



Published in final edited form as:

Innate Immun. 2012 October ; 18(5): 727–737. doi:10.1177/1753425912437981.

The extracellular release of DNA and HMGB1 from Jurkat T cells during *in vitro* necrotic cell death

Christian Beyer^{1,2,*}, Nancy A. Stearns^{2,*}, Adreas Giessl³, Jörg H.W. Distler¹, Georg Schett¹, and David S. Pisetsky²

¹Department for Internal Medicine 3 and Institute for Clinical Immunology, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany

²Durham VA Medical Center and Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, USA

³Department of Biology, Animal Physiology, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany

Abstract

In innate immunity, dead and dying cells release internal constituents that can serve as DAMPs (damage-associated molecular patterns) or alarmins. This release occurs more abundantly during necrosis than apoptosis and may account for the differences in the immunological properties of these death forms. To elucidate DAMP release in necrosis, we have compared the levels of two nuclear molecules (DNA and HMGB1, a non-histone protein with alarmin activity) in the media following necrosis of Jurkat T cells by freeze-thawing, ethanol, heat or hydrogen peroxide. In our experiments, DNA release was measured by fluorimetry with the dye PicoGreen, while HMGB1 was measured by Western blotting. As results of this study show, each form of necrosis is associated with a distinct pattern of DNA and HMGB1 release with respect to kinetics and amounts. Of these, freeze-thawing produced the highest and most rapid increase in HMGB1 and DNA levels although the released DNA was subject to nuclease digestion; in addition, freeze-thawing led to the production of particles measured by flow cytometry. Together, these results indicate that experimental necrosis leads to diverse patterns of nuclear molecule release which could affect their immunological activity.

Keywords

alarmin; DNA; HMGB1; immune activation; necrosis

Introduction

In innate immunity, molecules released by dead and dying cells can play a key role in stimulating responses via both toll-like receptors (TLR) and non-TLR sensing systems. These molecules are structurally diverse and encompass both small molecules (e.g., monosodium urate and ATP) and large molecules (e.g., DNA, RNA and HMGB1).^{1–6} As a group, endogenous molecules that signal “danger” and stimulate innate immunity are called DAMPs (damage-associated molecular pattern) by analogy with PAMPs (pathogen associated molecular patterns). DAMPs can serve as alarmins, although the term alarmin can

Correspondence: David S. Pisetsky, Durham VA Medical Center, Box 151G, 508 Fulton Street, Durham, NC 27705, dpisets@acpub.duke.edu, telephone: 919-286-6835, facsimile: 919-286-6891.
*contributed equally

be applied to other molecules that promote innate immunity and stimulate antigen-specific responses.⁷⁻⁹

DAMPs acquire their immunological activity following exposure on cells or release into the extracellular milieu where they can trigger various sensing systems.⁷⁻⁹ Among these DAMPs, nuclear molecules, both proteins and nucleic acids, can have important roles in inflammation, with HMGB1 serving as a prototype alarmin emanating from the nuclear compartment. HMGB1 is non-histone nuclear protein that can bind DNA as an architectural element to regulate such key processes such as recombination, repair and transcription.^{5,6} Once released from cells during activation or cell death, however, HMGB1 can induce cytokine production and other proinflammatory activities via interact with receptors that include TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE; these interactions may reflect HMGB1's redox state as well as binding of other molecules in the extracellular milieu such as cytokines.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ While HMGB1 may have intrinsic immunological activity, some molecules that acquire DAMP activity may be immunologically inert in their native form and must undergo structural changes to become fully active.⁷⁻⁹ For these molecules, immune activity may result from post-translational modification, enzymatic degradation or denaturation.

Once the DNA or RNA has left the dying or damaged cell, the display of DAMP activity may require association with binding molecules (e.g., HMGB1, LL37) to generate immunostimulatory activity.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ In contrast to PAMPs and DAMPs that stimulate surface receptors, DNA and RNA signal TLR and non-TLR receptors that are on the inside of cells; the location has suggested the stimulation usually occurs during the course of intracellular infection with nucleic acids derived from the infecting organism. For DNA or RNA that is released from dying cells to trigger response via these receptors, however, mechanism to promote uptake and access to internal receptors is necessary. Binding molecules may facilitate this uptake process, serving essentially as transfection agents. As such, the DAMP activity of DNA may be critically dependent on the presence of another DAMP such as HMGB1 or a cathelicidin like LL37. Whatever the mechanism for stimulation, DAMPs, because they are ubiquitous, may represent a signaling system for danger that can apply to all tissues in the body.

The pathways of cell death are multiple, reflecting the variety of triggers and subsequent molecular events causing cellular demise. In the context of innate immunity, however, cell death has generally been dichotomized into apoptosis and necrosis.¹⁸⁻²¹ Apoptosis is a form of programmed cell death, in which the cell is systematically disassembled. In contrast, necrosis is a form of sudden death induced by a physical or chemical insult. Consistent with the differences in the mechanisms of death, cells dying by apoptosis and necrosis can differ in their immunological properties, with apoptotic cells showing anti-inflammatory activity and necrotic cells pro-inflammatory activity. Since necrosis causes a dramatic breakdown in cell permeability, it represents a setting in which internal components (including DAMPs) potentially have the greatest exposure to the immune system.²²⁻²⁷

The various triggers and course of necrotic cell death may determine its immunological properties both *in vivo* and *in vitro* experimental settings. While cell death can be monitored morphologically and biochemically in well-defined apoptosis models, necrosis has been generally modeled using physical or chemical injury. We therefore investigated the release of DNA and HMGB1 during various forms experimental necrosis to elucidate any patterns that may affect immunological activity. We have chosen these two nuclear molecules because of evidence for their immunological activity, their concurrent expression in the blood in settings of cell death and data indicating the importance of their association in promoting inflammation. For these experiments, we used the Jurkat human T cell lymphoma

line as a model and induced necrosis by freeze-thawing, heat, ethanol or high concentrations of hydrogen peroxide, common treatments to kill cells for immunological studies.²⁸⁻³⁴

In the results presented herein, we demonstrate striking differences in the *in vitro* release of DNA and HMGB1 from necrotic cells, depending on the agent used to induce necrosis. Specifically, we found rapid and abundant release of HMGB1 into the media immediately following freeze-thawing at levels higher than that resulting from other forms of necrotic cells death. In addition, while DNA release after freeze-thaw was the greatest, the DNA was subject to nuclease digestion. Together, these results suggest that the pattern of release of DNA and HMGB1 from cells varies during necrosis. While clarifying nuclear dynamics in experimental systems, these findings may have a clinical application, with events following freeze-thaw potentially relevant to “cryoshock” that can occur after cryoablation of tumors.^{35,36}

Materials and methods

Reagents and cell culture

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) except where otherwise indicated. Jurkat (human T cell lymphoma) cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) and were cultured in complete RPMI including 20 µg/ml of gentamicin (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 10% FBS (HyClone, Logan, UT, USA). Prior to experiments, Jurkat cells were collected by centrifugation at 500xg for 5 min and resuspended at a concentration of 2×10^6 cells/ml in serum-free Opti-MEM medium (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) including 20 µg/ml of gentamicin.

Induction of cell death

Necrosis was induced in 3×10^6 Jurkat cells in a volume of 1.5 ml by freeze-thaw treatment consisting of 3 cycles of freezing in liquid nitrogen for 2 min followed by thawing at 37°C for 4 min; incubation at 56°C for 30 min; 0.1% hydrogen peroxide; ethanol at a concentration of 70% for 10 min. After induction of cell death, cells were incubated in 6-well plates at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ for indicated time periods. When cell death was induced by ethanol, the cell preparation was centrifuged at 500xg for 10 min and resuspended in fresh serum-free medium prior to the incubation. Non-treated, living cells served as controls.

Cell death analysis by FACS

Thirty minutes and 6 hours after induction of cell death, cells and cell remnants were collected by centrifugation at 500xg and resuspended in Annexin-binding buffer (consisting of 10% PBS, 90% 10 mM HEPES/NaOH, 140 mM NaCl, and 2 mM CaCl₂ adjusted to pH 7.4). Three hundred microliters of this cell suspension were incubated with 5 µl of 1 mg/ml propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and 5 µl fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled annexin V (BD Biosciences Pharmingen) for 15 min, then examined with a BD FACScan flow cytometer. For further analysis, FlowJo flow cytometry software was used (Tree Star, Inc., Ashland, OR). In addition to flow cytometry, cell morphology was examined with an Olympus IX51 microscope.

Release of HMGB1

Cell death was induced in Jurkat cells as described above. At time points indicated, supernatants were collected by centrifugation at 500xg for 5 min. Supernatants were processed immediately or stored at -80°C until use. Supernatants were concentrated 12.5-fold using 10-kDa Amicon Ultra centrifugal filters (Millipore, Billerica, MA) according to the manufacturer’s recommendation. Four microliters of each sample as well as standards

(histidine-tagged recombinant human HMGB1, MyBiosource.com, San Diego, CA) were resuspended in XT reducing sample buffer (Bio-Rad), heated at 93°C for 4 min, and electrophoresed on a 26-well Criterion™ XT Precast 4–12% gradient, 1.0 mm thick gel (Bio-Rad) using Bio-Rad XT MOPS SDS running buffer.

Blotting was performed with a PVDF membrane using 20% methanol-192mM glycine-25mM Tris. The membrane was blocked with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 5% w/v blotting grade dry milk and 2% w/v bovine serum albumin (BSA) plus 0.1% v/v Tween 20, and incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-HMGB-1 purified mouse monoclonal antibody (clone 115603, R&D Systems) at a final concentration of 2 µg/ml in block buffer. The membrane was washed with PBS including 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-T), then incubated with 10 ng/ml stabilized goat anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Thermo Scientific) at room temperature for 1 h. After washing with PBS-T, bound antibody was detected by enhanced chemiluminescence using Supersignal West Femto maximum sensitivity substrate (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions, and imaged on a Fluorchem 8900 instrument (Alpha Innotech, San Leandro, CA, USA).

PicoGreen DNA assay

At indicated time points after induction of cell death, supernatants were collected by centrifugation at 500xg for 5 min. Cleared supernatants were diluted 1/10 with TE buffer (10 mM Tris plus 1mM EDTA, pH 8.8). A standard curve of calf thymus DNA was prepared. Samples and standards were placed in black 96-well microtiter plates (Greiner Bio-One, Monroe, NC, USA) and mixed 1:1 with QuantiT™ PicoGreen dsDNA Reagent (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) diluted 1/200 with TEN buffer (TE buffer pH 8.8 containing 100 mM NaCl). After 5 min of incubation, the fluorescence was measured with a Tecan GENios microplate reader (Salzburg, Austria) at an excitation wave length of 485 nm and emission wave length of 535 nm.

Nuclease inhibition

Induction of cell death followed the protocols described above. Prior to cell death induction, however, either EDTA (to a final concentration of 25 mM), or ZnCl₂ (final concentration 0.1 mM), Pierce Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (according to the manufacturer's instructions), or z-VAD-fmk (final concentration 100 µM) were added. After inducing cell death, cells and cellular remnants were removed via centrifugation at 500xg for 5 min. Supernatants were incubated at 37°C in a humidified, 5% CO₂ atmosphere, and finally used for PicoGreen staining at indicated time points.

Detection of particles by FACS analysis

Thirty minutes and 2 hours after cell death induction, media were centrifuged at 500xg for 5 min to remove cells and larger debris. Supernatants including particles were further diluted 1:1 with fresh medium. Three hundred microliters of diluted supernatants were labeled with 12 µl of Syto® 13 Green (SYTO13) fluorescent nucleic acid stain (Invitrogen; diluted 1:1000 in PBS) for 15 min. After labeling, 100 µl samples were further diluted 1:4 with serum-free medium. Particles samples were detected by side scatter (SSC threshold = 175), or fluorescence staining (FL1 threshold = 350). Particle counts were calculated from the number of counts/time and the flow rate of the instrument.³⁷

Electron microscopy

For transmission electron microscopy, MPs were generated by either freeze-thaw treatment or, as a control for apoptosis, staurosporine (5 µM for 24 hours), in serum-free Optimem

media. Following centrifugation at 500xg for 5 min to remove cells and larger debris, MPs were isolated by centrifugation at 20,800xg for 30 min and then were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in cacodylate buffer solution (pH 7.4, containing sucrose) for 2 hrs at room temperature. MPs were washed with cacodylate buffer solution and post-fixed with 1.0 % osmium tetroxide in cacodylate buffer for 1 h at 4°C. Particles were washed with deionized distilled H₂O and dehydrated by ethanol. During dehydration, the particles were post-fixed with 1.0 % uranyl acetate in 70% ethanol for 1 h at 4°C, followed by embedding in Araldite and cutting with an ultramicrotome (Reichert Ultracut, Vienna, Austria). Sections were examined and photographed with a Zeiss EM10 electron microscope (Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany) and a Gatan SC1000 Orius™ CCD camera in combination with the Digital Micrograph™ software (Gatan GmbH, Munich, Germany). Images were adjusted for contrast and brightness using Adobe Photoshop CS (Adobe).

Results

Induction of necrotic cell death by different physical and chemical inducers

To determine the release of DNA and HMGB1 during necrosis, we used as models a series of commonly used inducers of necrosis (hydrogen peroxide 0.1 % and ethanol 70%) and physical (freeze-thaw and heat). As determined by flow cytometry after staining with annexin V and propidium iodide, significant cell death occurred in all treatment groups. Upon freeze-thawing, heat, and ethanol treatment, virtually all cells died within the first 30 min as shown by enhanced staining with annexin V and propidium iodide. In contrast, ethanol-treated cells showed only weak staining for annexin V although these cells bound propidium iodide. In contrast to the other inducers of necrosis, hydrogen peroxide caused a more protracted cell death process although, after 6 hours of this treatment, almost all cells showed enhanced annexin V and propidium iodide staining. Figure 1 presents these results.

In addition to flow cytometry, we examined treated cells by light microscopy. We found that the overall structure of cells appeared intact upon treatment with ethanol, hydrogen peroxide, and heat, whereas freeze-thawing led to extensive fragmentation of cells as well as loss of intact cells that could be obtained by centrifugation (Figure 2). In addition, aggregates or extended structures were visible in cell preparations subjected to freeze-thaw. These structures may correspond to membrane fusions which have been observed in certain freeze-thaw systems.³⁸

Release of HMGB1

As shown in previous studies, HMGB1 can be released from cells dying by necrosis,^{23,24} although the effects of different inducers of necrosis have been not studied extensively. In the current studies, we therefore assessed the extent and kinetics of HMGB1 release during necrosis as well as subsequent stability. As results of these studies showed, the extent of HMGB1 release differed markedly among necrotic death models. Thus, with freeze-thaw treatment, HMGB1 in the media was detectable at the earliest time points analyzed. These levels remained high throughout the period of incubation. Figure 3 presents the results of these experiments.

Among other inducers of necrosis, heat and hydrogen peroxide treatment also caused release of HMGB1, although the amounts were much less than those released by freeze-thawing. With hydrogen peroxide treatment, the HMGB1 concentration in the supernatants gradually increased over time. After treatment with ethanol, however, the amount of released HMGB1 appeared even lower than that released from living control cells. These findings support the idea that macromolecules may be “fixed” by ethanol and show only limited release from the cell.

Release of DNA

We next investigated DNA release from cells during necrosis, measuring DNA in supernatants using PicoGreen which binds primarily to double stranded (ds) DNA. As these studies showed, release and subsequent stability of DNA differed among the models of necrosis. Thus, after freeze-thawing, cells rapidly released high amounts of DNA, which then underwent extensive loss. In the first two hours, more than 50 % of the initially released DNA was no longer detectable with PicoGreen (Figure 4). Subsequent incubation for up to 48 hours led to further DNA degradation and a decline of DNA.

In contrast to the effects of freeze-thaw, heat led to less extensive DNA release but the levels did not decline whereas ethanol treatment produced lower DNA levels which declined gradually with further incubation (Figure 4). In contrast, DNA release by hydrogen peroxide-treated cells increased after 2 to 3 h and peaked after 6 h of treatment; this response paralleled the increase of annexin V and propidium iodide-positive cells of the flow cytometer analysis (Figure 1). These results again demonstrate a more prolonged process of cell death. Finally, DNA release from living cells was low and DNA levels in the supernatants stayed relatively stable over time.

Inhibition of DNA degradation

To investigate the nucleases degrading DNA during freeze-thaw, we added different enzyme inhibitors and measured DNA in supernatants over time. In these experiments, we found that EDTA could inhibit DNA degradation; this finding suggests a role of divalent cations on enzyme activity (Figure 5). By contrast, $ZnCl_2$, another DNase inhibitor, did not prevent DNA degradation (data not shown). Similarly, zVAD and the protease inhibitor cocktail did not affect DNA levels in the supernatants (data not shown), suggesting that caspases and proteases did not play a significant role in induction of a DNase activity or DNA degradation in the preparations.

Release of particles

Among other structures that can be released from dead and dying cells, microparticles are small membrane-bound vesicles that can contain both DNA and HMGB1^{6,37}. To determine whether particles are generated during necrosis, FACS analysis was performed, assaying particles by light scatter as well as staining with dye SYTO13 which binds nucleic acids and allows detection of a broader array of particles than does light scatter. Controls in these experiments included microparticles from cultures of Jurkat cells treated with staurosporine to induce apoptosis. As data in Figure 6 indicate, freeze-thaw treatment leads to the abundant production of particles detectable by both analytic approaches. These particles are in the size of range of microparticles produced by Jurkat cells undergoing apoptosis although the distribution indicated that particles were in general smaller (Figure 7). Since the number of particles measured by SYTO13 staining exceeded that detected by light scattering, these findings suggest that many of the particles produced may be below the size range that can be detected by light scattering. As previous studies showed, particles below 0.2 μm cannot be readily measured by light scatter.³⁷

To characterize further the particles produced during freeze-thawing, we performed electron microscopy, using as a comparison particles from Jurkat cells treated with staurosporine to induce apoptosis. As indicated in Figure 8, particle preparations from freeze-thaw treatment showed membrane-bound structures with dimensions of microparticles despite heterogeneity in size; these particles, however, appeared to have few contents. In addition, these preparations showed granular, electron-dense deposits that appeared outside the particles. In contrast, the particles from Jurkat cells undergoing apoptosis showed evidence of cellular contents. Together, these results indicate that freeze-thawing causes a distinct form of cell

death as evidenced by the magnitude of macromolecule release and the generation of particle structures.

Discussion

Results presented herein demonstrate marked differences in the extent and pattern of nuclear molecule release in models of *in vitro* necrosis. Thus, with cells subjected to freeze-thawing, a very common method for inducing necrosis, DNA and HMGB1 exit the cells almost immediately although DNA can be subsequently degraded in a time-dependent process. With other inducing agents, the release of DNA is less extensive although the amounts present in the supernatant are more stable. In contrast to the almost immediate release of HMGB1 with freeze-thawing, the release of HMGB1 with heat and hydrogen peroxide was much more gradual and did not significantly occur following ethanol treatment. Together, these experiments indicate that models for experimental necrosis vary in the processes for the nuclear molecule translocation and extracellular stability.

In contrast to apoptosis, necrosis has been conceptualized as an unregulated event, in which death occurs rapidly because of physical or chemical trauma that can disrupt energy production or cell function. With loss of cell membrane integrity, necrosis can lead to an extensive release of intracellular contents, resembling lysis in some situations. These contents include DAMPs and alarmins, with HMGB1 the prototype. HMGB1 can induce inflammation alone or in association with other molecules that include IL-1, LPS and DNA. The activity of HMGB1 by itself depends on redox state with a thiol at cysteine 106 and a disulfide bond between cysteines 23 and 45.^{13,14} This extent of this modification may vary depending on how the cell dies, with studies in a model of acetaminophen toxicity in mice showing the metabolic state of the animal (i.e., fed vs starved) affects the modification and therefore the immunological activity of the released protein.³⁹

While necrosis can be rapid and cytotoxic, more recent studies suggest that necrosis, like apoptosis, can display a more gradual and regulated course mediated by enzymes.⁴⁰⁻⁴² The occurrence of this form of necrosis may depend on the inducing stimulus, and the status of the apoptotic machinery. Thus, with apoptosis blocked, the cell may die via a necrotic pathway even if that stimulus ordinarily causes apoptosis if the caspase system is intact.⁴³⁻⁴⁷ These findings have led to new definitions of the process of necrotic cell death and identification of regulated necrosis as a potential death mechanism. This form of necrosis, which can be mediated by the enzyme RIP1, contrasts with the accidental or non-regulated form of death induced by physical and chemical agents such as those used in this study.⁴⁸

The recognition that necrosis is heterogeneous prompted us to explore a major feature of necrotic cell death: the release of nuclear macromolecules. As shown in studies *in vivo* and *in vitro*, necrosis can lead to extensive release of DNA and HMGB1. HMGB1 can serve as an alarmin to promote inflammation, either by itself or in association with other molecules that interact with diverse receptors. DNA can also display immunological activity although activity as an alarmin may depend on its association with other molecules, in particular, HMGB1.^{15,49} In contrast to nuclear DNA, mitochondrial DNA may have intrinsic immunological activity because of structural differences including patterns of base methylation. While mitochondrial DNA may be released during death, its extracellular presence may also result from organelle extrusion.^{50,51}

In prior studies, the immune activity of released molecules was studied with different necrotic cell preparations, including freeze-thawed or homogenized cells.⁵²⁻⁵⁴ These methods can produce almost instantaneous cell death in view of the trauma caused. As our results indicate, freeze-thawing leads to extensive release of both HMGB1 and DNA, with

levels that exceed those caused by heat, ethanol or hydrogen peroxide. The release of HMGB1 occurred almost instantly with freeze-thawing, since examination of the media showed the presence of this protein in the first time point measured. This very rapid release of HMGB1 is consistent with the mechanisms of cell death induced by freeze-thawing. The process results from ice crystal formation and is influenced by rates of freezing, temperature, duration of freeze and rate of warming.^{55,56} These parameters have been extensively explored in the context of tumor cryoablation since freezing can be useful for tumor cytorreduction.

In addition to HMGB1, DNA was present at high levels in the media at the first time point after freeze-thawing. While HMGB1 levels remained constant with further incubation, DNA levels declined rapidly. In contrast, with heat and hydrogen peroxide, the release of DNA and HMGB1 was much less extensive, with HMGB1 release occurring more gradually. With ethanol treatment, however, extracellular HMGB1 release did not occur significantly. Interestingly, cells treated with ethanol did not show annexin V binding, implying a distinct death pattern, differing in phosphatidylserine exposure as well as HMGB1 release. This effect may reflect a biochemical alteration in lipids induced by ethanol.

A notable feature of death by with freeze-thawing was an abundant production of particles. As suggested by FACS analysis, these particles showed some similarities to microparticles released from cells undergoing apoptosis,⁵⁷ although their size appeared smaller. In contrast, electron microscopy indicated important differences between particles from freeze-thaw and apoptosis. Thus, particles from freeze-thawing appeared lacking in cellular contents; these preparations also had electron dense material not observed with preparations from apoptotic cells. The biochemical composition of this material is under investigation at this time.

Since DNA can affect the immune activity of DAMPs, including HMGB1 to which it binds, we investigated the stability of this DNA and its susceptibility to nuclease digestion. As our studies showed, this nuclease activity is dependent on divalent cations and can be inhibited by EDTA. The significant disruption of cell structure by freeze-thawing could release nucleases from internal sources. In contrast to the results with freeze-thawing, the levels of DNA after heat treatment were more stable while levels of DNA released from ethanol-treated cells showed a more gradual decline. Levels of DNA in cells treated with hydrogen peroxide rose throughout the incubation period, consistent with the more gradual pattern of death. These differences may relate to the levels of nuclease released from cells or the destruction of nucleases by the treatment to induce necrosis.

While the basis of these differences is not clear, they indicate that the extent of nuclear molecule release from necrotic cells could vary markedly and potentially influence immune responses. Thus, in studies with either freeze-thawing or mechanical disruption of cells (or both), some investigators utilize the entire preparation as a source of necrotic cells.^{23,24,53,54,58} In some instances, such preparations may be more appropriately considered extracts given the extensive damage to cells. As our findings indicate, these preparations may be subject to degradation processes and therefore may change in terms of their content and immunological properties

While the current study has focused on the molecular characterization of the supernatants of cells undergoing, we have started to evaluate their immunological activity. Interestingly, preliminary studies indicate that the supernatants generated from Jurkat cells undergoing necrosis failed to stimulate RAW264.7 murine macrophage cells at levels greater than those of control cells. Thus, in a representative experiment, supernatants (1:25 dilution) from Jurkat cells treated with freeze-thaw induced an average of 5.2 ng/ml of TNF- α in comparison to 3.4 ng/ml from control cells supernatants. Higher concentrations of

supernatants led to greater stimulation but the levels from supernatants remained similar to those of control cells. Under these conditions, 10 ng/ml of LPS induced 37.4 ng/ml of TNF- α . Similar results were obtained with the measurement of nitrite as an index of nitric oxide production. While preliminary, these findings could suggest that RAW264.7 cells do not respond readily to this form of stimulation or that the supernatants (in contrast to either dead cells or dead cell extracts), despite their content of potential immunologically active molecules like HMGB1, lack another active component or may even contain an inhibitor. These issues are under investigation.

Together, our results suggest that the systems studying the immune properties of necrotic cells should use preparations in which macromolecular components have been well characterized and the *in vitro* stability understood.⁵⁹ Furthermore, our preliminary findings suggest that the responding cell type (e.g., macrophage vs. dendritic cell, cultured cell line vs. isolated cells from a person or animal) may also influence this assessment, perhaps related to content of different receptors. In this regard, although our studies focused on the release of nuclear macromolecules, cytokines such as IL-1 and IL-33 may also exit cells during necrosis, with the kinetics and extent of this process also potentially variable depending on the process by which necrosis occurs.^{60,61}

The amounts and kinetics of macromolecule release from dying cells may have clinical application since studies in both experimental models in animals and patients have demonstrated many adverse effects of tumor cryoablation, especially of hepatic cell cancers.^{35,36} These effects include systemic inflammation, pulmonary inflammation and coagulopathy that have been termed cryoshock. Importantly, cryoablation appears to be more pro-inflammatory and pro-coagulant than other forms of tumor killing such as radiofrequency ablation.^{62–64} While the mediators of cryoshock are not known, our findings suggest that the dramatic release of HMGB1 and particles may contribute to the observed systemic responses. Studies are therefore in progress to characterize the immunological effects of molecules and structures (i.e., particles) released during necrosis and develop approaches that can attenuate their effects.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a VA Merit Review Grant and NIH Grant AI083923.

References

1. Kono H, Rock KL. How dying cells alert the immune system to danger. *Nature Rev Immunol.* 2008; 8:279–288. [PubMed: 18340345]
2. Chen GY, Nuñez G. Sterile inflammation: sensing and reacting to damage. *Nature Rev Immunol.* 2010; 10:826–837. [PubMed: 21088683]
3. Takeuchi O, Akira S. Pattern recognition receptors and inflammation. *Cell.* 2010; 140:805–820. [PubMed: 20303872]
4. Kono H, Chen C-J, Ontiveros F, Rock KL. Uric acid promotes an acute inflammatory response to sterile cell death in mice. *J Clin Invest.* 2010; 120:1939–1949. [PubMed: 20501947]
5. Andersson U, Tracey KJ. HMGB1 is a therapeutic target for sterile inflammation and infection. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2011; 29:139–162. [PubMed: 21219181]
6. Pisetsky D, Gauley J, Ullal A. HMGB1 and microparticles as mediators of the immune response to cell death. *Antioxid Redox Signal.* 2011; 00:1–46.
7. Harris HE, Rautava A. Alarmin(g) news about danger. *EMBO.* 2006; 7:774–778.
8. Oppenheim JJ, Yang D. Alarmins: chemotactic activators of immune responses. *Curr Opin Immunol.* 2005; 17:359–365. [PubMed: 15955682]

9. Rubartelli A, Lotze MT. Inside, outside, upside down: damage-associated molecular-pattern molecules (DAMPs) and redox. *Trends Immunol.* 2007; 28:429–436. [PubMed: 17845865]
10. Sha Y, Zmijewski J, Xu Z, Abraham E. HMGB1 develops enhanced proinflammatory activity by binding to cytokines. *J Immunol.* 2008; 180:2531–2537. [PubMed: 18250463]
11. Hreggvidsdottir HS, Ostberg T, Wähämaa H, Schierbeck H, Averberger AC, Klevenvall L, et al. The alarmin HMGB1 acts in synergy with endogenous and exogenous danger signals to promote inflammation. *J Leukoc Biol.* 2009; 86:655–662. [PubMed: 19564572]
12. Bianchi ME. HMGB1 loves company. *J Leukoc Biol.* 2009; 86:573–576. [PubMed: 19414536]
13. Yang H, Hreggvidsdottir HS, Palmblad K, Wang H, Ochiani M, Li J, et al. A critical cysteine is required for HMGB1 binding to toll-like receptor 4 and activation of macrophage cytokine release. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2010; 107:11942–11947. [PubMed: 20547845]
14. Yang H, Lundback P, Ottosson L, Erlandsson-Harris H, Venereau E, Bianchi ME, et al. Redox modification of cysteine residues regulates the cytokine activity of HMGB1. *Mol Med.* 2011 [epub ahead of print].
15. Lande R, Gregorio J, Facchinetti V, Chatterjee B, Wang YH, Homey B, et al. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells sense self-DNA coupled with antimicrobial peptide. *Nature.* 2007; 449:564–569. [PubMed: 17873860]
16. Coch C, Busch N, Wimmenauer V, Hartmann E, Janke M, Abdel-Mottaleb MMA, et al. Higher activation of TLR9 in plasmacytoid dendritic cells by microbial DNA compared with self-DNA based on CpG-specific recognition of phosphodiester DNA. *J Leukoc Biol.* 2009; 86:663–670. [PubMed: 19620253]
17. Ganguly D, Chamilos G, Lande R, Gregorio J, Meller S, Facchinetti V, et al. Self-RNA-antimicrobial peptide complexes activate human dendritic cells through TLR7 and TLR8. *J Exp Med.* 2009; 206:1983–1994. [PubMed: 19703986]
18. Kanduc D, Mittelman A, Serpico R, Sinigaglia E, Sinha AA, Natale C, et al. Cell death: apoptosis versus necrosis (review). *Int J Oncol.* 2002; 21:165–170. [PubMed: 12063564]
19. Taatjes DJ, Sobel BE, Budd RC. Morphological and cytochemical determination of cell death by apoptosis. *Histochem Cell Biol.* 2008; 129:33–43. [PubMed: 18000678]
20. Kroemer G, Galluzzi L, Vandenabeele P, Abrams J, Alnemri ES, Baehrecke EH, et al. Classification of cell death: recommendations of the nomenclature committee on cell death 2009. *Cell Death Differ.* 2009; 16:3–11. [PubMed: 18846107]
21. Zong W-X, Thompson CB. Necrotic death as a cell fate. *Genes Dev.* 2009; 20:1–15. [PubMed: 16391229]
22. Basu S, Binder RJ, Suto R, Anderson KM, Srivastava PK. Necrotic but not apoptotic cell death releases heat shock proteins, which deliver a partial maturation signal to dendritic cells and activate the NF- κ B pathway. *Int Immunol.* 2000; 12:1539–1546. [PubMed: 11058573]
23. Scaffidi P, Mistell T, Bianchi ME. Release of chromatin protein HMGB1 by necrotic cells triggers inflammation. *Nature.* 2002; 418:191–195. [PubMed: 12110890]
24. Rovere-Querini P, Capobianco A, Scaffidi P, Valentini B, Catalanotti F, Giazzone M, et al. HMGB1 is an endogenous immune adjuvant released by necrotic cells. *EMBO.* 2004; 5:825–830.
25. Silva MT, do Vale A, dos Santos NMN. Secondary necrosis in multicellular animals: an outcome of apoptosis with pathogenic implications. *Apoptosis.* 2008; 13:463–482. [PubMed: 18322800]
26. Gregory CD, Pound JD. Microenvironmental influences of apoptosis in vivo and in vitro. *Apoptosis.* 2010; 15:1029–1049. [PubMed: 20237956]
27. Gregory CD, Pound JD. Cell death in the neighbourhood: direct microenvironmental effects of apoptosis in normal and neoplastic tissues. *J Pathol.* 2011; 223:177–194. [PubMed: 21125674]
28. Roti JL, Wilson CF. The effects of alcohols, procaine and hyperthermia on the protein content of nuclei and chromatin. *Int J Radiat Biol.* 1984; 46:25–33.
29. Harmon BV, Cordeur AM, Collins RJ, Gobe GC, Allen J, Allan DF, et al. Cell death induced in a murine mastocytoma by 42–47°C heating *in vitro*: evidence that the form of death changes from apoptosis to necrosis above a critical heat load. *Int J Radiat Biol.* 1990; 58:845–858. [PubMed: 1977828]
30. Palomba L, Sestili P, Columbaro M, Falcieri E, Cantoni O. Apoptosis and necrosis following exposure of U937 cells to increasing concentrations of hydrogen peroxide: the effect of the poly

- (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor 3-aminobenzamide. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 1999; 58:1743–1750. [PubMed: 10571248]
31. Choi J-J, Reich CF III, Pisetsky DS. Release of DNA from dead and dying lymphocyte and monocyte cell lines in vitro. *Scand J Immunol.* 2004; 60:159–166. [PubMed: 15238085]
 32. Saito K, Dai Y, Ohtsuka K. Enhanced expression of heat shock proteins in gradually dying cells and their release from necrotically dead cells. *Exp Cell Res.* 2005; 310:229–236. [PubMed: 16129430]
 33. Chen C-J, Kono H, Golenbock D, Reed G, Akira S, Rock KL. Identification of a key pathway required for the sterile inflammatory response triggered by dying cells. *Nature Med.* 2007; 13:851–856. [PubMed: 17572686]
 34. Tran TT, Groben P, Pisetsky DS. The release of DNA into the plasma of mice following hepatic cell death by apoptosis and necrosis. *Biomarkers.* 2008; 13:184–200. [PubMed: 18270870]
 35. Sarantou T, Bilchik A, Ramming KP. Complications of hepatic cryosurgery. *Semin Surg Oncol.* 1998; 14:156–162. [PubMed: 9492885]
 36. Gravante G, Sconocchia G, Ong SL, Dennison AR, Lloyd DM. Immunoregulatory effects of liver ablation therapies for the treatment of primary and metastatic liver malignancies. *Liver Int.* 2009; 29:18–24. [PubMed: 19018971]
 37. Ullal AJ, Pisetsky DS, Reich CF 3rd. Use of SYTO 13, a fluorescent dye binding nucleic acids, for the detection of microparticles in in vitro systems. *Cytometry A.* 2010; 77:294–301. [PubMed: 20104574]
 38. Balakier H, Cabaca O, Bouman D, Shewchuk AB, Laskin C, Squire JA. Spontaneous blastomere fusion after freezing and thawing of early human embryos leads to polyploidy and chromosomal mosaicism. *Hum Reprod.* 2000; 15:2404–2410. [PubMed: 11056142]
 39. Antoine DJ, Williams DP, Kipar A, Laverty H, Park BK. Diet restriction inhibits apoptosis and HMGB1 oxidation and promotes inflammatory cell recruitment during acetaminophen hepatotoxicity. *Mol Med.* 2010; 16:479–490. [PubMed: 20811657]
 40. Zong W-X, Ditsworth D, Bauer DE, Wang Z-Q, Thompson CB. Alkylating DNA damage stimulates a regulated form of necrotic cell death. *Genes Dev.* 2004; 18:1272–1282. [PubMed: 15145826]
 41. Degterev A, Hitomi J, Germesheid M, Ch'en IL, Korkina O, Teng X, et al. Identification of RIP1 kinase as a specific cellular target of necrostatins. *Nature Chem Biol.* 2008; 4:313–321. [PubMed: 18408713]
 42. Hitomi J, Christofferson DE, Ng A, Yao J, Degterev A, Xavier RJ, et al. Identification of a molecular signaling network that regulates a cellular necrotic cell death pathway. *Cell.* 2008; 135:1311–1323. [PubMed: 19109899]
 43. Leist M, Single B, Castoldi AF, Kühnle S, Nicotera P. Intracellular adenosine triphosphate (ATP) concentration: a switch in the decision between apoptosis and necrosis. *J Exp Med.* 1997; 185:1481–1486. [PubMed: 9126928]
 44. Lemaire C, Andréau K, Souvannavong V, Adam A. Inhibition of caspase activity induces a switch from apoptosis to necrosis. *FEBS.* 1998; 425:266–270.
 45. Vercammen D, Beyaert R, Denecker G, Goossens V, Van Loo G, Declercq W, et al. Inhibition of caspases increases the sensitivity of L929 cells to necrosis mediated by tumor necrosis factor. *J Exp Med.* 1998; 187:1477–1485. [PubMed: 9565639]
 46. Holler N, Zaru R, Micheau O, Thome M, Attinger A, Valitutti S, et al. Fas triggers an alternative, caspase-8-independent cell death pathway using the kinase RIP as effector molecule. *Nature Immunol.* 2000; 1:489–495. [PubMed: 11101870]
 47. Kim CH, Han SI, Lee SY, Youk HS, Moon JY, Duong HQ, et al. Protein kinase C-ERK1/2 signal pathway switches glucose depletion-induced necrosis to apoptosis by regulating superoxide dismutases and suppressing reactive oxygen species production in A549 lung cancer cells. *J Cell Physiol.* 2007; 211:371–385. [PubMed: 17309078]
 48. Galluzzi L, Vitale I, Abrams JM, Alnemri ES, Baehrecke EH, Blagosklonny MV, et al. Molecular definitions of cell death subroutines: recommendations of the nomenclature committee on cell death 2012. *Cell Death Diff.* 2011; 1–14.

49. Urbonaviciute V, Furnrohr BG, Mesiter S, Munoz L, Heyder P, De Marchis F, et al. Induction of inflammatory and immune responses by HMGB1-nucleosome complexes: implications for the pathogenesis of SLE. *J Exp Med*. 2008; 205:3007–3018. [PubMed: 19064698]
50. Zhang Q, Raof M, Chen Y, Sumi Y, Sursal T, Junger W, et al. Circulating mitochondrial DAMPs cause inflammatory responses to injury. *Nature*. 2010; 464:104–107. [PubMed: 20203610]
51. Nakajima A, Kurihara H, Yagita H, Okumura K, Nakano H. Mitochondrial extrusion through the cytoplasmic vacuoles during cell death. *J Biol Chem*. 2008; 283:24128–24135. [PubMed: 18593703]
52. Brentano F, Schorr O, Gay Re, Gay S, Kyburz D. RNA released from necrotic synovial fluid cells activates rheumatoid arthritis synovial fibroblasts via Toll-like receptor 3. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2005; 52:2656–2665. [PubMed: 16142732]
53. Li H, Ambade A, Re F. Cutting edge: necrosis activates the NLRP3 inflammasome. *J Immunol*. 2009; 183:1528–1532. [PubMed: 19596994]
54. Eigenbrod T, Park J-H, Harder J, Iwakura Y, Núñez G. Cutting edge: critical role for mesothelial cells in necrosis-induced inflammation through the recognition of IL-1 α released from dying cells. *J Immunol*. 2008; 181:8194–8198. [PubMed: 19050234]
55. Smith DJ, Fahssi WM, Swanlund DJ, Bischof JC. A parametric study of freezing injury in AT-1 rat prostate tumor cells. *Cryobiology*. 1999; 39:13–28. [PubMed: 10458898]
56. Han B, Bischof JC. Direct cell injury associated with eutectic crystallization during freezing. *Cryobiology*. 2003; 48:8–21. [PubMed: 14969678]
57. Ragoonanan V, Hubel A, Aksan A. Response of the cell membrane-cytoskeleton complex to osmotic and freeze/thaw stresses. *Cryobiology*. 2010:335–344. [PubMed: 21055399]
58. Iyer SS, Pulskens WP, Sadler JJ, Butter LM, Teske GJ, Ulland TK, et al. Necrotic cells trigger a sterile inflammatory response through the Nlrp3 inflammasome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009; 106:20388–20393. [PubMed: 19918053]
59. Zitvogel L, Kepp O, Kroemer G. Decoding cell death signals in inflammation and immunity. *Cell*. 2010; 140:798–804. [PubMed: 20303871]
60. Moussion C, Ortega N, Girard JP. The IL-1 like cytokine IL-33 is constitutively expressed in the nucleus of endothelial cells and epithelial cells in vivo: a novel ‘alarmin’? *PLoS One*. 2008; 6:e33331.
61. Cohen I, Rider P, Carmi Y, Braiman A, Dotan S, White MR, et al. Differential release of chromatin-bound IL-1 α discriminates between necrotic and apoptotic cell death by the ability to induce sterile inflammation. *PNAS*. 2010; 107:2574–2579. [PubMed: 20133797]
62. Chapman WC, Debelak JP, Pinson CW, Washington MK, Atkinson JB, Venkatakrisnan A, et al. Hepatic cryoablation, but not radiofrequency ablation, results in lung inflammation. *Ann Surg*. 2001; 231:752–761. [PubMed: 10767797]
63. Ng KK, Lam CM, Poon RT, Shek TW, To JY, Wo YH, et al. Comparison of systemic responses of radiofrequency ablation cryotherapy, and surgical resection in a porcine liver model. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2004; 11:650–657. [PubMed: 15231521]
64. Ahmad F, Gravante G, Bhardwaj N, Strickland A, Basit R, West K, et al. Changes in interleukin-1 β and 6 after hepatic microwave tissue ablation compared with radiofrequency, cryotherapy and surgical resections. *Am J Surg*. 2010; 200:500–506. [PubMed: 20887844]




Figure 1.

FACS analysis of necrotic cell preparations. Jurkat cells in serum-free medium were induced to undergo necrosis by freeze-thaw (FT), heat, H₂O₂ ethanol (EtOH) or no treatment (controls). Following incubation at 37° for 30 min or 6 hr, cells were collected by centrifugation, stained with propidium iodide (PI) and (FITC)-labeled annexin V for analysis by flow cytometry. Annexin V binding is shown on the x-axis and propidium iodide binding is shown on the y-axis.




Figure 2. Microscopic analysis of dead cell preparations. Following treatments to induce necrosis, cell preparations were analyzed by light microscopy. The figure depicts cells treated with freeze-thaw (A, B), heat (C), hydrogen peroxide (D), ethanol (E), and control (F). The bar indicates 200 μm .




Figure 3.

The kinetics of HMGB1 release by necrotic cells. Jurkat cells in serum-free medium were induced to undergo by freeze-thaw, heat, H₂O₂, ethanol or no treatment (controls), and incubated at 37° for the times indicated. Culture media were concentrated and then examined by Western blotting with protein detection by ECL. As controls for HMGB1 detection, 100 ng, 50 ng and 25 ng of recombinant hHMGB1-histidine tagged protein were analyzed. Figure is a digital image of a blot taken with an Alpha Innotech digital imager.




Figure 4. Kinetic analysis of DNA release from necrotic cells. Jurkat cells in serum-free medium were induced to undergo necrosis by freeze-thaw, heat, H_2O_2 , ethanol or no treatment (controls), then incubated at 37° for 30 min, 2 h, 4 h and 6 h. Samples of culture supernatant were cleared of cells, then examined for DNA content in a PicoGreen® DNA assay. Data are representative of experiments performed at least 3 times. Error bars are present but are visible only for the freeze-thaw treatments.




Figure 5.

Effect of EDTA on DNA released from cells following freeze-thaw treatment. Jurkat cells in serum-free medium were subjected to freeze-thaw or to no treatment (controls) with or without the addition of EDTA to a final concentration of 25 mM before treatment. Following this treatment, cells were removed from the media by centrifugation, and then incubated at 37° for 30 min, 4 h or 22 h. Samples of the culture supernatants were then tested for DNA content by the PicoGreen assay. Results presented are representative of at least 3 experiments.




Figure 6. Production of particles by necrosis. Jurkat cells in serum-free medium were induced to undergo necrosis by freeze-thaw, ethanol, heat, H₂O₂, or no treatment (controls) and then incubated at 37° for 30 min or 2 h. The media were centrifuged to remove cells and particles measured by FACS using either side scatter or staining with SYTO13 for particle detection.




Figure 7.

Size distribution of particles induced by necrosis. Jurkat cells in serum free medium were induced to undergo necrosis by freeze-thaw or apoptosis by treatment with staurosporine at $1 \mu\text{M}$ for 18 h. Cells were centrifuged and then analyzed by size distribution by FACS collecting data by fluorescence (A) or side scatter (B). The dark shaded area indicates particles from freeze-thaw while the light shaded area represents particles from cultures treated with staurosporine.




Figure 8. Electron microscopy of particles from necrotic and apoptotic Jurkat cells. Electron microscopy was performed as described in Material and Methods of particles obtained from either necrotic or apoptotic cells Jurkat cells subjected to either freeze-thaw or treated with staurosporine at 5 μM for 24 hours. Panel A and C show particles from freeze-thaw cells while Panels B and D show particles from cells treated with staurosporine. Bars represent 200 nm and 500 nm respectively.