The Effect of Liver Transplantation for Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis on Disease Activity in Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease

Mahmoud Mosli, MD, Kristopher Croome, MD, MS, Karim Qumosani, MD, Bandar Al-judaibi, MD, Melanie Beaton, MD, Paul Marotta, MD, and Natasha Chandok, MD, MPH

Dr. Mosli, Dr. Qumosani, and Dr. Al-judaibi are affiliated with the Department of Medicine of the Multi-Organ Transplant Unit of the London Health Sciences Centre of the University of Western Ontario in London, Ontario, Canada, where Dr. Beaton and Dr. Chandok are Assistant Professors of Medicine and Dr. Marotta is an Associate Professor of Medicine. Dr. Croome is a Transplant Hepatology Fellow in the Department of Surgery of the Multi-Organ Transplant Unit. Dr. Mosli is also affiliated with the Department of Medicine of King Abdulaziz University in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Dr. Qumosani is also affiliated with the Department of Medicine of King Fahad University Hospital of the University of Dammam in Dammam, Saudi Arabia. Dr. Al-judaibi is also affiliated with the Department of Medicine of King Khalid University Hospital of the King Saud University in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Natasha Chandok Division of Gastroenterology University of Western Ontario 339 Windermere Road London, Ontario, Canada N6A5A5; Tel: 519-663-3002; Fax: 519-663-3858; E-mail: dr.n.chandok@gmail.com

Keywords

Inflammatory bowel disease, primary sclerosing cholangitis, liver transplantation, predictors of outcome Abstract: Immunosuppressive therapies are indicated following liver transplantation (LT) to prevent graft loss through rejection, and these same agents also may have a role in the management of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). The aims of this study were to examine the effects of immunosuppression following LT on IBD activity and to identify markers of IBD control post-LT in patients with IBD who underwent LT for primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC). A retrospective analysis of all adult patients with a pre-LT diagnosis of IBD who underwent LT for PSC over a 15-year period was performed. The primary outcome was IBD activity based on symptomatology and endoscopic assessment. Secondary outcomes included recipient mortality and post-LT development of colorectal cancer or small bowel lymphoma. A total of 105 patients underwent LT for PSC, and IBD was diagnosed in 27 (26%) pre-LT. Patients were followed for a mean of 88.5 months. Fourteen (52%) patients had stable IBD, 6 (22%) had worsening disease, and 7 (26%) had clinical improvement after LT. Colorectal cancer developed in 2 (7%) patients, and small bowel lymphoma developed in 1 (4%) patient. The absence of additional maintenance therapy for IBD was found to be associated with good outcome for IBD control. The use of either infliximab (Remicade, Janssen Biotech) or corticosteroids to control IBD post-LT was associated with poor outcome. Most patients with PSC and IBD had a stable course of IBD post-LT. The need for infliximab or additional or prolonged corticosteroids after LT appears to be a surrogate marker of aggressive disease.

Primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) is a chronic idiopathic inflammatory disorder involving the intra- and extrahepatic biliary ducts whereby biliary cirrhosis and portal hypertension lead to liver-related death in nearly half of affected patients.¹ Patients with PSC have a higher risk of development of certain malignancies, including cholangiocarcinoma² and, in the presence of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), colorectal cancer (CRC).³ The only proven treatment for advanced PSC that offers a survival benefit is liver transplantation (LT).^{4,5}

Following LT, patients receive immunosuppressant agents, typically as a triple-drug combination of a calcineurin inhibitor, an antimetabolite, and a tapering corticosteroid to prevent graft rejection. To a large extent, immunosuppression regimens in the LT recipient are nonstandardized, and there is broad practice variability and limited prospective data on which to extract evidence-based guidelines. Generally speaking, as the liver is a tolerogenic organ, recipients require relatively little immunosuppression compared with that needed by other solid-organ or multi-organ transplant recipients.⁶ In the present era of LT, many transplantologists aim for corticosteroid-free immunosuppression, particularly beyond 3 months when the risk of acute cellular rejection diminishes.⁷⁻⁹ In the past 2 decades, most LT recipients have received tacrolimus alone or tacrolimus in combination with mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) for long-term immunosuppression. These agents are used in lieu of cyclosporine and azathioprine combinations because of their improved potency and adverse effect profiles.10

The PSC/IBD overlap is common, with some population-based studies reporting PSC prevalence to be 2.4–4.0% in patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) and 1.4-3.4% in patients with Crohn's disease (CD). Conversely, 70-80% of patients with PSC have underlying IBD.¹¹⁻¹⁴ As an immune-mediated disease, IBD may respond to immunosuppressants received after LT. It is well established that the PSC/IBD phenotype is a unique form of IBD.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ UC in patients with PSC is usually associated with extensive colitis, backwash ileitis, rectal sparing, a quiescent disease course, recurrent pouchitis following subtotal colectomy, and higher risk of CRC.^{17,19,20} In contrast, there is some discrepancy in the literature on the strength of the association between PSC and CD. For instance, Rasmussen and colleagues found that, in a series of 262 patients with CD, just 10% had overlapping PSC.12 However, a recent Canadian population-based study reported an equal risk of development of PSC in patients with UC and CD.²¹ This latter observation has not been replicated in other epidemiologic studies.

Despite the strong associations between PSC and IBD to date, there are limited observational data on the natural history of IBD after LT for PSC. The standard medical treatment for colonic IBD includes 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA), corticosteroids, and antimetabolites (ie, azathioprine). Given that some of these categories of medications are used following LT, it is a reasonable hypothesis that antirejection drugs could have an unintended therapeutic effect on IBD. However, data on this subject are conflicting and limited.²² For instance, in 1991, a survey of 22 patients with prior LT for PSC found that 92% of respondents reported a stable course.²³ Comparable results were reported by Miki and colleagues, in which poor control of IBD was reported in 9 (35%) of 26 patients who received LT for PSC.3 Similarly, Befeler and colleagues reported that 49% of patients with IBD had a quiescent course post-LT for PSC.²⁴ In contrast, a series from Royal Free Hospital estimated that an aggressive course of IBD post-LT in roughly half of recipients with PSC and UC overlap.25 Likewise, a Dutch study reported a worsened IBD course in the majority of LT recipients with a history of PSC/UC overlap and also demonstrated a 28% malignancy rate post-LT.²⁶ Ho and colleagues also concluded that IBD follows an aggressive clinical course post-LT for PSC with more relapses, corticosteroid dependency, and a 19% rate of malignancy.²⁷ The observational studies on IBD post-LT are universally small, single-centered, and retrospective in design. As such, additional observational data may be useful in clarifying the effect of immunosuppression for prevention of rejection in patients with IBD who undergo LT for PSC.

The principal objective of this study was to determine the effect of immunosuppression on the post-LT course of IBD. The secondary objective was to identify predictors of IBD control among patients with a history of PSC/IBD overlap and primary LT.

Methods

Patient Population

Using the Liver Transplant Database in the Multi-Organ Transplant Unit of the London Health Sciences Centre at the University of Western Ontario, all patients age 18 years or older who underwent primary LT between January 1997 and January 2012 for PSC were identified. Patients with a pre-LT diagnosis of IBD were isolated by manually reviewing the organ transplant archives. Patients with a diagnosis of IBD made post-LT were excluded.

Data Collection

Following approval by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Western Ontario, baseline clinical and demographic data were collected on each patient using hospital records. Verification of the diagnosis of IBD was performed by review of endoscopy and pathology reports. As part of the transplant assessment protocol, all patients scheduled for LT at the university's Multi-Organ Transplant Unit receive a screening colonoscopy within 1 year of transplantation to rule out CRC. All colonoscopy and pathology reports of patients entered into the study were reviewed. The medical therapies used for IBD were recorded. Disease activity was classified based on a preset

Disease control	Time frame	Definition			
Pre-LT					
Active	1 year pre-LT	Use of corticosteroids for symptoms of active IBD			
	3 months pre-LT	Symptoms of active disease			
Stable	1 year pre-LT	No corticosteroid use for symptoms of active IBD			
	3 months pre-LT	Absence of symptoms of active disease			
Post-LT					
Active	Any time post-LT	Symptoms of active disease confirmed by both endoscopic and patho- logic evidence of disease activity and/or the need for corticosteroids for disease control and/or the addition of another therapeutic agent or an increase in the dose of an agent for disease control			
Stable	1 year post-LT	No corticosteroid use for symptoms of active IBD			
	3 months post-LT	Absence of symptoms of active disease			

Table 1. Preset Definitions Used to Categorize Patients with IBD Based on Disease Activity

IBD=inflammatory bowel disease; LT=liver transplantation.

scoring system that mirrors validated scoring systems and captures symptoms and histology (Table 1).

Active disease was defined as symptoms compatible with IBD within 3 months of LT confirmed by any evidence of active disease detected endoscopically or the need for systemic corticosteroids specifically for IBD control within 1 year of LT. Active post-LT disease was defined as symptoms compatible with IBD confirmed by both endoscopic and pathologic evaluation and/or the need for systemic corticosteroids for disease control and/or the addition of another therapeutic agent or an increase in the dose of a therapeutic agent being used for disease control. Stable disease was defined as having a 3-month, symptom-free period with a 1-year, steroidfree follow-up.

All patients received regular follow-up after LT. Follow-up intervals were dictated by overall clinical status, and in cases in which patients lived remotely, followup notes from their primary providers were obtained routinely and reviewed. The need for colectomy and the development of both CRC and small bowel lymphoma were recorded using patient records. Death certificates, autopsy reports, and/or patient charts were reviewed to identify cause of death where applicable. In patients who underwent multiple LTs, the first LT was used as an index for comparing IBD disease activity before and after LT. Patients whose disease activity worsened after LT were compared with those with stable or improved disease activity to identify predictors of worsening activity. The use of corticosteroids for IBD control beyond what is expected for graft preservation was considered as active disease. Although we acknowledge that colitis flares can certainly occur beyond 3 months after LT, it was decided, a priori, that an assessment at 3 months would minimize

any loss to follow-up, as all LT recipients receive a clinical follow-up visit at this juncture.

Statistical Analysis

Differences between groups were analyzed using the unpaired t-test for continuous variables and by the Chi test or continuity correction method for categorical variables. All statistical tests were 2-sided, and differences were considered significant when P<.05. Statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 10.0 software (STATA Corp., College Station, Texas).

Outcome Measures

The primary endpoint was IBD disease activity post-LT. This was classified as stable, improved, or worsened depending on the presence or absence of change in disease activity before and after LT, as per previously defined criteria. Secondary endpoints included rates of post-LT colectomy, CRC, and small bowel lymphoma.

Results

A total of 979 patients underwent 1,039 LTs between January 1997 and January 2012. LTs for PSC were performed in 105 (10%) patients. Of these 105 patients, 28 (27%) had concomitant IBD (17 cases of UC and 11 cases of colonic CD). One patient received a diagnosis of de novo CD post-LT and was, therefore, excluded from the analysis. The diagnosis changed post-LT from UC to CD in 2 patients. The majority of patients were men (76%). The mean age at the time of LT was 44.7 years (standard deviation [SD], 13.2; range, 11–65). The mean length of follow-up post-LT was 88.5 months (SD, 59.1; range, 13–239).

	Ulcerative c	olitis (n=17)	Crohn's disease (n=10)		
	Males (n=13)	Females (n=4)	Males (n=7)	Females (n=3)	
Mean age at LT, years	49.15 (SD, 13.41; range, 11–65)	42.75 (SD, 8.77; range, 30–46)	36.86 (SD, 13.87; range, 16–51)	46 (SD, 8.66; range, 36–51)	
Mean time between PSC and IBD diagnosis, years	9.76 (SD, 12.94; range, 1–37)	13.25 (SD, 6.99; range, 5–20)	10.28 (SD, 9.49; range, 0–28)	10 (SD, 13; range, 2–25)	
Improved/stable IBD post-LT, n	11	4	5	1	
Worse IBD post-LT, n	2	0	2	2	

Table 2. Baseline Characteristics of the Study Population

IBD=inflammatory bowel disease; LT=liver transplantation; PSC=primary sclerosing cholangitis; SD=standard deviation.

The majority of patients were maintained on MMF and tacrolimus post-LT (19/27, 70%). Fourteen (52%) patients had stable disease post-LT with no change in IBD control between pre- and post-LT. IBD worsened in 6 (22%) patients post-LT, requiring corticosteroid therapy, dose escalation of medications for IBD, or the addition of new medications for better control of IBD. Seven (26%) patients showed clinical improvement in IBD post-LT (Table 2). Four patients had a pelvic ileo-anal pouch constructed pre-LT. Two of these patients had stable disease post-LT, and 2 had worsening of disease with recurrent antibiotic-refractory pouchitis. No patients needed colectomy post-LT for uncontrolled IBD. Recurrent PSC in the hepatic graft developed in 3 (11%) patients. CRC requiring colectomy during follow-up post-LT developed in 2 patients. One patient presented with bowel obstruction during follow-up and received a diagnosis of small bowel post-transplant lymphoma. One patient died during follow-up from chronic rejection of the hepatic allograft.

Analysis revealed that being off any additional maintenance therapy apart from the antirejection immunosuppression regimen was associated with stable or improved disease post-LT (P=.033). Worsening of IBD control post-LT was associated with the use of infliximab (Remicade, Janssen Biotech; P=.006) or additional corticosteroids (P=.006) to control IBD symptoms post-LT. None of the patients requiring corticosteroids or infliximab post-LT for IBD control had active disease pre-LT. There was a trend toward but no statistical significance in predicting good outcome with the use of 5-ASA as maintenance therapy before LT (P=.081) or the use of cyclosporine alone or cyclosporine/MMF post-LT (P=.056). Additionally, there was no statistical significance in predicting poor outcome with the use of combination cyclosporine and infliximab as a maintenance regimen to control IBD post-LT (P=.056; Table 3). Furthermore, a comparison made between patients with stable or improved IBD post-LT and patients with worse IBD post-LT depending on the time of diagnosis of PSC or IBD, whichever occurred first,

did not yield any statistically significant results (P=.23 for time to diagnosis of IBD on t-test and P=.239 on univariate logistic regression; P=.516 for time to diagnosis of PSC on t-test and P=.503 on univariate logistic regression).

Discussion

The results of this study suggest that, for most patients, IBD follows a benign course following LT for PSC, and less than one third of patients had more aggressive disease after LT. As discussed, previous retrospective studies have shown conflicting data on the natural history of IBD in patients undergoing LT for PSC (Table 4). An analysis of the published literature suggests that maintenance immunosuppressive regimens, consisting of a combination of prednisone, cyclosporine, and azathioprine as described in older studies, were associated with improvement in UC following LT in the majority of recipients,²⁴ but the overall poor quality of evidence in the published literature prohibits definitive confirmation of the superiority of these regimens. A recently published article by Navaneethan and colleagues reported that a large series of patients with UC who underwent LT for PSC have a predominantly quiescent disease course post-LT with immunosuppressive regimens very similar to those reported in our study.²⁸

It is reasonable to assume that standard immunosuppression post-LT may be sufficient to maintain remission in patients with IBD. This hypothesis would arise from our knowledge of the molecular basis of immunosuppression to prevent graft rejection and control IBD, where T-cell inhibition and subsequent inflammatory cytokine suppression is targeted in both instances.^{29,30} Most agents used for post-LT immunosuppression have been extensively studied in IBD for both induction and maintenance of remission. The majority of these agents have been found to be effective in inducing, rather than maintaining, remission.³¹ Corticosteroids, although key in controlling inflammation and effective in induction of remission in patients with IBD, are typically avoided

Association	Stable/better post-LT (n=21)	Worse post-LT (n=6)	P-value
Sex			
Males (n=20)	16	4	.63
Females (n=7)	5	2	.63
Diagnosis			
UC (n=17)	15	2	.08
CD (n=10)	6	4	.08
Location			
Left-sided	2	0	.43
Pancolitis	16	4	.63
Pouch	2	2	.14
Terminal-ileum	1	0	.58
Pre-LT IBD			
Inactive	13	5	.32
Active	8	1	.32
Pre-LT maintenance therapy			
None	10	4	.41
5-ASA	12	1	.08
Azathioprine/prednisone	1	1	.32
Infliximab	1	0	.58
Post-LT immunosuppression	I	<u> </u>	
Tacrolimus/MMF	15	4	.82
Tacrolimus/prednisone	2	0	.43
Cyclosporine/MMF	0	1	.05
Tacrolimus	1	0	.58
Tacrolimus/cyclosporine	1	0	.58
Cyclosporine	0	1	.05
Tacrolimus/sirolimus	1	0	.58
Tacrolimus/azathioprine/prednisone	1	0	.58
Post-LT maintenance therapy	ł		
None	10	0	.03
5-ASA	10	1	.17
Corticosteroids	0	2	.006
Azathioprine	1	0	.58
Methotrexate	0	0	N/A
Infliximab	0	2	.006
Infliximab/cyclosporine	0	1	.05
Outcome			
Colorectal cancer	1	1	.32
PTLD	0	1	.05
Death	0	1	.05

Table 3. Comparison Between Patients with IBD Who Had Stable/Improved Symptoms and Those with Worse Symptoms Post-LT

5-ASA=5-aminosalicylic acid; CD=Crohn's disease; IBD=inflammatory bowel disease; LT=liver transplantation; MMF=mycophenolate mofetil; PTLD=post-transplant lymphoma; UC=ulcerative colitis.

Study	Cohort (period)	Patients (N)	Immunosuppressive regimen	IBD	Findings
Gavaler et al. 1991	Pittsburgh, PA, USA (1982–1985)	23	Corticosteroid with cyclosporine	UC	14/17 patients with active colitis pre-LT improved fol- lowing LT; 0/23 deteriorated post-LT.
Shaked et al. 1992	Los Angeles, CA, USA (1985–1990)	24	Corticosteroid with cyclosporine and azathioprine	UC	16/24 patients improved or were unchanged post-LT.
Miki et al. 1995	Birmingham, UK	26	Corticosteroid withdrawn after 3 months with either cyclosporine or tacrolimus and azathioprine	N/A	9/26 patients had worsened control of colitis post-LT.
Papatheoridis et al. 1998	London, UK (1989–1996)	30	Corticosteroid withdrawn after 3 months with either cyclosporine or tacrolimus and azathioprine	N/A	No patients improved, 15/30 worsened, and 10/30 patients with quiescent colitis worsened post-LT.
Befeler et al. 1998	Chicago, IL, USA (1985–1996)	23	Corticosteroid with cyclosporine or tacrolimus with azathioprine	19 UC 4 CD	17/23 patients had quiescent colitis; 6/23 patients had minor flares that responded to 5-ASA agents.
Saldeen et al. 1999	Gothenburg, Sweden (1986–1996)	47	N/A	43 UC 3 CD 1 UDC	65% of patients improved, and 8% worsened.
Graziadei et al. 1999	Rochester, MN USA (1985–1996)	82	Corticosteroid withdrawn after 3 months with either cyclosporine or tacrolimus and azathioprine	79 UC 3 CD	9/82 patients had colectomy (5 due to active disease).
Van De Vrie et al. 2003	Rotterdam, The Netherlands (1987–2000)	18	Corticosteroid withdrawn after 3 months with either cyclosporine or tacrolimus and azathioprine	14 UC 4 CD	Unchanged overall, with 5/18 patients with active disease following LT.
Ho et al. 2005	Edinburgh, Scotland, UK (1992–2003)	20	Corticosteroid withdrawn after 3 months with either cyclosporine or tacrolimus and azathioprine	UC	High number of relapse and corticosteroid requirement for active disease post-LT compared with pre-LT.
Villamil et al. 2008	Buenos Aires, Argentina (1988–2006)	24	N/A	23 UC 1 CD	16/24 patients had quiescent colonic disease post-LT, 8/24 improved post-LT, and 3/24 had dysplasia and cancer.
Moncrief et al. 2010	Edmonton, Alberta, Canada (1989–2006)	42	Cyclosporine or tacrolimus and azathioprine with or without a corticosteroid	34 UC 6 CD	67% were unchanged, 26.5% were worse, and 6.1% improved.
Joshi et al. 2011	London, UK (1999–2009)	74	Prednisone and tacrolimus or prednisone and cyclosporine	67 UC 6 CD 1 UDC	58/74 patients had quiescent disease pre-LT, 16/74 had active disease pre-LT, 33/74 had flare post-LT, and 5/74 had cancer post-LT.

Table 4. Previous Studies Examining the Course of IBD Post-LT

5-ASA=5-aminosalicylic acid; CD=Crohn's disease; IBD=inflammatory bowel disease; LT=liver transplantation; UC=ulcerative colitis; UDC=undetermined colitis.

in long-term management because of their deleterious adverse effect profile.³²

Cyclosporine, one of the earlier agents used for post-LT treatment, has been studied in IBD. There is weak evidence that cyclosporine is effective in inducing remission,^{33,34} but it has not proven useful for maintenance of remission in IBD.³⁵ Azathioprine, on the other hand, is known to be an effective treatment for maintenance of

remission in IBD.^{36,37} Even though some evidence supports the use of tacrolimus in induction of remission for both UC and fistulizing CD,38,39 no studies support its use in maintaining remission in either disease. The same findings were reported with MMF, where there is some benefit in induction of remission in UC and CD but none for maintenance.40,41 No randomized controlled trials examining the use of sirolimus in IBD exist, and, therefore, it is not clear what the overall role of this agent may be in maintaining remission in IBD.⁴² Recent reports of an aggressive UC course post-LT in patients despite maximal immunosuppression raises the possibility that the aggressive course of UC post-LT may be caused by the shifting of immunosuppressant regimens to newer ones that have weaker evidence regarding their ability to maintain remission in IBD.43,44 However, our results did not support this theory.

It is well known that patients with PSC and UC are at an increased risk for development of CRC after LT.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ Previous studies have reported a nearly 4-fold increased risk of colon malignancy in patients with PSC and IBD post-LT compared with nontransplantation patients with UC with a similar duration of follow-up.^{19,20} This is one of the major causes of mortality in patients with PSC and UC undergoing LT.⁴⁹ Therefore, it is recommended that patients with PSC/IBD undergo close colonic surveillance post-LT.⁵⁰ Alternatively, performing a colectomy with pelvic pouch ileo-anal anastomosis in patients with UC post-LT is also thought to be a safe option.⁵¹ Our study population, notably, did not experience a large number of post-LT colonic cancers despite a long follow-up period.

The literature is not as ambiguous when it comes to the outcome of post-LT pelvic pouches, as it is almost uniformly thought that patients with UC who undergo proctocolectomy with pelvic ileal pouch-anal anastomosis before LT generally do well, with chronic antibioticrefractory pouchitis developing in a subset of patients.⁵²⁻⁵⁴ All 5 of the patients with a pelvic pouch in our study did well post-LT with no development of pouchitis.

Recurrent PSC has been reported to affect up to 20% of patients undergoing LT for PSC.^{45,55} This is a major concern, as recurrence of PSC can alter long-term graft function and patient survival.⁵⁶ A PSC recurrence rate of 11% was noted in our series, but there was no correlation between PSC recurrence and aggressive IBD.

This study identified predictors of IBD outcome post-LT. Not requiring IBD maintenance therapy post-LT was found to be statistically significantly associated with a good outcome (stable or improved disease). This could be a reflection of previously controlled disease activity or a response to the standard immunosuppression regimen used to prevent graft rejection. Similarly, the only marker associated with poor IBD outcome—the use of either corticosteroids or infliximab post-LT to control IBD—probably represents a surrogate marker of aggressive disease. The small sample size of the present study should be considered while interpreting these results, as findings regarding corticosteroid or infliximab use post-LT could be a result of a type 1 statistical error.

The strengths of the study presented herein lay in close and lengthy follow-up and use of strict endoscopic and histologic criteria to define outcomes. This study, however, is limited by the retrospective nature of data collection in addition to a small sample size. Furthermore, we acknowledge the lack of standardization for assessment of IBD severity and the potential for interobserver variability in the reporting of colonoscopic or pathologic findings as potential limitations. Nevertheless, the present study offers a useful contribution to the growing knowledge on the natural history of post-LT IBD. Our findings suggest the need for prospective multicenter studies to better define the natural history of post-LT IBD and to identify more clinically relevant predictors of aggressive IBD.

In conclusion, our results suggest that the majority of patients with IBD and PSC undergoing LT have a stable disease course post-LT.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

 Shetty K, Rybicki L, Carey WD. The Child-Pugh classification as a prognostic indicator for survival in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Hepatology*. 1997;25:1049-1053.

2. Bergquist A, Ekbom A, Olsson R, et al. Hepatic and extrahepatic malignancies in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *J Hepatol.* 2002;36:321-327.

3. Miki C, Harrison JD, Gunson BK, Buckels JA, McMaster P, Mayer AD. Inflammatory bowel disease in primary sclerosing cholangitis: an analysis of patients undergoing liver transplantation. *Br J Surg.* 1995;82:1114-1117.

4. Carbone M, Neuberger J. Liver transplantation in PBC and PSC: indications and disease recurrence. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol.* 2011;35:446-454.

5. Farges O, Malassagne B, Sebagh M, Bismuth H. Primary sclerosing cholangitis: liver transplantation or biliary surgery. *Surgery*. 1995;117:146-155.

6. Chiang KC, Ohmori N, Goto T. The search for immunosuppressive therapies to induce tolerance in organ transplantation. *Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets*. 2011;11:173-180.

 Padbury RT, Gunson BK, Dousset B, et al. Steroid withdrawal from long-term immunosuppression in liver allograft recipients. *Transplantation*. 1993;55:789-794.
Pascual J, Quereda C, Zamora J, Hernandez D. Steroid withdrawal in renal transplant patients on triple therapy with a calcineurin inhibitor and mycophenolate mofetil: a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Transplantation*. 2004;78:1548-1556.

9. Woodle ES, First MR, Pirsch J, Shihab F, Gaber AO, Van Veldhuisen P. A prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled multicenter trial comparing early (7 day) corticosteroid cessation versus long-term, low-dose corticosteroid therapy. *Ann Surg.* 2008;248:564-577.

10. Wiesner RH. A long-term comparison of tacrolimus (FK506) versus cyclosporine in liver transplantation: a report of the United States FK506 Study Group. *Transplantation*. 1998;66:493-499.

11. Olsson R, Danielsson A, Jarnerot G, et al. Prevalence of primary sclerosing cholangitis in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 1991;100:1319-1323.

12. Rasmussen HH, Fallingborg JF, Mortensen PB, Vyberg M, Tage-Jensen U, Rasmussen SN. Hepatobiliary dysfunction and primary sclerosing cholangitis in patients with Crohn's disease. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 1997;32:604-610.

13. Schrumpf E, Elgjo K, Fausa O, Gjone E, Kolmannskog F, Ritland S. Sclerosing cholangitis in ulcerative colitis. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 1980;15:689-697.

14. Shepherd HA, Selby WS, Chapman RW, et al. Ulcerative colitis and persistent liver dysfunction. *Q J Med.* 1983;52:503-513.

15. Faubion WA Jr, Loftus EV, Sandborn WJ, Freese DK, Perrault J. Pediatric "PSC-IBD": a descriptive report of associated inflammatory bowel disease among pediatric patients with PSC. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2001;33:296-300.

16. Joo M, Abreu-e-Lima P, Farraye F, et al. Pathologic features of ulcerative colitis in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis: a case-control study. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2009;33:854-862.

Loftus EV Jr, Harewood GC, Loftus CG, et al. PSC-IBD: a unique form of inflammatory bowel disease associated with primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Gut.* 2005;54:91-96.
Torres J, de Chambrun GP, Itzkowitz S, Sachar DB, Colombel JF. Review article: colorectal neoplasia in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis and inflammatory bowel disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2011;34:497-508.

19. Brentnall TA, Haggitt RC, Rabinovitch PS, et al. Risk and natural history of colonic neoplasia in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis and ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 1996;110:331-338.

20. Loftus EV Jr, Aguilar HI, Sandborn WJ, et al. Risk of colorectal neoplasia in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis and ulcerative colitis following orthotopic liver transplantation. *Hepatology*. 1998;27:685-690.

21. Kaplan GG, Laupland KB, Butzner D, Urbanski SJ, Lee SS. The burden of large and small duct primary sclerosing cholangitis in adults and children: a population-based analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2007;102:1042-1049.

22. Zins BJ, Sandborn WJ, Penna CR, et al. Pouchitis disease course after orthotopic liver transplantation in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis and an ileal pouch-anal anastomosis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 1995;90:2177-2181.

23. Gavaler JS, Delemos B, Belle SH, et al. Ulcerative colitis disease activity as subjectively assessed by patient-completed questionnaires following orthotopic liver transplantation for sclerosing cholangitis. *Dig Dis Sci.* 1991;36:321-328.

24. Befeler AS, Lissoos TW, Schiano TD, et al. Clinical course and management of inflammatory bowel disease after liver transplantation. *Transplantation*. 1998;65:393-396.

25. Papatheodoridis GV, Hamilton M, Mistry PK, Davidson B, Rolles K, Burroughs AK. Ulcerative colitis has an aggressive course after orthotopic liver transplantation for primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Gut.* 1998;43:639-644.

26. van de Vrie W, de Man RA, van Buuren HR, Schouten WR, Tilanus HW, Metselaar HJ. Inflammatory bowel disease and liver transplantation for primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2003;15:657-663.

27. Ho GT, Seddon AJ, Therapondos G, Satsangi J, Hayes PC. The clinical course of ulcerative colitis after orthotopic liver transplantation for primary sclerosing cholangitis: further appraisal of immunosuppression post transplantation. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2005;17:1379-1385.

28. Navaneethan U, Choudhary M, Venkatesh PG, et al. The effects of liver transplantation on the clinical course of colitis in ulcerative colitis patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2012 March 19. Epub ahead of print.

29. Baumgart DC, Sandborn WJ. Inflammatory bowel disease: clinical aspects and established and evolving therapies. *Lancet*. 2007;369:1641-1657.

 Coelho T, Tredger M, Dhawan A. Current status of immunosuppressive agents for solid organ transplantation in children. *Pediatr Transplant*. 2012;16:106-122.
Hanauer SB. Medical therapy for ulcerative colitis 2004. *Gastroenterology*.

2004;126:1582-1592. 32. Summers RW, Switz DM, Sessions JT Jr, et al. National Cooperative Crohn's

Disease Study: results of drug treatment. *Gastroenterology*. 1979;77:847-869. 33. D'Haens G, Lemmens L, Geboes K, et al. Intravenous cyclosporine versus intravenous corticosteroids as single therapy for severe attacks of ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2001;120:1323-1329.

34. Brynskov J, Freund L, Rasmussen SN, et al. A placebo-controlled, doubleblind, randomized trial of cyclosporine therapy in active chronic Crohn's disease. *N Engl J Med.* 1989;321:845-850.

35. Feagan BG, McDonald JW, Rochon J, et al. Low-dose cyclosporine for the treatment of Crohn's disease. Canadian Crohn's Relapse Prevention Trial Investigators. *N Engl J Med.* 1994;330:1846-1851.

36. Ardizzone S, Maconi G, Russo A, Imbesi V, Colombo E, Bianchi Porro G. Randomised controlled trial of azathioprine and 5-aminosalicylic acid for treatment of steroid dependent ulcerative colitis. *Gut.* 2006;55:47-53.

37. Candy S, Wright J, Gerber M, Adams G, Gerig M, Goodman R. A controlled double blind study of azathioprine in the management of Crohn's disease. *Gut.* 1995;37:674-678.

38. Ogata H, Matsui T, Nakamura M, et al. A randomised dose finding study of oral tacrolimus (FK506) therapy in refractory ulcerative colitis. *Gut.* 2006;55:1255-1262.

39. Sandborn WJ, Present DH, Isaacs KL, et al. Tacrolimus for the treatment of fistulas in patients with Crohn's disease: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Gastroenterology*. 2003;125:380-388.

40. Neurath MF, Wanitschke R, Peters M, Krummenauer F, Meyer zum Buschenfelde KH, Schlaak JF. Randomised trial of mycophenolate mofetil versus azathioprine for treatment of chronic active Crohn's disease. *Gut.* 1999;44:625-628.

41. Orth T, Peters M, Schlaak JF, et al. Mycophenolate mofetil versus azathioprine in patients with chronic active ulcerative colitis: a 12-month pilot study. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2000;95:1201-1207.

42. Farkas S, Hornung M, Sattler C, et al. Rapamycin decreases leukocyte migration in vivo and effectively reduces experimentally induced chronic colitis. *Int J Colorectal Dis.* 2006;21:747-753.

43. Pan A, Schlup M, Lubcke R, Schultz M. Fulminant ulcerative colitis despite maximal immunosuppression following liver transplantation: a case report and literature review. *J Crohns Colitis.* 2011;5:465-468.

44. Riley TR, Schoen RE, Lee RG, Rakela J. A case series of transplant recipients who despite immunosuppression developed inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 1997;92:279-282.

45. Graziadei IW, Wiesner RH, Marotta PJ, et al. Long-term results of patients undergoing liver transplantation for primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Hepatology*. 1999;30:1121-1127.

46. Shetty K, Rybicki L, Brzezinski A, Carey WD, Lashner BA. The risk for cancer or dysplasia in ulcerative colitis patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 1999;94:1643-1649.

47. Sint Nicolaas J, de Jonge V, Steyerberg EW, Kuipers EJ, van Leerdam ME, Veldhuyzen-van Zanten SJ. Risk of colorectal carcinoma in post-liver transplant patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Transplant.* 2010;10:868-876.

48. Vera A, Gunson BK, Ussatoff V, et al. Colorectal cancer in patients with inflammatory bowel disease after liver transplantation for primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Transplantation*. 2003;75:1983-1988.

48. Bleday R, Lee E, Jessurun J, Heine J, Wong WD. Increased risk of early colorectal neoplasms after hepatic transplant in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 1993;36:908-912.

50. Villamil A, De Paula JA, Galdame O, et al. Clinical course of inflammatory bowel disease in patients transplanted for primary sclerosing cholangitis [in Spanish]. *Acta Gastroenterol Latinoam*. 2008;38:252-259.

51. Fukuhara T, Egashira A, Imamura M, et al. Proctocolectomy for colon cancer associated with ulcerative colitis a few months after living donor liver transplantation for primary sclerosing cholangitis: report of a case. *Surg Today*. 2009;39:59-63.

52. Freeman K, Shao Z, Remzi FH, Lopez R, Fazio VW, Shen B. Impact of orthotopic liver transplant for primary sclerosing cholangitis on chronic antibiotic refractory pouchitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2008;6:62-68.

53. Mathis KL, Dozois EJ, Larson DW, et al. Ileal pouch-anal anastomosis and liver transplantation for ulcerative colitis complicated by primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Br J Surg.* 2008;95:882-886.

54. Rahman M, Desmond P, Mortensen N, Chapman RW. The clinical impact of primary sclerosing cholangitis in patients with an ileal pouch-anal anastomosis for ulcerative colitis. *Int J Colorectal Dis.* 2011;26:553-559.

55. Goss JA, Shackleton CR, Farmer DG, et al. Orthotopic liver transplantation for primary sclerosing cholangitis. A 12-year single center experience. *Ann Surg.* 1997;225:472-481; discussion 81-83.

56. Fosby B, Karlsen TH, Melum E. Recurrence and rejection in liver transplantation for primary sclerosing cholangitis. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2012;18:1-15.