



Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2013 July ; 22(7): 1297–1303. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-12-1393.

New Breast Cancer Risk Variant Discovered at 10q25 in East Asian Women

Jiajun Shi¹, Hyuna Sung², Ben Zhang¹, Wei Lu³, Ji-Yeob Choi^{2,10}, Yong-Bing Xiang⁴, Mi Kyung Kim^{5,6}, Motoki Iwasaki⁷, Jirong Long¹, Bu-Tian Ji⁸, Sue K. Park^{2,9,10}, Ying Zheng³, Shoichiro Tsugane⁷, Keun-Young Yoo⁹, Wenjing Wang³, Dong-Young Noh^{10,11}, Wonshik Han^{10,11}, Sung-Won Kim¹², Min Hyuk Lee¹³, Jong Won Lee¹⁴, Jong-Young Lee¹⁵, Chen-Yang Shen^{16,17}, Keitaro Matsuo¹⁸, Sei-Hyun Ahn^{5,6}, Yu-Tang Gao⁴, Xiao Ou Shu¹, Qiuyin Cai¹, Daehee Kang^{2,9,10}, and Wei Zheng¹

¹Division of Epidemiology, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt Epidemiology Center, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN, USA

²Department of Biomedical Sciences, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

³Shanghai Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Shanghai, China

⁴Department of Epidemiology, Shanghai Cancer Institute, Shanghai, China

⁵Cancer Epidemiology Division, Breast Cancer Center, National Cancer Center, Korea

⁶Department of Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Ulsan, Asan Medical Center, Korea

⁷Epidemiology and Prevention Division, Research Center for Cancer Prevention and Screening, National Cancer Center, Tokyo, Japan

⁸Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, NIH, Department of Health and Human Services, Bethesda, Maryland, USA

⁹Department of Preventive Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

¹⁰Cancer Research Institute, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

¹¹Department of Surgery, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

¹²Department of Surgery, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seongnam, Korea

¹³Department of Surgery, Soonchunhyang University Hospital, Korea

¹⁴Department of Surgery, University of Ulsan College of Medicine and Asan Medical Center, Seoul, Korea

¹⁵Center for Genome Science, Korea National Institute of Health, Osong Health Technology Administration Complex, Chungcheongbuk-do, Korea

¹⁶Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

¹⁷College of Public Health, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

Correspondence may be sent to: Wei Zheng, M.D., Ph.D. Vanderbilt Epidemiology Center, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center Vanderbilt University Medical Center 2525 West End Avenue, 8th Floor Nashville, Tennessee 37203-1738, USA
wei.zheng@vanderbilt.edu.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the funding agencies.

¹⁸Division of Epidemiology and Prevention, Aichi Cancer Center Research Institute, Nagoya, Japan

Abstract

Background—Recently, 41 new genetic susceptibility loci for breast cancer risk were identified in a genome-wide association study conducted in European descendants. Most of these risk variants have not been directly replicated in Asian populations.

Methods—We evaluated nine of those non-replication loci in East Asians in order to identify new risk variants for breast cancer in these regions. First, we analyzed single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in these regions using data from two GWAS conducted among Chinese and Korean women, including 5,083 cases and 4,376 controls (Stage 1). In each region we selected a SNP showing the strongest association with breast cancer risk for replication in an independent set of 7,294 cases and 9,404 controls of East Asian descents (Stage 2). Logistic regression models were used to calculate adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) as a measure of the association of breast cancer risk and genetic variants.

Results—Two SNPs were replicated in Stage 2 at $P < 0.05$: rs1419026 at 6q14 (per allele OR = 1.07, 95% CI: 1.03-1.12, $P = 3.0 \times 10^{-4}$) and rs941827 at 10q25 (OR = 0.92, 95% CI: 0.89-0.96, $P = 5.3 \times 10^{-5}$). The association with rs941827 remained highly statistically significant after adjusting for the risk variant identified initially in women of European ancestry (OR = 0.88, 95% CI: 0.82-0.97, $P = 5.3 \times 10^{-5}$).

Conclusion—We identified a new breast cancer risk variant at 10q25 in East Asian women.

Impact—Results from this study improve the understanding of the genetic basis for breast cancer.

Keywords

breast cancer; genetic susceptibility; GWAS replication; single nucleotide polymorphism

Genetic factors play a significant role in the etiology of breast cancer (1-4). To date, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified approximately 67 genetic susceptibility risk loci for breast cancer (5-19). With a few exceptions (9, 13, 14, 16, 20), most susceptibility loci were initially identified in GWAS conducted in European-ancestry populations. Most, if not all, of the initially reported risk variants, in the form of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (termed as index SNPs in subsequent text), are tagging SNPs. These SNPs were identified likely through their linkage disequilibrium (LD) with disease variants. Because LD patterns differ across populations of different ancestries, some findings from GWAS conducted in European descendants cannot be directly extrapolated to other populations (20-27). We recently evaluated all breast cancer risk variants identified to date and found that approximately half of the risk variants identified initially in European descendants cannot be directly replicated in East Asians (28). In the present study, we investigated nine regions where the index SNP has not been replicated in Asian samples in an attempt to identify other breast cancer risk variants for East Asian women.

Methods

Study populations

This study was conducted as part of the Asia Breast Cancer Consortium (ABCC), which has been described elsewhere (9, 13, 14, 18, 22, 28). Briefly, samples analyzed in this study were from eight epidemiological studies in the ABCC (Table 1). Samples were from 13,642 Chinese women, 11,713 Korean women and 802 Japanese women. Chinese participants were selected from four studies: the Shanghai Breast Cancer Study (SBCS), the Shanghai

Breast Cancer Survival Study (SBCSS), the Shanghai Endometrial Cancer Study (SECS, controls only), and the Shanghai Women's Health Study (SWHS)] (9, 29, 30). Korean participants came from four studies: the Seoul Breast Cancer Study (SeBCS) (20), Korea National Cancer Center (Korea-NCC), Korea Genome Epidemiology Study (KoGES) (31), and Korean Hereditary Breast Cancer (KOHBRA) (32). Japanese samples were from the Japan Nagano Breast Cancer Study (33). In total, 12,377 cases and 13,780 controls were analyzed in the present study.

Genotyping and quality-control

Stage 1 testing was conducted using existing data from two GWAS, wherein 5,285 Chinese women and 4,777 Korean women were genotyped primarily using the Affymetrix Genome-wide Human SNP Array 6.0. Genotyping protocols have been described elsewhere (9, 18, 20). From the Chinese GWAS, we included one negative control and at least three positive quality-control (QC) samples from the Coriell Cell Repositories in each of the 96-well plates for genotyping with Affymetrix SNP Array 6.0 chips. A total of 273 positive QC samples were successfully genotyped; the average concordance rate was 99.9% with a median value of 100%. Genetically identical and unexpected duplicate samples were excluded, as they were close relatives with a pair-wise proportion of identify-by-descent (IBD) estimate greater than 0.25. All samples with a call rate < 95% were excluded. SNPs were excluded if: 1) minor allele frequency (MAF) < 1%; 2) call rate < 95%; or 3) genotyping concordance rate < 95% in QC samples. The final dataset included 2,918 cases and 2,324 controls for 690,947 markers. For the Korean GWAS, the Affymetrix SNP Array 6.0 was used (20). A total of 30 QC samples were successfully genotyped; the average concordance rate was 99.8%. SNPs were excluded if: 1) genotype call rate < 95%; 2) MAF < 1% in either cases or controls; 3) evidence for deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) at P -value < 10^{-6} ; or 4) poor genotyping cluster plot in either cases or controls. After QC filtering, the final dataset included 2,165 cases and 2,052 controls for 555,525 markers. All samples from both studies were genetically confirmed to be females.

We used the program MACH 1.0 (34) to impute genotypes for autosomal SNPs in HapMap Phase II release 22 for samples from the Chinese and Korean GWAS. Only SNPs with imputation quality score $RSQR \geq 0.3$ were included in subsequent analyses. Dosage data for imputed SNPs in samples from each of the Stage 1 studies were analyzed using the program mach2dat (34).

We genotyped nine selected SNPs in Stage 2 using the iPLEX MassARRAY platform (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA). PCR primers and allelic-specific extension primers were designed with the MassARRAY Assay Design 4.0 software, and alleles of each SNP were detected through matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry on the MassARRAY Analyzer 4 system (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA). In each 96-well plate, five QC samples were used in the Sequenom assay, including one negative control (water), two blinded duplicates, and two samples from the HapMap project. Mean concordance rate was 99.7% for the blind duplicates and 98.9% for the HapMap samples.

Statistical analyses

PLINK version 1.06 was used to analyze the genome-wide data obtained in Stage 1 (35). Population structure was investigated by analyzing 690,947 SNPs that passed QC in Chinese women (2,918 cases and 2,324 controls) and 555,525 SNPs that passed QC in Korean women (2,165 cases and 2,052 controls), showing an estimated inflation factor λ of 1.042 for Chinese (18) and 1.043 for Koreans (20). Therefore, population substructure, if present, should not substantially affect the results of this study. Logistic regression was employed to

estimate breast cancer risk under a log-additive model and adjusted for age and study site, when appropriate odds ratios (ORs) associated with each SNP and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated for cancer risk. Conditional analyses were performed by adjusting the index SNP in each locus to evaluate possible independent association of breast cancer with the SNP under study. All analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) unless noted otherwise.

Results

Table 2 presents the associations of nine index SNPs with breast cancer risk from the Chinese and Korean GWAS (Stage 1). Results from the original GWAS of European-ancestry populations are shown, also. None of these index SNPs were associated with breast cancer risk at $P < 0.05$ in our study. To search for other possible variants in these regions which could be associated with breast cancer risk, we selected a SNP from each of these regions for further evaluation. In each region, we selected a SNP that showed the most significant association with breast cancer risk and is located within +/- 500kb of the index SNP of that region (Table 3).

Stage 1 and 2 results for the nine selected SNPs are presented in Table 3. SNPs rs1419026 at 6q14 and rs941827 at 10q25 showed an association with breast cancer risk at $P < 0.05$ in the same direction in both stages. In the combined analysis of 12,377 breast cancer cases and 13,780 control women, per allele ORs were 1.07 (95% CI: 1.03-1.12, $P = 3.0 \times 10^{-4}$) and 0.92 (95% CI: 0.89-0.96, $P = 5.3 \times 10^{-5}$) for rs1419026 and rs941827, respectively. The association of rs1419026 with breast cancer risk was, in general, consistent across participating studies and heterogeneity test was not statistically significant ($P = 0.675$). The association of breast cancer risk with rs941827 was substantially stronger in the Nagano study (OR = 0.72, 95% CI = 0.58 – 0.89, $P = 0.002$) than other seven studies combined (OR = 0.93, 95% CI = 0.89 – 0.97, $P = 3.8 \times 10^{-4}$) (P for heterogeneity, 0.027). After excluding the Nagano study, the heterogeneity test was no longer statistically significant ($P = 0.103$).

Conditional analyses for rs1419026 and rs941827 were performed by adjusting the index SNP in each of these loci. These analyses were conducted using Stage 1 samples with data available for both new SNPs and index SNPs. The association with rs941827 at 10q25 remained statistically significant after adjusting for index SNP rs7904519 (OR = 0.88, 95% CI: 0.82-0.93, $P = 5.3 \times 10^{-5}$) (data now shown in tables). However, the significant association with rs1419026 disappeared after adjusting for its index SNP rs17529111 (OR = 1.05, 95% CI: 0.96-1.15, $P = 0.293$). SNPs rs1419026 and rs941827 were associated with both ER+ and ER-cancer (Table 4).

Nominally significant associations were also observed for two other SNPs (rs821287 and rs10278902) in Stage 2. However, the direction of the association for these two SNPs was inconsistent in Stages 1 and 2, and thus these two SNPs were considered not being replicated in this study.

Discussion

In this large study conducted in East Asian women, we identified a new genetic risk variant for breast cancer at 10q25, a breast cancer susceptibility locus identified recently in a GWAS of European descendants (19). We also found a SNP (rs1419026) at 6q14 that showed a stronger association with breast cancer risk in East Asians than the index SNP (rs17529111) initially identified in this locus in a GWAS conducted among European descendants. Our study has expanded the list of breast cancer risk variants identified for East

Asian women and provides data that might be useful in fine-mapping GWAS-identified regions to identify causal variants for this common malignancy.

The index SNP rs7904519 at 10q25 was not replicated in our study. The risk allele frequency is very low in East Asian women (0.045) compared with European descendants (0.405). In the present study, we found a significant association of breast cancer risk with SNP rs941827, with an effective allele frequency of 0.26 in East Asian women and 0.29 in Europeans. These two SNPs are not correlated ($r^2 < 0.03$ in either CEU or CHB+JPT samples). The index SNP (rs7904519) is located in intron 3 of the *TCF7L2* gene (NM_030756). The SNP identified in our study (rs941827), is located in intron 7 of the vesicle transport through interaction with t-SNAREs homolog 1A (yeast) (*VTI1A*) gene (NM_145206), approximately 215 kb upstream of rs7904519. The *VTI1A* gene encodes a soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive fusion protein attachment protein receptor (SNARE) that mediates the transport of vesicles between the Golgi apparatus and the plasma membrane (36, 37). The potential role of the VTI1A protein in breast carcinogenesis remains unknown. Intriguingly, SNPs rs941827 and rs7904519 are included in a ~420-540-kb region that has been found to be deleted in some breast and colorectal cancer samples (38, 39). This deletion causes a *VTI1A-TCF7L2* fusion, which may affect the regulatory function of the TCF/ β -Catenin complex on the Wnt signaling (40). Further studies are needed to clarify the mechanism of the association of *VTI1A* variants and breast cancer risk identified in this study.

In our study, some imputed SNPs could not be investigated properly because of their low imputation quality in Stage 1. Some of the index SNPs evaluated in Stage 1 showed an association in the same direction as reported previously in the European-ancestry study, although the association was not statistically significant perhaps due to a small sample size. In addition, we selected only one SNP per locus for Stage 2 replication because of budget constraints. It is possible that additional risk variants may be located in some of these regions and can be further investigated in the future. Nevertheless, using data from East Asian women, we identified one new genetic risk variant at 10q25 for breast cancer. We also identified a risk variant at 6q14 that showed a stronger association with breast cancer in East Asians than the index SNP initially discovered in this region in a GWAS conducted in European descendants. These results are new and should be helpful for future studies to understand the genetic basis for breast cancer.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank participants and research staff for this study. We thank Regina Courtney, Jie Wu, Jing He, Mary Jo Daly, and Bethanie Rammer for their help with sample preparation and technical support for the project at Vanderbilt. The work for this project at Vanderbilt was supported primarily by U.S. NIH grants R01CA124558, R01CA148667, R01CA64277, R37CA070867, and U19 CA148065, as well as Ingram Professorship and Research Reward funds from Vanderbilt University. Sample preparation and genotyping assays at Vanderbilt were conducted at the Survey and Biospecimen Shared Resources and Vanderbilt Microarray Shared Resource, which are supported in part by Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center (P30 CA68485). Funding for the constituent studies was provided by U.S. NIH grants (R01CA124558, R01CA148667, R01CA64277, R37CA070867, R01CA118229, R01CA092585, R01CA122756, R01 CA137013, R01CA63464, R01CA54281, and CA132839), US Department of Defense Breast Cancer Research Program (BC011118 and BC050791), National Research Foundation, Ministry of Education, Science and Technology, National Biobank of Korea, and National R&D Program of the Republic of Korea (2011-0001564, 1020350, 0620410-1, 2012-0000347, and KOBB-2011-03), and Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare and Ministry of Education (17015049, and 221S0001).

References

1. Lichtenstein P, Holm NV, Verkasalo PK, Iliadou A, Kaprio J, Koskenvuo M, et al. Environmental and heritable factors in the causation of cancer--analyses of cohorts of twins from Sweden, Denmark, and Finland. *N Engl J Med*. 2000; 343(2):78–85. [PubMed: 10891514]
2. Peto J, Mack TM. High constant incidence in twins and other relatives of women with breast cancer. *Nat Genet*. 2000; 26(4):411–4. [PubMed: 11101836]
3. Nathanson KL, Wooster R, Weber BL. Breast cancer genetics: what we know and what we need. *Nat Med*. 2001; 7(5):552–6. [PubMed: 11329055]
4. Zhang B, Beeghly-Fadiel A, Long J, Zheng W. Genetic variants associated with breast-cancer risk: comprehensive research synopsis, meta-analysis, and epidemiological evidence. *Lancet Oncol*. 2011; 12(5):477–88. [PubMed: 21514219]
5. Easton DF, Pooley KA, Dunning AM, Pharoah PD, Thompson D, Ballinger DG, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies novel breast cancer susceptibility loci. *Nature*. 2007; 447(7148):1087–93. [PubMed: 17529967]
6. Hunter DJ, Kraft P, Jacobs KB, Cox DG, Yeager M, Hankinson SE, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies alleles in *FGFR2* associated with risk of sporadic postmenopausal breast cancer. *Nat Genet*. 2007; 39(7):870–4. [PubMed: 17529973]
7. Stacey SN, Manolescu A, Sulem P, Rafnar T, Gudmundsson J, Gudjonsson SA, et al. Common variants on chromosomes 2q35 and 16q12 confer susceptibility to estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. *Nat Genet*. 2007; 39(7):865–9. [PubMed: 17529974]
8. Stacey SN, Manolescu A, Sulem P, Thorlacius S, Gudjonsson SA, Jonsson GF, et al. Common variants on chromosome 5p12 confer susceptibility to estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. *Nat Genet*. 2008; 40(6):703–6. [PubMed: 18438407]
9. Zheng W, Long J, Gao YT, Li C, Zheng Y, Xiang YB, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies a new breast cancer susceptibility locus at 6q25.1. *Nat Genet*. 2009; 41(3):324–8. [PubMed: 19219042]
10. Ahmed S, Thomas G, Ghousaini M, Healey CS, Humphreys MK, Platte R, et al. Newly discovered breast cancer susceptibility loci on 3p24 and 17q23.2. *Nat Genet*. 2009; 41(5):585–90. [PubMed: 19330027]
11. Thomas G, Jacobs KB, Kraft P, Yeager M, Wacholder S, Cox DG, et al. A multistage genome-wide association study in breast cancer identifies two new risk alleles at 1p11.2 and 14q24.1 (*RAD51L1*). *Nat Genet*. 2009; 41(5):579–84. [PubMed: 19330030]
12. Turnbull C, Ahmed S, Morrison J, Pernet D, Renwick A, Maranian M, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies five new breast cancer susceptibility loci. *Nat Genet*. 2010; 42(6):504–7. [PubMed: 20453838]
13. Long J, Cai Q, Shu XO, Qu S, Li C, Zheng Y, et al. Identification of a functional genetic variant at 16q12.1 for breast cancer risk: results from the Asia Breast Cancer Consortium. *PLoS Genet*. 2010; 6(6):e1001002. [PubMed: 20585626]
14. Cai Q, Long J, Lu W, Qu S, Wen W, Kang D, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies breast cancer risk variant at 10q21.2: results from the Asia Breast Cancer Consortium. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2011; 20(24):4991–9. [PubMed: 21908515]
15. Fletcher O, Johnson N, Orr N, Hosking FJ, Gibson LJ, Walker K, et al. Novel breast cancer susceptibility locus at 9q31.2: results of a genome-wide association study. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2011; 103(5):425–35. [PubMed: 21263130]
16. Haiman CA, Chen GK, Vachon CM, Canzian F, Dunning A, Millikan RC, et al. A common variant at the *TERT-CLPTM1L* locus is associated with estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer. *Nat Genet*. 2011; 43(12):1210–4. [PubMed: 22037553]
17. Ghousaini M, Fletcher O, Michailidou K, Turnbull C, Schmidt MK, Dicks E, et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies three new breast cancer susceptibility loci. *Nat Genet*. 2012; 44(3):312–8. [PubMed: 22267197]
18. Long J, Cai Q, Sung H, Shi J, Zhang B, Choi JY, et al. Genome-wide association study in east Asians identifies novel susceptibility loci for breast cancer. *PLoS Genet*. 2012; 8(2):e1002532. [PubMed: 22383897]

19. Michailidou K, Hall P, Gonzalez-Neira A, Ghoussaini M, Dennis J, Milne RL, et al. Large-scale genotyping identifies more than 40 novel breast cancer susceptibility loci. *Nat Genet.* 2013 (in press).
20. Kim HC, Lee JY, Sung H, Choi JY, Park SK, Lee KM, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies a breast cancer risk variant in ERBB4 at 2q34: results from the Seoul Breast Cancer Study. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2012; 14(2):R56. [PubMed: 22452962]
21. Zheng W, Cai Q, Signorello LB, Long J, Hargreaves MK, Deming SL, et al. Evaluation of 11 breast cancer susceptibility loci in African-American women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2009; 18(10):2761–4. [PubMed: 19789366]
22. Cai Q, Wen W, Qu S, Li G, Egan KM, Chen K, et al. Replication and functional genomic analyses of the breast cancer susceptibility locus at 6q25.1 generalize its importance in women of chinese, Japanese, and European ancestry. *Cancer Res.* 2011; 71(4):1344–55. [PubMed: 21303983]
23. Chen F, Chen GK, Millikan RC, John EM, Ambrosone CB, Bernstein L, et al. Fine-mapping of breast cancer susceptibility loci characterizes genetic risk in African Americans. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2011; 20(22):4491–503. [PubMed: 21852243]
24. Hutter CM, Young AM, Ochs-Balcom HM, Carty CL, Wang T, Chen CT, et al. Replication of breast cancer GWAS susceptibility loci in the Women’s Health Initiative African American SHARe Study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2011; 20(9):1950–9. [PubMed: 21795501]
25. Chen J, Jiang Y, Liu X, Qin Z, Dai J, Jin G, et al. Genetic variants at chromosome 9p21, 10p15 and 10q22 and breast cancer susceptibility in a Chinese population. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2012; 132(2):741–6. [PubMed: 22198471]
26. Huo D, Zheng Y, Ogundiran TO, Adebamowo C, Nathanson KL, Domchek SM, et al. Evaluation of 19 susceptibility loci of breast cancer in women of African ancestry. *Carcinogenesis.* 2012; 33(4):835–40. [PubMed: 22357627]
27. Sueta A, Ito H, Kawase T, Hirose K, Hosono S, Yatabe Y, et al. A genetic risk predictor for breast cancer using a combination of low-penetrance polymorphisms in a Japanese population. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2012; 132(2):711–21. [PubMed: 22160591]
28. Zheng W, Zhang B, Cai Q, Sung H, Michailidou K, Shi J, et al. Common genetic determinants of breast cancer risk in East Asian women: a collaborative study of 23,637 breast cancer cases and 25,280 controls. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2013 (revised).
29. Zheng W, Chow WH, Yang G, Jin F, Rothman N, Blair A, et al. The Shanghai Women’s Health Study: rationale, study design, and baseline characteristics. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2005; 162(11):1123–31. [PubMed: 16236996]
30. Shu XO, Zheng Y, Cai H, Gu K, Chen Z, Zheng W, et al. Soy food intake and breast cancer survival. *JAMA.* 2009; 302(22):2437–43. [PubMed: 19996398]
31. Cho YS, Go MJ, Kim YJ, Heo JY, Oh JH, Ban HJ, et al. A large-scale genome-wide association study of Asian populations uncovers genetic factors influencing eight quantitative traits. *Nat Genet.* 2009; 41(5):527–34. [PubMed: 19396169]
32. Han SA, Park SK, Ahn SH, Lee MH, Noh DY, Kim LS, et al. The Korean Hereditary Breast Cancer (KOHBRA) study: protocols and interim report. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol).* 2011; 23(7):434–41. [PubMed: 21497495]
33. Itoh H, Iwasaki M, Hanaoka T, Kasuga Y, Yokoyama S, Onuma H, et al. Serum organochlorines and breast cancer risk in Japanese women: a case-control study. *Cancer Causes Control.* 2009; 20(5):567–80. [PubMed: 19031103]
34. Li Y, Willer CJ, Ding J, Scheet P, Abecasis GR. MaCH: using sequence and genotype data to estimate haplotypes and unobserved genotypes. *Genet Epidemiol.* 2010; 34(8):816–34. [PubMed: 21058334]
35. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, et al. PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2007; 81(3):559–75. [PubMed: 17701901]
36. Kreykenbohm V, Wenzel D, Antonin W, Atlachkine V, von Mollard GF. The SNAREs vti1a and vti1b have distinct localization and SNARE complex partners. *Eur J Cell Biol.* 2002; 81(5):273–80. [PubMed: 12067063]

37. Flowerdew SE, Burgoyne RD. A VAMP7/Vti1a SNARE complex distinguishes a non-conventional traffic route to the cell surface used by KChIP1 and Kv4 potassium channels. *Biochem J.* 2009; 418(3):529–40. [PubMed: 19138172]
38. Stephens PJ, McBride DJ, Lin ML, Varela I, Pleasance ED, Simpson JT, et al. Complex landscapes of somatic rearrangement in human breast cancer genomes. *Nature.* 2009; 462(7276):1005–10. [PubMed: 20033038]
39. Bass AJ, Lawrence MS, Brace LE, Ramos AH, Drier Y, Cibulskis K, et al. Genomic sequencing of colorectal adenocarcinomas identifies a recurrent VTI1A-TCF7L2 fusion. *Nat Genet.* 2011; 43(10):964–8. [PubMed: 21892161]
40. Clevers H, Nusse R. Wnt/beta-catenin signaling and disease. *Cell.* 2012; 149(6):1192–205. [PubMed: 22682243]

Table 1

Summary of selected characteristics of participants by studies

Study	No. of Cases	No. of Controls	Population ethnicity	Study design ^a	Mean age (year) ^b	Menopause (%) ^c	ER+ (%) ^d
SBCGS1 ^e (GWAS)	2918	2324	Chinese	Population-based	52/50 ^f	43/42	65
SBCGS2 ^e (Stage 2)	1613	1800	Chinese	Population-based	53/53	50/55 ^f	62
SBCGS3 ^e (Stage 3)	2601	2386	Chinese	Population-based	54/55 ^f	50/53	65
SeBCS1 (GWAS)	2165	2052	Korean	Hospital-based	48/51 ^f	36/55 ^f	63
SeBCS2 (Stage 2)	777	1104	Korean	Hospital-based	48/48	36/37	63
KOHBRA/KoGES	1397	3209	Korean	Hospital-based with community controls	40/50 ^f	23/NA	63
Korea-NCC	505	504	Korean	Hospital-based	49/49	50/45	65
Nagano	401	401	Japanese	Hospital-based	54/54	55/65 ^f	75
Total	12,377	13,780					

Abbreviations: SBCGS, Shanghai Breast Cancer Genetic Study; SeBCS, Seoul Breast Cancer Study; KOHBRA/KoGES, Korean Hereditary Breast Cancer/Genome/Epidemiology Study; Korea-NCC, Korea National Cancer Center Study; Nagano, Japan Nagano Breast Cancer Study; NA, not available.

^aCase-control study.

^bMean age of cases/controls with available data.

^cProportion of postmenopausal status of cases/controls with available data.

^dAmong cases with ER data.

^eIncluding cases and controls from four studies conducted in Shanghai.

^fSignificant at $\alpha = 0.01$ level.

Table 2

Associations of index SNPs in nine recently-reported breast-cancer susceptibility loci

SNP ^a	Chr./gene ^b	Position (bp) ^c	Alleles ^d	Asian GWAS (5,083 cases and 4,376 controls)			European		
				EAF ^e	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> _{trend}	EAF ^f	OR (95% CI) ^f	<i>P</i> _{trend} ^f
rs2016394	2q31/ <i>DLX2</i>	172681217	G/A	0.19	0.98 (0.90-1.08)	0.727	0.48	0.95 (0.93-0.97)	1.2×10 ⁻⁸
rs204247	6p23/ <i>RANBP9</i>	13830502	G/A	0.39	0.97 (0.92-1.03)	0.373	0.43	1.05 (1.03-1.07)	8.4×10 ⁻⁹
rs17529111	6q14/ <i>FAM46A</i>	82185105	C/T	0.20	1.07 (0.99-1.15)	0.094	0.22	1.06 (1.04-1.09)	4.3×10 ⁻⁹
rs720475	7q35/ <i>ARHGEF5</i>	143705862	A/G	0.02	1.07 (0.89-1.29)	0.455	0.25	0.94(0.92-0.96)	7.0×10 ⁻¹¹
rs11780156	8q24/ <i>MYC</i>	129263823	T/C	0.22	1.00 (0.93-1.07)	0.908	0.16	1.07 (1.04-1.10)	3.4×10 ⁻¹¹
rs11814448	10p12/ <i>DNAJC1</i>	22355849	C/A	0.01	1.31 (0.84-2.02)	0.230	0.02	1.26 (1.18-1.35)	9.3×10 ⁻¹⁶
rs7904519	10q25/ <i>TCF7L2</i>	114763917	G/A	0.04	1.01 (0.88-1.17)	0.844	0.46	1.06 (1.04-1.08)	3.1×10 ⁻⁸
rs12575663	11q13/ <i>OVOL1</i>	65331111	A/G	0.17	1.01 (0.93-1.09)	0.865	0.47	0.95 (0.93-0.96)	8.6×10 ⁻¹²
rs6001930	22q13/ <i>MKLI</i>	39206180	C/T	0.28	1.05 (0.99-1.12)	0.124	0.11	1.12(1.09-1.16)	8.8×10 ⁻¹⁹

Abbreviations: Chr., chromosome; EAF, effect allele frequency; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

^a rs12575663 in complete LD with index SNP rs3903072 in CEU, CHB and JPN samples ($r^2=1.0$, based on LD data from HapMap release27)

^b The closest gene.

^c Location based on NCBI Human Genome Build 36.3.

^d Effect/reference alleles based on NCBI Human Genome Build 36.3, dbSNP b126 forward strand.

^e Effect allele frequency in controls of Asian samples.

^f Summary results from the original studies in European descendents (EAF, OR and 95% CI from iCOGS; *P* from combined GWAS+iCOGS).

Table 3

Associations of breast cancer risk with SNPs selected for this study

Tested SNP ^a	Chr./gene ^b	Position (bp) ^c	Alleles ^d	EAF ^e	Index SNP	CEU (r ²) ^f	CHB (r ²) ^f	Stage 1 (5,083/4,376)			Stage 2 (7,294/9,404)			Combined (12,377/13,780)		
								Imputed	OR (95% CI) ^g	P trend ^g	OR (95% CI) ^g	P trend ^g	OR (95% CI) ^g	P trend ^g	OR (95% CI) ^g	P trend ^g
rs788166	2q31/DLX2	172623735	C/G	0.62	rs2016394	0.01	0.06	Yes	1.09 (1.02-1.15)	0.006	1.01 (0.97-1.06)	0.605	1.04 (1.00-1.08)	0.037		
rs821287	6p23/RANBP9	13464145	G/A	0.68	rs204247	0.01	0.05	Yes	0.86 (0.77-0.95)	0.003	1.07 (1.02-1.12)	0.005	1.03 (0.99-1.08)	0.164		
rs1419026	6q14/FAM46A	82145898	T/C	0.29	rs17529111	0.29	0.56	Yes	1.07 (1.00-1.13)	0.048	1.08 (1.02-1.13)	0.003	1.07 (1.03-1.12)	3.0×10⁻⁴		
rs10278902	7q35/ARHGGEF5	143320582	G/A	0.59	rs720475	0	0	Yes	0.94 (0.88-1.00)	0.034	1.06 (1.01-1.11)	0.014	1.01 (0.98-1.05)	0.434		
rs2608036	8q24/MYC	129194161	G/A	0.82	rs11780156	0.07	0.05	No	1.10 (1.02-1.19)	0.014	1.04 (0.98-1.10)	0.192	1.06 (1.01-1.11)	0.011		
rs16921849	10p12/DNAJC1	21873162	G/A	0.34	rs11814448	0.01	0.01	Yes	1.07 (1.00-1.14)	0.036	0.98 (0.93-1.02)	0.342	1.01 (0.97-1.05)	0.621		
rs941827	10q25/TCF7L2	114548877	C/T	0.29	rs7904519	0.01	0.02	No	0.88 (0.82-0.93)	5.0×10 ⁻⁵	0.95 (0.90-1.00)	0.049	0.92 (0.89-0.96)	5.3×10⁻⁵		
rs500161	11q13/OVOL1	65452014	T/C	0.51	rs12575663	0.56	0.11	Yes	1.05 (0.99-1.12)	0.084	0.99 (0.95-1.04)	0.761	1.01 (0.98-1.05)	0.425		
rs138019	22q13/MKLI	38941574	G/A	0.92	rs6001930	0.02	0.03	Yes	0.86 (0.77-0.97)	0.009	1.04 (0.96-1.14)	0.334	0.98 (0.91-1.04)	0.464		

Abbreviations: Chr., chromosome; EAF, effect allele frequency; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; ABCC, Asian Breast Cancer Consortium.

^aOne SNP was selected in each locus for the study.

^bThe closest gene to corresponding index SNP in each region.

^cLocation based on NCBI Human Genome Build 36.3.

^dEffect/reference alleles were defined based on NCBI Human Genome Build 36.3, dbSNP 126 forward strand.

^eEffect allele frequency in controls of Stage 2 studies.

^fBased on HapMap genotype data release 27, dbSNP building 126.

^gAdjusted for age and study site.

Table 4

Associations of rs1419026 and rs941827 with breast cancer risk, stratified by estrogen receptor (ER) status

SNP (locus)	ER positive			ER negative		
	Cases/Controls	OR (95% CI) ^a	<i>P</i> _{trend} ^a	Cases/Controls	OR (95% CI) ^a	<i>P</i> _{trend} ^a
rs1419026 (6q14)	6,864/13,570	1.06 (1.01-1.11)	0.018	3,801/13,570	1.10 (1.04-1.17)	5.2×10⁻⁴
rs941827 (10q25)	6,851/13,561	0.92 (0.87-0.96)	4.2×10⁻⁴	3,790/13,561	0.91 (0.86-0.97)	0.002

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

^aAdjusted for age and study site.