Original Article

Clin Nutr Res 2013;2:91–99 http://dx.doi.org/10.7762/cnr.2013.2.2.91 pISSN 2287–3732 · eISSN 2287–3740



Evaluation of Adiposity–Related Biomarkers as Metabolic Syndrome Indicators

Yun-Jung Bae¹, Se-Hong Kim², Ju-Hye Chung², Sang-Wook Song², Kyung-Soo Kim³, Mi-Kyung Kim^{4†}, Oran Kwon⁴, Myung-Sook Choi^{5,6}, Mi-Kyung Sung^{6,7*}

¹Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, Hanbuk University, Dongduchen 483-120, Korea ²Department of Family Medicine, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul 137-701, Korea ³Department of Family Medicine & Integrative Medicine, Seoul St. Mary's Hosp., Catholic University Medical Center, Seoul 137-040, Korea

⁴Department of Nutritional Science and Food Management, Ewha Woman's University, Seoul 120-750, Korea ⁵Department of Food Science and Nutrition, Daegu 702-701, Korea ⁶Center for Food & Nutritional Genomics, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Korea ⁷Department of Food and Nutrition, Sookmyung Women's University, Seoul 140-742, Korea

Recent studies have suggested a relationship of the increased circulating adipokines and inflammatory cytokine, and the risk of metabolic syndrome (MetS). The objective of this study was to identify adiposity-related factors that reflect MetS in order to establish early intervention targets. We performed a cross-sectional study which included 108 MetS subjects and 91 controls. Blood adiponectin, leptin, vascular-, and intercellular adhension molecules (VCAM, ICAM), monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP1), high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), oxidized LDL (oxLDL), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) were measured. The correlation analysis indicated that the MetS score (sum of the number of MetS risk factors) had an inverse relationship with adiponectin (p < 0.0001), and positive correlations with leptin (p < 0.05), ICAM (p < 0.01), MCP1 (p < 0.05), oxLDL (p < 0.05), TNF- α (p < 0.0001), IL-6 (p < 0.05) and hsCRP (p < 0.01). In multivariate logistic regression analyses, plasma triglyceride (TG) was independently associated with adiponectin, ICAM and TNF- α with the standardized β coefficients of -0.213, 0.197, and 0.193, respectively. Plasma HDL-cholesterol was independently associated with ICAM and hsCRP with the standardized β coefficients of -0.150 and -0.173. Adiponectin, TNF- α , and hsCRP were the most proximate markers reflecting MetS. Among MetS components, TG and HDL-cholesterol concentrations displayed the relationship with inflammatory markers measured in this study.

Key Words: Metabolic syndrome, Adiposity, Adipokines, Inflammatory cytokines, Abdominal fat

*Corresponding author Mi-Kyung Sung

Address Department of Food and Nutrition, Sookmyung Women's University, 53–12 Cheongpa-dong 2-ga, Yongsan-gu, Seoul 140-742, Korea Tel +82-2-710-9395 Fax +82-2-710-9453 E-mail mksung@sm.ac.kr

[†]Current: Department of Food Science and Biotechnology, CHA University, Seongnam 463-836, Korea

Received June 13, 2013 Revised June 20, 2013 Accepted June 24, 2013

© 2013 The Korean Society of Clinical Nutrition

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits uncestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) describes the clustering of abdominal obesity, lipid abnormalities, hypertension, and hyperglycemia. It is a strong independent contributor to the onset of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (CVD). The prevalence of MetS is 20–30% among adult populations in most countries [1], and it appears to correlate with the sharp increase in the incidence of metabolic diseases. Therefore, the identification of subjects with MetS and the importance of early effective intervention based on appropriate biomarkers have been emphasized.



Current evidence has shown that obesity plays a central role in the development of MetS, and significantly contributes to the further development of metabolic diseases [2]. The pathogenesis model disclosed that MetS is associated with metabolic stresses as a consequence of adipose cell enlargement and the associated changes in circulating inflammatory cytokine and adipokines [3]. Obesity-related chronic or low-grade systemic inflammation is considered to be a common in both insulin resistance and atherogenesis [4] which promotes the development of type 2 diabetes and atherosclerosis [5].

Adipokines are cytokines mainly produced by the adipose tissue. Leptin, adiponectin, resistin and visfatin are known to contribute to inflammatory responses [6]. Adiponectin inhibits the nuclear factor– κ B (NF– κ B)-dependent synthesis of tumornecrosis factor (TNF) and interferon– γ (IFN γ), and induces the production of interleukin–10 (IL–10) and IL–1 receptor antagonist (IL–1RA) [7]. Leptin signals to induce activation of the mitogen–activated protein kinases (MAPKs) p38 and extracellular–signal–regulated kinase (ERK), and induces the production of nitric–oxide synthase 2 (NOS2) and reactive oxygen species (ROS) [8]. Adiponectin induces apoptosis of monocytes and inhibits phagocytosis by macrophages, whereas leptin enhances macrophage phagocytosis and induces the activation, proliferation and migration of monocytes [6,7].

Biomarkers of systemic inflammation other than adipokines include pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines which have been suggested to be closely related to excess adipose tissue accumulation [9]. TNF- α which can initiate both acute and chronic inflammation increases production of IL-6 and monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP1), and facilitates endothelial dysfunction [10]. MCP1, a mediator for T lymphocyte recruitment and monocyte trafficking, is increased by leptin, obesity, and insulin-resistance-inducing hormones [11]. Also, cellular adhesion molecules (CAMs) mediate adhesion of circulating leukocytes to endothelial cells, promoting subsequent transendothelial migration [12].

Nevertheless, few studies have evaluated adipokines, inflammatory cytokines and chemokines for their sensitivity to reflect MetS components. Therefore, we analyzed major adipose tissuederived cytokines and chemokines, and determined the degree of association with MetS components. Abdominal computed tomography data was also generated to establish the association of blood marker with tomography measures. The identification of sensitive biomarkers is important for setting relevant targets for early nutritional and pharmacological intervention.

Meterials and Methods

Study subjects

The study involved 199 subjects (108 men and 91 women). Data were collected cross-sectionally between August 2008 and March 2010. The participants were recruited from the outpatient clinic of the Department of Family Medicine at Seoul St. Mary's Hospital, Uijeongbu St. Mary's Hospital and St. Vincent's Hospital in South Korea. None of the participants had been diagnosed with cardiovascular disease, infectious diseases, diseases of the liver, thyroid or kidney, malignancy, acromegaly. Cushing syndrome, or any other serious medical problems. Volunteers who were taking systemic glucocorticoids, blood glucose lowering medications (eg, metformin, thiazolidinediones), anti-inflammatory medications, and immunosuppressant drugs were also excluded. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Seoul and Uijeongbu St. Mary's Hospital and St. Vincent's Hospital (IRB No. KCMC08BR048). Informed consent was confirmed by the IRB. Written and informed consents were individually obtained from all the participants prior to the study.

Anthropometric measures, blood pressure and abdominal computed tomography

Height and weight were measured using the InBody 720 (Biospace, Seoul, South Korea). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. The waist circumference was measured at the half position between the lowest rib cage and the upper iliac crest with the subject in standing position. Systolic and diastolic blood pressures were measured twice with an automatic electronic sphygmomanometer (UA-731, Takeda Medical Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The percentage of body fat was calculated by bioelectric impedance analysis using the InBody 720 (Biospace, Seoul, South Korea). Abdominal visceral and subcutaneous fat areas were measured by computed tomography scan (High Speed Advantage, General Electric Co., Evansville, IN, USA). We defined visceral obesity as a visceral fat area of more than 100 cm² [13].

Laboratory methods

Blood samples were drawn in the morning hours after a 12-h overnight fast. The samples were left at room temperature for 30 min, centrifuged for 15 min at 2500 rpm to separate the serum, and then stored at -70°C. Fasting serum glucose, total cholesterol, triglyceride (TG), and HDL-cholesterol



were determined using an autoanalyzer (Hitachi 747 auto-analyzer, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). Insulin was measured by enzyme immunoassay (Immulite 2000, SIEMENS, IL, USA). Serum low-density lipoprotein cholesterol concentration was calculated according to the Friedewald formula [14].

Serum adiponectin, resistin, leptin, intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM), vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM), MCP1, TNF- α and IL-6 concentrations were measured with the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Quantikine, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). Intraassay and interassay coefficients of variation (CVs) of analyzed adipokines, inflammatory cytokines and chemokines were as follows: adiponectin (< 4.7%, < 6.9%), resistin (< 5.3%, < 9.2%), leptin (<3.3%, 5.4%), ICAM and VCAM (< 5.2%, < 7.8%), MCP1 (< 7.8%, < 6.8%), TNF- α (< 5.2%, 7.4%), and IL-6 (< 7.8%, 9.6%).

We used the solid phase two-site enzyme immunoassay Mercodia Oxidized LDL ELISA (Mercodia, Uppsala, Sweden) to quantitatively measure oxidized low density lipoproteins (oxLDL) in serum. Serum high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) was measured by high-sensitively assay, using a ELISA kit (Human CRP ELISA kit, High sensitivity, Helica Biosystems Inc., Fullerton, CA, USA) with intraassay and interassay CVs less than 4.6% and 6.0%, respectively.

Criteria for MetS

The diagnosis of MetS was based on the updated National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) criteria [15]. Participants with three or more of the following five criteria were defined as having MetS: abdominal obesity (defined as waist circumference \geq 90 cm for men and \geq 85 cm for women in Korean) [16], elevated blood pressure (\geq 130 mmHg systolic and/or \geq 85 mmHg diastolic), high fasting blood glucose (\geq 100 mg/dL), high TG (\geq 150 mg/dL), and low high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol (< 40 mg/dL for men and < 50 mg/dL for women). The third criterion, fasting blood glucose, was changed to 100 mg/dL from the initial cutoff point of 110 mg/dL according to the revised guideline [17].

Statistical analyses

The statistic analyses were performed using the SAS 9.1 program (SAS Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Differences in continuous variables of socio-demographics, body composition, and clinical and metabolic parameters and biomarkers between MetS subjects and control subjects were evaluated by the *t*-test for normally distributed variables and by the Wilcoxon

rank-sum test for variables that were not normally distributed. Differences in categorical socio-demographics variables were evaluated by the chi-square test. The variables that did not have a normal distribution (adiponectin, resistin, leptin, ICAM, VCAM, MCP1, oxLDL, TNF- α , IL-6, hsCRP) were log-transformed before analyses. For the purpose of data presentation, these variables were again transformed to absolute values in the section "Results". MetS score is determined by adding the number of risk factors; waist circumference, TG, HDLcholesterol, glucose and blood pressure. The associations between MetS score and adipokines and inflammatory markers were determined by Pearson's correlation. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to estimate the adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals of the adipokines and inflammatory markers, predicting MetS. In order to assess the contribution of the various adipokines and inflammation markers to the different components of the MetS, we used multiple linear regression models. The components of MetS were the dependent variables and the adipokines and inflammation markers, as well as other potential confounders, were the covariates. Confounders included age, smoking and BMI. All above analyses were considered significant at p < 0.05 (two tailed).

Results

Socio-demographics, body composition, clinical, metabolic, and inflammatory parameters and adipokines in the group with and without MetS are shown in Table 1. Among our study population (n = 199), 108 (54.3%) individuals were MetS subjects meeting the NCEP ATP III criteria. The MetS group had a larger proportion of subjects who were current smokers (p < 0.01) compared to control group. No difference was observed in alcohol intake habit. The MetS group exhibited significantly higher BMI (p < 0.0001), percent body fat (p < 0.01), visceral fat area (p < 0.01), total cholesterol (p < 0.0001), LDL-cholesterol (p < 0.001), and insulin (p < 0.0001) levels and lower subcutaneous fat area (p < 0.05) than the control group. The proportion of the MetS individuals meeting each NCEP ATP III criteria were 92.5% for waist circumference (control group; 38.5%, p < 0.0001), 69.4% for blood pressure (control group; 18.7%, p < 0.0001), 74.1% for TG (control group; 14.3%, p < 0.0001), 63.0% for HDL-cholesterol (control group; 17.6%, p < 0.0001), and 82.4% for glucose (control group; 4.4%, p < 0.0001) 0.0001) (data not shown). Subjects with MetS also had sig-



Table 1. Socio-demographics, body composition, clinical, metabolic, and inflammatory parameters and adipokines in the group with and without MetS

/ariable	Control (n = 91)	MetS $(n = 108)$	p value
Socio-demographics			
Age, yr	38.0 ± 11.0	46.5 ± 11.5	< 0.0001
Male, %	41.8	64.8	0.0011
Current drinker, %	60.7	73.2	0.1934
Current smoker, %	15.5	32.3	0.0014
Body composition and clinical parameters			
Height, cm	165.1 ± 8.6	167.6 ± 9.0	0.0625
Weight, kg	64.9 ± 12.3	78.3 ± 14.2	< 0.0001
BMI, kg/m ²	23.7 ± 3.5	28.0 ± 3.4	< 0.0001
Percent body fat, %	27.9 ± 7.6	30.8 ± 5.9	0.0042
Visceral fat area, cm ²	97.6 ± 71.9	110.1 ± 56.6	0.0043
Subcutaneous fat area, cm ²	165.6 ± 78.1	147.6 ± 83.1	0.0268
Visceral obesity, % [†]	34.1	49.1	0.0327
Cholesterol, mg/dl	188.1 ± 30.7	211.7 ± 32.3	< 0.0001
LDL-cholesterol, mg/dl	109.9 ± 28.1	126.6 ± 34.8	0.0002
Insulin, uU/ml	6.8 ± 4.7	11.2 ± 9.5	< 0.0001
MetS factors			
Waist circumference, cm	83.5 ± 9.8	95.8 ± 7.6	< 0.0001
SBP, mmHg	119.1 ± 12.7	135.5 ± 15.7	< 0.0001
DBP, mmHg	71.6 ± 9.5	78.7 ± 13.3	< 0.0001
TG, mg/dl	90.9 ± 52.9	212.2 ± 114.0	< 0.0001
HDL-cholesterol, mg/dl	60.0 ± 15.0	42.6 ± 12.1	< 0.0001
Glucose, mg/dl	91.3 ± 6.9	108.5 ± 12.5	< 0.0001
Adipokine and inflammatory markers			
Adiponectin, ug/ml*	7.1 ± 3.1	4.5 ± 3.0	< 0.0001
Resistin, ng/ml*	6.8 ± 4.0	8.5 ± 4.1	0.0017
Leptin, ng/ml*	5.9 ± 6.9	7.4 ± 5.8	0.0005
VCAM, ng/ml*	439.7 ± 68.5	427.5 ± 75.3	0.1468
ICAM, ng/ml*	118.6 ± 49.1	167.0 ± 65.4	< 0.0001
MCP1, pg/ml*	246.7 ± 80.7	305.0 ± 92.6	< 0.0001
oxLDL, U/L*	66.2 ± 17.3	85.0 ± 22.3	< 0.0001
TNF- α , pg/ml*	3.4 ± 3.9	6.7 ± 5.8	< 0.0001
IL-6, pg/ml*	2.6 ± 2.0	3.4 ± 1.5	0.0002
hsCRP, mg/dl*	0.1 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.5	< 0.0001

Values are presented as mean \pm SD or (%).

SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, TG: triglyceride, VCAM: vascular cell adhesion molecule, ICAM: intercellular adhesion molecule, MCP1: monocyte chemoattractant protein 1, oxLDL: oxidized low-density lipoprotein, TNF- α : tumor necrosis factor-alpha, IL-6: interleukin-6, hsCRP: High-sensitivity C-reactive Protein.

nificantly higher serum levels of resistin (p < 0.01), leptin (p < 0.001), ICAM (p < 0.0001), MCP1 (p < 0.0001), oxLDL (p <

0.0001), TNF- α (p < 0.0001), IL-6 (p < 0.001) and hsCRP (p < 0.0001) than subjects without MetS. By contrast, serum adi-

^{*}These variables were log-transformed before analysis; *Visceral obesity was defined as a visceral fat area (VFA) measuring > 100 cm² by CT scanning [13].



ponectin levels were significantly lower in subjects with MetS (p < 0.0001). There was no difference in serum VCAM concentration. Also, the MetS group had a larger proportion of subjects who were visceral obesity compared to control group (p < 0.05).

The Pearson's partial coefficients of correlation between the MetS score and blood markers are shown in Table 2. The correlation analysis indicated that the MetS score had an inverse relationship with adiponectin (r = -0.325, p < 0.0001), and positive correlations with leptin (r = 0.197, p < 0.05), ICAM (r = 0.244, p < 0.01), MCP1 (r = 0.180, p < 0.05), oxLDL (r = 0.188, p < 0.05), TNF- α (r = 0.316, p < 0.0001), IL-6 (r = 0.170, p < 0.05) and hsCRP (r = 0.242, p < 0.01).

The odds ratios of having MetS as a function of each adipokine and inflammation marker after adjusting for age, sex, BMI and smoking are shown in Table 3. MetS was significantly associated with increments in TNF- α (OR = 4.78, p < 0.01), IL-6 (OR = 7.60, p < 0.0001), and hsCRP (OR = 2.72, p < 0.05). The odds ratio of MetS with high adiponectin was 0.42 (p < 0.05).

The multiple linear relationships of each metabolic component to adipokine and inflammatory parameters are shown in Table 4. Waist was independently and significantly associated with MCP1 and the standardized β coefficient was 0.117. TNF- α was independently associated with glucose with a standardized β value of 0.228. TG was independently associated with adiponectin, ICAM and TNF- α ; the standardized β

coefficients were –0.213, 0.197, and 0.193, respectively. Also, HDL-cholesterol was independently associated with adiponectin, ICAM and hsCRP with standardized β coefficients of 0.214, –0.150, and –0.173, respectively.

The relationship between the visceral and subcutaneous fat area measured by computed tomography scan and adipokines and inflammation markers after adjusting for age, sex, smoking, and BMI are shown in Table 5. The correlation analysis showed a positive relationship between visceral fat area and leptin (r=0.184, p<0.05) and hsCRP (r=0.156, p<0.05). The subcutaneous fat area positively correlated with leptin (r=0.305, p<0.0001) and hsCRP (r=0.228, p<0.01), while it negatively correlated with TNF- α (r=-0.157, p<0.05).

Discussion

A cluster of risk factors including obesity, insulin resistance, hypertension and dysregulated lipoproteins have been included as features of MetS. However, there are ongoing debates regarding appropriate application of these components as diagnostic tools for MetS in clinical practices. In this study, we identified the relationship between the components of MetS and inflammation-related biomarkers to determine possible application for these biomarkers in the diagnosis of MetS. Despite current use of MetS components, studies have indicated that inflammatory markers need to be incorporated to fully

Table 2. Relationship between MetS score and adipokine and inflammatory parameters

(N = 199)

Variable	MetS score	p value	MetS score adjusted age, sex, smoking, and BMI	p value
Adiponectin*	-0.431	< 0.0001	-0.325	<0.0001
Resistin*	0.290	< 0.0001	0.091	0.2594
Leptin*	0.324	< 0.0001	0.197	0.0145
VCAM*	-0.043	0.5475	-0.040	0.6214
ICAM*	0.400	< 0.0001	0.244	0.0023
MCP1*	0.335	< 0.0001	0.180	0.0254
oxLDL*	0.468	< 0.0001	0.188	0.0197
$TNF-\alpha^*$	0.318	< 0.0001	0.316	<0.0001
IL-6*	0.248	0.0004	0.170	0.0354
hsCRP*	0.484	< 0.0001	0.242	0.0025

Data are given as Pearson's correlation (R) coefficients.

VCAM: vascular cell adhesion molecule, ICAM: intercellular adhesion molecule, MCP1: monocyte chemoattractant protein 1, oxLDL: oxidized low-density lipoprotein, TNF-α: tumor necrosis factor-alpha, IL-6: interleukin-6, hsCRP: High-sensitivity C-reactive Protein.

^{*}These variables were log-transformed before analysis.



understand the risk of clinical complications [18,19].

Study results indicated that body composition measures

Table 3. Odds ratio[†] for MetS according to adipokine and inflammatory markers

(N = 199)

	OR (95% CI)	p value
Adiponectin*	0.42 (0.18-0.94)	0.0355
Resistin*	1.60 (0.71-3.63)	0.2614
Leptin*	1.49 (0.63-3.52)	0.3592
VCAM*	0.50 (0.22-1.10)	0.0860
ICAM*	1.58 (0.69-3.62)	0.2796
MCP1*	1.62 (0.72-3.64)	0.2439
oxLDL*	1.82 (0.76-4.40)	0.1843
TNF- α^*	4.78 (1.90-12.28)	0.0090
IL-6*	7.60 (2.99-19.31)	< 0.0001
hsCRP*	2.72 (1.15-6.42)	0.0228

OR (odds ratios) were adjusted for age, sex, BMI, and smoking CI: confidence interval, VCAM: vascular cell adhesion molecule, ICAM: intercellular adhesion molecule, MCP1: monocyte chemoattractant protein 1, oxLDL: oxidized low-density lipoprotein, TNF- α : tumor necrosis factoralpha, IL-6: interleukin-6, hsCRP: High-sensitivity C-reactive Protein.

and inflammation-related biomarkers were significantly different between subjects with and without MetS. Obesity-related indicators such as waist circumference and BMI have been used to predict of MetS [20]. More recently, visceral fat as a metabolically active tissue has been suggested to have a close relationship with MetS. It is associated with higher production of inflammation medicators including TNF- α [21], plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) [22], IL-6, and hsCRP [20]. In the present study, subjects with MetS showed a higher visceral fat area compared to control subjects. However, subjects with MetS had less subcutaneous fat area compared to the control subjects.

The MetS score had a positive relationship to the circulating concentrations of adipokine and most pro-inflammatory markers except resistin and VCAM. Previous studies have also suggested that the presence of MetS was associated with higher levels of IL-6, TNF- α , and hsCRP and with lower levels of adiponectin [20]. MetS score is determined by adding the number of risk factors; waist circumference, TG, HDL-cholesterol, glucose and blood pressure. A patient is classified as having MetS when the MetS score is more than 3. The higher their MetS score indicates the higher their risk of having or developing metabolic diseases. Our study results indicated that most of

Table 4. Multiple linear regression analysis with the adipokine and inflammatory parameters as independent variables and the components of MetS as dependent variables

		Adipokine and inflammatory parameters									
Component of MetS		Adipo- nectin*	Resis- tin*	Leptin*	VCAM*	ICAM*	MCP1*	oxLDL*	TNF-α*	IL-6*	hsCRP*
Waist circumference	B ⁺	-0.034	0.087	-0.050	0.030	0.051	0.117	0.106	0.039	-0.038	0.028
(Adjusted $R^2 = 0.678$)	Significance	0.5359	0.1177	0.3592	0.5275	0.3442	0.0285	0.0828	0.4466	0.4602	0.6148
SBP	В	-0.032	0.011	-0.087	0.057	0.018	0.124	0.064	0.102	-0.036	-0.013
(Adjusted $R^2 = 0.313$)	Significance	0.6857	0.8860	0.2714	0.4078	0.8205	0.1074	0.4600	0.1629	0.6297	0.8730
DBP	В	-0.015	0.023	-0.083	-0.085	0.040	0.015	-0.017	0.006	-0.041	0.023
(Adjusted $R^2 = 0.106$)	Significance	0.8653	0.7991	0.3600	0.2776	0.6514	0.8610	0.8647	0.9434	0.6260	0.7978
TG	В	-0.213	-0.047	-0.100	-0.046	0.197	-0.019	-0.022	0.193	-0.049	0.095
(Adjusted $R^2 = 0.215$)	Significance	0.0124	0.5779	0.2364	0.5327	0.0187	0.8156	0.8167	0.0138	0.5368	0.2618
HDL-cholesterol	В	0.214	0.008	-0.062	-0.012	-0.150	-0.035	-0.116	-0.079	-0.026	-0.173
(Adjusted $R^2 = 0.360$)	Significance	0.0054	0.9172	0.4139	0.8499	0.0459	0.6384	0.1708	0.2619	0.7173	0.0241
Glucose	В	-0.051	-0.080	0.011	-0.065	0.008	0.146	-0.003	0.228	-0.025	0.033
(Adjusted $R^2 = 0.251$)	Significance	0.5352	0.3342	0.8973	0.3627	0.9226	0.0685	0.9729	0.0031	0.7443	0.6875

SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, TG: triglyceride, VCAM: vascular cell adhesion molecule, ICAM: intercellular adhesion molecule, MCP1: monocyte chemoattractant protein 1, oxLDL: oxidized low-density lipoprotein, TNF- α : tumor necrosis factor-alpha, IL-6: interleukin-6, hsCRP: High-sensitivity C-reactive Protein.

^{*}These variables were log-transformed before analysis; †75th percentile cut-

^{*}These variables were log-transformed before analysis; *Standardized Coefficients.



Table 5. Relationship between the visceral and subcutaneous fat area and the adipokine and inflammatory biomarkers adjusting for age, sex, smoking, and BMI

(N = 199)

	Visceral f	at area	Subcutaneous fat area			
	Coefficients	p value	Coefficients	p value		
Adiponectin*	-0.024	0.7594	0.062	0.4207		
Resistin*	0.011	0.8853	-0.057	0.4591		
Leptin*	0.184	0.0158	0.305	<0.0001		
VCAM*	0.075	0.3296	0.054	0.4802		
ICAM*	0.085	0.2691	0.027	0.7205		
MCP1*	0.039	0.6114	0.118	0.1239		
oxLDL*	0.090	0.2378	0.051	0.5081		
TNF- α^*	-0.048	0.5328	-0.157	0.0393		
IL-6*	-0.038	0.6246	0.013	0.8652		
hsCRP*	0.156	0.0404	0.228	0.0027		

Data are given as Pearson's correlation (R) coefficients.

VCAM: vascular cell adhesion molecule, ICAM: intercellular adhesion molecule, MCP1: monocyte chemoattractant protein 1, oxLDL: oxidized low-density lipo-protein, TNF-α: tumor necrosis factor-alpha, IL-6: interleukin-6, hsCRP: High-sensitivity C-reactive Protein.

the inflammation markers and adipokines showed a significant relevance with the MetS score. After adjusting possible confounders including age, sex, BMI and smoking, adiponectin was shown to have a strong negative relationship with the MetS score, and the OR of MetS was 0.42. Plasma adiponectin is often found to be positively correlated with HDL-cholesterol and insulin sensitivity, whereas inverse correlations with central adiposity, TG levels, and hsCRP concentrations have been observed [23,24]. Devaraj and colleagues [25] reported that low adiponectin was the strongest predictor of MetS (OR, 2.5; 95% Cl, 1.3-4.5). Adiponectin is the most abundant adipokine in circulation and it exerts anti-inflammatory activity. It has been demonstrated that adiponectin modulates insulin sensitivity by stimulating glucose utilization and fatty acid oxidation via phosphorylation and activation of AMPK in muscle and liver [26]. Adiponectin also possesses vasculo-protective effects which are mediated by promoting vasodilatation and vascular repair, and inhibiting ROS formation, macrophage activation and smooth muscle cell proliferation [27].

Among others, ICAM, hsCRP and TNF- α concentrations exhibited strong correlations with the MetS score. The initial stages of atherosclerosis are characterized by adhesion of circulating leukocytes to the endothelial cells and subsequent transendothelial migration. This process is mediated in part by CAMs like ICAM-1 which are expressed on the endothelial

membrane [12]. In a recent cohort study, baseline ICAM-1 was increased initially in healthy middle-aged men who later developed cardiovascular disease [28] indicating this adhension molecule is an important predictor for cardiovascular complications.

hsCRP is a marker of systemic inflammation which has been known to be related to cardiovascular disease risk factors such as obesity, high blood pressure, apolipoprotein B and fibrinogen [29]. hsCRP is also known to impair insulin signaling. In previous studies, strong associations between hsCRP and insulin resistance have been reported [30].

TNF- α is a most representative pro-inflammatory cytokine which is produced mainly by macrophages and lymphocytes. Prior studies have demonstrated that the infiltration of macrophages in adipose tissue increases TNF- α expression followed by adhesion molecule induction [31]. TNF- α also inhibits the insulin signaling cascade at several pivotal regulatory proteins, such as the insulin receptor substrate (IRS) and Akt substrate 160 in human skeletal muscle in vivo [32]. In human studies, insulin sensitivity correlated with plasma soluble TNF receptor 2 (TNFR-2) after adjustment for multiple confounders in the healthy adults [33]. IL-6 is another pro-inflammatory cytokine related to insulin resistance. IL-6 has also been shown to facilitate the hepatic production of hsCRP [34]. Leptin is known as a TNF- α inducer while adiponectin is a suppressor.

^{*}These variables were log-transformed before analysis.



These results suggest that adipokines including leptin and adiponectin mediate the production of inflammatory cytokines and CAMs possibly leading the development of insulin resistance and vascular complications. Our study results confirmed that MetS score and inflammatory markers are closely related. The odds ratio value indicated that adiponectin, TNF- α , IL-6 and hsCRP are strongly related to MetS highlighting the fact that these may be important mediators for metabolic disease development and should be targets for future intervention.

Few studies have analyzed the relative weight of each MetS components in predicting inflammatory responses. Results from this study indicated that both TG and HDL-C were closely related to the concentration of inflammatory markers next to the MetS score (Table 4). Lipid metabolism and cytokine production seem to have a cross-talk pathophysiological response. During the acute-phase response to inflammation, cytokines induce tissue and plasma events that lead to changes in lipoprotein. TNF- α acutely raises serum TG levels in vivo by stimulating very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) production [35]. In healthy people, a positive association between the plasma concentration of the soluble fraction of TNFR-2 and total TG has been reported, which paralleled a negative one with HDLcholesterol [36]. Therefore, the acute-phase response induced by cytokines, such as TNF- α and hsCRP, may include a characteristic dyslipidemia (raised TG and lowered HDL-cholesterol) and other process promoting atherosclerosis.

We also measured abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) and visceral adipose tissue (VAT) by CT to determine the relationship between fat tissue mass and biomarkers. Adipose tissue pathogenicity differs according to adipose tissue localization [37]. VAT was suggested as an independent predictor of impaired glucose tolerance, elevated blood pressure, and dyslipidemia [38,39]. It is more susceptible to lipolysis than SAT [21], and is associated with a higher production of hsCRP [20]. Pou and colleagues [40] examined the relationship of abdominal SAT and VAT volume to circulating inflammatory and oxidative stress biomarkers and concluded that SAT and VAT were similarly associated with elevated concentrations of multiple inflammatory biomarkers [40]. Our study results indicated that both VAT and SAT were significantly related to leptin and hsCRP. This finding indicated that both VAT and SAT contributed to the elevated production of inflammation-related molecules.

Although this study provided information on sensitivity of inflammation-related biomarkers associated with Met score,

there are limitations. Due to the cross-sectional design of this study, it was not possible to establish a cause-effect relationship between adipokines and inflammatory biomarkers and MetS. Therefore, prospective or longitudinal studies are necessary to further elucidate the association between serum adipokines, inflammatory biomarkers and MetS. Also, we did not consider behavioral factors and dietary factors which may play important roles in the link between MetS and adipokines and inflammatory biomarkers.

In summary, the circulating adiponectin, TNF- α , IL-6 and hsCRP were the most proximate mediators linking obesity, MetS and metabolic diseases in this study. Blood TG and HDL-cholesterol concentrations displayed close relationships with various inflammatory markers studied suggesting disturbances in lipid metabolism may be major events related to MetS prior to the development of metabolic diseases.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Ministry of Knowledge Economy, Republic of Korea for the Regional Innovation System program in 2007 (No. B0009639), and National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant (grant number 2008-0062618 and 2012R1A2A2A01046228) funded by the Korea government (MSIP: Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning).

References

- Grundy SM. Metabolic syndrome pandemic. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2008;28:629-36.
- Türkoglu C, Duman BS, Günay D, Cagatay P, Ozcan R, Büyükdevrim AS. Effect of abdominal obesity on insulin resistance and the components of the metabolic syndrome: evidence supporting obesity as the central feature. Obes Surg 2003;13:699-705.
- Calabro P, Yeh ET. Intra-abdominal adiposity, inflammation, and cardiovascular risk: new insight into global cardiometabolic risk. Curr Hypertens Rep 2008;10:32-8.
- Fernández-Real JM, Ricart W. Insulin resistance and chronic cardiovascular inflammatory syndrome. Endocr Rev 2003;24:278–301.
- Rathcke CN, Raymond I, Kistorp C, Hildebrandt P, Faber J, Vestergaard H. Low grade inflammation as measured by levels of YKL-40: association with an increased overall and cardiovascular mortality rate in an elderly population. Int J Cardiol 2010;143:35-42.
- Matarese G, Moschos S, Mantzoros CS. Leptin in immunology. J Immunol 2005;174:3137-42.
- Wolf AM, Wolf D, Rumpold H, Enrich B, Tilg H. Adiponectin induces the anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-10 and IL-1RA in human leukocytes. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2004;323:630-5.
- Zhao T, Hou M, Xia M, Wang Q, Zhu H, Xiao Y, Tang Z, Ma J, Ling W. Globular adiponectin decreases leptin-induced tumor necrosis factoralpha expression by murine macrophages: involvement of cAMP-PKA and MAPK pathways. Cell Immunol 2005;238:19-30.
- 9. Wozniak SE, Gee LL, Wachtel MS, Frezza EE. Adipose tissue: the new



- endocrine organ? A review article. Dig Dis Sci 2009;54:1847-56.
- Mateo T, Naim Abu Nabah Y, Losada M, Estellés R, Company C, Bedrina B, Cerdá-Nicolás JM, Poole S, Jose PJ, Cortijo J, Morcillo EJ, Sanz MJ. A critical role for TNFalpha in the selective attachment of mononuclear leukocytes to angiotensin-II-stimulated arterioles. Blood 2007;110:1895-902.
- Kralisch S, Bluher M, Paschke R, Stumvoll M, Fasshauer M. Adipokines and adipocyte targets in the future management of obesity and the metabolic syndrome. Mini Rev Med Chem 2007;7:39-45.
- 12. Springer TA. Traffic signals for lymphocyte recirculation and leukocyte emigration: the multistep paradigm. Cell 1994;76:301-14.
- Examination Committee of Criteria for 'Obesity Disease' in Japan; Japan Society for the Study of Obesity. New criteria for 'obesity disease' in Japan. Circ J 2002;66:987-92.
- Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. Clin Chem 1972;18:499-502.
- Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. Executive Summary of The Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, And Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol In Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). JAMA 2001;285:2486-97.
- Lee S, Park HS, Kim SM, Kwon HS, Kim DY, Kim DJ, Cho GJ, Han JH, Kim SR, Park CY, Oh SJ, Lee CB, Kim KS, Oh SW, Kim YS, Choi WH, Yoo HJ. Cut-off points of waist circumference for defining abdominal obesity in the Korean population. Korean J Obes 2006;15:1-9.
- Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, Gordon DJ, Krauss RM, Savage PJ, Smith SC Jr, Spertus JA, Costa F; American Heart Association; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement. Circulation 2005;112:2735-52.
- Aquilante CL, Kosmiski LA, Knutsen SD, Zineh I. Relationship between plasma resistin concentrations, inflammatory chemokines, and components of the metabolic syndrome in adults. Metabolism 2008;57:494-501.
- Jung CH, Lee WY, Kim SY, Shin HS, Kim HD, Rhee EJ, Park CY, Oh KW, Park SW, Kim SW. The risk of metabolic syndrome according to the high-sensitivity C-reactive protein in apparently healthy Koreans. Int J Cardiol 2008;129:266-71.
- You T, Nicklas BJ, Ding J, Penninx BW, Goodpaster BH, Bauer DC, Tylavsky FA, Harris TB, Kritchevsky SB. The metabolic syndrome is associated with circulating adipokines in older adults across a wide range of adiposity. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2008;63:414-9.
- van Harmelen V, Dicker A, Rydén M, Hauner H, Lönnqvist F, Näslund E, Arner P. Increased lipolysis and decreased leptin production by human omental as compared with subcutaneous preadipocytes. Diabetes 2002;51:2029–36.
- Alessi MC, Peiretti F, Morange P, Henry M, Nalbone G, Juhan-Vague I. Production of plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 by human adipose tissue: possible link between visceral fat accumulation and vascular disease. Diabetes 1997;46:860-7.
- 23. Lara-Castro C, Luo N, Wallace P, Klein RL, Garvey WT. Adiponectin multimeric complexes and the metabolic syndrome trait cluster. Diabetes 2006;55:249-59.
- Matsubara M, Namioka K, Katayose S. Decreased plasma adiponectin concentrations in women with low-grade C-reactive protein elevation. Eur J Endocrinol 2003;148:657-62.
- Devaraj S, Swarbrick MM, Singh U, Adams-Huet B, Havel PJ, Jialal I.
 CRP and adiponectin and its oligomers in the metabolic syndrome:

- evaluation of new laboratory-based biomarkers. Am J Clin Pathol 2008:129:815-22
- Tomas E, Tsao TS, Saha AK, Murrey HE, Zhang CC, Itani SI, Lodish HF, Ruderman NB. Enhanced muscle fat oxidation and glucose transport by ACRP30 globular domain: acetyl-CoA carboxylase inhibition and AMP-activated protein kinase activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002;99:16309-13.
- Li FY, Cheng KK, Lam KS, Vanhoutte PM, Xu A. Cross-talk between adipose tissue and vasculature: role of adiponectin. Acta Physiol (Oxf) 2011;203:167-80.
- Schmidt C, Hulthe J, Fagerberg B. Baseline ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 are increased in initially healthy middle-aged men who develop cardiovascular disease during 6.6 years of follow-up. Angiology 2009;60:108-14.
- Zakynthinos E, Pappa N. Inflammatory biomarkers in coronary artery disease. J Cardiol 2009;53:317-33.
- Festa A, D'Agostino R Jr, Howard G, Mykkänen L, Tracy RP, Haffner SM. Chronic subclinical inflammation as part of the insulin resistance syndrome: the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS). Circulation 2000:102:42-7
- Antuna-Puente B, Feve B, Fellahi S, Bastard JP. Adipokines: the missing link between insulin resistance and obesity. Diabetes Metab 2008;34:2-11
- 32. Plomgaard P, Bouzakri K, Krogh-Madsen R, Mittendorfer B, Zierath JR, Pedersen BK. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha induces skeletal muscle insulin resistance in healthy human subjects via inhibition of Akt substrate 160 phosphorylation. Diabetes 2005;54:2939-45.
- Plomgaard P, Nielsen AR, Fischer CP, Mortensen OH, Broholm C, Penkowa M, Krogh-Madsen R, Erikstrup C, Lindegaard B, Petersen AM, Taudorf S, Pedersen BK. Associations between insulin resistance and TNF-alpha in plasma, skeletal muscle and adipose tissue in humans with and without type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia 2007;50:2562-71.
- Maachi M, Piéroni L, Bruckert E, Jardel C, Fellahi S, Hainque B, Capeau J, Bastard JP. Systemic low-grade inflammation is related to both circulating and adipose tissue TNFalpha, leptin and IL-6 levels in obese women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2004;28:993-7.
- 35. Feingold KR, Grunfeld C. Role of cytokines in inducing hyperlipidemia. Diabetes 1992;41 Suppl 2:97-101.
- Fernández-Real JM, Gutiérrez C, Ricart W, Castiñeira MJ, Vendrell J, Richart C. Plasma levels of the soluble fraction of tumor necrosis factor receptors 1 and 2 are independent determinants of plasma cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol concentrations in healthy subjects. Atherosclerosis 1999:146:321-7.
- Lafontan M, Berlan M. Do regional differences in adipocyte biology provide new pathophysiological insights? Trends Pharmacol Sci 2003;24:276-83.
- 38. Hayashi T, Boyko EJ, Leonetti DL, McNeely MJ, Newell-Morris L, Kahn SE, Fujimoto WY. Visceral adiposity and the risk of impaired glucose tolerance: a prospective study among Japanese Americans. Diabetes Care 2003;26:650-5.
- Rattarasarn C, Leelawattana R, Soonthornpun S, Setasuban W, Thamprasit A, Lim A, Chayanunnukul W, Thamkumpee N, Daendumrongsub T. Regional abdominal fat distribution in lean and obese Thai type 2 diabetic women: relationships with insulin sensitivity and cardiovascular risk factors. Metabolism 2003;52:1444-7.
- 40. Pou KM, Massaro JM, Hoffmann U, Vasan RS, Maurovich-Horvat P, Larson MG, Keaney JF Jr, Meigs JB, Lipinska I, Kathiresan S, Murabito JM, O'Donnell CJ, Benjamin EJ, Fox CS. Visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue volumes are cross-sectionally related to markers of inflammation and oxidative stress: the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation 2007;116:1234-41.