

Online Submissions: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/ wjg@wjgnet.com doi:10.3748/wjg.v19.i30.5011 World J Gastroenterol 2013 August 14; 19(30): 5011-5015 ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online) © 2013 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

BRIEF ARTICLE

Milligan-Morgan hemorrhoidectomy with anal cushion suspension and partial internal sphincter resection for circumferential mixed hemorrhoids

Ming Lu, Guang-Ying Shi, Guo-Qiang Wang, Yan Wu, Yang Liu, Hao Wen

Ming Lu, Guo-Qiang Wang, Yan Wu, Yang Liu, Hao Wen, Department of General Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi 830054, Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, China

Guang-Ying Shi, Department of Gastroenterology, Xinjiang Production and Construction Corps Hospital, Urumqi 830042, Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, China

Author contributions: Lu M is the principal surgeon and wrote the manuscript; Shi GY reviewed the literature; Wang GQ, Wu Y and Liu Y observed the indexes of the patients; and Wen H designed the study, revised and finalized the manuscript to be published.

Correspondence to: Hao Wen, Chief Physician, Professor, Doctoral Tutor, Department of General Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, 137 Liyushan South Road, Urumqi 830054, Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, China. wenhao@163.com

Telephone: +86-991-4366594

Received: May 20, 2013 Revised: July 1, 2013 Accepted: July 12, 2013 Published online: August 14, 2013

Abstract

AIM: To identify a more effective treatment protocol for circumferential mixed hemorrhoids.

METHODS: A total of 192 patients with circumferential mixed hemorrhoids were randomized into the treatment group, where they underwent Milligan-Morgan hemorrhoidectomy with anal cushion suspension and partial internal sphincter resection, or the control group, where traditional external dissection and internal ligation were performed. Postoperative recovery and complications were monitored.

RESULTS: The time to wound healing was 12.96 ± 2.25 d in the treatment group shorter than 19.58 ± 2.71 d in the control group. Slight pain rate was 58.3% in

the treatment group higher than 22.9% in the control group; moderate pain rate was 33.3% in the treatment group lower than 56.3% in the control group severe pain rate was 8.4% in the treatment group lower than 20.8% in the control group. No edema rate was 70.8% in the treatment group higher than 43.8% in the control group; mild local edema rate was 26% in the treatment group lower than 39.6% in the control group obvious local edema was 3.03% in the treatment group lower than 16.7% in the control group. No stenosis rate was 85.4% in the treatment group higher than 63.5% in the control group; moderate stenosis rate was 14.6% in the treatment group Lower than 27.1% in the control group severe anal stenosis rate was 0% in the treatment group lower than 9.4% in the control group.

CONCLUSION: Milligan-Morgan hemorrhoidectomy with anal cushion suspension and partial internal sphincter resection is the optimal treatment for circumferential mixed hemorrhoids and can be widely applied in clinical settings.

© 2013 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

Key words: Milligan-Morgan hemorrhoidectomy; Mixed hemorrhoids; Anal cushion; Internal sphincter

Core tip: We treated 96 patients with circumferential mixed hemorrhoids using Milligan-Morgan hemorrhoidectomy with anal cushion suspension and partial internal sphincter resection, and compared their clinical outcomes with those undergoing traditional hemorrhoidectomy. The differences are significant in favor of the modified Milligan-Morgan technique in terms of time to wound healing, anal stenosis, wound pain, edema and other complications. This approach can be widely applied in clinical practice.



Lu M, Shi GY, Wang GQ, Wu Y, Liu Y, Wen H. Milligan-Morgan hemorrhoidectomy with anal cushion suspension and partial internal sphincter resection for circumferential mixed hemorrhoids. *World J Gastroenterol* 2013; 19(30): 5011-5015 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v19/i30/5011.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v19.i30.5011

INTRODUCTION

The treatment of circumferential mixed hemorrhoids is challenging for medical providers. Despite a number of reports on the surgical options at home and abroad, no effective treatment method is currently available^[1]. The traditional "external dissection and internal ligation" or Milligan-Morgan technique, is the mainstream treatment for mixed hemorrhoids. However, with larger and more hemorrhoids involved in the circumferential type, surgery becomes more complicated and is inevitably associated with a range of post-operative complications^[2]. The most common and serious complication is anal stenosis and incontinence, which results in significant pain in affected patients^[3-6]. We treated 96 patients with circumferential mixed hemorrhoids using Milligan-Morgan hemorrhoidectomy with anal cushion suspension and partial internal sphincter resection, and compared their clinical outcomes with those undergoing traditional hemorrhoidectomy. The differences were significant in favor of the former group, as shown below.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General information

A select group of 192 patients with circumferential mixed hemorrhoids treated in our department from August 2010 to November 2012 were randomized into two groups, with 96 patients in each group. The 96 patients in the treatment group underwent Milligan-Morgan hemorrhoidectomy with anal cushion suspension and partial internal sphincter resection, while the patients in the control group were treated with the traditional Milligan-Morgan technique. The patients comprised 98 men and 94 women aged 26 to 65 years, with a mean age of 48.5 years. Disease duration ranged between 7 and 48 mo, with an average of 26.5 mo. The two groups were comparable as there were no significant differences in terms of age, gender, and disease duration.

Methods

Treatment group: Each patient was placed in the lateral position after caudal or spinal anesthesia for routine disinfection and draping. Hemorrhoids of higher grades situated in the 3, 7 and 11 o'clock positions were treated in most cases. A V-shape was made from the body of an external hemorrhoid to the anal margin using scissors. With complete exposure after anal dilatation, each internal hemorrhoid was slightly pulled towards the outside with forceps for high suspension and ligation. To improve the effect of suspension, the rectal mucosa 1-2 cm above the internal hemorrhoid was lifted with tissue forceps, clamped with the base of the hemorrhoid that was carried by curved forceps, and ligated with a 10-gauge silk suture at 0.5 cm away from the dentate line, without injuring it. The internal hemorrhoids at the base were individually ligated in the same way, keeping the ligated bodies at different levels of the anal canal with sufficient mucosal bridges between them to prevent postoperative anal stenosis. Each external hemorrhoid was then lifted with forceps and dissected along the V-shaped incision. Subcutaneous varicose veins were stripped off and bleeding was managed appropriately. All external hemorrhoids were treated in the same way. Sufficient flaps were retained between each incision to avoid anal stenosis due to anal skin defects. Finally, the lower edge of the internal sphincter was divided and cut off at the interscalene in the 3 or 9 o'clock position. A second-generation cephalosporin was administered for 2 d after the procedure to prevent infection.

Control group: The traditional external dissection and internal ligation method was used to treat the patients in this group. Postoperative treatment was the same as that in the treatment group.

Outcome evaluation

The therapeutic efficacy was evaluated according to the Diagnosis and Efficacy Standards in Traditional Chinese Medicine issued by the State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine of China^[7].

The severity of anal stenosis was classified as: (1) no stenosis: index finger can pass smoothly; stool passes easily, without pain or discomfort; mild stenosis: index finger can pass with difficulty; stool passes relatively easily, without obvious pain; (2) moderate stenosis: only the first joint of the index finger can pass; stool passes with difficulty and anal pain; and (3) severe anal stenosis: only the little finger can pass through; stool passes with difficulty and obvious anal pain.

The degree of pain was classified as: grade 0: no pain; grade 1: mild pain; the anal pain is slight and tolerable without disturbing normal life and sleep; no significant changes in mood; grade 2 (moderate): obvious pain; the anal pain is so intense that analgesics are required, normal life and sleep are compromised, and mood changes are present, such as irritability; the condition is still under control with typical analgesics; grade 3 (severe): severe pain; the pain is intolerable and seriously disturbing normal life and sleep, causing autonomic dysfunction; analgesic drugs are necessary. The degree of anal margin edema was classified into: grade 0: no edema; grade 1: mild local edema, without affecting normal activity; and grade 2: obvious local edema, restricting normal activity.

Statistical analysis

The data were processed using SPSS 16.0 for statistical analysis with both the χ^2 test and *t* test. A *P* value of less



than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Following treatment: the time to wound healing was 12.96 ± 2.25 d in the treatment group shorter than 19.58 \pm 2.71 d in the control group, the difference was statistically significant (t = 32.52, P = 0.000). Slight pain rate was 58.3% in the treatment group higher than 22.9% in the control group, the difference was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 24.961$, P = 0.000); moderate pain rate was 33.3% in the treatment group lower than 56.3% in the control group, the difference was statistically significant $(\chi^2 = 10.194, P = 0.001)$; severe pain rate was 8.4% in the treatment group lower than 20.8% in the control group, the difference was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 6.021$, P =0.014). No edema rate was 70.8% in the treatment group higher than 43.8% in the control group, the difference was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 14.389$, P = 0.000); mild local edema rate was 26% in the treatment group lower than 39.6% in the control group, the difference was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 3.993$, P = 0.046); obvious local edema was 3.03% in the treatment group lower than 16.7% in the control group, the difference was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 9.872$, P = 0.002). No stenosis rate was 85.4% in the treatment group higher than 63.5% in the control group, the difference was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 12.084$, P = 0.001); moderate stenosis rate was 14.6% in the treatment group lower than 27.1% in the control group, the difference was statistically significant $(\chi^2 = 4.547, P = 0.033)$; severe anal stenosis rate was 0% in the treatment group lower than 9.4% in the control group, the difference was statistically significant (χ^2 = 7.461, P = 0.006).

DISCUSSION

Arising above or beneath the dentate line, the mixed hemorrhoid is a condition where the internal and external hemorrhoidal plexuses of veins merge, presenting the features of both internal hemorrhoid and external hemorrhoid^[8]. The circumferential mixed hemorrhoids, as the severe type of the disease, are particularly difficult in surgical treatment. The conventional Milligan-Morgan^[9-11] hemorrhoidectomy has been the globally recognized "golden standard" for circumferential mixed hemorrhoids^[12]. However, it is limited by severe pain^[13,14] after surgery, prolonged wound healing time, and complications such as anal stenosis^[15]. In fact, it is an extremely painful procedure for the patients, Anal function and feeling fine and other defects be affected^[16]. Based on these results, there were significant differences in favor of the modified Milligan-Morgan technique in terms of time to wound healing, anal stenosis, wound pain, edema and other complications. Anal stenosis is a critical factor that compromises the treatment effect and wound healing, resulting in significant inconvenience to the patient's life and work. Hence, caution should be taken to reduce

the risk of this complication. It is proved that reduction of the anal cushions may trigger anal incontinence^[17]. However, the conventional Milligan-Morgan hemorrhoidectomy can not achieve the ring-shaped resection of all external hemorrhoids, and anal stenosis can easily occur due to excessive resection. In addition, the massive damage of the dentate line can result in the change of anal function^[18]. The modified Milligan-Morgan technique is designed to minimize anal stenosis, anal margin edema, incision pain, and other undesirable factors. During surgery, the anal cushions can be retained by suspending and ligating internal hemorrhoids and mucosa above the dentate line, minimizing injury to the anal cushions^[19] and the dentate line. Anal cushions are the normal structures above the dentate line. They have certain immune and endocrine functions, and can effectively cause anal reflex, ensuring normal continence and defecation^[20,21]. Bowel movement is induced at the dentate line, which should be preserved during surgery. Destruction of this area may lead to prolapse and incontinence of anal cushions, thus protection of anal cushions and the dentate line is essential for normal anal function after surgery^[22-24]. Using the modified operation, only lesions are removed, and normal anal cushions are retained, ensuring normal function of the anus. Sufficient skin bridges and mucosal bridges are required between adjacent incisions to avoid the formation of a mucosal tension band, which may lead to anal stenosis. In addition, as part of the anorectal smooth muscle, the internal sphincter is prone to spasm due to its contractile properties, resulting in spastic pain after surgery and subsequently worsened edema, affecting wound healing. Therefore, the internal sphincter is partially resected during surgery to relieve persistent spasm and reduce the pressure of the sphincter to decrease the anal resting pressure and restore normal blood and lymph circulation. In this way, postoperative anal margin edema can be reduced or avoided, ensuring less pain and better wound healing. Based on our experience, retention of anal cushions and partial resection of the internal sphincter results in considerable benefits in the treatment of mixed hemorrhoids, including: (1) definite efficacy, less invasiveness, faster healing after surgery, and a shorter treatment course; (2) reduced sequelae, less damage to the anal cushions, and maximal retention of the anal canal, anal function and normal structure; (3) fewer complications, effectively reducing the incidence of postoperative hemorrhage, edema, urinary retention and anal stenosis; (4) suspension and high ligation of internal hemorrhoids can elevate the anal cushions that have moved downward in a similar way to the procedure for prolapse and hemorrhoids; this simple and cost-effective operation can be applied in various hospitals of different levels; and (5) with the preserved and elevated anal cushions, external hemorrhoids are also significantly reduced, making it possible for uncompromised, refined postoperative management.

In conclusion, Milligan-Morgan hemorrhoidectomy with anal cushion suspension and partial internal sphinc-



WJG | www.wjgnet.com

ter resection is the optimal treatment for circumferential mixed hemorrhoids, as it effectively removes hemorrhoids while retaining the anal canal anatomy and physiology, complying with the physiological function, pathological changes and anorectal dynamics of the anus^[25]. In addition, this surgical method overcomes the drawbacks of traditional techniques by eliminating continued spasm of the internal sphincter, and reducing postoperative pain, edema, anal stenosis and other complications. This modified technique is a valuable approach in the treatment of circumferential mixed hemorrhoids resulting in a shorter time to wound healing, improved quality of surgery and can be widely applied in clinical settings.

COMMENTS

Background

The treatment of circumferential mixed hemorrhoids is a challenging procedure for medical providers. Despite a number of reports on the surgical options at home and abroad, no effective treatment method is currently available. The traditional "external dissection and internal ligation" or Milligan-Morgan technique, is a mainstream treatment for mixed hemorrhoids. With larger and more hemorrhoids involved in the circumferential type, however, the surgery becomes more complicated and is inevitably associated with a range of post-operative complications.

Research frontiers

The authors treated 96 patients with circumferential mixed hemorrhoids using Milligan-Morgan hemorrhoidectomy with anal cushion suspension and partial internal sphincter resection, and compared their clinical outcomes with those undergoing traditional hemorrhoidectomy. The differences are significant in favor of the modified Milligan-Morgan technique in terms of time to wound healing, anal stenosis, wound pain, edema and other complications. This approach can be widely applied in clinical practice.

Innovations and breakthroughs

Milligan-Morgan hemorrhoidectomy with anal cushion suspension and partial internal sphincter resection is an optimal treatment of circumferential mixed hemorrhoids, which effectively removes hemorrhoids while retaining the anal canal anatomy and physiology, complying with the physiological function, pathological changes and anorectal dynamics of the anus. Meanwhile, it overcomes the drawbacks of traditional techniques by eliminating continued spasm of the internal sphincter, and reducing postoperative pain, edema, anal stenosis and other complications.

Applications

The modified Milligan-Morgan hemorrhoidectomy provides a valuable approach to the treatment of circumferential mixed hemorrhoids with shortened time to wound healing, and improved the quality of surgery, which can be widely applied in clinical settings.

Terminology

The traditional "external dissection and internal ligation" or Milligan-Morgan technique, is a mainstream treatment for mixed hemorrhoids. PPH stands for "procedure for prolapse and hemorrhoids". With the new PPH or stapled hemorrhoidectomy there is no need to cut out the hemorrhoid tissue at the anus.

Peer review

In this manuscript, the authors made a good study of 96 cases with circumferential mixed hemorrhoids. The paper is well written and interesting for the readers.

REFERENCES

- 1 Li HS, Gao F. Takano radical surgery for the treatment of circumferential mixed hemorrhoids: Report of 28 Cases. *Dachanggangmenbing Waike Zazhi* 2004; **10**: 61-62
- 2 Dong QQ. Milligan-Morgan technique for preventing anal stenosis after surgery of circumferential mixed hemorrhoids. *Zhongguo Zhongyiyao Xiandai Yuanchengjiaoyu Zazhi* 2009; 7: 103 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-2779.2009.03.020]
- 3 Ho YH, Cheong WK, Tsang C, Ho J, Eu KW, Tang CL, Se-

ow-Choen F. Stapled hemorrhoidectomy--cost and effectiveness. Randomized, controlled trial including incontinence scoring, anorectal manometry, and endoanal ultrasound assessments at up to three months. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2000; **43**: 1666-1675 [PMID: 11156449 DOI: 10.1007/BF02236847]

- 4 Rowsell M, Bello M, Hemingway DM. Circumferential mucosectomy (stapled haemorrhoidectomy) versus conventional haemorrhoidectomy: randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2000; 355: 779-781 [PMID: 10711924 DOI: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(99)06122-X]
- 5 Collins EE, Lund JN. A review of chronic anal fissure management. *Tech Coloproctol* 2007; **11**: 209-223 [PMID: 17676270 DOI: 10.1007/s10151-007-0355-9]
- 6 Utzig MJ, Kroesen AJ, Buhr HJ. Concepts in pathogenesis and treatment of chronic anal fissure--a review of the literature. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2003; **98**: 968-974 [PMID: 12809816]
- 7 The State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine of China. Standards of the Traditional Chinese Medicine-based Diagnosis and Treatment of Diseases. Nanjing: Nanjing University Press, 1994: 132
- 8 Joshi GP, Neugebauer EA. Evidence-based management of pain after haemorrhoidectomy surgery. *Br J Surg* 2010; 97: 1155-1168 [PMID: 20593430 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.7161]
- 9 Milligan ETC, Morgan CN, Jones LE, Officer R. Surgical anatomy of the anal canal and the operative treatment of hemorrhoids. *Lancet* 1937; 2: 1119-1124 [DOI: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(00)88465-2]
- 10 Classic articles in colonic and rectal surgery. Edward Thomas Campbell Milligan 1886-1972. Surgical anatomy of the anal canal, and the operative treatment of haemorrhoids. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1985; **28**: 620-628 [PMID: 3893955]
- 11 Pescatori M. Closed vs. open hemorrhoidectomy: associated sphincterotomy and postoperative bleeding. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2000; 43: 1174-1175 [PMID: 10950021 DOI: 10.1007/BF02236571]
- 12 Law WL, Chu KW. Triple rubber band ligation for hemorrhoids: prospective, randomized trial of use of local anesthetic injection. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1999; **42**: 363-366 [PMID: 10223757 DOI: 10.1007/BF02236354]
- 13 **Oligher J**. Surgery of the anus, rectum, and colon. 5th ed. London: Bailliere Tindall, 1984: 564
- 14 Arbman G, Krook H, Haapaniemi S. Closed vs. open hemorrhoidectomy--is there any difference? *Dis Colon Rectum* 2000; 43: 31-34 [PMID: 10813120 DOI: 10.1007/BF02237240]
- 15 MacRae HM, McLeod RS. Comparison of hemorrhoidal treatment modalities. A meta-analysis. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1995; 38: 687-694 [PMID: 7607026 DOI: 10.1007/BF02048023]
- 16 Mehigan BJ, Monson JR, Hartley JE. Stapling procedure for haemorrhoids versus Milligan-Morgan haemorrhoidectomy: randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2000; **355**: 782-785 [PMID: 10711925 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(99)08362-2]
- 17 Thekkinkattil DK, Dunham RJ, O'Herlihy S, Finan PJ, Sagar PM, Burke DA. Measurement of anal cushions in idiopathic faecal incontinence. *Br J Surg* 2009; 96: 680-684 [PMID: 19384910 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.6597]
- 18 Song WL, Wang ZJ. Evaluation of modernized treatment for hemorrhoids. *Zhongguo Puwai Jichu Yu Linchuang Zazhi* 2010; 17: 116-118
- Thomson WH. The nature of haemorrhoids. Br J Surg 1975;
 62: 542-552 [PMID: 1174785 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.1800620710]
- 20 Gibbons CP, Trowbridge EA, Bannister JJ, Read NW. Role of anal cushions in maintaining continence. *Lancet* 1986; 1: 886-888 [PMID: 2870357 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(86)90990-6]
- 21 **Thomson H**. The anal cushions--a fresh concept in diagnosis. *Postgrad Med J* 1979; **55**: 403-405 [PMID: 482185 DOI: 10.1136/pgmj.55.644.403]
- 22 Kim T, Chae G, Chung SS, Sands DR, Speranza JR, Weiss EG, Nogueras JJ, Wexner SD. Faecal incontinence in male patients. *Colorectal Dis* 2008; **10**: 124-130 [PMID: 17498204]
- 23 Sayfan J. Complications of Milligan-Morgan hemorrhoid-



ectomy. *Dig Surg* 2001; **18**: 131-133 [PMID: 11351158 DOI: 10.1159/000050113]

24 **Jóhannsson HO**, Påhlman L, Graf W. Randomized clinical trial of the effects on anal function of Milligan-Morgan versus Ferguson haemorrhoidectomy. *Br J Surg* 2006; **93**: 1208-1214 [PMID: 16952213]

25 Li M, Tang XG. Clinical Observation on External Dissection & Internal Ligation plus Arc Incision & Skin Bridge Free Procedure in the Treatment of Circumferential Mixed Hemorrhoids. *Zhonghua Zhongyiyaoxue Kan* 2010; 28: 122-124

P- Reviewers Fondran JC, Habr-Gama A S- Editor Wang JL L- Editor A E- Editor Li JY







Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza, 315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China Fax: +852-65557188 Telephone: +852-31779906 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com





Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

© 2013 Baishideng. All rights reserved.