

CLINICAL UTILITY GENE CARD

Clinical utility gene card for: Rothmund–Thomson syndrome

Lidia Larizza^{*1}, Gaia Roversi² and Alain Verloes³

European Journal of Human Genetics (2013) **21**, doi:10.1038/ejhg.2012.260; published online 28 November 2012

1. DISEASE CHARACTERISTICS

1.1 Name of the disease (synonyms)

Rothmund–Thomson syndrome

Poikiloderma atrophicum with cataract

1.2 OMIM# of the disease

#268400

1.3 Name of the analyzed genes or DNA/chromosome segments

RECQL4 (*RECQ-like, type 4*), *RECQ4*

1.4 OMIM# of the gene(s)

*603780

1.5 Mutational spectrum

Biallelic mutations in the *RECQL4* gene are associated with Rothmund–Thomson (RTS) and two additional recessive disorders: RAPADILINO (RADial hypoplasia, PATellae hypoplasia and cleft or arched PALate, DIarrhea and DISlocated joints, LIttle size and LImb malformation, slender NOse and NOrmal intelligence) and Baller–Gerold syndrome (BGS). More than 60 disease-causing mutations have been reported, of which at least 40 have been detected in RTS patients.^{1,2} The types of observed mutations are as follows, in order of decreasing prevalence: nonsense or frameshift mutations; splicing alterations, including substitutions at canonical splice junctions or at splice-site consensus sequences and subtle intronic deletions that reduce intron size below the threshold (<80 bp) required for correct splicing;^{3,4} and missense mutations. There are a few recurrent mutations, among which the most common, exon 9 c.1573delT (p.Cys525AlafsX33), has been detected in patients with all three *RECQL4*-associated diseases. This truncating mutation accounts for approximately one-third of RTS mutations and has only been found in compound heterozygous patients from multiple ethnic backgrounds. A deletion of the entire gene has never been identified.

1.6 Analytical methods

Bidirectional sequencing of all exonic and intronic sequences of the *RECQL4* gene.

MLPA (multiplex ligation-dependent probe identification) should be applied if sequencing fails to identify both mutant alleles.

Conventional cytogenetics may unveil a high rate of spontaneous and induced chromosomal breakage, as well as mosaic trisomies and isochromosomes.^{5,6,7}

1.7 Analytical validation

Bidirectional sequencing results are confirmed by sequencing using different sets of primers. Pathogenicity of novel missense alterations must be verified by testing a set of at least 100 control chromosomes of the same ethnic origin and by *in silico* prediction methods. RT-PCR and cDNA sequencing is performed to confirm splicing mutations and to rule out effects on splicing by missense mutations. Testing of parents for carrier status should be performed in all cases.

1.8 Estimated frequency of the disease (incidence at birth ('birth prevalence') or population prevalence)

If known to be variable between ethnic groups, please report:

The population prevalence of RTS syndrome is unknown. RTS is a very rare disorder, with fewer than 400 cases described in the literature.

However, RTS is likely to have been under-diagnosed because of the lack of awareness of this disorder and the lack of signs unique to the syndrome. Moreover, incidences relate directly to clinicians' and clinical geneticists' knowledge about the syndrome.

1.9 Diagnostic setting

	Yes	No
A. (Differential) diagnostics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B. Predictive testing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
C. Risk assessment in relatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
D. Prenatal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comment: The main diagnostic sign of RTS is poikiloderma (telangiectatic lesions, reticulated areas of depigmentation, hyperpigmentation, and punctate atrophy), which appears within the first 2 years of life as a chronic lesion evolving from a previous acute erythematous rash, first affecting the face and then extending to the limbs. Another hallmark is growth delay, present in 2/3 of patients, which is noted in the prenatal setting (ie, intrauterine growth restriction) and persists harmoniously after birth along at least—2 SD (when compared with the normal population). Given the high number of genodermatoses that present with poikiloderma, the pattern of presentation should be carefully considered, as should the

¹Dipartimento di Scienze della Salute, University of Milan, Milan, Italy; ²Dipartimento di Chirurgia e Medicina Interdisciplinare, University of Milan-Bicocca, Monza, Italy;

³Département de Génétique, Hôpital Robert DEBRE, Paris, France

*Correspondence: Professor L Larizza, Department of Health Sciences, University of Milan, Via A. di Rudinì 8, Milano 20142, Italy. Tel: +39 02 5032 3206; Fax: +39 02 5032 3026; E-mail: lidia.larizza@unimi.it

concomitance of other common RTS signs: radial-ray defects; growth delay; sparse hair. Although Poikiloderma with Neutropenia (PN) syndrome, in many previous cases misdiagnosed as RTS, can now be diagnosed with a specific genetic test, clinically diagnosed RTS patients still comprise subgroups of unknown molecular etiology, including those characterized by poikiloderma and cataract, often without bone defects. Ambiguities resulting from partial clinical overlap with Fanconi Anemia (FA), Werner syndrome (WS), and Dyskeratosis Congenita (DC) can be resolved by the *RECQL4* test; however, this may leave open the differential diagnosis with other *RECQL4*-related diseases, particularly RAPADILINO syndrome.^{8,9} This test should be offered to all juvenile osteosarcoma cases with poikiloderma-like lesions.

2. TEST CHARACTERISTICS

	Genotype or disease		A: True positives	C: False negative
	Present	Absent	B: False positives	D: True negative
Test				
Positive	A	B	Sensitivity:	$A/(A + C)$
			Specificity:	$D/(D + B)$
Negative	C	D	Positive predictive value:	$A/(A + B)$
			Negative predictive value:	$D/(C + D)$

2.1 Analytical sensitivity

(proportion of positive tests if the genotype is present)

Depends on the method(s) used. The analytical sensitivity could be >95%, but only if the DNA test is not restricted to exon sequencing. This applies to all three *RECQL4*-associated diseases.

2.2 Analytical specificity

(proportion of negative tests if the genotype is not present)

>95%

2.3 Clinical sensitivity

(proportion of positive tests if the disease is present)

The clinical sensitivity can be dependent on variable factors, such as age or family history. In such cases, a general statement should be given, even if quantification can only be made on a case by case basis.

The age of onset and range of clinical features is variable, but the main clinical signs should be present by the age of 2 years. By sequence analysis, including complete sequencing of all exons and introns, a disease-causing mutation is identified in ~66% of individuals diagnosed with RTS.¹⁰ Incomplete clinical sensitivity could be explained by: (i) locus heterogeneity; (ii) mutations within the promoter of the gene; or (iii) mutations not identifiable by direct sequencing, such as deletions of entire exons or of the entire gene. Indeed, in a few patients (5 out of 64 listed in Siitonen *et al.*¹), only one *RECQL4* mutation is detectable.

2.4 Clinical specificity

(proportion of negative tests if the disease is not present)

The clinical specificity can be dependent on variable factors, such as age or family history. In such cases, a general statement should be given, even if quantification can only be made on a case by case basis.

Close to 100%.

2.5 Positive clinical predictive value

(life time risk to develop the disease if the test is positive)

Hundred percent for pathogenic mutations. On the basis of the literature and the reported pedigrees, all cases manifest the disease at early infancy: the penetrance is complete by at the age of 2 years, with variable expressivity. For patients who test positive for mutations, genetic counseling and surveillance should be provided for increased risk of osteosarcoma at an early age, and epithelial carcinoma of the skin in adulthood.^{11,12} Prevalences of osteosarcoma and skin cancer in RTS are 30% and 5%, respectively. However, it must be considered that a few mutations detected in RTS patients are shared by RAPADILINO and BGS, which represent allelic disorders with different medical complications and cancer susceptibility.¹

2.6 Negative clinical predictive value

(probability not to develop the disease if the test is negative)

Assume an increased risk based on family history for a non-affected person. Allelic and locus heterogeneity may need to be considered.

Index case in that family had been tested:

If the index case in that family has been tested and found positive, a negative test in a familial non-affected person would exclude an increased risk of disease (negative clinical predictive value close to 100%).

Index case in that family had not been tested:

Under this condition, it would be inappropriate to test potentially at-risk family members before 2 years of age, by which time 90% of the cases manifest the disease. A preliminary step would be to test both parents of the index case to assess their carrier status.

3. CLINICAL UTILITY

3.1 (Differential) diagnostics: The tested person is clinically affected

(To be answered if 'A' was marked in 1.10)

In classic cases, the correct diagnosis is based on clinical presentation with early-onset facial poikiloderma and radial-ray defects. In borderline or atypical cases, the differential diagnosis with syndromes with overlapping features, such as PN, DC, and WS, should be considered to orient the genetic test. This problem is overlooked in cases whose clinical evaluation suggests the allelic RAPADILINO or BG disorders. Molecular testing allows for the correct diagnosis, which is necessary for accurate targeting of syndrome-specific oncosurveillance.

3.1.1 Can a diagnosis be made other than through a genetic test?

No	<input type="checkbox"/> (continue with 3.1.4)	
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Clinically	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Imaging	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Endoscopy	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Biochemistry	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Electrophysiology other (please describe)	<input type="checkbox"/>

3.1.2 Describe the burden of alternative diagnostic methods to the patient

No single alternative diagnostic method can be envisaged: rather, a panel of clinical-instrumental exams, including skin inspection by a dermatologist experienced in genodermatoses, baseline skeletal radiographs, and eye examination, would allow the formulation of a correct diagnosis.

3.1.3 How is the cost effectiveness of alternative diagnostic methods to be judged?

A genetic diagnosis permits the patient to avoid continuous and inconclusive clinical evaluations accompanied by multiple instrumental examinations. The cost effectiveness of alternative diagnostic methods is lower than that of the genetic test.

3.1.4 Will disease management be influenced by the result of a genetic test?

No

Yes

Therapy
(please
describe)

Pulsed-dye laser photocoagulation to improve the telangiectatic component of the rash. Routine treatment with growth hormone, GH, (only for RTS cases with documented GH deficiency). Treatment of periodontitis in early infancy. Surgical options include the removal of cataracts, usually developed by patients without *RECQL4* mutations, and the excision of osteosarcoma or cutaneous tumors, often found in *RECQL4*-mutated patients.

The potential risk of radiation exposure from radiologic screening for osteosarcoma is under debate, given the modest sensitivity of cells from *RECQL4*-mutated patients to DNA-damaging agents.¹²

A study on the response to therapy for osteosarcoma in patients with RTS indicated that these patients do not present the same level of sensitivity to genotoxic agents as patients with other chromosomal instability disorders. Therefore, they should be treated initially with conventional doses. However, caution and careful clinical observation is warranted, especially regarding monitoring for enhanced doxorubicin sensitivity and side effects in the form of mucositis.¹⁴ By contrast, cisplatin (to which *RECQL4*-deficient fibroblasts are less sensitive compared with doxorubicin¹³) can replace doxorubicin as an active chemotherapy agent, as it causes no apparent increased toxicity.¹⁴

The histological response of osteosarcoma to standard chemotherapy and the clinical outcome are similar between RTS and non-RTS patients, with a 5-year survival rate of 60–70%.¹⁴ After treatment, a prolonged period of follow-up is recommended. This recommendation is made not only for metastasis, which is predominantly pulmonary, but also for the occurrence of a second malignancy, which has been reported in a significant proportion of RTS tumor carriers.¹¹

Prognosis
(please
describe)

Although some clinical signs and recent breakthroughs regarding the pathways compromised by *RECQL4* defects¹⁵ suggest precocious aging, the patient's lifespan is not altered, provided that the neoplastic disease is diagnosed and treated in time. Lifespan in the absence of malignancy is probably normal, although follow-up data in the literature are limited. *RECQL4*-positive patients exhibit a significant correlation between mutational status and skeletal abnormalities¹⁶ and are highly predisposed to development of osteosarcoma, even multicentric, with a mean age of onset of 14.03 years. Epithelial tumors (most notably squamous cell carcinoma) of the skin are well represented in adult RTS patients (onset at a mean age at 34.4 years): however, the correlation of these tumors with the molecular subclass remains undefined.

Management
(please
describe)

Genetic testing should be accompanied by appropriate genetic counseling to ensure early identification and treatment of syndrome-associated manifestations. Owing to increased photosensitivity observed in a few instances, patients should be advised to use sunscreens. A multidisciplinary team is needed

(Continued)

to offer long-term follow-up and treatment to RTS patients. This team should include a dermatologist, an orthopedic surgeon, an ophthalmologist, and an oncologist. Annual physical examination of patients should include thorough examination of the skin to follow the onset and features of poikiloderma; eye examination because of an increased incidence of cataracts; oral examination because of an increased incidence of caries; malocclusion, early-onset periodontitis, and dental radiographic screening for dental abnormalities; and baseline skeletal radiographs by the age of 5 years to define underlying skeletal dysplasias. Specific attention must be paid to cancer surveillance, which should be provided at follow-up of *RECQL4*-mutated patients to monitor bone pain, swelling, an enlarging lesion on a limb suggestive of a bone tumor, or skin lesions with unusual color or texture.

3.2 Predictive setting: The tested person is clinically unaffected but carries an increased risk based on family history

(To be answered if 'B' was marked in 1.10)

3.2.1 Will the result of a genetic test influence lifestyle and prevention?

If the test result is positive (please describe).

If the test result is negative (please describe).

3.2.2 Which options in view of lifestyle and prevention does an at-risk person have if no genetic test has been done (please describe)?

3.3 Genetic risk assessment in family members of a diseased person

(To be answered if 'C' was marked in 1.10)

3.3.1 Does the result of a genetic test resolve the genetic situation in that family?

Yes, if causative mutations have been identified in *RECQL4*, it is possible to assess the carrier status of all unaffected family members and to offer genetic counseling to the family.

3.3.2 Can a genetic test in the index patient save genetic or other tests in family members?

Yes. However, if the result is negative or uncertain, testing of family members is not recommended.

3.3.3 Does a positive genetic test result in the index patient enable a predictive test in a family member?

Infrequently, given the early onset of the disease (before the age of 2 years).

3.4 Prenatal diagnosis

(To be answered if 'D' was marked in 1.10)

3.4.1 Does a positive genetic test result in the index patient enable a prenatal diagnosis?

Yes, provided that both disease-causing alleles have been identified in an affected family member and their segregation from obligate carrier parents has been traced.

4. IF APPLICABLE, FURTHER CONSEQUENCES OF TESTING

Please assume that the result of a genetic test has no immediate medical consequences. Is there any evidence that a genetic test is

nevertheless useful for the patient or his/her relatives? (Please describe)

Genetic testing has no immediate medical consequences for healthy carriers. However, carriers' awareness of their genetic status is important for family planning.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by EuroGentest2 (Unit 2: 'Genetic testing as part of health care'), a Coordination Action under FP7 (Grant Agreement Number 261469) and the European Society of Human Genetics.

- 1 Siitonen HA, Sotkasiira J, Biervliet M *et al*: The mutation spectrum in RECQL4 diseases. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2009; **17**: 151–158.
- 2 Larizza L, Roversi G, Volpi L: Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 2010; **5**: 2.
- 3 Wang LL, Worley K, Gannavarapu A *et al*: Intron-size constraint as a mutational mechanism in Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet* 2002; **71**: 165–167.
- 4 Balraj P, Concannon P, Jamal R *et al*: An unusual mutation in RECQL4 gene leading to Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. *Mutat Res* 2002; **508**: 99–105.
- 5 Miozzo M, Castorina P, Riva P *et al*: Chromosomal instability in fibroblasts and mesenchymal tumors from 2 sibs with Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. *Int J Cancer* 1998; **77**: 504–510.
- 6 Beghini A, Castorina P, Roversi G, Modiano P, Larizza L: RNA processing defects of the helicase gene RECQL4 in a compound heterozygous Rothmund-Thomson patient. *Am J Med Genet A* 2003; **120A**: 395–399.
- 7 Larizza L, Magnani I, Roversi G: Rothmund-Thomson syndrome and RECQL4 defect: splitting and lumping. *Cancer Lett* 2006; **232**: 107–120.
- 8 Kellermayer R, Siitonen HA, Hadzsiev K, Kestilä M, Kosztolányi G: A patient with Rothmund-Thomson syndrome and all features of RAPADILINO. *Arch Dermatol* 2005; **141**: 617–620.
- 9 Sznajder Y, Siitonen HA, Roversi G *et al*: Atypical Rothmund-Thomson syndrome in a patient with compound heterozygous mutations in RECQL4 gene and phenotypic features in RECQL4 syndromes. *Eur J Pediatr* 2008; **167**: 175–181.
- 10 Wang LL, Gannavarapu A, Kozinetz CA *et al*: Association between osteosarcoma and deleterious mutations in the RECQL4 gene in Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003; **95**: 669–674.
- 11 Stinco G, Governatori G, Mattighello P, Patrone P: Multiple cutaneous neoplasms in a patient with Rothmund-Thomson syndrome: Case report and published work review. *J Dermatol* 2008; **35**: 154–161.
- 12 Wang LL, Levy ML, Lewis RA *et al*: Clinical manifestations in a cohort of 41 Rothmund-Thomson syndrome patients. *Am J Med Genet* 2001; **102**: 11–17.
- 13 Jin W, Liu H, Zhang Y, Otta SK, Plon SE, Wang LL: Sensitivity of RECQL4-deficient fibroblasts from Rothmund-Thomson syndrome patients to genotoxic agents. *Hum Genet* 2008; **123**: 643–653.
- 14 Hicks MJ, Roth JR, Kozinetz CA, Wang LL: Clinicopathologic features of osteosarcoma in patients with Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. *J Clin Oncol* 2007; **25**: 370–375.
- 15 De S, Kumari J, Mudgal R *et al*: RECQL4 is essential for the transport of p53 to mitochondria in normal human cells in the absence of exogenous stress. *J Cell Science* 2012 advanced online publication **125** Part 10: 2509–2522.
- 16 Mehollin-Ray AR, Kozinetz CA, Schlesinger AE *et al*: Radiographic abnormalities in Rothmund-Thomson syndrome and genotype-phenotype correlation with RECQL4 mutation status. *Am J Roentgenol* 2008; **191**: W62–W66.