

# Association of Dietary Quality Indices with Glycemic Status in Korean Patients with Type 2 Diabetes

JiYoung Kim<sup>1</sup>, YoungYun Cho<sup>1,2\*</sup>, YoungMi Park<sup>3</sup>, CheongMin Sohn<sup>4</sup>,  
MiYong Rha<sup>1</sup>, Moon-Kyu Lee<sup>5</sup>, Hak C. Jang<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Dietetics, Samsung Medical Center, Seoul 135-710, Korea

<sup>2</sup>Graduate School of Public Health, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea

<sup>3</sup>Nutrition Care Services and <sup>6</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam 463-707, Korea

<sup>4</sup>Major in Food & Nutrition, Wonkwang University, Iksan 570-749, Korea

<sup>5</sup>Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, 135-710 Korea

The present study was performed to evaluate the relationship between dietary quality indices including the Diet Quality Index-International (DQI-I), Alternate Healthy Eating Index (AHEI), and Healthy Diet Indicator (HDI) and glycemic status in Korean patients with type 2 diabetes. A total of 110 consecutive outpatients with type 2 diabetes who visited 2 university hospitals in Seoul and Seongnam from April 2004 to November 2006 were enrolled as subjects. At the time of enrollment, anthropometric parameters, dietary habits, experience of exercise, and metabolic parameters were obtained. Experienced registered dietitians collected one-day dietary intake using the 24-hour recall method. The mean scores for DQI-I, AHEI, and HDI were  $68.9 \pm 8.2$ ,  $39.4 \pm 8.9$ , and  $5.0 \pm 1.3$ , respectively. After adjustment for age, body mass index, and energy intake, DQI-I and HDI were found to have a significant correlation with hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) ( $r = -0.21$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ;  $r = -0.28$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ), fasting plasma glucose ( $r = -0.21$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ;  $r = -0.23$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ), and postprandial 2-h glucose ( $r = -0.30$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ;  $r = -0.26$ ,  $p < 0.05$ , respectively). However, AHEI did not have a significant correlation with HbA1c. In conclusion, the DQI-I and HDI may be useful tools in assessing diet quality and adherence to dietary recommendations in Korean patients with type 2 diabetes. Future research is required to determine whether the dietary quality indices have predictive validity for dietary and glycemic changes following diet education in a clinical setting.

**Key Words:** Diabetes mellitus, Type 2, Glycemic index, Diet, Diet therapy

**\*Corresponding author** YoungYun Cho

**Address** Department of Dietetics, Samsung Medical Center, 81

Irwon-Ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 135-710, Korea

**Tel** +82-2-3410-3181 **Fax** +82-2-3410-3199

**E-mail** youngyun.cho@samsung.com

**Received** June 14, 2013

**Revised** June 30, 2013

**Accepted** July 9, 2013

© 2013 The Korean Society of Clinical Nutrition

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Introduction

With attention to the role of diet or medical nutritional therapy on chronic disease, the dietary pattern approach, which involves diet quality indices, has been suggested as a powerful tool because of the complexity of diets [1-3]. In recent decades, several indices have been developed and validated, including the Diet Quality Index (DQI), Healthy Eating Index (HEI), Mediterranean Diet Score, Healthy Diet Indicator (HDI; based on the World Health Organization dietary guidelines), Alternate HEI (AHEI; including American dietary guidelines), and DQI-International (DQI-I; developed for worldwide national dietary

## Diet Quality in Type 2 Diabetes

guidelines) [4]. The diet quality indices may be used as a quick assessment to evaluate compliance to healthy dietary guidelines and dietary changes after diet education.

There have been several studies that have shown an association between diet quality indices and chronic diseases, especially cancer and cardiovascular diseases. Some research has also demonstrated an association of diet quality indices with obesity and diabetes [12-15]. However, there have been few studies assessing the relationship between diet quality indices and glycemic control, and on which indices might serve as an appropriate tool to assess diet quality in patients with type 2 diabetes following diet education. In this study, we tried to determine the relationship between the diet quality indices including HDI, AHEI, and DQI-I, and glycemic indices in Korean patients with type 2 diabetes, independent of adiposity and energy intake. Then, we also tried to determine which indices were appropriate for assessment of diet quality after dietary education in patients with type 2 diabetes.

## Materials and Methods

### Subjects and design

Subjects were 110 consecutive Korean outpatients with type 2 diabetes who visited 2 university hospitals from April 2004 to November 2006 and who were enrolled in a study to evaluate the effectiveness of the medical nutrition therapy (MNT). Details of the study subjects have been described in our previous publication [5]. Briefly, subjects were patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes or patients who had been diagnosed with type 2 diabetes for fewer than 5 years but did not have acute and/or chronic complications.

At the time of enrollment, anthropometric parameters, dietary intake, and metabolic parameters were obtained. Height was measured to the nearest centimeter while the subjects were barefoot, and body weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using a digital scale while the subjects wore light clothing. Then, body mass index (BMI) was calculated by determining the ratio between weight and height squared ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ). Waist and hip circumferences were measured using a measuring tape with patients standing. The waist circumference was taken at the narrowest point between the lower costal margin and the superior iliac crest, and the hip circumference was measured at the horizontal level between the symphysis pubis and the greater gluteal protuberance that yielded the maximum measurement. Blood pressure was recorded using a

mercury sphygmomanometer twice in a sitting position after subjects had been in a relaxed state for at least 10 minutes. Hypertension was defined as 2 consecutive systolic/diastolic blood pressure measurements  $> 140/90$  mmHg or current treatment with antihypertensive medication.

One-day dietary intake was collected by 24-hour recall by registered dietitians. Nutrient values were calculated using the nutrient database of the Korean Nutrition Society [8].

Fasting plasma glucose (FPG), 2-hour postprandial glucose (PP2) concentration, and lipid profile levels were analyzed enzymatically, using an autoanalyzer (Hitachi 760-210, Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) was determined using high-performance liquid chromatography (HLC-723 G8, TOSOH, Tokyo, Japan).

The study protocol was approved by the Sungkyunkwan University Institutional Review Board.

### Application of the DQI-I, HDI, and AHEI to the datasets

The DQI-I focused on 4 major aspects: variety (0-20 points), adequacy (0-40 points), moderation (0-30 points), and overall balance (0-10 points). The scores for all 4 categories were totaled, from 0 to 100 (0 being the worst and 100 the best possible scores) [4,6]. For the variety and adequacy categories, we used the US Exchange List serving size definition [7] for cross-national comparative work. The adequacy of intakes for iron, calcium, and vitamin C were examined on the basis of the percentage attainment of the Dietary Reference Intakes in Korea [8]. The HDI was calculated as the sum of dichotomous variables on the basis of 9 items: saturated fatty acids; polyunsaturated fatty acids; protein; complex carbohydrates; dietary fiber; fruits and vegetables; legumes, nuts, and seeds; mono- and di-saccharides; and cholesterol (range 0-9, 0 being the worst and 9 the best possible scores) [4,9]. Scoring for the AHEI was based on intake levels of 9 components: fruits, vegetables, ratio of white to red meat, trans fats, ratio of polyunsaturated to saturated fat, cereal fiber, nuts and soy, moderate amounts of alcohol, and multivitamins over a long period. Each component contributed 0 to 10 points, with 10 indicating that recommendations were met and 0 indicating the poorest dietary behavior. Intermediate intakes were scored proportionally between 0 and 10, except for the multivitamin component, which was assigned a score of either 2.5 or 7.5 to avoid overweighting of this binary variable. Component scores for AHEI were totaled, ranging from 2.5 (worst) to 87.5 (best) [4,10,11]. In our study, the duration of multivitamin use was not

measured, because this information was not collected. Therefore, the maximum possible AHEI score was 82.5.

### Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation and percentage unless otherwise stated. We used SPSS version 10.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) for statistical analysis. Partial correlation coefficients were used to assess the association of the 3 indices of dietary pattern analysis with glycemic indices. All  $p$  values of  $< 0.05$  were considered to be statistically significant.

## Results

The mean age, BMI, and diabetes duration of subjects were  $54.6 \pm 8.9$  years,  $25.4 \pm 2.8$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and  $1.6 \pm 1.5$  years, respectively (Table 1). The percentages of subjects with hypertension, who regularly exercised, who currently smoked, and who consumed alcohol were 17.3%, 64.6%, 15.5%, and 28.2%, respectively. Forty subjects (36.4%) were treated with oral hypoglycemic agents, but none was on insulin therapy. The mean FPG, PP2, and HbA1c of subjects were  $142.6 \pm 33.6$  mg/dL,  $218.7 \pm 78.5$  mg/dL, and  $7.3 \pm 1.2\%$ , respectively. The average intakes of energy, carbohydrate, and fat in these subjects were  $1935 \pm 492$  kcal/day,  $277 \pm 78$  g/day, and  $51 \pm 22$  g/day, respectively (Table 2).

The average scores for DQI-I, HDI, and AHEI in this study were  $68.9 \pm 8.2$  (Table 3),  $5.0 \pm 1.3$  (Table 4), and  $39.4 \pm 8.9$  (Table 5). In the DQI-I, the score of overall-balance aspect was the lowest of the 4 aspects ( $2.4 \pm 2.6$ ; 24% of the total score of aspect). However, the variety aspect demonstrated the highest score ( $16.5 \pm 2.6$ ; 82% of the total score of aspect), closely followed by the adequacy aspect ( $32.5 \pm 4.7$ ; 81% of the total score of aspect). In the HDI, the score for saturated fatty acid ( $1.0 \pm 0.20$ ) was the highest, followed by oligosaccharides ( $0.9 \pm 0.3$ ), polyunsaturated fatty acids ( $0.8 \pm 0.4$ ), and polysaccharides ( $0.7 \pm 0.5$ ). In the AHEI, the score for trans-fat was the highest ( $10.0 \pm 0.2$ ), followed by polyunsaturated fatty acids: saturated fatty acids ( $10.0 \pm 0.2$ ), vegetables ( $7.2 \pm 2.5$ ), and nuts and soy proteins ( $5.5 \pm 4.7$ ).

After adjustment for age, the DQI-I and AHEI scores did not correlate with HbA1c, while the HDI score showed a significant negative correlation with HbA1c (Table 6). However, the DQI-I, AHEI, and HDI scores had a significant negative correlation with FPG and PP2. When age, BMI, and energy intake were adjusted for, the DQI-I and HDI scores had a significant nega-

**Table 1.** Clinical characteristics of subjects

Variables	(n = 110)
General characteristics	
Age, years	$54.6 \pm 8.9$
Duration of diabetes, years	$1.6 \pm 1.5$
Sex, male, n (%)	48 (43.6)
Anthropometric indices	
Height, cm	$161.6 \pm 8.0$
Weight, kg	$66.4 \pm 9.3$
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$25.4 \pm 2.8$
Waist circumference, cm	$87.5 \pm 6.9$
Hypertension, n (%)	
Systolic blood pressure, mmHg	$130.6 \pm 15.2$
Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg	$82.2 \pm 11.2$
Biochemical indices	
OHA treatment, n (%)	40 (36.4)
FPG, mg/dL	$142.6 \pm 33.6$
PP2, mg/dL	$218.7 \pm 78.5$
HbA1c, %	$7.3 \pm 1.2$
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	$187.6 \pm 34.3$
Triglyceride, mg/dL	$134.9 \pm 64.0$
HDL-cholesterol, mg/dL	$59.4 \pm 16.5$
LDL-cholesterol, mg/dL	$104.9 \pm 33.6$
Health-related habits	
Regular exercise, n (%)	71 (64.6)
Current smoker, n (%)	17 (15.5)
Current drinker, n (%)	31 (28.2)

BMI: body mass index, FPG: fasting plasma glucose, PP2: postprandial 2-h glucose, OHA: oral hypoglycemic agent, HDL: high-density lipoprotein, LDL: low-density lipoprotein.

**Table 2.** Nutrient intake of subjects

Variables	(n = 110)
Nutrients intake	
Total energy intake, kcal	$1,935 \pm 492$
Carbohydrate, g/day (% of total kcal)	$277 \pm 78$ ( $57.8 \pm 9.9$ )
Protein, g/day (% of total kcal)	$85 \pm 27$ ( $17.5 \pm 3.1$ )
Fat, g/day (% of total kcal)	$51 \pm 22$ ( $23.4 \pm 7.3$ )
Saturated fat, g/day	$9.4 \pm 8.1$
Monounsaturated fat, g/day	$11.6 \pm 10.0$
Polyunsaturated fat, g/day	$9.0 \pm 5.1$
Dietary fiber, g/day	$15.6 \pm 10.6$
Cholesterol, mg/day	$297.7 \pm 189.3$
Vitamin C, mg/day	$119.2 \pm 67.5$

**Table 3.** Diet Quality Index-International (DQI-I) scores by DQI-I components in subjects

Components	Score	Scoring criteria	(n = 110)
DQI-I, total	0–100		68.9 ± 8.2
Variety	0–20		16.5 ± 2.6
Overall food group variety	0–15	≥1 serving from each food group/d = 15 Any 1 food group missing/d = 12 Any 2 food groups missing/d = 9 Any 3 food groups missing/d = 6 ≥4 food groups missing/d = 3; None = 0	11.9 ± 2.3
Within-group variety for protein source	0–5	≥3 different sources/d = 5; 2 different sources/d = 3; From 1 source/d = 1; None = 0	4.6 ± 1.0
Adequacy*	0–40		32.5 ± 4.7
Vegetable group	0–5	≥3–5 servings/d = 5; 0 serving/d = 0	4.5 ± 1.0
Fruit group	0–5	≥2–4 servings/d = 5; 0 serving/d = 0	2.3 ± 1.9
Grain group	0–5	≥6–11 servings/d = 5; 0 serving/d = 0	4.9 ± 0.4
Fiber	0–5	≥20–30 g/d = 5; 0 g/d = 0	3.2 ± 1.6
Protein	0–5	≥10% of energy/d = 5; 0% of energy/d = 0	5.0 ± 0.1
Iron	0–5	≥100% of RDA(AI)/d = 5; 0% of RDA(AI)/d = 0	4.8 ± 0.6
Calcium	0–5	≥10% of AI/d = 5; 0% of AI/d = 0	3.9 ± 1.2
Vitamin C	0–5	≥100% of RDA(RNI)/d = 5; 0% of RDA(RNI)/d = 0	4.1 ± 1.2
Moderation	0–30		17.4 ± 5.6
Total fat	0–6	≤20% of total energy (TE)/d = 6; >20–30% of TE/d = 3; >30% of TE/d = 0	3.7 ± 2.1
Saturated fat	0–6	≤7% of TE/d = 6; >7–10% of TE/d = 3; >10% of TE/d = 0	5.6 ± 1.3
Cholesterol	0–6	≤300 mg/d = 6; >300–400 mg/d = 3; >400 mg/d = 0	4.0 ± 2.6
Sodium	0–6	≤2,400 mg/d = 6; >2,400–3,400 mg/d = 3; >3,400 mg/d = 0	0.9 ± 1.9
Empty calorie foods	0–6	≤3% of TE/d = 6; >3–10% of TE/d = 3; >10% of TE/d = 0	3.2 ± 2.2
Overall balance	0–10		2.4 ± 2.6
Macronutrient ratio	0–6	55–65:10–15:15–25 = 6; 52–68:9–16:13–27 = 4; 50–70:8–17:12–30 = 2; Otherwise = 0	1.4 ± 2.1
Fatty acid ratio	0–4	P/S = 1–1.5 and M/S = 1–1.5 = 4; Else if P/S = 0.8–1.7 and M/S = 0.8–1.7 = 2; Otherwise = 0	1.1 ± 1.5

\*Used as continuous variables.

tive correlation with HbA1c. All 3 diet quality indices had a significant negative correlation with FPG and PP2.

## Discussion

This study focused on assessing the association between dietary quality indices and glycemic indices in Korean patients with type 2 diabetes. We found that the DQI-I and HDI had a significant negative correlation with HbA1c, FPG, and PP2, but the AHEI did not have a significant negative correlation with HbA1c.

A few studies have shown an association between glycemic status and the DQI-I and HDI in patients with diabetes. However, similar to our study, the dietary guideline index, which assessed compliance with established dietary guidelines, was inversely associated with glycemic indices in type 2 diabetes [12].

Interestingly, our results showed that the total scores of the DQI-I and HDI had an association with glycemic indices, but each component of the DQI-I and HDI did not. Consistent with our findings, the multiple food groups characterized as the 4-principle component analysis dietary pattern score and

**Table 4.** Healthy Diet Indicator (HDI) scores by HDI components in subjects

Components	Scoring criteria		(n = 110)
	Dichotomous variable = 0 if:	Dichotomous variable = 1 if:	
Saturated fatty acid	>10 en%*	0-10 en%	1.0 ± 0.2
Polyunsaturated fatty acid	<3 or >7 en%	3-7 en%	0.8 ± 0.4
Protein	<10 or >15 en%	10-15 en%	0.3 ± 0.5
Polysaccharides	<50 or >70 en%	50-70 en%	0.7 ± 0.5
Fiber	<27 or >40 g/d	27-40 g/d	0.2 ± 0.4
Vegetables and fruits	<400 g/d	>400 g/d	0.4 ± 0.5
Legumes	<30 g/d	>30 g/d	0.3 ± 0.5
Oligosaccharides	>10 en%	0-10 en%	0.9 ± 0.3
Dietary cholesterol	>300 mg/d	0-300 mg/d	0.6 ± 0.5
Total score	0	9	5.0 ± 1.3

\*Percent of energy intake.

**Table 5.** Alternate Healthy Eating Index (AHEI) scores by AHEI components in subjects

	Criteria for minimum score of 0	Criteria for maximum score of 10	(n = 110)
Vegetables, servings/d	0	5	7.2 ± 2.5
Fruits, servings/d	0	4	3.0 ± 3.1
Nuts and soy protein, servings/d	0	1	5.5 ± 4.7
Ratio of white to red meat	0	4	4.2 ± 4.6
Cereal fiber, g/d	0	15	0.7 ± 0.6
Trans fat, % of energy	≥4	≤0.5	10.0 ± 0.2
P:S	≤0.1	≥1	8.2 ± 2.8
Duration of multivitamin use*	2.5	7.5	0.4 ± 1.0
Alcohol, servings/d	Men 0 or >3.5 Women 0 or >2.5	Men 1.5-2.5 Women 0.5-1.5	0.2 ± 1.3
Total score, range	2.5	87.5	39.4 ± 8.9

P:S: polyunsaturated fatty acid:saturated fatty acid.

\*For multivitamin use, the maximum score was 2.5 in our study. We gave a score of 2.5 for participants who had used multivitamins.

*a priori*-defined low-diabetes-risk food pattern score were associated with lower risk of type 2 diabetes, but individual food groups were not independently associated [13]. This observation suggests that dietary pattern indices are a better approach to assess diet quality for diabetes than traditional approach of using single-nutrient or food group exposures.

Several studies have shown an association between eating patterns according to the AHEI and risk of type 2 diabetes. Contrary to our findings, higher scores on the AHEI were reported to be associated with a lower risk of type 2 diabetes (comparing the top to the bottom quintile: 0.64 [95% con-

fidence interval, 0.58-0.71],  $P_{\text{trend}} < .0001$ ) [10], and the AHEI score was negatively correlated with change in HbA1c level ( $r = -0.024$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) [14].

A limitation of this study was that the 3 dietary pattern indices were derived from one-day dietary intake data obtained by the 24-hour recall method, which may not reflect the ordinary diet of the subjects. However, 24-hour recall data maybe a stronger predictor of more recent diet than distant diet for type 2 diabetes, and the timing of Food Frequency Questionnaires may not capture short-term improvement [10]. This might explain why a correlation was observed between the

**Table 6.** Correlation coefficients of glycemic status and diet quality scores according to the Diet Quality Index–International, Alternate Healthy Eating Index, and Healthy Diet Indicator scores

	HbA1c	FPG	PP2
<b>DQI-I</b>			
Age-adjusted	-0.188 (p = 0.059)	-0.204 (p = 0.040)	-0.271 (p = 0.006)
Multivariate*	-0.206 (p = 0.036)	-0.220 (p = 0.025)	-0.296 (p = 0.002)
<b>AHEI</b>			
Age-adjusted	-0.099 (p = 0.324)	-0.257 (p = 0.009)	-0.233 (p = 0.019)
Multivariate	-0.094 (p = 0.345)	-0.252 (p = 0.010)	-0.203 (p = 0.039)
<b>HDI</b>			
Age-adjusted	-0.289 (p = 0.003)	-0.240 (p = 0.015)	-0.276 (p = 0.005)
Multivariate	-0.280 (p = 0.004)	-0.226 (p = 0.021)	-0.263 (p = 0.007)

FPG: fasting plasma glucose, PP2: postprandial 2-h glucose, DQI-I: Diet Quality Index–International, AHEI: Alternate Healthy Eating Index, HDI: Healthy Diet Indicator.

\*Adjusted for age, body mass index, and energy intake.

3 dietary quality indices and FPG and PP2, but not between AHEI and HbA1c. Additionally, our data were cross-sectional, thereby precluding the determination of causality. Taken together, these findings suggest the need for longitudinal research to examine in more detail the relationship between diet quality and glycemic changes in type 2 diabetes [16]. In addition, for the variety and adequacy aspects for some of the components of the DQI-I, we used the US Food Guide Pyramid serving size definitions to assess food group intake on the basis of how the number of servings consumed compared with the recommendations [6]. This study was also limited because of its small sample size.

This study examined whether dietary quality indices are appropriate to assess diet quality and predict adherence to dietary recommendations in Korean patients with type 2 diabetes. Further research is needed to examine the long-term dietary and glycemic changes in patients with diabetes following nutrition education.

## Conclusion

The DQI-I and HDI may be useful tools in assessing diet quality and adherence to dietary recommendations in patients with type 2 diabetes. Future research is required to determine whether dietary quality indices have predictive validity for dietary and glycemic changes after diet education in a clinical care setting.

## References

1. Ma Y, Li W, Olendzki BC, Pagoto SL, Merriam PA, Chiriboga DE, Griffith JA, Bodenlos J, Wang Y, Ockene IS. Dietary quality 1 year after diagnosis of coronary heart disease. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2008;108:240–6.
2. Jacques PF, Tucker KL. Are dietary patterns useful for understanding the role of diet in chronic disease? *Am J Clin Nutr* 2001;73:1–2.
3. Hu FB. Dietary pattern analysis: a new direction in nutritional epidemiology. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 2002;13:3–9.
4. Fransen HP, Ocké MC. Indices of diet quality. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 2008;11:559–65.
5. Cho Y, Lee M, Jang H, Rha M, Kim J, Park Y, Sohn C. The clinical and cost effectiveness of medical nutrition therapy for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Korean J Nutr* 2008;41:147–55.
6. Kim S, Haines PS, Siega-Riz AM, Popkin BM. The Diet Quality Index–International (DQI-I) provides an effective tool for cross-national comparison of diet quality as illustrated by China and the United States. *J Nutr* 2003;133:3476–84.
7. American Dietetic Association; American Diabetes Association. Exchange lists for weight management. Chicago (IL): American Dietetic Association; 1995.
8. Korean Nutrition Society. Dietary reference intakes for Koreans. Seoul: Korean Nutrition Society; 2005.
9. Huijbregts PP, Feskens EJ, Räsänen L, Fidanza F, Alberti-Fidanza A, Nissinen A, Giampaoli S, Kromhout D. Dietary patterns and cognitive function in elderly men in Finland, Italy and the Netherlands. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1998;52:826–31.
10. Fung TT, McCullough M, van Dam RM, Hu FB. A prospective study of overall diet quality and risk of type 2 diabetes in women. *Diabetes Care* 2007;30:1753–7.
11. McCullough ML, Feskanich D, Stampfer MJ, Giovannucci EL, Rimm EB, Hu FB, Spiegelman D, Hunter DJ, Colditz GA, Willett WC. Diet quality and major chronic disease risk in men and women: moving toward improved dietary guidance. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2002;76:1261–71.
12. McNaughton SA, Dunstan DW, Ball K, Shaw J, Crawford D. Dietary quality is associated with diabetes and cardio-metabolic risk factors. *J Nutr* 2009;139:734–42.
13. Nettleton JA, Steffen LM, Ni H, Liu K, Jacobs DR Jr. Dietary patterns and risk of incident type 2 diabetes in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Diabetes Care* 2008;31:1777–82.
14. Turner-McGrievy GM, Barnard ND, Cohen J, Jenkins DJ, Gloede L, Green AA. Changes in nutrient intake and dietary quality among participants

- with type 2 diabetes following a low-fat vegan diet or a conventional diabetes diet for 22 weeks. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2008;108:1636-45.
15. Gopinath B, Rochtchina E, Flood VM, Mitchell P. Diet quality is prospectively associated with incident impaired fasting glucose in older adults. *Diabet Med* 2013;30:557-62.
  16. Nansel TR, Haynie DL, Lipsky LM, Laffel LM, Mehta SN. Multiple indicators of poor diet quality in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes are associated with higher body mass index percentile but not glycemic control. *J Acad Nutr Diet* 2012;112:1728-35.