Alon Monsonego, Anna Nemirovsky and Idan Harpaz

The Shraga Segal Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Health Sciences, The National Institute for Biotechnology in the Negev, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel

doi:10.1111/imm.12103 Received 17 January 2013; revised 13 March 2013; accepted 18 March 2013. Correspondence: Alon Monsonego, The Shraga Segal Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Health Sciences, and The National Institute for Biotechnology in the Negev, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, 84105 Beer-Sheva, Israel. Email: alonmon@bgu.ac.il Senior author: Prof. Alon Monsonego

Summary

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia, with prevalence progressively increasing with aging. Pathological hallmarks of the disease include accumulation of amyloid β -protein $(A\beta)$ peptides and neurofibrillary tangles in the brain associated with glial activation and synaptotoxicity. In addition, AD involves peripheral and brain endogenous inflammatory processes that appear to enhance disease progression. More than a decade ago a new therapeutic paradigm emerged for AD, namely the activation of the adaptive immune system directly against the self-peptide $\Delta \beta$, aimed at lowering its accumulation in the brain. This was the first time that a brain peptide was used to vaccinate human subjects in a manner similar to classic viral or bacterial vaccines. The vaccination approach has taken several forms, from initially active to passive and then back to modified active vaccines. As the first two approaches to date failed to show sufficient efficacy, the last is presently being evaluated in ongoing clinical trials. The present review summarizes the immunogenic characteristics of $A\beta$ in humans and mice and discusses past, present and future $A\beta$ -based immunotherapeutic approaches for AD. We emphasize potential pathogenic and beneficial roles of CD4 T cells in light of the pathogenesis and the general decline in T-cell responsiveness evident in the disease.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease; amyloid β -protein (A β); CD4 T cells; A β antibodies; immunotherapy.

Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia in the elderly, characterized by progressive memory loss and cognitive decline. One of the primary pathological features of the disease, in addition to neurofibrillary tangles, dystrophic neurites and significant neuronal loss in affected brain regions, is the extracellular aggregation of the amyloid β -protein (A β) peptide in the brain.^{1–4} Amyloid- β is produced from the amyloid precursor protein (APP) following proteolytic cleavage by β and γ -secretases. Mutations in the preseneline-1 gene (PS1), which encodes for a transmembrane protein that functions as part of the γ -secretase complex, are associated with increased production of $A\beta 42$ over the less aggregative form $A\beta40$, and are considered among the primary causes of early-onset familial AD.^{5,6}

A growing body of evidence demonstrates that $A\beta$ plaques induce an inflammatory reaction in the brain,^{7–9} whereas oligomeric forms of A β exert synaptoeffects of $A\beta$ on brain vasculature, a phenomenon termed cerebral amyloid angiopathy, that causes vascular inflammation, brain haemorrhages, compromised perivascular drainage and altered blood flow.¹¹⁻¹³ Inflammatory processes such as microgliosis, astrocytosis, dystrophic microglia, complement activation, cytokine elevation and acutephase protein changes are thought to represent, at least in part, a response to the accumulation of $A\beta$ in the vasculature and parenchyma of the brain. A compromised immune system associated with aging may substantially impact on these processes and lead to compromised brain function and neuronal repair processes, which enhance the progression of AD. The current review summarizes the existing knowledge regarding the characteristics of A β -reactive CD4 T cells in animal models and in humans, and discusses $A\beta$ -based immunotherapeutic approaches for AD in the context of disease pathogenesis and immunosenescence.

toxicity. $3,4,10$ In addition, in recent years information has accumulated demonstrating the marked pathological

Main body

$A\beta$ autoimmunity in humans and mice

More than a decade ago a new concept emerged in the study of AD, namely eliciting adaptive immune responses to attenuate the accumulation of $A\beta$ in the brain. This was the first time that a self peptide was introduced to the body as a vaccine, similar to classic vaccination approaches used against various pathogens. As this approach may have brought about the most promising therapeutic approach for AD, it also challenged our previous knowledge of autoimmunity, immune tolerance and brain–immune interactions.

Amyloid- β -specific immunotherapy can considerably reduce amyloid burden and improve cognitive functions in animal models of AD .^{14–21} Although pre-clinical studies have proved successful, an initial clinical trial of active $A\beta$ vaccine (AN-1792 trial performed by Elan) was halted because of the development of severe inflammatory reactions in the brains of some vaccinated AD patients.²²⁻²⁴ The severe side-effects were attributed to the use of the full length of the $A\beta$ peptide together with QS21, a very strong adjuvant, the combination of which presumably led to the occurrence of pathogenic T cells at the brain vasculature and parenchyma.^{22,23,25} Nevertheless, the study of $A\beta$ -reactive T cells is key to unravelling their occurrence and characteristics in healthy humans as well as in patients with AD, and hence a key to designing safer immune-based approaches for AD therapy.

Although the general dogma would not anticipate the occurrence of effector $A\beta$ -reactive CD4 T cells in the circulation of human subjects, not only were they detected in almost all individuals tested but significantly more elderly subjects and AD patients showed strong Aß-reactive T-cell responses compared with middle-aged subjects.²⁶ The A β T-cell responses were primarily HLA-DR-dependent, and the presented T-cell epitopes derived primarily from residues 15–42 of $A\beta$ (see Table 1). About 20% of all the subjects were found to bear HLA-DR alleles, which either did not stimulate $A\beta$ -reactive T-cell lines or induced only a mild response. The great variability of HLA-DR alleles in humans, which is associated with multiple A β T-cell epitopes,^{26,27} presumably reflects a great variability in the magnitude of T-cell activation in humans and therefore the variations in specific $A\beta$ antibody titres evoked in AD patients following $A\beta 42$ vaccination.28,29

ND, not determined.

In mice, A β -reactive T cells were analysed following A β 1–42 immunization and re-stimulation with A β 1–42 or with shorter A β peptides in vitro. In human subjects, A β T-cell epitopes were analysed in isolated peripheral blood mononuclear cells stimulated initially with A β 1–42 and thereafter with 15-residue-long overlapping peptides between 1 and 42 residues of $A\beta$. T-cell responsiveness was measured by the magnitude of antigendriven T-cell proliferation and cytokine production following immunization. In humanized mice bearing the DRB1 1501 and 0401 alleles, peptides between residues 25 and 42 and between residues 18 and 32 served as the dominant T-cell epitopes, as observed also for T-cell lines derived from human subjects with these HLA genetic backgrounds.²⁶ A β 42 immunization of humanized HLA-DR4 and HLA-DR3/DQ8 transgenic mice evoked A β -reactive T-cell responses which could be partially stimulated by A β 1–16,³² and DRB1 0101 humanized mice elicited T-cell responses to an epitope between residues $1-28$.¹¹³ Since overlapping peptides between residues 15 and 42 of A β were not used in these studies, it is unclear whether additional weak T-cell epitopes are located at the N-terminus of A β or whether a truncated portion of the epitope was presented to the T cells

Animal models allow one to more accurately investigate the contribution of an MHC class II genetic background to $A\beta$ immunogenicity associated with the dominant epitope presented to T cells. They also allow a more efficient examination of the effect of various vaccination paradigms (i.e. route of administration and choice of adjuvant) on the dynamics and characteristics of the immune response elicited (i.e. antibody isotype and titres, and the profile of T-cell cytokines). In mice, $A\beta$ immunogenicity markedly differs between strains; for example, \overrightarrow{AB} is highly immunogenic in NOD and SJL mice, which have a dominant T-cell epitope between residues 10 and 24 of $A\beta$, whereas the peptide evokes only weak T-cell responses in C57BL/6 mice in which the epitope is between residues 16 and 30.^{30–32} NOD congenic mice bearing the I- A^b class II allele also fail to elicit a strong T-cell response, suggesting that the low immunogenicity of $A\beta$ 16–30 in C57BL/6 mice is primarily a result of a low-affinity epitope selected by the I- A^b MHC class II. However, both C57BL/6 and B6.H-2^s congenic mice, but not SJL mice, exhibit enhanced $A\beta$ -specific T-cell responses upon the depletion of regulatory T (Treg) cells, suggesting that under certain genetic backgrounds, Treg cells can significantly affect $A\beta$ immunogenicity.³¹ As no differences in thymic expression of APP are observed between C57BL/6 and SJL mice, the mechanism behind the effect of Treg cells on $A\beta$ immunogenicity in C57BL/ 6 mice and the reason it is not effective in the more $A\beta$ immunogenic SJL mice are yet to be revealed.

Overall, T-cell epitopes markedly vary between mice and humans, with multiple epitopes located primarily between $\Delta\beta$ residues 10 and 30 and between 15 and 42, respectively. Both MHC class II alleles and Treg cells are crucial for determining the strength and phenotype of the adaptive immune response to $A\beta$ following immunization. The fact that almost all human subjects possess $A\beta$ reactive T cells in their circulation and that these tend to expand with age and with the progression of AD raises a number of questions that are yet to be answered. (i) Are these $A\beta$ -specific T cells positively selected in the thymus or do they simply 'escape' negative selection? (ii) Do $\mathbf{A}\beta$ reactive T cells play a role in the progression of AD and, if so, how? (iii) Can they be externally stimulated to beneficially halt the progression of AD? Clearly, $A\beta$ -reactive T cells are activated upon immunization and induce $A\beta$ antibody production, however, one should consider the great variability in T-cell responses that can be anticipated in humans; in fact, this variability may perhaps be translated to personalized medicine.

$A\beta$ -based vaccines

Since $A\beta$ T-cell epitopes are located primarily between residues 10 and 42 of $A\beta$ in mice and in humans, N-terminal portions of $A\beta$, namely fragments within

residues $1-15$ comprising dominant A β B-cell epitopes, have been used to generate active $A\beta$ vaccines (Fig. 1).^{17,18,33–35} These peptides were conjugated to carriers such as albumin^{34,36} or the promiscuous foreign T-cell epitope PADRE³⁷ and were shown to elicit effective A β antibody responses without stimulating an $A\beta$ -specific T-cell response. These vaccination studies have led to pre-clinical studies using the N-terminal portion of $A\beta$ presented on the surface of virus particles 38 or liposomes,³⁹ or administered as A β -coding DNA plasmids or viral vectors, $35,40-42$ and current clinical trials using such N-terminus $A\beta$ peptides conjugated to diphtheria toxin or tetanus toxin are being carried out. The non-self carriers in these vaccines, although they avoid the T-cell response against $A\beta$, presumably evoke a strong T-cell response against the foreign epitopes and high titres of $A\beta$ -specific antibodies (Fig. 1). In contrast to non-self carriers, our group generated a conjugate of $A\beta$ 1–15 and heat-shock protein 458 (hsp 458), a 17-amino-acid residue peptide derived from hsp 60.⁴³ Compared with A β 1–42, A β –hsp 60 immunization of humanized mice carrying the HLA-DR allele DRB1*DR1501 evoked a very mild T-cell response, evident by a significantly lower production of interferon- γ (IFN- γ) and interleukin-17 $(IL-17)$ by draining lymph node-derived T cells.⁴⁴ Notably, the mild T-cell response induced by $A\beta$ -hsp 60 induced a gradual increase in specific $A\beta$ antibody titres, which were sufficient for effective clearance of $A\beta$ plaques from the brain of aged APP-transgenic mice. In addition to its function as a T-cell epitope, $43,45,46$ hsp 458 also activates the Toll-like receptor 4 pathway⁴⁷ and so T-cellindependent antibody production evoked by $A\beta$ –hsp 60 immunization is plausible.

Clinical trials using either $A\beta 42$ active vaccination or anti- $A\beta$ passive vaccination have so far failed to show treatment efficacy, so eliciting a beneficial adaptive immune response to $A\beta$ appears to be more complicated than was originally thought. Indeed, clearance of $A\beta$ plaques in mouse models of AD may be partially misleading because it may not accurately represent key pathological features of the disease. This could have several explanations. (i) Most animal models of AD are treated prophylactically (i.e. in a prevention mode) or following the initial $A\beta$ deposition in the brain. They are rarely, if at all, conducted in ages and disease stages equivalent to human AD patients, in which immunity declines and brain inflammation is markedly enhanced. (ii) The increase in $A\beta 42/40$ ratio in some mouse models of AD induces a more condensed form of plaques where the capacity of $A\beta$ clearance in the brain is considerably reduced.48,49 This may represent a shift towards a fastprogressing form of AD where $A\beta$ antibodies, either naturally occurring or generated following vaccination, are insufficient to promote a therapeutic effect. (iii) The inflammatory reaction at the vasculature and parenchyma

Figure 1. Amyloid β antibody-based immunotherapy of Alzheimer's disease (AD). (1) The immune response elicited by A β immunization begins in draining lymph nodes where dendritic cells present the MHC II-bound antigen to naive CD4 T cells. The antigen may be $A\beta 42$ or a shorter peptide conjugated to a carrier of choice, such as heat-shock protein p458 or PADRE. (2) T cells then migrate to B-cell follicles, where they promote Aß-specific B-cell proliferation and differentiation to plasma and memory B cells. Depending on the adjuvant and the carrier used, T cells polarize to either a pro-inflammatory or anti-inflammatory phenotype. In the case of $\Lambda\beta$ or other self-derived carriers, T-cell responsiveness may be attenuated because of anergy or the presence of specific regulatory T cells. (3) Secreted antibodies may be of various isotypes and specificities to A β 42 and with various glycoforms at the Fc portion, processes regulated by the cytokine milieu. (4) Antibodies target A β at the brain vasculature and enhance A β clearance from the brain. Clearance of soluble A β is accomplished through perivascular drainage or Fc receptor (FcR) mediated uptake. Clearance of compact A β plaques is less effective, although the activation of perivascular macrophages via activating FcR may enhance their phagocytic function. Such a reaction, however, can facilitate an inflammatory reaction at the brain vasculature influenced by microglial/macrophage scavenger receptors^{114,115} and also by the A β B-cell epitope, the Fc glycosylation pattern and/or the type of Fc receptors (i.e. activating or inhibitory FcRs). The inflammatory reaction at the vasculature may also be influenced by the adjuvant and carrier of choice, a process that may enhance clearance on the one hand but promote brain inflammation and microhaemorrhages on the other. (5) Similar processes occur within the brain parenchyma once antibodies target A β plaques. As some monocytes infiltrate the brain and target A β plaques, the capacity of antibody-mediated clearance may increase.

in AD patients may be facilitated by the A β -specific antibodies depending on their titers, epitope specificity, the Fc glycosylation pattern or the type of Fc receptor.^{50–52} In addition, a robust expansion of $A\beta$ -specific B cells occurs, which may lead to ectopically enhanced activation of pathogenic A β -specific T cells (Fig. 1). (iv) The loss of synapses and neurons, which leads to progressive cognitive decline throughout the course of AD, is moderate in most mouse models of the disease, so the impact of $A\beta$ clearance on this key aspect of the disease is unclear. Stimulating an immune response that promotes $A\beta$ clearance as well as neuronal repair (e.g. via cytokines and neurotrophic factors^{53–55}), which may be administered in a prevention mode, may therefore be considered a future goal for AD immunotherapy. Factors such as the vaccine carriers (either derived from self or non-self proteins), the routes and timing of vaccine administration and the choice of adjuvants may substantially decrease some of the risks described above and therefore improve treatment efficacy.

$A\beta$ -reactive CD4 T cells in brain inflammation and repair

Given the immunogenicity of $A\beta$ as demonstrated in humans and mice, it is clear that $A\beta$ -reactive T cells can be boosted to promote pathogenic autoimmunity. In the following section we discuss the molecular and cellular setting that drives the homing of $A\beta$ T cells to the brain and whether such a process can be used to enhance neuronal repair mechanisms in the aging and diseased brain.

The role of T cells in the brain has been widely studied in recent years. Trafficking T cells to the brains of APPtransgenic mice over-expressing transforming growth factor- β or IL-1 β did not cause cellular or behavioural abnormalities^{56,57} and brain-specific T cells have been shown to play beneficial roles in murine models of brain injury,^{58,59} amyotrophic lateral sclerosis⁶⁰ and stroke.⁶¹ Such specific T cells, or the cytokines they produce, participate in numerous activities such as increasing the uptake of $A\beta$ plaques,^{30,62,63} releasing regulatory cytokines,⁵⁵ increasing the expression of neurotrophic factors,54,64,65 increasing the buffering capacity of glutamate⁶⁶ and enhancing neurogenesis.^{53,54,67,68} Our group has recently demonstrated that $A\beta$ -reactive T cells are able to effectively target $A\beta$ plaques in the brains of APPtransgenic mice and enhance the phagocytic activity of adjacent microglia (see Fig. 2 and refs 30 and 62), at least partially via IFN-y-induced TREM2 and SIRP β 1 expression, which were recently suggested as DAP12-associated phagocytic receptors on microglia.^{69,70} Amyloid- β may be presented to T cells via co-localized MHCII^{high} antigen-presenting cells, which either differentiate from brain-endogenous microglia or are recruited from the blood as a result of increased CCL2 expression. Interferon- γ emerges as a unique cytokine, which on one hand facilitates T-cell migration into and within the brain parenchyma,71–⁷³ and on the other hand promotes immunoregulatory processes^{74–76} and neuronal repair in the brain.^{53,66,74,77–82} Provided that IFN- γ signals to all neural populations, further research is required to determine how IFN- γ orchestrates its various effects in the brain. Clearly, the overall amounts of IFN- γ in the brain are crucial to shift its function from devastating at high levels⁸³ to beneficial at low levels.^{53,74,78,80} Additional cytokines such as IL-10 and transforming growth factor- β , together with a profile of chemokines and neurotrophic factors secreted by the T cells, may prove therapeutic for the AD brain.

The specific mechanisms underlying the migration, activation and survival of the T cells within the brain parenchyma are yet to be identified. The model illustrated in Fig. 2 demonstrates that following $A\beta$ immunization, $A\beta$ -specific T cells target the brain vasculature in which $A\beta$ is deposited. Expression of IFN- γ in the brain of a mouse AD model in limited amounts, which cause no spontaneous infiltration of bone marrow-derived cells, abnormal glial activation or neurological deficits, $53,84$ is required for the migration of T cells within the brain parenchyma.^{30,85} Three conditions can therefore promote $A\beta$ -specific T-cell entry to the brain parenchyma: (i) depo-

sition of $A\beta$ at the brain vasculature, compromising the blood–brain barrier and inducing a local inflammatory reaction^{86–88} (ii) the stimulation of A β -specific T cells initially in the lymph node and then by perivascular and leptomeningial dendritic cells in the brain $89-94$ and (iii) low brain levels of IFN- γ , which is perhaps a master regulator of T-cell adhesion, antigen presentation, chemokine expression and signalling and T-cell migration.

Previous findings have primarily implicated brain-specific CD4 T cells in the pathology of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, a model for multiple sclerosis in which myelin-specific T cells penetrate the central nervous system and promote axonal demyelination.^{91,95–98} Although not inducing an autoimmune disease, the lymphocytic reaction of both B and T cells to $A\beta$ is potentially pathogenic because of the risks of meningoencephali- $\text{tis},^{23}$ entry of cytotoxic CD8 T cells into the brain, strong pro-inflammatory cytokine profile of the CD4 T cells⁹⁹ and brain haemorrhages caused by A β antibodies.^{100–102} However, if the pathogenic capacity of $A\beta$ -specific T cells can be neutralized (for instance by stimulating a non-pathogenic cytokine profile) these T cells may play a beneficial role in promoting both $A\beta$ clearance and neuronal repair with minimal risk of adverse side-effects.

In summary, it is commonly accepted that effector and regulatory functions of lymphocytes are altered with $\text{aging}^{103,104}$ and that further immune manifestations accompany the progression of $AD.^{7-9,105,106}$ Such alterations presumably increase the severity of infectious diseases and chronic inflammation and are reflected in the brain as increased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1 β , tumour necrosis factor- α and IL-6, which enhance neurotoxicity and may impair key functions of microglia in neuronal function and repair.¹⁰⁷⁻¹⁰⁹ Most immune intervention approaches, although performed in mouse models of AD, do not fully address the aforementioned immune alterations occurring with aging and with the progression of AD, which may significantly impact the outcome of treatment. Future studies of immunotherapy may therefore consider the following approaches, separately or in combination with the $A\beta$ antibody treatment: (i) improving immunity through direct immune interventions (e.g. balancing key cytokines and chemokines) $110,111$ and through indirect approaches such as exercise, appropriate nutrition and stress management, which may significantly contribute to higher immune potency and regulation; (ii) effectively blocking prominent inflammatory cascades underlying auto-inflammation, such as those mediated by tumour necrosis factor-a or IL-1; and (iii) cell therapy (either with monocytes, dendritic cells or CD4 T cells directed at $A\beta$ or at other brain antigens) to facilitate the function of immune cells within the brain. Such immune interventions may reduce immune-mediated neuronal damage overall and enhance neuronal repair, 110,112

Figure 2. Migration of A β -specific T cells towards A β plaques in the brain parenchyma. (1) T cells may undergo activation following A β immunization or following drainage of $A\beta$ or antigen-presenting cells (APCs) that carry $A\beta$ to peripheral lymph nodes. A β -reactive helper T (Th) cells adhere and transmigrate into the perivascular space of $A\beta$ -deposited vasculature in the brain (a, b). To cross the glia limitans, Th cells need to be re-stimulated by dendritic cells or, possibly, by other competent APCs located at the perivascular space and/or juxtavascular with processes sent into the perivascular space.¹¹⁶ Similar T-cell infiltration processes may occur at the choroid plexus (2), and/or the leptomeninges^{85,117,118} followed by their dissemination in the central nervous system subarachnoid space. Low levels of interferon- γ (IFN- γ) promote the infiltration process. Adhesion molecules such as P-selectin, vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 or intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (interacting with P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1, integrin α_4 and lymphocyte function-associated antigen-1, respectively, on the T cells) and chemokine signalling (such as via CCR5 and CXCR3) play a key role in mediating the extravasation of the T cells through the blood–brain barrier (BBB) or the blood–cerebrospinal fluid barrier. (3) Leucocytes accumulate at the subarachnoid and perivascular spaces and may impact on the overall inflammatory reaction at both the vasculature and parenchyma. (4) Once A β Th cells cross the glia limitans they migrate and accumulate around A β plaques, possibly interacting with APCs (i.e. microglia, or peripheral monocytes or dendritic cells recruited towards CCL2) that present A β T-cell epitopes. Cytokines such as IFN- γ are secreted by the T cells and facilitate A β clearance either by brain endogenous microglia or by infiltrating microglia-like cells. (5) T cells secreting IFN- γ and/or neurotrophic factors stimulate neural precursor cell proliferation and differentiation.

aspects that may be crucial to achieve treatment efficacy in patients with AD.

Disclosures

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

References

- 1 Rosenmann H, Grigoriadis N, Eldar-Levy H et al. A novel transgenic mouse expressing double mutant tau driven by its natural promoter exhibits tauopathy characteristics. Exp Neurol 2008; 212:71–84.
- 2 Selkoe DJ. Presenilin, Notch, and the genesis and treatment of Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001; 98:11039–41.
- 3 Haass C, Selkoe DJ. Soluble protein oligomers in neurodegeneration: lessons from the Alzheimer's amyloid b-peptide. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2007; 8:101–12.
- 4 Walsh DM, Selkoe DJ. A β oligomers a decade of discovery. J Neurochem 2007; 101:1172–84.
- 5 Citron M, Westaway D, Xia W et al. Mutant presenilins of Alzheimer's disease increase production of 42-residue amyloid β -protein in both transfected cells and transgenic mice. Nat Med 1997; 3:67–72.
- 6 Moehlmann T, Winkler E, Xia X et al. Presenilin-1 mutations of leucine 166 equally affect the generation of the Notch and APP intracellular domains independent of their effect on AB 42 production. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002; 99:8025-30.
- 7 Heneka MT, Kummer MP, Stutz A et al. NLRP3 is activated in Alzheimer's disease and contributes to pathology in APP/PS1 mice. Nature 2013; 493:674–8.
- 8 McGeer EG, Klegeris A, McGeer PL. Inflammation, the complement system and the diseases of aging. Neurobiol Aging 2005; 26(Suppl. 1):94–7.
- 9 Vom Berg J, Prokop S, Miller KR et al. Inhibition of IL-12/IL-23 signaling reduces Alzheimer's disease-like pathology and cognitive decline. Nat Med 2012; 18:1812–9.
- 10 Shankar GM, Li S, Mehta TH et al. Amyloid- β protein dimers isolated directly from Alzheimer's brains impair synaptic plasticity and memory. Nat Med 2008; 14:837–42.
- 11 Herzig MC, Winkler DT, Burgermeister P et al. AB is targeted to the vasculature in a mouse model of hereditary cerebral hemorrhage with amyloidosis. Nat Neurosci 2004; 7:954–60.
- 12 Meyer EP, Ulmann-Schuler A, Staufenbiel M, Krucker T. Altered morphology and 3D architecture of brain vasculature in a mouse model for Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008; 105:3587–92.
- 13 Thal DR, Griffin WS, de Vos RA, Ghebremedhin E. Cerebral amyloid angiopathy and its relationship to Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neuropathol 2008; 115:599–609.
- 14 Solomon B, Koppel R, Frankel D, Hanan-Aharon E. Disaggregation of Alzheimer b-amyloid by site-directed mAb. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1997; 94:4109–12.
- 15 Solomon B, Koppel R, Hanan E, Katzav T. Monoclonal antibodies inhibit in vitro fibrillar aggregation of the Alzheimer β -amyloid peptide. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996; 93:452–5.
- 16 Schenk D, Barbour R, Dunn W et al. Immunization with amyloid- β attenuates Alzheimer-disease-like pathology in the PDAPP mouse. Nature 1999; 400:173-7.
- 17 Lemere CA, Maron R, Spooner ET et al. Nasal A β treatment induces anti-A β antibody production and decreases cerebral amyloid burden in PD-APP mice. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2000; 920:328–31.
- 18 Weiner HL, Lemere CA, Maron R et al. Nasal administration of amyloid- β peptide decreases cerebral amyloid burden in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Ann Neurol 2000; 48:567–79.
- 19 Das P, Murphy MP, Younkin LH, Younkin SG, Golde TE. Reduced effectiveness of $A\beta1-42$ immunization in APP transgenic mice with significant amyloid deposition. Neurobiol Aging 2001; 22:721–7.
- 20 Sigurdsson EM, Scholtzova H, Mehta PD, Frangione B, Wisniewski T. Immunization with a nontoxic/nonfibrillar amyloid- β homologous peptide reduces Alzheimer's disease-associated pathology in transgenic mice. Am J Pathol 2001; 159:439–47.
- 21 Mucke L, Masliah E, Yu GQ et al. High-level neuronal expression of $a\beta$ 1-42 in wild-type human amyloid protein precursor transgenic mice: synaptotoxicity without plaque formation. J Neurosci 2000; 20:4050–8.
- 22 Nicoll JA, Wilkinson D, Holmes C, Steart P, Markham H, Weller RO. Neuropathol- α gy of human Alzheimer disease after immunization with amyloid- β peptide: a case report. Nat Med 2003; 9:448–52.
- 23 Orgogozo JM, Gilman S, Dartigues JF et al. Subacute meningoencephalitis in a subset of patients with AD after A β 42 immunization. Neurology 2003; 61:46-54.
- 24 Schenk D. Amyloid- β immunotherapy for Alzheimer's disease: the end of the beginning. Nat Rev Neurosci 2002; 3:824–8.
- 25 Gilman S, Koller M, Black RS et al. Clinical effects of AB immunization (AN1792) in patients with AD in an interrupted trial. Neurology 2005; 64:1553–62.
- 26 Monsonego A, Zota V, Karni A et al. Increased T cell reactivity to amyloid β protein in older humans and patients with Alzheimer disease. J Clin Invest 2003; 112:415–22.
- 27 Zota V, Nemirovsky A, Baron R, Fisher Y, Selkoe DJ, Altmann DM, Weiner HL, Monsonego A. HLA-DR alleles in amyloid β -peptide autoimmunity: a highly immunogenic role for the DRB1*1501 allele. J Immunol 2009; 183:3522–30.
- 28 Hock C, Konietzko U, Papassotiropoulos A et al. Generation of antibodies specific for β -amyloid by vaccination of patients with Alzheimer disease. Nat Med 2002; 8:1270-5.
- 29 Holmes C, Boche D, Wilkinson D et al. Long-term effects of $A\beta 42$ immunisation in Alzheimer's disease: follow-up of a randomised, placebo-controlled phase I trial. Lancet 2008; 372:216–23.
- 30 Monsonego A, Imitola J, Petrovic S et al. A β -induced meningoencephalitis is IFN- γ dependent and is associated with T cell-dependent clearance of $\mathcal{A}\beta$ in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006; 103:5048–53.
- 31 Toly-Ndour C, Lui G, Nunes MM, Bruley-Rosset M, Aucouturier P, Dorothee G. MHC-independent genetic factors control the magnitude of CD4⁺ T cell responses to amyloid- β peptide in mice through regulatory T cell-mediated inhibition. *I Immunol* 2011; 187:4492–500.
- 32 Das P, Chapoval S, Howard V, David CS, Golde TE. Immune responses against Ab1– 42 in HLA class II transgenic mice: implications for $A\beta1-42$ immune-mediated therapies. Neurobiol Aging 2003; 24:969–76.
- 33 Lemere CA, Maron R, Selkoe DJ, Weiner HL. Nasal vaccination with β -amyloid peptide for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. DNA Cell Biol 2001; 20:705–11.
- 34 Monsonego A, Maron R, Zota V, Selkoe DJ, Weiner HL. Immune hyporesponsiveness to amyloid β -peptide in amyloid precursor protein transgenic mice: implications for the pathogenesis and treatment of Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001; 98:10273–8.
- 35 Lemere CA, Masliah E. Can Alzheimer disease be prevented by amyloid- β immunotherapy? Nat Rev Neurol 2010; 6:108-19.
- 36 Bard F, Barbour R, Cannon C et al. Epitope and isotype specificities of antibodies to β -amyloid peptide for protection against Alzheimer's disease-like neuropathology. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003; 100:2023–8.
- 37 Ghochikyan A, Mkrtichyan M, Petrushina I, Movsesyan N, Karapetyan A, Cribbs DH, Agadjanyan MG. Prototype Alzheimer's disease epitope vaccine induced strong Th2 type anti-A β antibody response with Alum to Quil A adjuvant switch. Vaccine 2006; 24:2275–82.
- 38 Zurbriggen R, Amacker M, Kammer AR, Westerfeld N, Borghgraef P, Van Leuven F, Van der Auwera I, Wera S. Virosome-based active immunization targets soluble amyloid species rather than plaques in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. J Mol Neurosci 2005; 27:157–66.
- 39 Muhs A, Hickman DT, Pihlgren M et al. Liposomal vaccines with conformation-specific amyloid peptide antigens define immune response and efficacy in APP transgenic mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007; 104:9810–15.
- 40 Okura Y, Miyakoshi A, Kohyama K, Park IK, Staufenbiel M, Matsumoto Y. Nonviral Aß DNA vaccine therapy against Alzheimer's disease: long-term effects and safety. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006; 103:9619–24.
- 41 Movsesyan N, Ghochikyan A, Mkrtichyan M et al. Reducing AD-like pathology in 3xTg-AD mouse model by DNA epitope vaccine – a novel immunotherapeutic strategy. PLoS ONE 2008; 3:e2124.
- 42 Tabira T. Immunization therapy for Alzheimer disease: a comprehensive review of active immunization strategies. Tohoku J Exp Med 2010; 220:95–106.
- 43 Amir-Kroll H, Nussbaum G, Cohen IR. Proteins and their derived peptides as carriers in a conjugate vaccine for Streptococcus pneumoniae: self-heat shock protein 60 and tetanus toxoid. J Immunol 2003; 170:6165–71.
- 44 Nemirovsky A, Fisher Y, Baron R, Cohen IR, Monsonego A. Amyloid β -HSP60 peptide conjugate vaccine treats a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Vaccine 2011; 29:4043–50.
- 45 Konen-Waisman S, Cohen A, Fridkin M, Cohen IR. Self heat-shock protein (hsp60) peptide serves in a conjugate vaccine against a lethal pneumococcal infection. J Infect Dis 1999; 179:403–13.
- 46 Quintana FJ, Cohen IR. HSP60 speaks to the immune system in many voices. Novartis Found Symp 2008; 291:101–111; discussion 11-4, 37-40.
- 47 Cohen N, Stolarsky-Bennun M, Amir-Kroll H et al. Pneumococcal capsular polysaccharide is immunogenic when present on the surface of macrophages and dendritic cells: TLR4 signaling induced by a conjugate vaccine or by lipopolysaccharide is conducive. J Immunol 2008; 180:2409–18.
- 48 Nemirovsky A, Shapiro J, Baron R, Kompaniets A, Monsonego A. Active $A\beta$ vaccination fails to enhance amyloid clearance in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease with Ab42-driven pathology. J Neuroimmunol 2012; 247:95–9.
- 49 Wang A, Das P, Switzer RC 3rd, Golde TE, Jankowsky JL. Robust amyloid clearance in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease provides novel insights into the mechanism of amyloid- β immunotherapy. J Neurosci 2011; 31:4124–36.

Immunotherapy of Alzheimer's disease

- 50 Adolfsson O, Pihlgren M, Toni N et al. An effector-reduced anti- β -amyloid (AB) antibody with unique $a\beta$ binding properties promotes neuroprotection and glial engulfment of AB. *I Neurosci* 2012: 32:9677-89.
- 51 Edri-Brami M, Rosental B, Hayoun D et al. Glycans in sera of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients and their role in killing neuronal cells. PLoS ONE 2012; 7:e35772.
- 52 Lunnon K, Teeling JL, Tutt AL, Cragg MS, Glennie MJ, Perry VH. Systemic inflammation modulates Fc receptor expression on microglia during chronic neurodegeneration. J Immunol 2011; 186:7215–24.
- 53 Baron R, Nemirovsky A, Harpaz I, Cohen H, Owens T, Monsonego A. IFN-y enhances neurogenesis in wild-type mice and in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. FASEB J 2008; 22:2843–52.
- 54 Butovsky O, Ziv Y, Schwartz A, Landa G, Talpalar AE, Pluchino S, Martino G, Schwartz M. Microglia activated by IL-4 or IFN- γ differentially induce neurogenesis and oligodendrogenesis from adult stem/progenitor cells. Mol Cell Neurosci 2006; 31:149–160.
- 55 Frenkel D, Huang Z, Maron R, Koldzic DN, Moskowitz MA, Weiner HL. Neuroprotection by IL-10-producing MOG CD4⁺ T cells following ischemic stroke. J Neurol Sci 2005; 233:125–32.
- 56 Buckwalter MS, Coleman BS, Buttini M, Barbour R, Schenk D, Games D, Seubert P, Wyss-Coray T. Increased T. cell recruitment to the CNS after amyloid β 1–42 immunization in Alzheimer's mice overproducing transforming growth factor- β 1. J Neurosci 2006; 26:11437–41.
- 57 Shaftel SS, Carlson TJ, Olschowka JA, Kyrkanides S, Matousek SB, O'Banion MK. Chronic interleukin-1 β expression in mouse brain leads to leukocyte infiltration and neutrophil-independent blood–brain barrier permeability without overt neurodegeneration. J Neurosci 2007; 27:9301–9.
- 58 Hauben E, Butovsky O, Nevo U et al. Passive or active immunization with myelin basic protein promotes recovery from spinal cord contusion. *J Neurosci* 2000; 20:6421–30.
- 59 Moalem G, Leibowitz-Amit R, Yoles E, Mor F, Cohen IR, Schwartz M. Autoimmune T cells protect neurons from secondary degeneration after central nervous system axotomy. Nat Med 1999; 5:49–55.
- 60 Holmoy T. T cells in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Eur J Neurol 2008; 15:360–6.
- 61 Frenkel D, Huang Z, Maron R, Koldzic DN, Hancock WW, Moskowitz MA, Weiner HL. Nasal vaccination with myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein reduces stroke size by inducing IL-10-producing CD4+ T cells. J Immunol 2003; 171:6549–55.
- 62 Fisher Y, Nemirovsky A, Baron R, Monsonego A. T cells specifically targeted to amyloid plaques enhance plaque clearance in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. PLoS ONE 2010; 5:e10830.
- 63 Butovsky O, Koronyo-Hamaoui M, Kunis G, Ophir E, Landa G, Cohen H, Schwartz M. Glatiramer acetate fights against Alzheimer's disease by inducing dendritic-like microglia expressing insulin-like growth factor 1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006; 103:11784–9.
- 64 Aharoni R, Arnon R, Eilam R. Neurogenesis and neuroprotection induced by peripheral immunomodulatory treatment of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. J Neurosci 2005; 25:8217–28.
- 65 Hohlfeld R, Kerschensteiner M, Stadelmann C, Lassmann H, Wekerle H. The neuroprotective effect of inflammation: implications for the therapy of multiple sclerosis. Neurol Sci 2006; 27(Suppl. 1):S1–S7.
- 66 Shaked I, Tchoresh D, Gersner R, Meiri G, Mordechai S, Xiao X, Hart RP, Schwartz M. Protective autoimmunity: interferon- γ enables microglia to remove glutamate without evoking inflammatory mediators. J Neurochem 2005; 92:997-1009.
- 67 Wolf SA, Steiner B, Akpinarli A, Kammertoens T, Nassenstein C, Braun A, Blankenstein T, Kempermann G. CD4-positive T lymphocytes provide a neuroimmunological link in the control of adult hippocampal neurogenesis. J Immunol 2009; 182:3979–84.
- 68 Mastrangelo MA, Sudol KL, Narrow WC, Bowers WL Interferon-y differentially affects Alzheimer's disease pathologies and induces neurogenesis in triple transgenic-AD mice. Am J Pathol 2009; 175:2076–88.
- 69 Gaikwad S, Larionov S, Wang Y, Dannenberg H, Matozaki T, Monsonego A, Thal DR, Neumann H. Signal regulatory protein- β 1: a microglial modulator of phagocytosis in Alzheimer's disease. Am J Pathol 2009; 175:2528–39.
- 70 Takahashi K, Rochford CD, Neumann H. Clearance of apoptotic neurons without inflammation by microglial triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells-2. *I Exp* Med 2005; 201:647–57.
- 71 Tran EH, Prince EN, Owens T. IFN- γ shapes immune invasion of the central nervous system via regulation of chemokines. J Immunol 2000; 164:2759–68.
- 72 Lees JR, Golumbek PT, Sim J, Dorsey D, Russell JH. Regional CNS responses to IFN- γ determine lesion localization patterns during EAE pathogenesis. *J Exp Med* 2008; 205:2633–42.
- 73 Pierson E, Simmons SB, Castelli L, Goverman JM. Mechanisms regulating regional localization of inflammation during CNS autoimmunity. Immunol Rev 2012; 248:205–15.
- 74 Balabanov R, Strand K, Goswami R, McMahon E, Begolka W, Miller SD, Popko B. Interferon- γ –oligodendrocyte interactions in the regulation of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. J Neurosci 2007; 27:2013–24.
- 75 Kwidzinski E, Bunse J, Aktas O, Richter D, Mutlu L, Zipp F, Nitsch R, Bechmann I. Indolamine 2,3-dioxygenase is expressed in the CNS and down-regulates autoimmune inflammation. FASEB J 2005; 19:1347–9.
- 76 Wheeler RD, Zehntner SP, Kelly LM, Bourbonniere L, Owens T. Elevated interferon γ expression in the central nervous system of tumour necrosis factor receptor 1-deficient mice with experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Immunology* 2006; 118:527– 38.
- 77 Butovsky O, Bukshpan S, Kunis G, Jung S, Schwartz M. Microglia can be induced by IFN-y or IL-4 to express neural or dendritic-like markers. Mol Cell Neurosci 2007; 35:490–500.
- 78 Gao X, Gillig TA, Ye P, D'Ercole AJ, Matsushima GK, Popko B. Interferon-c protects against cuprizone-induced demyelination. Mol Cell Neurosci 2000; 16:338– 49.
- 79 Kim SJ, Son TG, Kim K, Park HR, Mattson MP, Lee J. Interferon- γ promotes differentiation of neural progenitor cells via the JNK pathway. Neurochem Res 2007; 32:1399–1406.
- 80 Lee J, Kim SJ, Son TG, Chan SL, Mattson MP. Interferon- γ is up-regulated in the hippocampus in response to intermittent fasting and protects hippocampal neurons against excitotoxicity. *I Neurosci Res* 2006; 83:1552-7.
- 81 Song JH, Wang CX, Song DK, Wang P, Shuaib A, Hao C. Interferon γ induces neurite outgrowth by up-regulation of p35 neuron-specific cyclin-dependent kinase 5 activator via activation of ERK1/2 pathway. J Biol Chem 2005; 280:12896–901.
- 82 Wong G, Goldshmit Y, Turnley AM. Interferon- γ but not TNF α promotes neuronal differentiation and neurite outgrowth of murine adult neural stem cells. Exp Neurol 2004; 187:171–7.
- 83 Corbin JG, Kelly D, Rath EM, Baerwald KD, Suzuki K, Popko B. Targeted CNS expression of interferon- γ in transgenic mice leads to hypomyelination, reactive gliosis, and abnormal cerebellar development. Mol Cell Neurosci 1996; 7:354-70.
- 84 Renno T, Taupin V, Bourbonniere L et al. Interferon- v in progression to chronic demyelination and neurological deficit following acute EAE. Mol Cell Neurosci 1998; 12:376–89.
- 85 Fisher Y, Nemirovsky A, Baron R, Monsonego A. Dendritic cells regulate amyloid-bspecific T-cell entry into the brain: the role of perivascular amyloid- β . J Alzheimers Dis 2011; 27:99–111.
- 86 Boche D, Zotova E, Weller RO et al. Consequence of $A\beta$ immunization on the vasculature of human Alzheimer's disease brain. Brain 2008; 131:3299–310.
- 87 Carare RO, Bernardes-Silva M, Newman TA et al. Solutes, but not cells, drain from the brain parenchyma along basement membranes of capillaries and arteries: significance for cerebral amyloid angiopathy and neuroimmunology. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol 2008; 34:131–44.
- 88 Weller RO, Subash M, Preston SD, Mazanti I, Carare RO. Perivascular drainage of amyloid- β peptides from the brain and its failure in cerebral amyloid angiopathy and Alzheimer's disease. Brain Pathol 2008; 18:253–66.
- 89 Serafini B, Columba-Cabezas S, Di Rosa F, Aloisi F. Intracerebral recruitment and maturation of dendritic cells in the onset and progression of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Am J Pathol 2000; 157:1991–2002.
- 90 Archambault AS, Sim J, Gimenez MA, Russell JH. Defining antigen-dependent stages of T cell migration from the blood to the central nervous system parenchyma. Eur J Immunol 2005; 35:1076–85.
- 91 Greter M, Heppner FL, Lemos MP, Odermatt BM, Goebels N, Laufer T, Noelle RJ, Becher B. Dendritic cells permit immune invasion of the CNS in an animal model of multiple sclerosis. Nat Med 2005; 11:328–34.
- 92 Bartholomaus I, Kawakami N, Odoardi F et al. Effector T cell interactions with meningeal vascular structures in nascent autoimmune CNS lesions. Nature 2009; 462: 94–8.
- 93 Engelhardt B, Ransohoff RM. Capture, crawl, cross: the T cell code to breach the blood–brain barriers. Trends Immunol 2012; 33:579–89.
- 94 Ransohoff RM, Kivisakk P, Kidd G. Three or more routes for leukocyte migration into the central nervous system. Nat Rev Immunol 2003; 3:569–81.
- 95 de Rosbo NK, Ben-Nun A. T-cell responses to myelin antigens in multiple sclerosis; relevance of the predominant autoimmune reactivity to myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein. J Autoimmun 1998; 11:287–99.
- 96 Waldner H, Whitters MJ, Sobel RA, Collins M, Kuchroo VK. Fulminant spontaneous autoimmunity of the central nervous system in mice transgenic for the myelin proteolipid protein-specific T cell receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000; 97:3412–7.
- 97 Ercolini AM, Miller SD. Mechanisms of immunopathology in murine models of central nervous system demyelinating disease. J Immunol 2006; 176:3293–8.
- 98 Krishnamoorthy G, Saxena A, Mars LT et al. Myelin-specific T cells also recognize neuronal autoantigen in a transgenic mouse model of multiple sclerosis. Nat Med 2009; 15:626–32.
- 99 Stromnes IM, Cerretti LM, Liggitt D, Harris RA, Goverman JM. Differential regulation of central nervous system autoimmunity by T_H1 and T_H17 cells. Nat Med 2008; 14:337–42.

A. Monsonego et al.

- 100 Pfeifer LA, White LR, Ross GW, Petrovitch H, Launer LJ. Cerebral amyloid angiopathy and cognitive function: the HAAS autopsy study. Neurology 2002; 58:1629–34.
- 101 Burbach GJ, Vlachos A, Ghebremedhin E, Del Turco D, Coomaraswamy J, Staufenbiel M, Jucker M, Deller T. Vessel ultrastructure in APP23 transgenic mice after passive anti-A β immunotherapy and subsequent intracerebral hemorrhage. Neurobiol Aging 2007; 28:202–12.
- 102 Racke MM, Boone LI, Hepburn DL et al. Exacerbation of cerebral amyloid angiopathy-associated microhemorrhage in amyloid precursor protein transgenic mice by immunotherapy is dependent on antibody recognition of deposited forms of amyloid b. J Neurosci 2005; 25:629–36.
- 103 Maue AC, Yager EJ, Swain SL, Woodland DL, Blackman MA, Haynes L. T-cell immunosenescence: lessons learned from mouse models of aging. Trends Immunol 2009; 30:301–5.
- 104 Panda A, Arjona A, Sapey E, Bai F, Fikrig E, Montgomery RR, Lord JM, Shaw AC. Human innate immunosenescence: causes and consequences for immunity in old age. Trends Immunol 2009; 30:325–33.
- 105 Akiyama H, Barger S, Barnum S et al. Inflammation and Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 2000; 21:383–421.
- 106 Rocha NP, Teixeira AL, Coelho FM et al. Peripheral blood mono-nuclear cells derived from Alzheimer's disease patients show elevated baseline levels of secreted cytokines but resist stimulation with β -amyloid peptide. Mol Cell Neurosci 2012; 49:77–84.
- 107 Njie EG, Boelen E, Stassen FR, Steinbusch HW, Borchelt DR, Streit WJ. Ex vivo cultures of microglia from young and aged rodent brain reveal age-related changes in microglial function. Neurobiol Aging 2012; 33:195 e1–12.
- 108 Streit WJ, Sammons NW, Kuhns AJ, Sparks DL. Dystrophic microglia in the aging human brain. Glia 2004; 45:208–12.
- 109 Tremblay ME, Zettel ML, Ison JR, Allen PD, Majewska AK. Effects of aging and sensory loss on glial cells in mouse visual and auditory cortices. Glia 2012; 60:541–58.
- 110 Villeda SA. The circulatory systemic environment as a modulator of neurogenesis and brain aging Autoimmun Rev 2012; doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2012.10.014.
- 111 Lucin KM, Wyss-Coray T. Immune activation in brain aging and neurodegeneration: too much or too little? Neuron 2009; 64:110–22.
- 112 Mirochnic S, Wolf S, Staufenbiel M, Kempermann G. Age effects on the regulation of adult hippocampal neurogenesis by physical activity and environmental enrichment in the APP23 mouse model of Alzheimer disease. Hippocampus 2009; 19:1008–18.
- 113 Kutzler MA, Cao C, Bai Y et al. Mapping of immune responses following wild-type and mutant AΒ42 plasmid or peptide vaccination in different mouse haplotypes and HLA Class II transgenic mice. Vaccine 2006; 24:4630–9.
- 114 Wilkinson K, El Khoury J. Microglial scavenger receptors and their roles in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. Int J Alzheimers Dis 2012; 2012:489456.
- 115 Yamanaka M, Ishikawa T, Griep A, Axt D, Kummer MP, Heneka MT. PPAR_//RXRainduced and CD36-mediated microglial amyloid- β phagocytosis results in cognitive improvement in amyloid precursor protein/presenilin 1 mice. J Neurosci 2012; 32:17321–31.
- 116 Prodinger C, Bunse J, Kruger M et al. CD11c-expressing cells reside in the juxtavascular parenchyma and extend processes into the glia limitans of the mouse nervous system. Acta Neuropathol 2011; 121:445–58.
- 117 Ransohoff RM, Engelhardt B. The anatomical and cellular basis of immune surveillance in the central nervous system. Nat Rev Immunol 2012; 12:623–35.
- 118 Shechter R, London A, Schwartz M. Orchestrated leukocyte recruitment to immuneprivileged sites: absolute barriers versus educational gates. Nat Rev Immunol 2013; 13:206–18.