

# *In Vitro* and *In Vivo* Efficacy of β-Lactams against Replicating and Slowly Growing/Nonreplicating *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*

Suresh Solapure, Neela Dinesh, Radha Shandil, Vasanthi Ramachandran, Sreevalli Sharma, Deepa Bhattacharjee, Samit Ganguly, Jitendar Reddy, Vijaykamal Ahuja, Vijender Panduga, Manish Parab, K. G. Vishwas, Naveen Kumar, Meenakshi Balganesh, V. Balasubramanian

AstraZeneca India Pvt. Ltd., Hebbal, Bangalore, India

Beta-lactams, in combination with beta-lactamase inhibitors, are reported to have activity against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* bacteria growing in broth, as well as inside the human macrophage. We tested representative beta-lactams belonging to 3 different classes for activity against replicating *M. tuberculosis* in broth and nonreplicating *M. tuberculosis* under hypoxia, as well as against streptomycin-starved *M. tuberculosis* strain 18b (ss18b) in the presence or absence of clavulanate. Most of the combinations showed bactericidal activity against replicating *M. tuberculosis*, with up to 200-fold improvement in potency in the presence of clavulanate. None of the combinations, including those containing meropenem, imipenem, and faropenem, killed *M. tuberculosis* under hypoxia. However, faropenem- and meropenem-containing combinations killed strain ss18b moderately. We tested the bactericidal activities of meropenem-clavulanate and amoxicillin-clavulanate combinations in the acute and chronic aerosol infection models of tuberculosis in BALB/c mice. Based on pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic indexes reported for beta-lactams against other bacterial pathogens, a cumulative percentage of a 24-h period that the drug concentration exceeds the MIC under steady-state pharmacokinetic conditions ( $\% T_{\rm MIC}$ ) of 20 to 40% was achieved in mice using a suitable dosing regimen. Both combinations showed marginal reduction in lung CFU compared to the late controls in the acute model, whereas both were inactive in the chronic model.

eta-lactam (BL) agents have been widely used for treating a Boroad spectrum of bacterial pathogens (1). However, there are very few reports on successful clinical use of beta-lactam antibiotics for the treatment of tuberculosis (TB). Mycobacterium tuberculosis is reported to produce a chromosomally encoded betalactamase enzyme (2, 3, 4) that degrades the beta-lactam agent, and therefore, use of BL along with a beta-lactamase inhibitor (BLI) like clavulanate is essential for killing M. tuberculosis. Many of the BL-BLI agents are reported to have a poor plasma half-life and poor oral bioavailability (1, 5, 6). Limitations of oral dosing and the requirement for frequent dosing for longer durations may have limited the use of BL-BLI agents for TB treatment. However, recent reports on successful treatment of patients with multidrugresistant and extremely drug-resistant (MDR/XDR) TB by including meropenem (7, 8) in the second-line combination therapy have raised interest in understanding the efficacy of the meropenem-clavulanate combination in the mouse TB model (9). We have extended this interest to include understanding the pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic (PK-PD) relationship for meropenem-clavulanate and amoxicillin-clavulanate combinations in the mouse TB model.

Discovery of novel anti-TB agents or therapies is essential to treat MDR/XDR TB, as well as to shorten the current 6-monthlong treatment of drug-sensitive TB. Therefore, activity against drug-resistant strains, as well as against both replicating and nonreplicating *M. tuberculosis*, is a desirable attribute of a novel anti-TB therapy. *In vitro* activity of the meropenem-clavulanate combination against a large number of drug-sensitive and -resistant clinical isolates of *M. tuberculosis* has been reported (10). However, the activities of other beta-lactams against replicating *M. tuberculosis* and comparisons of activities against replicating and nonreplicating *M. tuberculosis* isolates have not yet been reported. We describe the activities of various beta-lactams (with or without clavulanate) against replicating *M. tuberculosis* (H37Rv) and nonreplicating *M. tuberculosis in vitro* (H37Rv under hypoxic conditions) (11) and under aerobic conditions using streptomycin-starved *M. tuberculosis* strain 18b (ss18b) (12), as well as the *in vivo* efficacies of amoxicillin-clavulanate and meropenem-clavulanate combinations in the acute (actively replicating *M. tuberculosis*) and chronic (slowly growing/nonreplicating *M. tuberculosis*) mouse models of TB.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacterial strains, growth conditions, and chemicals.** Clavulanate and all the beta-lactam antibiotics were purchased from Sigma Chemicals. Meropenem was supplied by AstraZeneca. Cilastatin was purchased from BetaPharma Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China.

*M. tuberculosis* H37Rv was grown in 250-ml roller bottles (Corning) as smooth cultures to mid-log phase (optical density at 600 nm  $[OD_{600}] = 0.5$ ) and stored frozen as 0.5-ml aliquots in screw-cap cryovials (Corning) at  $-70^{\circ}$ C. Representative vials from the frozen lot were thawed and plated for viable counts after 10 days and were found to contain  $\sim 10^{8}$  CFU/ml. For subsequent experiments, seed lot vials were thawed, and the cells were diluted to get  $3 \times 10^{5}$  to  $5 \times 10^{5}$  CFU/ml. The media used for growth of *M. tuberculosis* were Middlebrook 7H9 broth and 7H10 agar (Difco Laboratories) supplemented with 0.2% glycerol, 0.05% Tween 80, and 10% albumin-dextrose-catalase (ADC). For growth of *M. tuberculosis* 18b cul-

Received 11 January 2013 Returned for modification 4 February 2013 Accepted 8 March 2013

Published ahead of print 18 March 2013

Address correspondence to Suresh Solapure, suresh.solapure@astrazeneca.com. Copyright © 2013, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/AAC.00023-13 tures, the same media were supplemented with 50  $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin when required.

*M. tuberculosis* H37Rv cultures were adapted to hypoxic conditions as described previously (11) with minor modifications. Briefly, *M. tuberculosis* cells were grown in Dubos Tween broth in McCartney bottles with a magnetic bead using a defined headspace ratio of 0.5. Methylene blue (1.5  $\mu$ g/ml) was added as a redox indicator to all the bottles. The culture bottles were incubated at 37°C on a magnetic stirrer. The indicator dye completely decolorized in 12 days. The culture with a partial O<sub>2</sub> pressure (pO<sub>2</sub>) of <0.06% after 14 days of incubation was used for testing bactericidal activity against the nonreplicating state of *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv.

*M. tuberculosis* strain 18b was grown to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 1.0 in the presence of 50 µg/ml of streptomycin in Middlebrook 7H9 broth. The cell pellet obtained after centrifugation was washed three times with phosphatebuffered saline, pH 7.4 (PBS), containing 0.1% Tween 80. The washed culture was resuspended in growth medium without streptomycin at 5-fold-higher cell density and stored frozen at  $-80^{\circ}$ C with 10% glycerol. One milliliter of frozen stock was inoculated into 50 ml broth, without streptomycin, in a roller bottle. After 14 days of incubation, this culture was used for testing bactericidal activity against the nonreplicating *M. tuberculosis* ss18b (12).

Determination of antibiotic susceptibility. The MICs of antibiotics against M. tuberculosis H37Rv were determined by the resazurin-based microplate assay (13). Minimal bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) were determined against replicating M. tuberculosis H37Rv, as well as nonreplicating M. tuberculosis, in a 96-well plate format after 7 days of incubation with the antibiotics. For determination of MBCs against M. tuberculosis H37Rv under hypoxia, 200  $\mu$ l of hypoxic culture (10<sup>7</sup> CFU/ml) was dispensed into compound-containing plates, and the plates were packed in gas-permeable polyethylene bags during incubation at 37°C in the hypoxia hood for 6 days. An anaerobic indicator strip was placed inside the chamber to confirm lack of oxygen during the entire process. The assay plates were transferred from the hypoxia hood to the CO<sub>2</sub> incubator and further incubated at 37°C for a day to let the cells recover from hypoxic stress. Suitable dilutions of culture aliquots from wells containing replicating M. tuberculosis H37Rv and M. tuberculosis H37Rv under hypoxia exposed to various concentrations of BL-BLI agents greater than the MIC were plated on 7H10 agar plates. In the case of M. tuberculosis ss18b, plating was done on 7H10 agar containing 50 µg/ml streptomycin. CFU were counted after incubating the plates at 37°C for 21 to 28 days. Isoniazid and clofazimine were used as controls in all the assays. Clofazimine had MBCs of 0.3 µg/ml against M. tuberculosis ss18b and 2.6 µg/ml against M. tuberculosis H37Rv under hypoxia, while isoniazid did not have an MBC against the nonreplicating M. tuberculosis.

**Combination MICs to determine synergy.** Serial double dilutions of amoxicillin and clavulanate were prepared in rows (B to G) and columns (2 to 11) of a 96-well microplate, respectively. *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv culture (200  $\mu$ l at 10<sup>5</sup> cells/ml) was dispensed into all the wells except those in column 1, which was used as a "no-growth" control. Column 12 did not have antibiotics and was used as a "growth" control. The assay plates were incubated at 37°C for 6 days, and growth was monitored using resazurin dye, as mentioned above. The fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) was calculated as the ratio of the MIC in combination to the MIC of a single agent. The concentrations at which the sum of the amoxicillin FIC (FIC<sub>amoxicillin</sub>) and the clavulanate FIC (FIC<sub>clavulanate</sub>) was <0.5 were considered to exhibit synergy.

**PK-PD studies in mice.** All animal experimentation protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee registered with the Government of India (registration no. 5/1999/CPCSEA). Six- to 8-week-old BALB/c mice, purchased from RCC Laboratories, Hyderabad, India, were used in PK-PD studies. Animals randomly assigned to the cage were allowed 2 weeks of acclimatization before starting the experiment. Food and water were given *ad libitum*.

PK was analyzed in healthy, as well as infected, mice. PK data from healthy mice were used for designing the dosing regimen for the efficacy study. PK data from infected mice were used for the PK-PD analysis. One mouse per time point was sampled according to the fast PK protocol (14). Blood samples from the infected mice were processed in the biosafety level 3 (BSL3) laboratory. Meropenem trihydrate, sodium clavulanate, and cilastatin were dissolved together in PBS at final concentrations of 60, 15, and 20 mg/ml, respectively. Amoxicillin and sodium clavulanate were dissolved together in PBS at final concentrations of 40 and 10 mg/ml, respectively. Compound solution or appropriate vehicle in control mice was administered subcutaneously at a 5-ml/kg of body weight dose volume. Blood samples, collected by puncturing the saphenous vein at 5 min, 15 min, 30 min, 1 h, 2 h, 3 h, and 4 h after the first dose (8 a.m.), were centrifuged at 2,000  $\times$  g for 5 min to isolate plasma. Bioanalysis was performed using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS-MS) after precipitating plasma proteins with 90% (vol/vol) acetonitrile. Analytes were separated on a Gemini C<sub>18</sub> column (50 by 4.6 mm; particle size, 5 µm; Phenomenex) using isocratic elution with acetonitrile-10 mM ammonium acetate-0.1% formic acid in water (2:6:2 [vol/ vol/vol]) at a flow rate of 400 µl/min. A Shimadzu UFLC (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) was used for chromatography, and an API-3000 triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems) was used for multiple-reaction-monitoring (MRM)-based quantitation. Amoxicillin (366.1 > 349.1 and 366.1 > 114.1) and meropenem (384.2 > 141.1 and 384.2 > 114) were detected in positive ionization mode, while clavulanate (198.2 > 136 and 198.2 > 108) was detected in negative ionization mode. The lower limits of quantitation for clavulanate, amoxicillin, and meropenem were 0.078 µg/ml, 0.078 µg/ml, and 0.313 µg/ml, respectively. The area under the concentration versus time curve PK profile (AUC) was calculated by noncompartmental analysis (WinNonLin 5.2.1; Pharsight Inc.).

Meropenem-clavulanate and amoxicillin-clavulanate combinations were tested for in vivo efficacy in the acute, as well as chronic, TB aerosol infection model in mouse. BALB/c mice were infected using an aerosol chamber (15) with ~100 and 10,000 bacilli per mouse in the chronic and acute models, respectively. Infected mice were housed in individually ventilated cages (Allentown Technologies) in the BSL3 facility. The groups treated with vehicle or compound contained 3 mice each, and an additional 3 mice, used as early controls, were sacrificed just after infection. Isoniazid, used as a reference drug (at a dose of 3 mg/kg in the acute model and 30 mg/kg in the chronic model), was formulated in 0.5% (wt/vol) hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) containing 0.1% Tween 80, whereas test compounds were prepared in the same formulation used for the PK study. Treatment was initiated after 3 days and 4 weeks of infection in the acute and chronic models, respectively, to test efficacy against actively growing and slowly growing/nonreplicating bacteria in the respective models. (For the dosing regimen used to treat infected mice, see Table 3.) The mice were sacrificed at the end of 4 weeks (6 days/week) of treatment, and suitable dilutions of their lung homogenates were plated on Middlebrook 7H11 agar medium to determine viable CFU per mouse lung. Dunnet's multiple-comparison test was used to assess differences in lung CFU in treated versus untreated mice.

#### RESULTS

In vitro activity. In vitro MICs estimated for various beta-lactam and clavulanate combinations against replicating *M. tuberculosis* are shown in Table 1. Cephalosporins (cephaloridine, cefpodoxime, cefotaxime, and cefixime) and carbapenems (meropenem, imipenem, and faropenem) showed 2- to 16-fold improvements in potency compared to the penicillin class of drugs (penicillin G, piperacillin, ampicillin, and amoxicillin), which showed up to >200-fold reduction in the MIC in the presence of clavulanate.

Since the activity of beta-lactams against *M. tuberculosis* is dependent upon an optimum concentration of beta-lactamase inhibitor, MICs for amoxicillin were estimated using various con-

TABLE 1 MICs against replicating M. tuberculosis H37Rv

	MIC (µg/ml)				
Beta-lactam agent	Without clavulanate	With clavulanate (5 μg/ml)			
Penicillin G	256	1			
Piperacillin	>128	0.25			
Amoxicillin	64	1			
Ampicillin	32	2			
Faropenem	4	2			
Imipenem	4	0.5			
Meropenem	8	1			
Cefixime	32	16			
Cefotaxime	2	1			
Cefpodoxime	>128	32			
Cephaloridine	4	0.25			
Isoniazid	0.06	0.06			

centrations of clavulanate. As shown in Fig. 1, the isobologram indicated excellent synergy between amoxicillin and clavulanate. Based on these data, 1  $\mu$ g/ml of clavulanate was chosen as the target concentration during the efficacy study.

MBCs against replicating *M. tuberculosis* are shown in Table 2. MBCs against replicating *M. tuberculosis* were within 2-fold of the MIC for all the beta-lactams tested. The same set of beta-lactams was tested for bactericidal activity against nonreplicating *M. tuberculosis*, *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv under hypoxia, and *M. tuberculosis* ss18b under aerobic conditions. MBCs for all 8 beta-lactams, with or without clavulanate, were >16 µg/ml against nonreplicating *M. tuberculosis*. The MBCs reported here correspond to the minimum concentration required for >2-log-unit reduction in CFU from the starting inoculum. We also looked for drugs giving 1-log-unit reduction during measurement of MBCs. Faropenem and meropenem in combination with clavulanate achieved 1-logunit reduction in *M. tuberculosis* ss18b CFU. However, there was no reduction in CFU under hypoxia.

*In vivo* activity. Infected mouse plasma PK profiles for amoxicillin, meropenem, and clavulanate are shown in Fig. 2, and the PK parameters, along with the cumulative percentage of a 24-h period



FIG 1 Isobologram indicating synergy between amoxicillin and clavulanate against replicating *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv. The dashed line joins the fractional MICs of individual agents. Clavulanate was inactive on its own. Its MIC was assumed to be 80  $\mu$ g/ml.

TABLE 2 MBCs against replicating M. tuberculosis H37Rv

	$MBC^{a}$ (µg/ml)				
Beta-lactam agent	Without clavulanate	With clavulanate (5 µg/ml)			
Penicillin G	256	1			
Piperacillin	>128	0.5			
Amoxicillin	64	1			
Meropenem	16	2			
Imipenem	>64	4			
Faropenem	4	2			
Cephaloridine	8	0.25			
Cefotaxime	2	1			
Isoniazid	0.25	0.25			

 $\overline{a}$  The MBC is defined as the minimum concentration required for >2-log-unit reduction in CFU from the starting inoculum.

that the drug concentration exceeds the MIC under steady-state pharmacokinetic conditions (% $T_{\rm MIC}$ ), are shown in Table 3. The amoxicillin-clavulanate formulation was a suspension, whereas meropenem-clavulanate was a clear solution when dosed. The PK profile for amoxicillin may suggest a kind of slow release due to the suspension formulation. Since meropenem is known to be a weak substrate of renal dihydropeptidase (DHP1) in certain species (9), cilastatin was coadministered, along with meropenem and clavulanate, at a dose of 100 mg/kg three times daily. However, cilastatin did not improve meropenem exposure in the infected/healthy mice (data not shown). A three-times-a-day regimen was required for meropenem to achieve 25%  $T_{\rm MIC}$  in mouse plasma, whereas twice-daily administration of amoxicillin was sufficient to achieve 33%  $T_{\rm MIC}$  (Table 3). The clavulanate concentrations in both dose groups were >1 µg/ml for 19 or 12.5% of the time in 24 h.

Amoxicillin-clavulanate, as well as meropenem-clavulanate, showed marginal reduction in *M. tuberculosis* growth compared to the late control in the acute model (Fig. 3A). Both combinations did not show any efficacy in the chronic model (Fig. 3B).

### DISCUSSION

*M. tuberculosis* is intrinsically resistant to the beta-lactam class of antibiotics, which has been attributed to the actions of efflux

 $1000 \\ 100$ 

FIG 2 Pharmacokinetic profile for amoxicillin (200 mg/kg) ( $\bullet$ ) plus clavulanate (50 mg/kg) ( $\blacksquare$ ) and meropenem (300 mg/kg) ( $\blacktriangle$ ) plus clavulanate (75 mg/kg) ( $\blacklozenge$ ) in infected mice.

5

Dose group	Drug PK	Combination drug	Dose (mg/kg)	Regimen <sup>b</sup>	$C_{\max}^{c}$ (µg/ml)	$T_{\max}^{d}(\mathbf{h})$	AUC (h · μg/ml)	Half-life (h)	$\%T_{ m MIC}$ in 24 h <sup>e</sup>
1	Amoxicillin	Clavulanate	200	BID, Q12	43.07	1.00	82.12	0.58	33
1	Clavulanate	Amoxicillin	50	BID, Q12	26.39	0.08	15.67	0.21	13
2	Meropenem	Clavulanate	300	TID, Q8	218.04	0.08	89.65	0.39	25
2	Clavulanate	Meropenem	75	TID, Q8	24.88	0.08	13.34	0.46	19

TABLE 3 PK parameters for infected mice in the efficacy study<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The parameters were estimated after the 10th dose.

<sup>b</sup> BID, twice a day; TID, three times a day; Q12, every 12 h; Q8, every 8 h.

 $^{c}$   $C_{\rm max}$ , maximum concentration of drug in plasma.

 $^{d}T_{max}$ , time to maximum concentration of drug in plasma.

<sup>e</sup> Based on *in vitro* synergy between amoxicillin and clavulanate, a 1-µg/ml concentration was used for %T<sub>MIC</sub> calculation for clavulanate. Amoxicillin, clavulanate, and meropenem are reported to be 80%, 75%, and 98% free in plasma, respectively (9, 18). Therefore, the %T<sub>MIC</sub> values were almost the same for free plasma concentrations.



FIG 3 Efficacies of meropenem-clavulanate and amoxicillin-clavulanate in the acute (A) and chronic (B) mouse models for TB. The bacterial load at the beginning of treatment (early control) is indicated by the dashed lines. Solid horizontal lines indicate the mean values.

pumps and beta-lactamases (2, 3, 4, 16). We have shown *in vitro* activity of 3 different classes of beta-lactams, penicillins, cephalosporins, and carbapenems, in combination with clavulanate, against replicating, as well as nonreplicating, *M. tuberculosis*. Cephalosporin-clavulanate or carbapenem-clavulanate showed lower synergy against replicating *M. tuberculosis* than the penicillin-clavulanate combination. This could be due to differences in the catalytic efficiencies of *M. tuberculosis* beta-lactamase in hydrolyzing various classes of beta-lactams (2). Cephalosporins and carbapenems were reported to have 10- to 100-fold lower  $K_{cat}/K_m$  ratios for *M. tuberculosis* beta-lactamase than the penicillin class.

Carbapenems, like meropenem and faropenem, showed moderate bactericidal activity against *M. tuberculosis* ss18b, as reported previously (12, 17). However, none of the combinations with clavulanate were active against *M. tuberculosis* under hypoxia. This discrepancy in activity against nonreplicating *M. tuberculosis* in 2 different *in vitro* models could not be explained.

We tested 2 combinations for activity against replicating and nonreplicating *M. tuberculosis* in the mouse TB model to see if the *in vitro* activity could be translated *in vivo*. The required  $\% T_{\text{MIC}}$ for *in vivo* efficacy of carbapenems and penicillins against multiple bacterial pathogens has been reported to be 20 to 40% (18). Therefore, the dosing regimen for the mouse efficacy study was chosen to achieve 20 to 40%  $T_{\text{MIC}}$  in mouse plasma over a period of 24 h. Lack of efficacy in both the acute and chronic mouse models may suggest that the  $\% T_{\text{MIC}}$  requirement could be significantly higher for *M. tuberculosis*. A similar magnitude of effect was also reported previously using a twice-daily regimen for the meropenem-clavulanate combination (9). However, the efficacy did not improve even after using a 3-times-daily regimen in this study.

Considering an average doubling time of 0.5 to 1.0 h for bacterial pathogens like *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, or *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, a 20 to 40%  $T_{\rm MIC}$  of beta-lactams may cover 5 or 6 generations over 24 h. The generation time for *M. tuberculosis* growing in the infected mouse lung is reported to vary between 24 h during rapid growth in the acute model to 1,676 h during the slowly growing/nonreplicating phase in the chronic model (19, 20). Since the bactericidal effect of beta-lactams is exerted during cell division, poor activity of these beta-lactams against *M. tuberculosis in vivo* could be due to an insufficient duration of exposure during its growth cycle.

Recently, the meropenem-clavulanate combination was shown to be efficacious in patients with XDR TB (8) using a 3-times-daily (2 g each time) dosing regimen. Based on the reported human PK for meropenem (Merrem product information; AstraZeneca, 27 February 2007), such a dosing regimen is expected to achieve 85%  $T_{\rm MIC}$  in human plasma in 24 h. Since meropenem is 98% free in plasma (9), the % $T_{\rm MIC}$  is almost the same for the free-meropenem concentration. Therefore, lack of significant efficacy in the acute mouse model could be due to insufficient % $T_{\rm MIC}$  in mouse plasma. On the other hand, lack of efficacy in the chronic model could be due to insufficient % $T_{\rm MIC}$ , as well as poor *in vitro* activity against nonreplicating *M. tuberculosis*.

Beta-lactams are known to inhibit the formation of 3-4 crosslinks in the peptidoglycan of the bacterial cell wall through inhibition of D,D-transpeptidases. In addition to 3-4 cross-links, formation of 3-3 cross-links catalyzed by L,D-transpeptidases has been reported during stationary phase in M. tuberculosis (21). Another report (22) described the essentiality of L,D-transpeptidase during stationary phase or the slowly growing/nonreplicating phase in M. tuberculosis and efficacy of amoxicillin-clavulanate against an LDP2 mutant in the mouse chronic model. A oncedaily dose of 200 mg/kg amoxicillin and 50 mg/kg clavulanate showed a 2-log-unit reduction in the lung CFU in mice infected with the LDP2 mutant. However, the same regimen did not show efficacy in mice infected with wild-type M. tuberculosis. Since LDP2 was reported to be mainly essential in the stationary phase, we expected better efficacy with an improved (twice daily instead of once daily) dosing regimen of the amoxicillin-clavulanate combination against replicating M. tuberculosis in the acute model. Therefore, the poor efficacy of the amoxicillin-clavulanate combination in the acute model in our study may suggest that LDP2 could be complementing for the activity of D,D-transpeptidases that are inhibited by beta-lactams during active replication of M. tuberculosis.

We conclude that the efficacy of the meropenem-clavulanate or a moxicillin-clavulanate combination in the mouse TB model was negligible, probably due to inade quate  $\% T_{\rm MIC}$  in the mouse. The efficacy of these agents in XDR TB patients could be due to the 3-fold-higher  $\% T_{\rm MIC}$  achieved in human plasma than in mouse plasma. Thus, demonstrating efficacy of novel BL-BLI combinations in the mouse model could be a challenging task due to their poor half-life in mice combined with the requirement for  $\% T_{\rm MIC}$  as a PK-PD index. Therefore, in addition to the mouse model, *in vitro* models, like hollow-fiber reactors with humanized PK (23), could be used for screening novel anti-TB BL-BLI combinations.

#### REFERENCES

- Zhanel GG, Wiebe R, Dilay L, Thomson K, Rubinstein E, Hoban DJ, Noreddin AM, Karlowsky JA. 2007. Comparative review of the carbapenems. Drugs 67:1027–1052.
- Hugonnet JE, Blanchard JS. 2007. Irreversible inhibition of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis beta-lactamase by clavulanate. Biochemistry 46: 11998–12004.
- Nampoothiri KM, Rubex R, Patel AK, Narayanan SS, Krishna S, Das SM, Pandey A. 2008. Molecular cloning, overexpression and biochemical characterization of hypothetical beta-lactamases of Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Rv. J. Appl. Microbiol. 105:59–67.
- Tremblay LW, Hugonnet JE, Blanchard JS. 2008. Structure of the covalent adduct formed between Mycobacterium tuberculosis beta-lactamase and clavulanate. Biochemistry 47:5312–5316.
- 5. Harrison MP, Moss SR, Featherstone A, Fowkes AG, Sanders AM, Case

DE. 1989. The disposition and metabolism of meropenem in laboratory animals and man. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 24(Suppl. A):265–277.

- Novelli A, Mazzei T, Meli E, Conti S, Fallani S, Periti P. 1996. Clinical pharmacokinetics of meropenem after the first and tenth intramuscular administration. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 37:775–781.
- Dauby N, Muylle I, Mouchet F, Sergysels R, Payen MC. 2011. Meropenem/clavulanate and linezolid treatment for extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis. Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J. 30:812–813.
- Payen MC, De Wit S, Martin C, Sergysels R, Muylle I, Van Laethem Y, Clumeck N. 2012. Clinical use of the meropenem-clavulanate combination for extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis. Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis. 16:558–560.
- England K, Boshoff HI, Arora K, Weiner D, Dayao E, Schimel D, Via LE, Barry CE. 2012. Meropenem-clavulanic acid shows activity against Mycobacterium tuberculosis in vivo. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 56: 3384–3387.
- Hugonnet JE, Tremblay LW, Boshoff HI, Barry CE, III, Blanchard JS. 2009. Meropenem-clavulanate is effective against extensively drugresistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Science 323:1215–1218.
- Wayne LG, Hayes LG. 1996. An *in vitro* model for sequential study of shiftdown of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* through two stages of nonreplicating persistence. Infect. Immun. 64:2062–2069.
- Sala C, Dhar N, Hartkoorn RC, Zhang M, Ha YH, Schneider P, Cole ST. 2010. Simple model for testing drugs against nonreplicating Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 54:4150–4158.
- Franzblau SG, Witzig RS, McLaughlin JC, Torres P, Madico G, Hernandez A, Degnan MT, Cook MB, Quenzer VK, Ferguson RM, Gilman RH. 1998. Rapid, low-technology MIC determination with clinical Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates by using the microplate Alamar Blue assay. J. Clin. Microbiol. 36:362–366.
- 14. Reddy J, Madishetti S, Vachaspati PR. 2012. Fast mouse PK (Fast PK): a rapid screening method to increase pharmacokinetic throughput in preclinical drug discovery. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 47:444–450.
- Balasubramanian V, Solapure S, Gaonkar S, Mahesh Kumar KN, Shandil RK, Deshpande A, Kumar N, Vishwas KG, Panduga V, Reddy J, Ganguly S, Louie A, Drusano GL. 2012. Effect of coadministration of moxifloxacin and rifampin on Mycobacterium tuberculosis in a murine aerosol infection model. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 56:3054–3057.
- Dinesh N, Sharma S, Balganesh M. 2013. Involvement of efflux pumps in the resistance to peptidoglycan synthesis inhibitors in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57:1941–1943.
- 17. Zhang M, Sala C, Hartkoorn RC, Dhar N, Mendoza-Losana A, Cole ST. 2012. SS18b: a drug discovery tool for latent tuberculosis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 56:5782–5789.
- 18. Craig WA. 2003. Basic pharmacodynamics of antibacterials with clinical applications to the use of  $\beta$ -lactams, glycopeptides, and linezolid. Infect. Dis. Clin. North Am. 17:479–501.
- Munoz-Elias EJ, Timm J, Botha T, Chan WT, Gomez JE, McKinney JD. 2005. Replication dynamics of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in chronically infected mice. Infect. Immun. 73:546–551.
- 20. Gill WP, Harik NS, Whiddon MR, Liao RP, Mittler JE, Sherman DR. 2009. A replication clock for Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nat. Med. 15: 211–214.
- Dubee V, Triboulet S, Mainardi JL, Etheve-Quelquejeu M, Gutmann L, Marie A, Dubost L, Hugonnet JE, Arthur M. 2012. Inactivation of Mycobacterium tuberculosis L,D-transpeptidase LdtMt1 by carbapenems and cephalosporins. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 56:4189–4195.
- Gupta R, Lavollay M, Mainardi J-L, Arthur M, Bishai WR, Lamichhane G. 2010. The Mycobacterium tuberculosis gene, ldtMt2, encodes a nonclassical transpeptidase required for virulence and resistance to amoxicillin. Nat. Med. 16:466–469.
- Gumbo T, Louie A, Deziel MR, Parsons LM, Salfinger M, Drusano GL. 2004. Selection of a moxifloxacin dose that suppresses drug resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis, by use of an in vitro pharmacodynamic infection model and mathematical modeling. J. Infect. Dis. 190:1642–1651.