

Reply to "Are There Reasons To Prefer Tetracyclines to Macrolides in Older Patients with Community-Acquired Pneumonia?"

Kevin A. Brown,^a Nagham Khanafer,^b Nick Daneman,^c David N. Fisman^a

Epidemiology Division, Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada^a; Laboratoire de Biométrie et de Biologie Évolutive, Université de Lyon, Lyon, France^b; Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada^c

We thank S. Di Bella et al. for their comments regarding the potential of tetracyclines to improve the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) in elderly patients at risk of *Clostridium difficile* infection (CDI) (1). Prescribing for CAP is a major driver of seasonal trends in antibiotic use in temperate countries, where wintertime antibiotic prescribing increases by almost one-third relative to summer levels (2). These differences in antibiotic prescribing in elderly patients may be at least partially responsible for the increased wintertime risk of CDI in North America (3, 4).

As S. Di Bella et al. note, the low risk profile of the use of tetracyclines for treatment of CDI in observational epidemiologic studies of hospital- and community-acquired CDI suggests that they may have advantages as a class of agents for patients with CAP at risk of CDI. Doxycycline, for example, which has activity against susceptible pneumococci and atypical pneumonic pathogens, has been shown to have only a moderate effect on total counts of gut anaerobic bacteria (5).

We caution that increased prescribing of tetracyclines for CAP could help select antimicrobial-resistant *Clostridium difficile* pathogens, and so tetracycline-associated CDI risks have the potential to change over time (6), such that ongoing monitoring of this relationship is necessary (7). It is also important to note other drawbacks of this class of antimicrobials, including their contraindication in children and pregnant women.

REFERENCES

- 1. Di Bella S, Taglietti F, Petrosillo N. 2013. Are there reasons to prefer tetracyclines to macrolides in older patients with community-acquired pneumonia? Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57:4093.
- 2. Daneman N, Stukel TA, Ma X, Vermeulen M, Guttmann A. 2012. Reduction in Clostridium difficile infection rates after mandatory hospital public reporting: findings from a longitudinal cohort study in Canada. PloS Med. 9:e1001268. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001268.
- 3. Polgreen PM, Yang M, Bohnett LC, Cavanaugh JE. 2010. A time-series analysis of clostridium difficile and its seasonal association with influenza. Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 31:382–387.
- 4. Gilca R, Fortin E, Frenette C, Longtin Y, Gourdeau M. 2012. Seasonal variations in Clostridium difficile infections are associated with influenza and respiratory syncytial virus activity independently of antibiotic prescriptions: a time series analysis in Quebec, Canada. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 56:639–646.
- Nord CE, Kager L, Heimdahl A. 1984. Impact of antimicrobial agents on the gastrointestinal microflora and the risk of infections. Am. J. Med. 76:99–106.
- Baxter R, Ray GT, Fireman BH. 2008. Case-control study of antibiotic use and subsequent Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea in hospitalized patients. Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 29:44–50.
- 7. Doernberg SB, Winston LG, Deck DH, Chambers HF. 2012. Does doxycycline protect against development of Clostridium difficile infection? Clin. Infect. Dis. 55:615–620.

Address correspondence to Kevin A. Brown, kevin.brown@mail.utoronto.ca. This is a response to a letter by Di Bella et al. (doi:10.1128/AAC.00828-13). Copyright © 2013, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/AAC.00864-13