.9 | 29.0 | 18.5 | 28.1 |
| Fourth graders (%) | 14.3 | 3.2 | 14.8 | 3.1 |
| Fifth graders (%) | 67.9 | 67.7 | 66.7 | 68.8 |
| Non-Hispanic white (%) | 11.5 | 9.7 | 12.0 | 9.4 |
| Hispanic white (%) | 65.4 | 58.1 | 64.0 | 59.4 |
| Non-Hispanic black (%) | 7.7 | 9.7 | 8.0 | 9.4 |
| Hispanic black (%) | 11.5 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 |
| Non-Hispanic Asian (%) | 3.8 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 6.3 |
| Hispanic American Indian (%) | 0.0 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 15.6 |

FV, fruits and vegetables.

ranged from 0.72 to 0.78 for the fruit items and from 0.59 to 0.74 for the vegetable items. In general, children tend to prefer fruits over vegetables due to their sweet taste and pleasant texture (Kroiner *et al.* 2011) and are, therefore, more likely to be offered and to eat a wider variety of fruits than vegetables. As a result, while the alphas for the vegetable items are acceptable, the lower value is expected as children are likely to have less exposure to a wider range of vegetables than fruits. Items were coded as 0 (never offered) and 1 (sometimes or always offered) and summed across all food items for each child. A median split was used to categorise students into high and low groups for parent offering of the FV at home and into high and low groups for the actual consumption of the FV at home.

The taste-test evaluation form used in this study was developed by Jowers et al. (2009) for absolute and relative taste ratings for the school lunch in elementary children (test-retest reliability coefficient = 0.87). Children were asked to provide an absolute rating of the entrée on a 5-point Likert-type scale (0 = disliked a lot, 4 = liked a lot). Relative rating was assessed for each food by asking children if they would (1) select the food for lunch with no comparison and (2) select the food instead of several comparison foods that were offered as a part of their lunch menu: fruit and cheese platter, whole grain chicken nuggets and whole grain pizza. These items were selected because they reflect the range of entrée popularity. For each question, children circled a 'yes' or a 'no', coded as 1 and 0, respectively. Selection against pizza, chicken nuggets, and the fruit and cheese platter were analysed using binomial tests to indicate the child's preference for the specific entrée.

Procedure

Administration of the FV questionnaire took place in the school gym during a physical education (PE) class. Children entered the gym during their assigned class time and those that had provided parental consent and written assent to participate in the study were pulled aside. The number of students taking the questionnaire at any one time ranged from 5 to 17. The questionnaires, clipboards and pencils were distributed to the students and they were given verbal directions for completion of the first page (identification and demographics). These directions included clarifying information for each item, as well as responses to any questions from the students. Upon completion of the first page by the full group, verbal instructions pertaining to each question of the survey were given to ensure understanding and clarity. Students proceeded, question by question, following the instructions, and were encouraged to ask questions at any time during the assessment. The questionnaire took approximately 10–15 min to complete, after which students joined the rest of the class for the remainder of PE.

The district offered three lunch entrées each day, and we limited to 4 days of data collection. Children tasted all three entrées offered for that day's lunch, resulting in a total of 12 taste tests: whole grain cheese pizza, Thai chicken salad, chicken ranch pita, chef salad, whole grain chicken nuggets, ham and cheese sub, Greek salad, sunbutter and apple sandwich, veggie hummus plate, turkey cheese pocket, chicken patty and cheeseburger. The pizza, chicken nuggets, chicken patty and hamburger were not included in the analyses because of their high popularity among the students. All samples were prepared by the food service staff the morning of the testing and were onefourth the size of a normal lunch entrée. Prior to student arrival, cups with water, eating utensils and the taste-test forms for the first entrée were placed at every other seat on the cafeteria tables by the researchers. Children entered the cafeteria as a class and were instructed to sit down and fill out the identification and demographic information. In an effort to limit peer pressure for a particular response, children were spaced apart by at least one seat for all testing. Food samples were then distributed and the children were told to taste the food and then fill out the evaluation. Members of the research team monitored the completion of the forms to ensure that students followed the protocol and understood all items on the evaluation. Students were instructed to raise their hand when they had finished, and a staff member collected the form. After collection of the forms for the first entrée by all students in a particular class, the same procedure was repeated for the subsequent

entrées for that day. Students tasted no more than three entrées in each tasting session. If any students were unwilling to taste the sample, the research staff was told to collect the food and the form was not included in the data analyses. However, no instances of complete refusal to try a sample were reported by the research staff. Three of the four tasting sessions were conducted in the morning, at approximately 9:30 am, while one session was conducted at 1:30 pm, due to a special request by the school personnel.

Results

Participants

Of the 59 participants, 59.3% were Hispanic white, 8.5% were non-Hispanic white, 11.9% were non-Hispanic black, 5.1% were Hispanic black, 8.5% were American Indian, 5.1% were Asian and 1.7% were Native Hawaiian. The gender of the sample was evenly divided (30 girls and 29 boys), with 23.7% enrolled in the third grade, 8.5% in the fourth grade and 67.8% in the fifth grade. None of the students failed to taste a food and, as a result, all children were included in the analysis. A median split was used to categorise students into high and low groups for parent offering of the FV at home and into high and low groups for the actual consumption of the FV at home. The demographic characteristics of these groups are summarised in Table 1. For parent offering of FV at home, the low group was offered a mean of 6.29 FV and the high group was offered a mean of 9.84 FV. There was an even distribution of gender in each group, with both the low and the high group having exactly 48.0% females and 52.0% males. The low group was 11.5% non-Hispanic white, 65.4% Hispanic white, 7.7% non-Hispanic black, 11.5% Hispanic black and 3.8% non-Hispanic Asian. The high group was 9.7% non-Hispanic white, 58.1% Hispanic white, 9.7% non-Hispanic black, 6.5% non-Hispanic Asian and 16.1% Hispanic American Indian. The low group was composed of 17.9% third graders, 14.3% fourth graders and 67.9% fifth graders. The high group was composed of 29.0% third graders, 3.2% fourth graders and 67.7% fifth graders. For the actual consumption of FV at home, the low group ate a mean of 5.63 FV and the high group ate a mean of 9.16 FV. The low group was 48.0% female and 52.0% male, while the high group was 54.8% female and 45.2% male. The low group was 12.0% non-Hispanic white, 64.0% Hispanic white, 8.0% non-Hispanic black, 12.0% Hispanic black and 4.0% non-Hispanic Asian. The high group was 9.4% non-Hispanic white, 59.4% Hispanic white, 9.4% non-Hispanic black, 6.3% non-Hispanic Asian and 15.6% Hispanic American Indian. The low group was composed of 18.5% third graders, 14.8% fourth graders and 66.7% fifth graders. The high group was composed of 28.1% third graders, 3.1% fourth graders and 68.8% fifth graders.

Fruit and vegetable items: descriptives

All data were analysed using SPSS Version 19 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Mean scores \pm standard deviations for each FV for the four variables of interest ('parents offer', 'eat at home', 'school offers' and 'eat at school') are reported in Table 2. There was a range of 0–2 for each variable (0 = never offered, 1 = sometimes offered, 2 = always offered), with n = 59 students.

Prediction of fruit and vegetable consumption at school

To determine if exposure to and eating a variety of FV at home were associated with the consumption

of FV at school, Mann–Whitney U independent nonparametric tests were conducted between the categorised high and low groups and the consumption of FV at school. This required two sets of analyses. One set of analyses was conducted for students who reported high or low home offerings ('parents offer'). A second set of analyses was conducted as a function of high and low consumption ('at home I eat'). Both sets of tests used selfreported consumption of individual FV as the dependent variable.

Results indicated that consumption at school significantly differed between the high- and lowexposure groups for 6 of the 11 FV items: oranges (z = 2.16, P < 0.05), cucumber (z = 2.44, P < 0.05), pineapple (z = 3.41, P < 0.01), mandarin oranges (z = 2.93, P < 0.01), tomato (z = 3.14, P < 0.01) and broccoli (z = 3.26, P < 0.01). In contrast, the level of consumption at school significantly differed between the high and low home consumption groups for 10 out of the 11 FV items: oranges (z = 2.24, P < 0.05), cucumber (z = 2.64, P < 0.01), pineapple (z = 4.19, P < 0.01), mandarin oranges (z = 3.06, P < 0.01), tomatoes (z = 3.47, P < 0.01), bell pepper (z = 2.25, P < 0.01) P < 0.05), broccoli (z = 4.00, P < 0.01), melon (z = 3.06, P < 0.01), apples (z = 2.79, P < 0.01) and zucchini (z = 2.27, P < 0.05). Thus, consumption at home had a broader impact on consumption at school than did mere exposure to FV at home.

| | Parents of | er | Eat at hon | ne | School offe | ers | Eat at scho | ool |
|------------------|------------|------|------------|------|-------------|------|-------------|------|
| | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| Orange | 1.19* | 0.57 | 1.19 | 0.57 | 1.15 | 0.41 | 1.12 | 0.49 |
| Cucumber | 0.98 | 0.63 | 0.95 | 0.75 | 0.97 | 0.49 | 0.76 | 0.68 |
| Carrots | 1.14 | 0.60 | 1.25 | 0.66 | 1.02 | 0.47 | 0.98 | 0.67 |
| Pineapple | 1.10 | 0.61 | 1.32 | 0.71 | 1.11 | 0.59 | 1.25 | 0.78 |
| Mandarin oranges | 1.14 | 0.78 | 1.25 | 0.85 | 1.11 | 0.45 | 1.32 | 0.75 |
| Tomato | 0.78 | 0.77 | 0.51 | 0.65 | 0.86 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.68 |
| Bell Pepper | 0.42 | 0.59 | 0.34 | 0.58 | 0.43 | 0.62 | 0.25 | 0.54 |
| Broccoli | 1.19 | 0.71 | 0.98 | 0.78 | 1.02 | 0.47 | 0.88 | 0.77 |
| Melon | 1.19 | 0.68 | 1.25 | 0.71 | 0.03 | 0.55 | 1.07 | 0.77 |
| Apple | 1.47 | 0.68 | 1.51 | 0.69 | 1.17 | 0.53 | 1.47 | 0.68 |
| Zucchini | 0.46 | 0.60 | 0.36 | 0.61 | 0.53 | 0.57 | 0.40 | 0.65 |

Table 2. Descriptive statistics on fruits and vegetable items

*0 = never offered, 1 = sometimes offered, 2 = always offered.

Taste tests: descriptives

Absolute ratings

Mean scores \pm standard deviations for the absolute ratings of each entrée are reported in Table 3. Paired t-tests were conducted between the means for the absolute ratings, and a significance level of 0.05 was used for all comparisons. Results are summarised in Table 3. An examination of the mean differences indicates that the entrées varied greatly in their absolute preference ratings. Post hoc analysis indicated that all entrées fell within one of three bands of student absolute preference rating (indicated by superscripts a, b and c). Entrées within each group are not different from one another, but are significantly (P < 0.05) different from the entrées in the other two groups. The highest rated group of entrées, marked with an 'a', was rated by participants between 2.50 and 3.00 on the 4-point Likert-type scale. These included the ham and cheese sub and sunbutter and apple sandwich. The second band of entrées, marked with a 'b', was rated by participants between 1.50 and 2.00 on the same scale. These included the chef salad, chicken ranch pita, turkey cheese pocket and the Thai salad. The least preferred grouping, marked with a 'c', was rated between 0.80 and 1.05 on the same scale. These included the Greek salad and veggie hummus plate.

Additionally, the mean scores \pm standard deviations and effect sizes for the absolute preference ratings by group (high and low for parental offering of FV and high and low for the consumption of FV at home) were calculated and are reported in Table 2. Differences between means were analysed using a one-way analysis of variance. Results indicated that these groups only differed in two of the eight entrées tested. A significant difference between the absolute ratings of the chef salad (F = 7.65, P < 0.01) and the Greek salad (F = 11.04, P < 0.01) were found between high and low groups of parental offering of FV. No significant differences were found between the high and low groups for the consumption of FV at home.

Relative ratings

The relative ratings of the eight entrées of interest were analysed using binomial tests of significance and

| Entrée | и | Overall | SD | Parents of | fer low | Parents of | ffer high | Effect | Eat at hor | ne low | Eat at hon | ne high | Effect |
|-----------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| | | Mean | | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | size | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | size |
| Ham and cheese sub | 53 | 3.00° | 06.0 | 2.87 | 1.01 | 3.10 | 0.80 | 0.25 | 2.75 | 1.03 | 3.21 | 0.73 | 0.52 |
| Sunbutter and apple sandwich | 52 | 2.63^{\dagger} | 1.36 | 2.73 | 1.25 | 2.52 | 1.47 | 0.16 | 2.68 | 1.28 | 2.57 | 1.45 | 0.08 |
| Chef salad | 55 | 1.98° | 1.51 | 1.40* | 1.47 | 2.47* | 1.38 | 0.75 | 1.67 | 1.58 | 2.23 | 1.43 | 0.37 |
| Chicken ranch pita | 50 | 1.94^{*} | 1.25 | 1.82 | 1.40 | 2.04 | 1.14 | 0.17 | 2.00 | 1.41 | 1.90 | 1.15 | 0.08 |
| Turkey and cheese pocket | 25 | 1.70^{*} | 1.10 | 1.61 | 1.15 | 1.82 | 1.08 | 0.19 | 1.71 | 1.22 | 1.69 | 1.03 | 0.01 |
| Thai salad | 48 | 1.52^{*} | 1.30 | 1.17 | 1.03 | 1.84 | 1.46 | 0.54 | 1.18 | 1.14 | 1.81 | 1.39 | 0.50 |
| Greek salad | 52 | $1.05^{\$}$ | 1.16 | 0.56^{*} | 0.85 | 1.54* | 1.24 | 0.94 | 0.82 | 1.11 | 1.26 | 1.20 | 0.38 |
| Veggie and hummus plate | 52 | $0.86^{\$}$ | 1.01 | 0.60 | 06.0 | 1.12 | 1.07 | 0.53 | 0.58 | 0.91 | 1.11 | 1.05 | 0.54 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Significant difference between g | roups (F | • < 0.01). [†] Sunt | outter and | apple sandw | ich and ham | and cheese su | ıb (highest ra | ted group of | entrées). [‡] Che | ef salad, chic | ken ranch pita | , turkey chee | se pocket |

Table 3. Descriptive statistics on absolute ratings of entrées

and Thai salad (medium rated group of entrées). [§]Greek salad and veggie hummus plate (least preferred entrées)

| Pizza (%) | Chicken nuggets | Fruit and cheese |
|-----------|---|--|
| | (%) | platter (%) |
| 21* | 29 | 57 |
| 21* | 38 | 57 |
| 27 | 29 | 58 |
| 24 | 22 | 25 |
| 21 | 17 | 38 |
| 25 | 18 | 45 |
| 12 | 20 | 28 |
| 15 | 17 | 14 |
| 6 | 4 | 10 |
| | Pizza (%) 21* 27 24 21 25 12 15 6 | Pizza (%) Chicken nuggets (%) 21* 38 27 29 24 22 21 17 25 18 12 20 15 17 6 4 |

 Table 4. Comparison of relative ratings of entrées

*The percent of students that would pick the entrée of interest over the comparison item (either pizza, chicken nuggets or the fruit and cheese platter).

tested relative to the 50% distribution (Ho: P = 0.50). Results are reported as the percent of students that would pick the entrée of interest over the comparison item and are shown in Table 4. The results of the binomial tests were similar to the data for the absolute preference ratings, with three levels of preference for these entrées. The highest rated entrées were found for the ham and cheese sub and the sunbutter and apple sandwich. These were followed by a lower, but similarly grouped set of ratings for the chef salad, chicken ranch pita, Thai salad and the turkey cheese pocket. The lowest relative ratings were found in the Greek salad and the veggie hummus plate. Additionally, it can be seen that intention to select was highest when the entrées were compared to the fruit and cheese platter.

Prediction of entrée selection

To determine if exposure to and eating a variety of FV at home were associated with the selection of FV at school, Mann–Whitney U independent nonparametric tests were conducted between the categorised high and low groups and the consumption of FV at school. This required two sets of analyses. One set of analyses was conducted for students who reported high or low home offerings ('parents offer'). A second set of analyses was conducted as a function of high and low consumption ('at home I eat'). Both sets of tests used self-reported selection of individual FV as the dependent variable. Results indicated that the distribution of selection differed between high and low groups for parental offering of FV at home for three of the eight entrées. These included the chef salad (z = 2.55, P < 0.05), the Greek salad (z = 2.09, P < 0.05) and the veggie humus plate (z = 2.10, P < 0.05). In the second analysis, the distribution of selection across high and low groups for the consumption of FV at home was only significant for one of the eight entrees – the veggie and hummus plate (z = 2.02, P < 0.05) – although there was a trend for the chef salad and the Thai salad.

Discussion

The first aim of this study was to examine the relationship between exposure to a variety of FV in children and the consumption of FV at school lunch. Results indicated that the self-report of both being offered a range of FV at home and of actually eating a range of FV at home predicted children's reports of consumption of FV that are offered at school lunch. This finding supports existing literature that home availability and accessibility of FV is associated with child intakes of those foods (Van Der Horst et al. 2007; Pearson et al. 2009; Spence et al. 2011), as well as supporting the theory that exposure drives preference and consumption of foods in children (Wardle et al. 2003a,b; Cooke 2007). In this study, children who were highly exposed to FV at home were willing to eat more of the FV offered at school lunch, including typically 'disliked' items, such as cucumbers and tomatoes (Lakkakula et al. 2008).

The second aim of this study was to quantify the preference for several lunch entrées that had recently been introduced to the Gold Standard lunch menu. The absolute and relative taste-test ratings indicated that children liked the ham and cheese sub on whole grain bread and the sunbutter and apple sandwich most out of the eight entrées taste-tested. This may be due to a resemblance of these foods to familiar items – e.g. the typical deli sandwich and a peanut-butter and jelly sandwich. Indeed, Pliner & Stallberg-White (2000) demonstrated that children were more willing to try novel foods when it was paired with a familiar flavour than when it was served alone. The chef salad, chicken ranch pita, turkey and cheese pocket, and

Thai salad were moderately liked by children and may be a viable option for schools to offer with greater frequency in the future. The relative ratings of these entrées showed a reasonably high intention to select (near 20%) even when paired against popular items, such as pizza and chicken nuggets. This value increased when the comparison item was the fruit and cheese platter. This supports previous literature that states that reducing the number of high-fat competing entrées offered in conjunction with low-fat items will increase the likelihood of the selection of these items. Bartholomew & Jowers (2006) showed that low-fat entrees were selected more than twice as often when they were paired with one rather than two alternative, higher-fat entrees at elementary school lunch.

Finally, the third aim of this study was to examine the relationship between FV exposure at home and the selection of several unfamiliar lunch entrées at school. To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess the generalisability of FV exposure to other types of food. Our results indicated that children who were offered a greater variety of FV at home were more likely to select the chef salad, the Greek salad and the veggie hummus plate than those who were not frequently offered FV at home. This was also supported by the significant difference between high and low groups of parental offering of FV between the absolute ratings of the chef salad and the Greek salad. Although this trend was not true for every entrée, this novel finding suggests that offering children a variety of FV may lead to a willingness to try new foods: especially those items that are typically avoided. For example, the Greek salad and the veggie hummus plate were rated the lowest in both absolute and relative terms, but were both more likely to be selected by those with higher exposure to FV at home. While this conclusion is speculative, it does hold promise for potential intervention design and a direction for future research.

These findings highlight the importance of continuing to increase the amount and variety of FV offered to children in the school environment, as it is apparent that not all children are regularly exposed to these foods at home. Second, results found numerous instances in which a high absolute rating for the entrées was paired with a low intention to select when presented as an alternative to popular, high-fat entrées (e.g. cheeseburger). Thus, by decreasing the number of higher-fat or 'popular' items, children may select the unfamiliar and more healthful lunch entrées at a greater frequency. This would result in an increased number of exposures to these foods and thus the potential to enhance preference. Taste-test data have been used to describe the absolute rating and relative preference of low-fat entrees in elementary school children (Jowers *et al.* 2009). The distinction between the absolute and relative ratings of the entrées demonstrates that low-fat foods can be palatable to children but not selected for lunch at school.

Limitations

There are several limitations in this study. First, the conclusions of this study are based on a relatively small sample of children of varied developmental levels and thus may not generalise to all elementary students. Second, this study measured the absolute and relative preference for the entrées, not the actual selection and consumption of the items during school lunch. Although it would have been ideal to support the intention scores with actual purchases, several studies have utilised similar measurements to predict health behaviour (Saba & Di Natale 1998; Nader et al. 1999). Third, this study utilised self-report of children's exposure to and consumption of FV without parent verification. However, as previously stated, researchers have demonstrated children's ability to accurately report FV exposure and intake (Domel et al. 1993; Economos et al. 2008), and obtainment of full psychometric properties was beyond the scope of this study. Fourth, the participants in this study were of a narrow range of ethnicity (predominately Hispanic); thus, we do not know if the high and low groupings used in the analyses would generalise across other schools of a more varied population. Fifth, in a desire to construct a workable set of items, the assessment tool was limited to the 11 FV offered in this school lunch. While this included both popular (apples, oranges, carrots) and less popular (broccoli, zucchini, melon) items, it failed to include other popular (e.g. grapes) and less popular (papaya) items. This raises the possibility that some other sets of items might result in a different pattern of results. However, there was a significant relationship between exposure to these items and food preference. Thus, it appears as if the items were sufficient to tap into some aspect of general exposure. Despite this, further test of this methodology, with different items, is warranted. Finally, we did not assess the history of children's exposure to the 'less familiar' entrées and, thus, we do not know if children were being offered items such as hummus or salads at home, nor do we know how often they had selected these entrées at school. However, this was the first year that these entrées appeared on the school lunch menu and, thus, all children had the same school exposure to each entrée at school. A check of the menu in the months in which data collection took place indicated that children were exposed, on average, to each of the eight entrées two and a half times per month; the maximum number of times any one entrée was offered in a single month was four.

Conclusions

The present study found that children who are more frequently exposed to 11 FV at home consume more FV at school and are more likely to select healthy, less familiar entrées at school lunch. Future interventions should focus on ways to increase the exposure to and accessibility of FV in both the home and the school environment, as well as decrease the number of competing foods, particularly those that engender low relative preferences, offered in conjunction with these lower-fat, novel entrées.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Caelie Dunn and Helen Adeniken for their assistance in data collection and coordination with the elementary school.

Source of funding

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R21HD058201.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Contributions

EVK and JBB analysed and interpreted the data. EVK wrote the initial draft of the manuscript and JBB provided statistical guidance in data analyses, as well as guidance in the interpretation of results and subsequent manuscript revisions. All co-authors participated in data collection and manuscript preparation and critically reviewed the text for important intellectual content.

References

- Bartholomew J.B. & Jowers E.M. (2006) Increasing frequency of lower-fat entrees offered at school lunch: an environmental change strategy to increase healthful selections. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* **106**, 248–252.
- Bauer K.W., Larson N.I., Nelson M.C., Story M. & Neumark-Sztainer D. (2009) Fast food intake among adolescents: secular and longitudinal trends from 1999 to 2004. *Preventive Medicine* 48, 284–287.
- Berkey C.S., Rockett H.R.H., Field A.E., Gillman M.W. & Colditz G.A. (2012) Sugar-added beverages and adolescent weight change. *Obesity Research* 12, 778–788.
- Birch L.L., McPhee L., Shoba B., Pirok E. & Steinberg L. (1987) What kind of exposure reduces children's food neophobia? Looking vs. tasting. *Appetite* 9, 171–178.
- Cooke L. (2007) The importance of exposure for healthy eating in childhood: a review. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics* **20**, 294–301.
- Cutler G.J., Flood A., Hannan P. & Neumark-Sztainer D. (2009) Major patterns of dietary intake in adolescents and their stability over time. *The Journal of Nutrition* 139, 323–328.
- Deckelbaum R.J. & Williams C.L. (2001) Childhood obesity: the health issue. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 9, 239S– 243S.
- Domel S.B., Baranowski T., Davis H., Leonard S.B., Riley P. & Baranowski J. (1993) Measuring fruit and vegetable preferences among 4th- and 5th-grade students. *Preventive Medicine* 22, 866–879.
- Economos C.D., Sacheck J.M., Kwan Ho Chui K., Irizzary L., Guillemont J., Collins J.J. & Hyatt R.R. (2008) School-based behavioral assessment tools are reliable and valid for measurement of fruit and vegetable intake,

physical activity, and television viewing in young children. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* **108**, 695–701.

- Esmaillzadeh A., Kimiagar M., Mehrabi Y., Azadbakht L., Hu F.B. & Willett W.C. (2006) Fruit and vegetable intakes, C-reactive protein, and the metabolic syndrome. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **84**, 1489–1497.
- Falciglia G.A., Couch S.C., GRIBBLE L.S., Pabst S.M. & Frank R. (2000) Food neophobia in childhood affects dietary variety. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* **100**, 1474–1481.
- Freedman D.S., Mei Z., Srinivasan S.R., Berenson G.S. & Dietz W.H. (2007) Cardiovascular risk factors and excess adiposity among overweight children and adolescents: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *The Journal of Pediatrics* 150, 12–17.
- Guenther P.M., Dodd K.W., Reedy J. & Krebs-Smith S.M. (2006) Most Americans eat much less than recommended amounts of fruits and vegetables. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* **106**, 1371–1379.
- Guo S.S., Wu W., Chumlea W.C. & Roche A.F. (2002) Predicting overweight and obesity in adulthood from body mass index values in childhood and adolescence. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **76**, 653–658.
- He K., Hu F., Colditz G., Manson J., Willett W. & Liu S. (2004) Changes in intake of fruits and vegetables in relation to risk of obesity and weight gain among middleaged women. *International Journal of Obesity* 28, 1569–1574.
- Hendy H.M., Williams K.E. & Camise T.S. (2005) Kids choice school lunch program increases children's fruit and vegetable acceptance. *Appetite* 45, 250–263.
- Howerton M.W., Bell B.S., Dodd K.W., Berrigan D., Stolzenberg-Solomon R. & Nebeling L. (2007) Schoolbased nutrition programs produced a moderate increase in fruit and vegetable consumption: meta and pooling analyses from 7 studies. *Journal of Nutrition Education* and Behavior **39**, 186–196.
- Jowers E.M., Bartholomew J.B. & Callen K.J. (2009) The effects of gender and ethnicity on absolute vs. relative ratings for low fat school lunch entrèes. *Maternal & Child Nutrition* **5**, 368–376.
- Kahn H.S., Tatham L.M., Rodriguez C., Calle E.E., Thun M.J. & Heath C.W. Jr (1997) Stable behaviors associated with adults' 10-year change in body mass index and likelihood of gain at the waist. *American Journal of Public Health* 87, 747–754.
- Kelder S.H., Perry C.L., Klepp K.I. & Lytle L.L. (1994) Longitudinal tracking of adolescent smoking, physical activity, and food choice behaviors. *American Journal of Public Health* 84, 1121–1126.
- Kimmons J., Gillespie C., Seymour J., Serdula M. & Blanck H.M. (2009) Fruit and vegetable intake among adoles-

cents and adults in the United States: percentage meeting individualized recommendations. *The Medscape Journal of Medicine* **11**, 26.

- Kroiner R., Rasmussen M., Brug J., Klepp K.I., Wind M. & Due P. (2011) Determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption among children and adolescents: a review of the literature. Part II: qualitative studies. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* 8, 112.
- Lakkakula A., Geaghan J.P., Wong W.P., Zanovec M., Pierce S.H. & Tuuri G. (2011) A cafeteria-based tasting program increased liking of fruits and vegetables by lower, middle and upper elementary school-age children. *Appetite* **57**, 299–302.
- Lakkakula A.P., Zanovec M., Silverman L., Murphy E. & Tuuri G. (2008) Black children with high preferences for fruits and vegetables are at less risk of being at risk of overweight or overweight. *Journal* of the American Dietetic Association **108**, 1912– 1915.
- Ledikwe J.H., Blanck H.M., Kettel Khan L., Serdula M.K., Seymour J.D., Tohill B.C. *et al.* (2006) Dietary energy density is associated with energy intake and weight status in US adults. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **83**, 1362–1368.
- Lin B.H. & Morrison R.M. (2002) Higher fruit consumption linked with lower body mass index. *Food Review* 25, 28–32.
- Morrison J.A., Friedman L.A., Wang P. & Glueck C.J. (2008) Metabolic syndrome in childhood predicts adult metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes mellitus 25 to 30 years later. *The Journal of Pediatrics* **152**, 201–206.
- Nader P.R., Stone E.J., Lytle L.A., Perry C.L., Osganian S.K., Kelder S. *et al.* (1999) Three-year maintenance of improved diet and physical activity: the CATCH cohort. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine* **153**, 695–704.
- Nicklas T.A., Baranowski T., Cullen K.W. & Berenson G. (2001) Eating patterns, dietary quality and obesity. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition* **20**, 599–608.
- Ogden C.L., Carroll M.D., Kit B.K. & Flegal K.M. (2012) Prevalence of obesity and trends in body mass index among US children and adolescents, 1999–2010. *JAMA: the journal of the American Medical Association* **307**, 483–490.
- Pearson N., Biddle S. & Gorely T. (2009) Family correlates of fruit and vegetable consumption in children and adolescents: a systematic review. *Public Health Nutrition* 12, 267–283.
- Pliner P. & Stallberg-White C. (2000) 'Pass the ketchup, please': familiar flavors increase children's willingness to taste novel foods. *Appetite* **34**, 95–103.

- Riboli E. & Norat T. (2003) Epidemiologic evidence of the protective effect of fruit and vegetables on cancer risk. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 78, 559S.
- Ritchie L.D., Spector P., Stevens M.J., Schmidt M.M., Schreiber G.B., Striegel-Moore R.H. *et al.* (2007) Dietary patterns in adolescence are related to adiposity in young adulthood in black and white females. *The Journal of Nutrition* **137**, 399–406.
- Rolls B.J., Ello Martin J.A. & Tohill B.C. (2004) What can intervention studies tell us about the relationship between fruit and vegetable consumption and weight management? *Nutrition Reviews* 62, 1–17.
- Saba A. & Di Natale R. (1998) Attitudes, intention and habit: their role in predicting actual consumption of fats and oils. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics* **11**, 21–32.
- Spence A., Campbell K. & Hesketh K. (2011) Parental correlates of young children's dietary intakes: a review. *Australasian Epidemiologist* **17**, 17–20.
- Trudeau E., Kristal A.R., Li S. & Patterson R.E. (1998) Demographic and psychosocial predictors of fruit and vegetable intakes differ: implications for dietary interventions. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 98, 1412–1417.
- Van Der Horst K., Oenema A., Ferreira I., Wendel-Vos W., Giskes K., Van Lenthe F. *et al.* (2007) A systematic review of environmental correlates of obesity-related

dietary behaviors in youth. *Health Education Research* **22**, 203–226.

- Van Horn L., Obarzanek E., Friedman L.A., Gernhofer N. & Barton B. (2005) Children's adaptations to a fatreduced diet: the Dietary Intervention Study in Children (DISC). *Pediatrics* 115, 1723–1733.
- Vioque J., Weinbrenner T., Castello A., Asensio L. & Hera M.G. (2008) Intake of fruits and vegetables in relation to 10-year weight gain among Spanish adults. *Obesity* (*Silver Spring*) 16, 664–670.
- Wardle J., Cooke L.J., Gibson E.L., Sapochnik M., Sheiham A. & Lawson M. (2003a) Increasing children's acceptance of vegetables; a randomized trial of parent-led exposure. *Appetite* 40, 155–162.
- Wardle J., Herrera M., Cooke L. & Gibson E.L. (2003b) Modifying children's food preferences: the effects of exposure and reward on acceptance of an unfamiliar vegetable. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 57, 341–348.
- Weiss R., Dziura J., Burgert T.S., Tamborlane W.V., Taksali S.E., Yeckel C.W. *et al.* (2004) Obesity and the metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents. *New England Journal of Medicine* **350**, 2362–2374.
- Yeh M.C., Ickes S.B., Lowenstein L.M., Shuval K., Ammerman A.S., Farris R. *et al.* (2008) Understanding barriers and facilitators of fruit and vegetable consumption among a diverse multi-ethnic population in the USA. *Health Promotion International* **23**, 42–51.