

ex Transm Infect. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 July 26.

Published in final edited form as:

Sex Transm Infect. 2012 February; 88(1): 35–37. doi:10.1136/sextrans-2011-050289.

Confusion regarding cervical cancer screening and chlamydia screening among sexually active young women

Oluwatobi Awele Ogbechie¹, Michele R Hacker^{1,2}, Laura E Dodge², Mitalee Milan Patil¹, and Hope A Ricciotti^{1,2,3}

¹Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

³Dimock Center, Roxbury, Massachusetts, USA

Abstract

Objective—The American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recently recommended that cervical cancer screening begin at 21 years of age and occur biennially for low-risk women younger than 30 years. Earlier studies suggested that women may have limited understanding of the differences between cervical cancer screening and chlamydia screening. This study assessed the knowledge of chlamydia and cervical cancer screening tests and schedules in younger women.

Methods—A survey regarding knowledge of chlamydia and cervical cancer screening was administered to 60 younger women aged 18–25 years in an obstetrics and gynaecology clinic at an urban community health centre.

Results—The majority of respondents recalled having had a Pap smear (93.3%) or chlamydia test (75.0%). Although many respondents understood that a Pap smear checks for cervical cancer (88.3%) and human papillomavirus (68.3%), 71.7% mistakenly believed that a Pap smear screens for chlamydia. No respondent correctly identified the revised cervical cancer screening schedule, and 83.3% selected annual screening. Few respondents (23.3%) identified the annual chlamydia screening schedule and 26.7% were unsure.

Conclusion—Many younger women in an urban community health centre believed that cervical cancer screening also screens for chlamydia and were confused about chlamydia screening schedules. As there is limited knowledge of the revised ACOG cervical cancer screening guidelines, there is a risk that currently low chlamydia screening rates may decrease further after these new guidelines are better known. Obstetrician gynaecologists and primary care providers

Correspondence to Dr Hope A Ricciotti, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Kirstein 315, 330 Brookline Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA; hricciot@bidmc.harvard.edu.

An additional appendix is published online only. To view this file please visit the journal online (http://sti.bmj.com/content/88/1.toc). **Competing interests** None.

Ethics approval This study was approved by the Committee on Clinical Investigations at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center.

Contributors OAO: Data acquisition, statistical analysis and interpretation of results, drafting manuscript, approving final version of manuscript. MRH: Conception and design, interpretation of results, critically revising manuscript, approving final version of manuscript. LED: Statistical analysis and interpretation of results, drafting manuscript, approving final version of manuscript. MMP: Conception and design, data acquisition, critically revising manuscript, approving final version of manuscript. HAR: Conception and design, data acquisition, interpretation of results, critically revising manuscript, approving final version of manuscript.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

should educate younger women about the differences between chlamydia and cervical cancer screening and encourage sexually active younger women to have annual chlamydia screening.

Annual chlamydia screening for sexually active younger women is recommended by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the United States Preventive Task Force, the American Academy of Family Physicians and the American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) for those aged 24–25 years and younger to reduce the burden of ensuing sequelae. Chlamydia, the most prevalent bacterial sexually transmitted infection in the USA, is often asymptomatic in women, and untreated infections can result in pelvic inflammatory disease, infertility and ectopic pregnancy. The incidence of chlamydia continues to increase in the USA, but screening rates are consistently below 50% despite often being combined with cervical cancer screening. Studies suggest that most women understand the importance of chlamydia screening, but are still not being tested.

In 2009, ACOG revised the cervical cancer screening guidelines to delay screening until the age of 21 years, regardless of the age at sexual debut, and recommended biennial screening to replace annual screening for low-risk women under the age of 30 years. There is concern that chlamydia screening will decrease as these revised guidelines are adopted because in general practice these tests are often combined. Furthermore, some studies have shown that younger women exhibit limited knowledge of the difference between screening for cervical cancer and screening for chlamydia. There are not yet any published studies addressing the knowledge of new cervical cancer screening guidelines.

In this study, we assessed the understanding of cervical cancer screening and chlamydia screening among younger women in an obstetrics and gynaecology clinic at an urban community health centre in Roxbury, a neighbourhood of Boston, Massachusetts, USA, with a substantial proportion of minority and low-income residents. ¹¹ The incidence of chlamydia in Roxbury was 1084.4 per 100 000 in the population in 2008, which was more than twice that of the USA. ¹¹¹² This study was conducted to guide outreach and inform educational messages to increase chlamydia screening.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted from June 2010 to July 2011 and was approved by our institution's Committee on Clinical Investigations. Participant recruitment took place from June to August 2010 and from June to July 2011, because two investigators were available to conduct the verbal survey at these times. All English-speaking women aged 18–25 years who visited the Dimock Center's Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinic during the study period for any reason were eligible, from a total of approximately 500 women in this age group seen annually.

A convenience sample of participants was recruited to complete a verbal survey (see supplementary appendix, available online only) consisting of 17 multiple-choice and openended questions administered by one of two investigators in a private room. One investigator coded responses to open-ended questions.

All analyses were performed using SAS V.9.2. Data are presented as proportions or means with SD. Proportions were compared using Fisher's exact test.

RESULTS

Sixty women agreed to complete the survey. Their mean age was 22.2 years (± 1.9). Twenty-seven (45.0%) respondents identified as non-Hispanic African American, and seven (11.7%) identified as Hispanic African American. Seventeen (28.3%) identified as Hispanic and

another race (ie, native American, Caucasian, or other), six (10.0%) identified as more than one race, and three (5.0%) identified as another race. The most common reasons for the clinic appointment were obstetrics (36.7%), family planning (28.3%), acute illness or symptom (18.3%) and routine physical (11.7%).

Among respondents, 93.3% recalled having a Pap smear and 75.0% recalled having a previous chlamydia test. While most respondents knew a Pap smear checks for human papillomavirus (68.3%) or cervical cancer (88.3%), many erroneously believed that a Pap smear checks for chlamydia (71.7%), HIV (18.3%), or gonorrhoea (13.3%) (table 1). Women younger than 21 years were less likely to understand that a Pap smear checks for cervical cancer (63.6%) than women between 21 and 25 years (93.9%; p=0.02).

No respondent was aware that the recommended interval for cervical cancer screening is every 2 years, and 83.3% of respondents thought that cervical cancer screening should occur annually. For chlamydia screening, only 23.3% correctly identified the screening schedule as annual, although some were aware of testing when worried about exposure to a sexually transmitted infection (10.0%) or after unprotected sexual intercourse (11.7%). Nearly all (97.8%) respondents with a previous chlamydia test also reported having a Pap smear. Women who reported a previous Pap smear were more likely to report a previous chlamydia test (83.0%) than women who did not report a previous Pap smear (25.0%; p=0.03).

DISCUSSION

The majority of younger women in this study erroneously believed that cervical cancer screening also tests for chlamydia, and a substantial proportion incorrectly thought that cervical cancer screening also tests for HIV and gonorrhoea. Consistent with another study in the USA, we found that women were more likely to have had chlamydia screening if they had had a previous Pap smear. This raises concerns that informing urban younger women of the delayed and less frequent cervical cancer screening guidelines may negatively affect chlamydia screening in this population.

Due to the demographics of the study, the results are not necessarily generalisable to all younger women in the USA. However, the high incidence of chlamydia in the population served by this clinic is similar to that among other urban minority populations, which potentially makes our findings generalisable to other high-risk communities. ¹² In addition, given that respondents confuse cervical cancer screening and chlamydia screening, they may have inaccurately reported which test was performed. We did not verify the self-reported test history.

In contrast to other studies that examined the factors that prevent organisations or physicians from achieving the recommended chlamydia screening, this study identified patient confusion between cervical cancer screening and chlamydia screening as a potential target for interventions to increase screening. Chlamydia incidence in the USA has risen in the past decade.³ Identifying potentially harmful misconceptions may help providers design methods to educate younger women about the revised ACOG cervical cancer screening schedule without negatively affecting chlamydia screening.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Dimock Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinic staff: Itza Villar, Genesis Moreta, Tanee Willis and Aisling Lydeard for their assistance with this project.

Funding This work was conducted with support from Harvard Catalyst, The Harvard Clinical and Translational Science Center (NIH Award #UL1 RR 025758 and financial contributions from Harvard University and its affiliated academic health care centers).

REFERENCES

 United States Preventative Task Force. Screening for chlamydial infection: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. Ann Intern Med. 2007; 147:128–134. [PubMed: 17576996]

- 2. Meyers DS, Halvorson H, Luckhaupt S. Screening for chlamydial infection: an evidence update for the US Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med. 2007; 147:135–142. [PubMed: 17576995]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Chlamydia screening among sexually active young female enrollees of health plans–United States, 2000–2007. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2009; 58:362–365. [PubMed: 19373196]
- Burstein GR, Snyder MH, Conley D, et al. Chlamydia screening in a health plan before and after a national performance measure introduction. Obstet Gynecol. 2005; 106:327–334. [PubMed: 16055583]
- Banikarim C, Chacko MR, Wiemann CM, et al. Gonorrhea and chlamydia screening among young women: stage of change, decisional balance, and self-efficacy. J Adolesc Health. 2003; 32:288–295.
 [PubMed: 12667733]
- Pavlin NL, Gunn JM, Parker R, et al. Implementing chlamydia screening: what do women think? A systematic review of the literature. BMC Public Health. 2006; 6:221. [PubMed: 16948838]
- 7. ACOG Committee on Practice Bulletins—Gynecology. ACOG Practice Bulletin no. 109: Cervical cytology screening. Obstet Gynecol. 2009; 114:1409–1420. [PubMed: 20134296]
- 8. Tao G, Hoover KW, Kent CK. 2009 Cervical cytology guidelines and chlamydia testing among sexually active young women. Obstet Gynecol. 2010; 116:1319–1323. [PubMed: 21099597]
- 9. Macmillan S, Walker R, Oloto E, et al. Ignorance about chlamydia among sexually active women—a two centre study. Hum Reprod. 1999; 14:1131–1135. [PubMed: 10221254]
- Lorimer K, Hart GJ. Knowledge of Chlamydia trachomatis among men and women approached to participate in community-based screening, Scotland, UK. BMC Public Health. 2010; 10:1–9. [PubMed: 20043862]
- Boston Public Health Commission. [(accessed 19 Nov 2011)] Health of Boston 2010. Boston Public Health Commison Research Office. 2010. http://www.bphc.org/about/research/hob2010/ Pages/Home.aspx
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [(accessed 13 Jan 2011)] Sexually Transmitted
 Disease Surveillance 2009. Department of Health and Human Services. 2010. http://www.cdc.gov/std/stats.

Key messages

The incidence of chlamydia in the USA has increased in the past decade, but annual screening rates are still less than 50%.

- ► Chlamydia screening is often combined with cervical cancer screening, but new guidelines call for later and less frequent cervical cancer screening.
- Many women erroneously believe that cervical cancer screening also tests for chlamydia, HIV and gonorrhea.

Table 1
Understanding of cervical cancer screening and chlamydia screening

Survey question	n (%)* n=60
A pap smear checks for	
Cervical cancer	53 (88.3%)
Human papillomavirus	41 (68.3%)
Chlamydia	43 (71.7%)
HIV	11 (18.3%)
Gonorrhoea	8 (13.3%)
Not sure	4 (6.7%)
I should get a Pap smear	
Once every 2 years	0 (0.0%)
Once a year	50 (83.3%)
Not sure	4 (6.7%)
I should get a chlamydia test	
Once a year	14 (23.3%)
When worried about exposure	6 (10.0%)
After unprotected sex	7 (11.7%)
Not sure	16 (26.7%)

^{*}Respondents were allowed to select multiple answer choices; some answer choices are not listed.