



Published in final edited form as:

Harv Rev Psychiatry. 2009 ; 17(2): 72–86. doi:10.1080/10673220902899706.

A Reproductive Subtype of Depression: Conceptualizing Models and Moving Toward Etiology

Dr. Jennifer L. Payne, MD and Dr. Jennifer Teitelbaum Palmer, MD

Department of Psychiatry, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine

Dr. Hadine Joffe, MD

Harvard Medical School and Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA

Abstract

The lifetime risk for major depression in women is well known to be twice the risk in men and is especially high during the reproductive years between menarche and menopause. A subset of reproductive-age women experience depressive episodes that are triggered by hormonal fluctuations. Such “reproductive depressions” involve episodes of depression that occur specifically during the premenstrual, postpartum, and perimenopausal phases in women. These reproductive subtypes of depression can be conceptualized as a specific biological response to the effects of hormonal fluctuations in the brain. The different types of reproductive depressions are associated with each other, have unique risk factors that are distinct from nonreproductive depression episodes, and respond to both hormonal and nonhormonal interventions. This review uses a PubMed search of relevant literature to discuss clinical, animal, and genetic evidence for reproductive depression as a specific subtype of major depression. Unique treatment options, such as hormonal interventions, are also discussed, and hypotheses regarding the underlying biology of reproductive depression—including interactions between the serotonergic system and estrogen, as well as specific effects on neurosteroids—are explored. This review will provide evidence supporting reproductive depression as a distinct clinical entity with specific treatment approaches and a unique biology that is separate from nonreproductive depression.

Keywords

estrogen; major depression; neurosteroids; perimenopause; postpartum; premenstrual; reproductive; serotonin

© 2009 President and Fellows of Harvard College

Correspondence: Jennifer L. Payne, MD, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine—Psychiatry, 550 N. Broadway, Suite 305, Baltimore, MD 21205. jpayne5@jhmi.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Declaration of interest: Dr. Payne has received research support from Novartis Pharmaceuticals and consulting fees from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals and Wyeth Pharmaceuticals. Dr. Joffe notes the following lifetime disclosures. Research support: Abbott Laboratories, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Eli Lilly, Forest Laboratories, GlaxoSmithKline, Pfizer, Janssen Pharmaceutical, Organon Biosciences, Sanofi-Aventis (product support only), Sepracor, and Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals. Speaking/honoraria: Eli Lilly, GlaxoSmithKline. Advisory/consulting: Abbott Laboratories, Eli Lilly, JDS-Novon Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi-Aventis, Sepracor, Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals. Royalty/patent, other income: None

The pathophysiology underlying major depressive disorder (MDD) remains unclear. Currently, the etiology of MDD is considered multifactorial, with environmental factors interacting with biological factors, and sometimes personality factors, to produce the illness that we recognize clinically as MDD. It is likely that there are several biological factors resulting in MDD. One important vulnerability for some women is susceptibility to hormonal changes that occur during the reproductive life cycle, leading to a “reproductive subtype” of depression.

It is well known that MDD is twice as common in women as in men. This increased risk begins in early adolescence and closes by the end of the fifth decade,¹ corresponding to a woman's reproductive years. Within the reproductive life cycle, time periods associated with hormonal change (premenstrual, postpartum, and perimenopause) are known to precipitate mood symptoms in a subgroup of women. While mild depressive symptoms are common in the general population during periods of hormonal fluctuation, a minority of women experience severe depressive episodes during the premenstrual, postpartum, or perimenopausal time periods. Most women who experience severe symptoms also have underlying mood disorders and therefore experience depressive episodes at other, non-reproductive-associated times of their lives.²⁻⁵ “Reproductive-related depressive disorders” have been hypothesized to result from an affective vulnerability to the hormonal changes that occur during the premenstrual, postpartum, and perimenopausal phases of a woman's reproductive life.⁶

The reproductive subtype of depression can be conceptualized as a specific biological response to hormonal fluctuation in the brain that leads to depression. A growing body of literature has demonstrated the modulatory effects of estrogen on both the central nervous system, in general, and the serotonergic system, in particular.⁷ While the use of estrogen may have antidepressive effects for some women, fluctuations in estrogen and other hormonal levels may also trigger a vulnerability to mood disorders in other women.⁷ Reliably identifying this subgroup of women is difficult, however, because not every MDD episode that occurs at a time of hormonal change meets this definition, which requires that hormonal fluctuation specifically trigger a biological vulnerability. Some “reproductive” MDD episodes may simply be coincidences due to timing and may not reflect a biological response to hormonal change. Identification and study of the subgroup of women that actually experiences depressive episodes biologically triggered by hormonal change—that is, “reproductive depression”—may lead to a better understanding of the biological underpinnings of MDD in general. In this review we use the term “reproductive depression” to imply a MDD episode that occurs as a biological response to hormonal change, specifically premenstrual dysphoric disorder (PMDD), postpartum depression (PPD), and perimenopausal depression. While this definition cannot be directly applied clinically, we will demonstrate that clinical clues that a MDD episode is a type of reproductive depression include timing, a personal or family history of reproductive depressions, and a quick or preferential response to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs).

This review will focus on the evidence supporting the reproductive subtype of depression, including associations between different types of reproductive depression as well as preliminary genetic studies of reproductive depression. We conducted a computerized literature search on PubMed through October 2008 using the following search terms: PMS, PMDD, postpartum depression, perimenopausal depression, and reproductive depression.

We discuss the potential biological underpinnings of reproductive depression, including the neuromodulating effects of endogenous estrogen and evidence derived from clinical, neuroimaging, and animal studies. We will also discuss the specific use of hormonal

therapies to treat reproductive, but not nonreproductive, depression—evidence that reproductive depression is a distinct entity. Finally, we will explore evidence that serotonergic antidepressants may have superior efficacy in reproductive depression, and discuss potential explanations for these clinical observations. In summary, this review will provide evidence supporting reproductive depression as a distinct clinical entity with specific treatment approaches and a unique biology separate from nonreproductive depression.

EVIDENCE FOR THE “REPRODUCTIVE” SUBTYPE OF DEPRESSION

Three lines of evidence support the idea of a reproductive subtype of depression: (1) correlations between reproductive depressions at different stages of reproductive life, (2) genetic studies, and (3) evidence that the risk for depression is elevated for women during times of hormonal change and decreased during times of hormonal stability.

Correlation Studies

Correlation studies have demonstrated that depressions occurring at different stages of reproductive life are associated with each other. A number of studies have shown that a history of one type of reproductive depression predicts depressive episodes at other times of hormonal change (Table 1),⁸⁻²⁰ suggesting that sensitivity to hormonal change may be a stable trait that persists across the reproductive life cycle.

In the most common approach used to study the association between depression at different reproductive life stages, a group of women is identified who experience one type of reproductive depression, and it is then determined retrospectively whether these women had a history of other types of reproductive depressions. A number of the studies contrast findings with a control group of women without presenting complaints (Table 1: Studies 1, 2, 9) or to women without such complaints within a larger sample or cohort (Table 1: Studies 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10). The majority of the studies assessed for depressive *symptoms* occurring at the same times as reproductive life events (Table 1: Studies 1, 3, 4, 7, 10, 11) rather than MDD episodes that met DSM criteria. Other studies established a diagnosis for the current, but not the prior, reproductive depression episode (Table 1: Studies 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12). Most studies were conducted retrospectively for at least one reproductive episode (Table 1: Studies 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12), whereas a minority established a depression diagnosis prospectively for reproductive events (Table 1: Studies 6, 10). Though most studies examined only two reproductive life events, two studies examined a population of women with mood disorders, assessing for mood symptoms at all reproductive life events (Table 1: Studies 4, 11).

Association between a history of premenstrual symptoms and PPD symptoms was demonstrated in 5 of 7 studies (Table 1: Studies 1, 2, 7, 8, 11). Most of the studies that found a significant association were large (200–1800 women) (Table 1: Studies 7, 8, 11). In contrast, the negative studies (Table 1: Studies 4, 10) were generally smaller. Among women seeking treatment for premenstrual symptoms, approximately 40% of those with a prior pregnancy reported a history of PPD, as compared to 12% of women with no premenstrual symptoms (Table 1: Study 2). In another study that identified women with PPD, 43% reported a history consistent with PMDD (retrospectively determined), compared to 28% of women without PPD (Table 1: Study 8). One of the two negative studies (Table 1: Study 4) included women with bipolar disorder in the sample. Notably, unlike in MDD, there is evidence that premenstrual symptoms may not reliably predict postpartum mood symptoms in women with bipolar disorder.¹⁹ The weight of the evidence from these correlation studies therefore suggests that premenstrual symptoms appear to be associated with the development of postpartum mood symptoms in a subgroup of women with MDD.

Of eight studies examining whether premenstrual symptoms predict perimenopausal depressive symptoms, six (Table 1: Studies 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11) describe a statistically significant association between these reproductive depression episodes, and a seventh (Table 1: Study 9) found a trend supporting the association. The eighth study (Table 1: Study 12) reported that a history of premenstrual symptoms was not a consistent finding in women with perimenopausal depression, though 55% of women with perimenopausal depression reported a history of premenstrual symptoms.

Another four studies (Table 1: Studies 1, 4, 11, 12) examined the association between postpartum mood symptoms and perimenopausal depressive symptoms. Of these, three (Table 1: Studies 1, 4, 11) reported a positive association. In the only study to examine whether all three states were associated (Table 1: Study 11, data not shown), an association between premenstrual, postpartum, *and* perimenopausal depressive symptoms was found in 509 women with MDD. These women met DSM criteria for MDD and had a history of nonreproductive MDD in addition to reproductive depression.

One issue that limits interpretation of these studies is that most were conducted retrospectively. Retrospective recall of premenstrual symptoms does not consistently predict PMDD assessed prospectively.²¹⁻²³ In addition, determination that criteria for specific DSM-IV diagnoses of PMDD or PPD were present was not always achievable because standardized psychiatric interviews were not necessarily conducted.

One final study examined whether women who experienced a PPD after their first child went on to have further postpartum and non-postpartum depressive episodes.²⁴ Those who experienced their first episode of depression when they were postpartum ($n = 34$) had an increased risk for PPD but not for non-postpartum depression. In contrast, those women who had both a history of MDD prior to the birth of their first child and a PPD episode ($n = 21$) did not have an increased risk of PPD, but they did have an increased risk for future nonreproductive MDD. These data suggest that women whose first episode of depression presents postpartum are specifically susceptible to reproductive depressions, thus supporting the notion that PPD is a specific type of reproductive depression that has a distinct clinical course from nonreproductive depression.

In summary, a history of one type of reproductive depression or depressive symptoms appears to increase the likelihood that a woman will experience other types of reproductive depressions. A prospective study of women with prospectively defined PMDD who are followed through pregnancy and the perimenopause is required to solidify this association. While these findings indicate that different types of reproductive depression are associated with each other, they do not indicate that reproductive depression is necessarily distinct from nonreproductive depression.

Genetic Studies

Though relatively few genetic studies have been conducted on reproductive depression, current data support the idea that reproductive depression has a distinct biological vulnerability from that of nonreproductive MDD.

Several studies have found that PPD exhibits familial aggregation^{25,26} in families with MDD, thus suggesting a genetic basis for the disorder. These studies indicate that in families with MDD, PPD clusters in some, but not all families, thus supporting the idea that the vulnerability to PPD is separate from the vulnerability to MDD. Individuals participating in these studies met criteria for DSM-defined MDD and also had a history of non-reproductive depressions. Notably, familial aggregation among women with PPD was seen only when PPD was defined as developing within four weeks of delivery and not when PPD was

defined broadly as onset within six months.²⁵ thus linking the onset more closely to the hormonal changes associated with labor and delivery.

Several analyses of the heritability of premenstrual symptoms, as well as several twin studies, suggest that premenstrual depression may have a genetic basis,²⁷⁻³³ though not all these studies are positive.^{34,35} A preliminary association between the risk of prospectively confirmed PMDD and genetic variation in the ESR1 estrogen receptor alpha gene³⁶ suggests that specific genetic variants may predispose to the development of PMDD. While the results are preliminary, familial aggregation, genetic association, and heritability studies of the reproductive subtype of depression support the idea that the reproductive subtype may have a unique and distinct biological basis from MDD.

Decreased Risk During Hormonal Stability

One additional line of evidence supporting reproductive depression is the observation that when hormone levels in women are not fluctuating, such as during pregnancy and the postmenopause, the risk for depression does not appear to be elevated. Although estradiol and progesterone levels do increase steadily during pregnancy, they do not decline rapidly or fluctuate erratically as they do during the postpartum and perimenopausal phases. It is the effects of rapidly changing levels of estradiol and progesterone in brain regions involved in mood regulation that are thought to contribute to the risk of reproductive depression.^{13,37,38}

A recent study conducted as part of the 2002 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions interviewed 14,549 women with a known past-year pregnancy status, and compared rates of major psychiatric illness during pregnancy and postpartum, and in the non-pregnant female population.³⁹ No increase in risk for MDD was found during pregnancy as compared to the non-pregnant population, though the risk for MDD postpartum was elevated, with an adjusted odds ratio of 1.52.³⁹ Similarly, recent studies have demonstrated an increased risk of MDD during perimenopause.^{13,40,41} Further, while the rate of MDD in women is well known to be double the rate in men during the reproductive years, when hormone levels fluctuate,¹ depression rates are similar in older postmenopausal women and men, supporting the idea that exposure to fluctuations in reproductive hormones may increase the rate of MDD in women.⁴²

In summary, correlation studies indicate that women with one type of reproductive depression are at an elevated risk for other types of reproductive depression. The fact that not all depressive episodes that occur at times of hormonal change meet the definition for reproductive depression, and may instead be due to a coincidence of timing, may explain why this correlation is not one to one. Genetic studies support the idea that the biological vulnerability to reproductive depression is separate and distinct from the vulnerability to nonreproductive depression. Finally, the observation that there is a decreased vulnerability to, but not zero risk of, MDD in women during times of reproductive hormone stability also supports the idea that vulnerability to reproductive depression is separate from nonreproductive depression. Thus, two subgroups of women with MDD appear to exist: those with nonreproductive depression and those with depression that occurs both during times of hormonal change and during nonreproductive times.

POTENTIAL MECHANISMS OF “REPRODUCTIVE” DEPRESSION

It has been hypothesized that women with reproductive-related depressive disorders have abnormalities within the gonadal steroid system. Multiple studies⁴³⁻⁴⁹ have shown that hormone levels of estrogen and progesterone are normal in women with PMDD, PPD, and perimenopausal depression. A series of other studies, however, has provided evidence that

reproductive depressions occur in women with a specific sensitivity to hormonal change.^{38,50,51} These studies have taken women with a history of reproductive depression; in a blinded fashion, exposed them to hormonal change; and, in that setting, induced depressive symptoms. For example, 10 women with PMDD experienced a recurrence of their mood symptoms when estrogen or progesterone was added back after symptoms were stabilized by the gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog, leuprolide.⁵⁰ In contrast, none of 15 women without PMDD experienced mood symptoms with the same protocol, and none of 5 women with PMDD experienced mood symptoms when a placebo was added.⁵⁰ Similarly, in another study focused on PPD, 8 women with a history of PPD had a recurrence of depressive symptoms when high doses of estrogen and progesterone were withdrawn precipitously, modeling the hormonal pattern of PPD.³⁸ In contrast, using the same protocol, none of 8 women without a history of PPD experienced mood symptoms.³⁸ Finally, 18 women with perimenopausal depression experienced spontaneous improvements in their symptoms in conjunction with a decline in plasma FSH levels (indicating a restoration of ovarian function).⁵¹ Thus, in studies modeling the hormonal changes occurring in reproductive depression episodes, depressive symptoms were seen in women with a history of reproductive depression but not in women without a history of reproductive depression. Results of such studies have led to the conceptualization of a specific biological vulnerability to fluctuating hormone levels underlying reproductive depression.

One hypothesis explaining the vulnerability to mood disorders in some women during stages of hormone change proposes that the neuromodulating effects of estrogen via the serotonergic system triggers MDD episodes in susceptible women.⁷ Although the exact vulnerability remains to be defined, it has been hypothesized that a reduced capacity to adapt to changing levels of estradiol or progesterone may predispose some women to depression.⁵² In the next two sections we will review clinical evidence and studies in animals that support this hypothesis.

Clinical Studies

Sex differences in serotonergic function provide indirect evidence of female-specific hormonal influence on the serotonergic system. Studies of tryptophan (serotonergic) and catecholamine (noradrenergic) depletion indicate that there are sex differences in the serotonergic system. Euthymic women without a history of MDD appear to be at higher risk than men for developing depressive symptoms in response to low levels of serotonin following tryptophan depletion,⁵²⁻⁵⁵ whereas the response to catecholamine depletion appears to be similar for both sexes.⁵⁵ These findings suggest that female-specific factors such as estrogen influence the serotonergic system.

Several tryptophan infusion and depletion studies have also been conducted in women with reproductive subtypes of depression. Tryptophan infusion normally results in an increase in circulating prolactin and growth hormone,⁵⁶ but this response is known to be blunted in both male and female depressed patients relative to nondepressed controls. Interestingly, the response of prolactin and growth hormone appears to be blunted for all women when they are premenstrual compared to postmenstrual, and this finding is exaggerated in women with “premenstrual depression.”⁵⁶ Similarly, tryptophan depletion has been shown to increase irritability premenstrually in healthy women⁵⁷ and to worsen symptoms of documented premenstrual syndrome.⁵⁸ Preliminary tryptophan studies in peri- and postmenopausal women suggest that serotonin may not play as critical a role in perimenopausal depression.⁵⁹

Results from radiolabeling and positron emission tomography (PET) studies in women suggest that serotonin receptors implicated in depression are affected by female gonadal hormones and cycles.^{60,61} Preliminary studies in women with prospectively confirmed

PMDD demonstrate a reduction in 5HT1A receptor binding in the dorsal raphe nucleus between the follicular and luteal phase relative to female controls without PMDD.⁶⁰ Another study found that the administration of estrogen and progesterone in postmenopausal women increased the density of 5HT2A receptors in the cerebral cortex, showing that hormonal treatments influence the serotonergic system in the central nervous system.⁶¹

Animal Studies

Studies in animals have shown that estradiol acts on neurotransmitter systems thought to be involved in the pathophysiology of mood disorders. These estrogenic effects result in effects on neuroregulation and behavior similar to those exerted by serotonergic antidepressants in animal models of depression. For example, estrogen has been shown in animal models to increase the levels or activity of critical neural-signaling systems that have been implicated in mood regulation, including brain-derived neurotrophic factor, cAMP response element-binding protein, and tyrosine kinase A.⁶² In other models, estradiol has been shown to inhibit mRNA expression of the serotonin transporter⁶³ and to decrease activity of 5HT1A receptors.⁶⁴ Rats who become estrogen deficient through ovariectomy demonstrate increased immobility (or more depressed-like behavior) in the forced swim test, a model for MDD.⁶⁵ Treatment with estradiol reverses the increased immobility.⁶⁵ A recent study has further linked the serotonergic system to maternal behavior. Mouse mothers who were genetically engineered to have disrupted serotonin neuron development fail to display critical postpartum mothering behaviors.⁶⁶

Taken together, the clinical and animal data support the hypothesis that reproductive depression may stem from a vulnerability within the serotonergic system triggered by changes in the reproductive hormones estradiol or progesterone. It is important to note that some evidence supports a specific role for estrogen, whereas with other evidence it is difficult to discern whether changes in estrogen, progesterone, or the combination triggers the underlying vulnerability.

HORMONAL TREATMENTS FOR “REPRODUCTIVE” DEPRESSION

If the reproductive subtype of MDD is triggered by fluctuations in hormone levels, particularly estradiol, one would postulate that the use of hormonal treatments might be effective specifically for women with reproductive depression. Providing support for this hypothesis is evidence that estrogen and other hormonal treatments are effective in premenstrual, postpartum, and perimenopausal episodes of MDD but not in nonreproductive MDD episodes. Table 267-83 details studies using hormonal treatments (alone, not in conjunction with antidepressants) used for premenstrual, postpartum, and perimenopausal depression.

Premenstrual Symptoms and PMDD

Both estrogen monotherapy and oral contraceptive pills (OCPs) containing a combination of estrogen and progesterone have been used to treat premenstrual mood disturbances. Recent placebo-controlled trials have reported benefit of the OCPs formulated with the novel progestin drospirenone in women with PMS and PMDD. Benefits are seen both as singular^{73,84} therapy in PMS and PMDD, and as adjunctive^{75,85} treatment in premenopausal women with treated MDD and premenstrual breakthrough of symptoms.⁸⁶ It is unknown whether these benefits are specific to the OCP that contains drospirenone. Preliminary evidence also suggests that the OCP containing the progestin levonorgestrel suppresses PMS symptoms,⁸⁷ but the results of the placebo-controlled trial are pending.

A recent comprehensive review⁸⁸ suggests that drospirenone itself may play an important role in the treatment of PMDD because it is long acting and may have some unique

neuroactive properties. Moreover, other progestins used alone or as part of an OCP failed to show a clear benefit, consistent with earlier evidence that older progestin preparations are ineffective in PMDD.^{70,89} Although it is plausible that drospirenone may have unique therapeutic effects in women with PMDD, another factor that may play an important role in the efficacy of OCPs containing drospirenone is that they have shorter hormone-free intervals compared to older OCP preparations. Specifically, the drospirenone-based OCP has a four-day hormone-free interval, in contrast to a typical seven-day hormone-free interval. The truncated hormone-free interval in the newer OCPs results in greater suppression of pituitary and ovarian hormone levels than with the standard OCPs with their seven-day hormone-free interval.⁹⁰

In an effort to maximally suppress follicular activity, some newer OCP preparations have been administered continuously for 3 to 12 months, thereby eliminating the hormone-free interval. Use of such extended regimens, in contrast to those with seven-day hormone-free intervals, may have additional benefits for women with PMDD.⁹¹ This evidence supports the principle that OCPs treat PMDD by suppressing hormonal fluctuation and that PMDD is a reproductive depression. Other studies have found that another hormonal intervention involving ovulation suppression by the GnRH agonist leuprolide also appears to improve premenstrual depressive symptoms.⁸⁸

Several studies have investigated the use of estrogen therapy alone for severe premenstrual mood complaints in young women. Continuous use of estrogen therapy throughout the menstrual cycle^{67,69,71}—but not luteal phase-only estradiol therapy⁶⁸—reduced premenstrual dysphoria.

Postpartum Depression

Several clinical trials have examined the effectiveness of estrogen in the prevention and treatment of PPD. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of 61 women with postpartum depression that developed within three months of delivery found that 80% of patients receiving an estrogen patch (200 mcg/day) had a significant reduction in their depressive symptoms compared to 31% of patients receiving placebo.⁷⁸ In another open-label trial of sublingual 17 β -estradiol (1 mg; 3–8 times daily, depending on serum estradiol), symptoms of severe postpartum depression remitted in 23 women after two weeks of treatment.⁸⁰ However, nearly half of the estrogen-treated patients were also receiving antidepressants, making it difficult to determine if the estrogen or the antidepressant improved depressive symptoms. In a study of 10 women with postpartum psychosis, sublingual 17 β -estradiol (1–6 mg, dosed to achieve 400 pmol/L serum estradiol) significantly reduced psychotic symptoms in all participants, all of whom had extremely low serum estradiol levels at baseline.⁷⁹ Finally, high-dose estrogen (begun at 10 mg/day, tapered over 28 days to 0.625 mg/day) following delivery in 4 women with a history of postpartum depression prevented the expected relapse rate of 35%–60% of depressive symptoms within the first postpartum year.⁷⁷ Although the lack of a pure control group limits these studies, their findings suggest that estrogen treatment may be helpful in preventing or treating postpartum depression.

Perimenopausal Depression

A number of studies have demonstrated that estrogen therapy is effective for perimenopausal depression but not for depression in older, postmenopausal women. In studies of perimenopausal women, estradiol (50–100 mcg/day) administered both as monotherapy^{82,83} and in combination with antidepressants improved depressive symptoms when hormone replacement alone was only partially effective.^{92–94} In contrast, a randomized, placebo-controlled trial of estrogen (100 mcg/day) in older, postmenopausal

women with depressive disorders but no vasomotor symptoms demonstrated no improvement in mood symptoms.⁹⁵ Further, results from the Heart and Estrogen/Progestin Replacement Study (HERS)⁹⁶ trial of postmenopausal hormone therapy showed that combination therapy with estrogen and progestin (0.625 mg/day and 2.5 mg/day, respectively) improved depressive symptoms in postmenopausal women only if they were experiencing vasomotor symptoms. It remains unclear whether the result in the HERS study is due to the combination of estrogen and progestin or, instead, whether the presence of vasomotor symptoms contributes to depressive symptoms in this population, thus allowing for improvement when vasomotor symptoms are decreased. In either case, available data suggests that estrogen treatment during perimenopause, a time of hormonal fluctuation, may be helpful in treating depressive symptoms. In contrast, estrogen postmenopause, when hormone levels stabilize, does not appear to treat depression unless vasomotor symptoms are present.

Nonreproductive Depression

Few studies have examined whether estrogen and other hormonal treatments are effective in nonreproductive depression in women. As noted, estrogen does not appear to be effective in treating depression in older, postmenopausal women.⁹⁵ There have been no clinical trials reporting the efficacy of estrogen to treat depression in younger, premenopausal women. One observational study examined whether hormonal contraception influenced the severity of depressive symptoms in 1320 premenopausal women.⁷⁶ The study concluded that women on nonrandomly assigned birth control pills were significantly less depressed than women not taking hormonal treatments. In this large sample, however, the difference in the average depression score between women who were on hormonal contraception and those who were not was only one point, indicating that this difference may not be clinically significant. In addition, there was no assessment for a history of premenstrual symptoms, which could have influenced the results.

ANTIDEPRESSANT TREATMENT OF “REPRODUCTIVE” DEPRESSION: CLUES REGARDING PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

A number of studies suggest that reproductive depression may be specifically responsive to SSRIs. Gonadal steroids may at least partially explain these observations, with endogenous estrogen levels playing a permissive or augmentation role in the setting of an SSRI.⁹⁷ Our review of the evidence supporting the role of gonadal steroids in response to SSRIs begins with the evidence that premenopausal women, who have significant estradiol levels, may respond preferentially to SSRIs as compared both to postmenopausal women, who have undetectable estradiol levels, and to men. We will then review the evidence for the use of SSRIs in reproductive depression and end with a discussion of potential mechanisms underlying this differential response.

Several authors have noted differential responses to SSRIs and other classes of antidepressants between preand postmenopausal women (Table 3).⁹⁸⁻¹⁰²

One such study found that women with MDD who were over age 40 responded better to the tricyclic antidepressant (TCA) imipramine than did women who were under 40.⁹⁸ This study was limited by the use of age as a proxy for menopausal status. Because the mean age for menopause is 51, it is unlikely that the age cutoff used in this study accurately divides the group into pre- and postmenopausal status. Another study reported that postmenopausal women with MDD responded less well to SSRI therapy than premenopausal women.¹⁰² A meta-analysis of eight randomized, controlled trials of patients with MDD found an inferior SSRI response rate among women over age 50 compared to women under age 50, whereas

there was no such difference among men.¹⁰¹ Interestingly, nonrandomized hormone therapy improved the response rate in women over age 50.¹⁰¹ In a multicenter, randomized, open-label trial, sertraline was found to be a more effective treatment of depression than imipramine in women, whereas men responded similarly to both medications.¹⁰⁰ Finally, another study⁹⁹ showed differential effectiveness of SSRIs and TCAs in premenopausal women compared to men and postmenopausal women, with premenopausal women showing a significantly higher response rate to sertraline as compared to imipramine. Furthermore, postmenopausal women showed equal response rates to sertraline and imipramine.⁹⁹ These data provide indirect evidence that SSRIs may be more effective in women who have significant estradiol levels.

Evidence from PMDD trials reveals that SSRIs and serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) treat PMDD within the first menstrual cycle and are also effective with intermittent luteal-phase treatment.^{86, 103-109} This rapid response is in contrast to the minimum of 4–8 weeks^{110, 111} required for the treatment of MDD. Furthermore, SSRIs and SNRIs are effective for PMDD, whereas non-serotonergic antidepressants are not.^{112, 113} In contrast, nonreproductive depression can be treated effectively with SSRIs, SNRIs, and nonserotonergic antidepressants. These observations argue for a specific mechanism involving serotonergic pathways underlying the pathophysiology of PMDD that is distinct from the mechanisms underlying MDD that is unrelated to the reproductive cycle.

In studies of women with PPD, preliminary evidence suggests that women with PPD may respond preferentially and more rapidly to SSRIs than to TCAs. There have been two small, randomized clinical trials of PPD recurrence prevention and one randomized trial in the treatment of current PPD. The SSRI sertraline prevented recurrence in significantly more women than did placebo,¹¹⁴ whereas in a separate study, the TCA nortriptyline failed to improve recurrence rates compared to placebo.¹¹⁵ In the randomized trial for treatment of current PPD, no difference between sertraline and nortriptyline was observed, but the response to sertraline was significant within the first week of treatment, whereas the response to nortriptyline was not significant until the second week,⁹⁷ suggesting that in women who respond to sertraline, the onset of action was more rapid. A one-week difference is small, but these observations suggest that “reproductive” depression may respond more rapidly to SSRIs than to TCAs.

The superior responsiveness of reproductive depression to SSRIs raises the question about the serotonergic mechanism of action underlying reproductive episodes of MDD. A uniquely serotonergic mechanism is not supported, because TCAs also exert their effects on the serotonergic system, albeit via a less direct mechanism. Thus, another mechanism of action unique to selective serotonergic medications is needed.

One potential explanation for the rapid response to SSRIs by women with reproductive depression is the action of SSRIs on neurosteroids. These steroids, synthesized in the central nervous system, control glial and neuronal cell responses through genomic mechanisms and membrane-receptor modulation.¹¹⁶ While a complete review of the various neurosteroids and their actions is beyond the scope of this review, we will focus on allopregnanolone (ALLOP), a potent modulator of GABA-A receptors and a metabolite of progesterone that is synthesized in the brain, ovary, and adrenal glands. ALLOP has been shown to be decreased in plasma and cerebrospinal fluid of patients with MDD, and to normalize with successful treatment.¹¹⁷⁻¹²⁰ In reproductive-related depression, there are conflicting results regarding ALLOP levels in women with PMDD,¹²¹ potentially reflecting the presence or absence of a history of nonreproductive MDD. However, a recent study using proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy found evidence that cortical GABA and plasma ALLOP were reduced in the postpartum period, although there was no direct correlation with the diagnosis of PPD.¹²²

SSRIs, including fluoxetine,¹²³ appear to have a specific, nonserotonergic-based action on neurosteroids that is not seen with some tricyclic antidepressants.^{124,125} SSRIs, but not imipramine, have been shown to decrease the activity of a crucial enzyme leading to an increase in ALLOP.^{124, 125} Thus, one potential explanation for a selective and rapid response to SSRIs in reproductive depression is that the pathophysiology of reproductive depression reflects a specific abnormality within the neurosteroid system that is not seen in nonreproductive MDD. Supporting this hypothesis is a recent animal study that found that the absence of a specific subunit (δ) of the GABA receptor—one that is normally regulated by progesterone-derived neurosteroids throughout pregnancy and during the postpartum period—is associated with depression-like and abnormal maternal behaviors, resulting in decreased pup survival.¹²⁶

DISCUSSION

In summary, reproductive depression episodes commonly occur in women with nonreproductive MDD episodes. Having one type of reproductive depression is predictive of experiencing other types of reproductive depression, and preliminary family and twin studies suggest that PMDD and PPD may have a genetic basis. These findings, in turn, support the hypothesis that reproductive depression stems from a specific biological vulnerability to normal fluctuation in reproductive hormones during reproductive life events in women. This biological vulnerability is likely to result from the interactions between estrogen and the serotonergic system, although other hormones and brain systems, including neurosteroids, may also be involved. Observations that SSRIs may be uniquely and more rapidly effective in treating reproductive depressive episodes, along with the observation that hormonal treatments are also uniquely effective in reproductive depression, may provide a clue regarding the underlying biology. The literature suggests a pathophysiologic link between premenstrual, postpartum, and perimenopausal depression, likely involving the interplay of female gonadal steroids and neurotransmitter systems, along with a possible role for neurosteroids.

Large, prospective studies both of the differential effects of serotonergic versus noradrenergic antidepressants and of the rapidity of onset of action need to be conducted in order to further elucidate the mechanism of reproductive depression. Future studies should take into account a positive or absent history of reproductive depression and should track carefully the onset of action of antidepressant action. Careful examination of these details may help to decide not only whether reproductive depression is a useful clinical subtype, but whether women suffering from this type of depression preferentially and quickly respond to SSRIs or hormonal treatments.

One other important issue warranting further investigation is that not every depressive episode that occurs in conjunction with a reproductive event necessarily meets the definition of reproductive depression. If one defines reproductive depression as a major depressive episode that occurs specifically as a biological response to hormonal change, then it likely that the current literature includes samples of women that are heterogeneous, including both women who have an underlying biological vulnerability to hormonal fluctuation and women whose MDD episode presents during a reproductive life event because of psychosocial factors or merely by coincidence. Genetic studies indicating that PPD has a genetic basis—but only if the depressive episode developed rapidly within the first four weeks postpartum—suggest that not every episode of depression associated with a reproductive event is a reproductive subtype of depression. This factor should be considered when designing research studies and reviewing treatment options for this population. Clinical clues that a particular major depressive episode may be a “reproductive” depression remain to be defined but may include a clear correlation between the timing of the onset of the MDD

episode and the timing of hormonal change, a history of previous reproductive depressions, and a history of a preferential, quick response to SSRIs.

In conclusion, reproductive depression is an important clinical subtype of MDD. It may predict future clinical course during reproductive life events and may also imply specific treatment options/algorithms. Available evidence suggests that antidepressants targeting the serotonergic system, as well as hormonal treatments, should be considered. As this field develops, it is expected that biological markers, whether genetic, hormonal, or via neuroimaging, will differentiate the reproductive subtype of depression from nonreproductive MDD episodes. These markers will inform the development of more targeted and successful treatments for women with reproductive depression.

REFERENCES

1. Kessler RC, McGonagle KA, Swartz M, Blazer DG, Nelson CB. Sex and depression in the National Comorbidity Survey. I: Lifetime prevalence, chronicity and recurrence. *J Affect Disord.* 1993; 29:85–96. [PubMed: 8300981]
2. Wittchen HU, Becker E, Lieb R, Krause P. Prevalence, incidence and stability of premenstrual dysphoric disorder in the community. *Psychol Med.* 2002; 32:119–32. [PubMed: 11883723]
3. Bailey JW, Cohen LS. Prevalence of mood and anxiety disorders in women who seek treatment for premenstrual syndrome. *J Womens Health Gend Based Med.* 1999; 8:1181–4. [PubMed: 10595331]
4. Rich-Edwards JW, Kleinman K, Abrams A, et al. Sociodemographic predictors of antenatal and postpartum depressive symptoms among women in a medical group practice. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 2006; 60:221–7. [PubMed: 16476752]
5. Schmidt PJ, Haq N, Rubinow DR. A longitudinal evaluation of the relationship between reproductive status and mood in perimenopausal women. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2004; 161:2238–44. [PubMed: 15569895]
6. Schmidt PJ, Roca CA, Rubinow DR. Clinical evaluation in studies of perimenopausal women: position paper. *Psychopharmacol Bull.* 1998; 34:309–11. [PubMed: 9803761]
7. Joffe H, Cohen LS. Estrogen, serotonin, and mood disturbance: where is the therapeutic bridge? *Biol Psychiatry.* 1998; 44:798–811. [PubMed: 9807636]
8. Stewart DE, Boydell KM. Psychologic distress during menopause: associations across the reproductive life cycle. *Int J Psychiatry Med.* 1993; 23:157–62. [PubMed: 8359998]
9. Chuong CJ, Burgos DM. Medical history in women with premenstrual syndrome. *J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol.* 1995; 16:21–7. [PubMed: 7787954]
10. Morse CA, Dudley E, Guthrie J, Dennerstein L. Relationships between premenstrual complaints and perimenopausal experiences. *J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol.* 1998; 19:182–91. [PubMed: 9929844]
11. Gregory RJ, Masand PS, Yohai NH. Depression across the reproductive life cycle: correlations between events. *Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry.* 2000; 2:127–9. [PubMed: 15014646]
12. Binfa L, Castelo-Branco C, Blumel JE, et al. Influence of psycho-social factors on climacteric symptoms. *Maturitas.* 2004; 48:425–31. [PubMed: 15283935]
13. Freeman EW, Sammel MD, Liu L, Gracia CR, Nelson DB, Hollander L. Hormones and menopausal status as predictors of depression in women in transition to menopause. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2004; 61:62–70. [PubMed: 14706945]
14. Aydin N, Inandi T, Karabulut N. Depression and associated factors among women within their first postnatal year in Erzurum province in eastern Turkey. *Women Health.* 2005; 41:1–12. [PubMed: 16219584]
15. Bloch M, Rotenberg N, Koren D, Klein E. Risk factors associated with the development of postpartum mood disorders. *J Affect Disord.* 2005; 88:9–18. [PubMed: 15979150]
16. Bloch M, Rotenberg N, Koren D, Klein E. Risk factors for early postpartum depressive symptoms. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry.* 2006; 28:3–8. [PubMed: 16377359]
17. Richards M, Rubinow DR, Daly RC, Schmidt PJ. Premenstrual symptoms and perimenopausal depression. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2006; 163:133–7. [PubMed: 16390900]

18. Haywood A, Slade P, King H. Is there evidence of an association between postnatal distress and premenstrual symptoms? *J Affect Disord.* 2007; 99:241–5. [PubMed: 16996139]
19. Payne JL, Roy PS, Murphy-Eberenz K, et al. Reproductive cycle-associated mood symptoms in women with major depression and bipolar disorder. *J Affect Disord.* 2007; 99:221–9. [PubMed: 17011632]
20. Steinberg EM, Rubinow DR, Bartko JJ, et al. A cross-sectional evaluation of perimenopausal depression. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2008; 69:973–80. [PubMed: 18505304]
21. Rubinow DR, Roy-Byrne P, Hoban MC, Gold PW, Post RM. Prospective assessment of menstrually related mood disorders. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1984; 141:684–6. [PubMed: 6538762]
22. Halbreich U, Endicott J. Methodological issues in studies of premenstrual changes. *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* 1985; 10:15–32. [PubMed: 3923510]
23. Ainscough CE. Premenstrual emotional changes a prospective study of symptomatology in normal women. *J Psychosom Res.* 1990; 34:35–45. [PubMed: 2313612]
24. Cooper PJ, Murray L. Course and recurrence of postnatal depression. Evidence for the specificity of the diagnostic concept. *Br J Psychiatry.* 1995; 166:191–5. [PubMed: 7728362]
25. Forty L, Jones L, Macgregor S, et al. Familiality of postpartum depression in unipolar disorder: results of a family study. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2006; 163:1549–53. [PubMed: 16946179]
26. Murphy-Eberenz K, Zandi PP, March D, et al. Is perinatal depression familial? *J Affect Disord.* 2006; 90:49–55. [PubMed: 16337009]
27. Widholm O, Kantero RL. A statistical analysis of the menstrual patterns of 8,000 Finnish girls and their mothers. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand Suppl.* 1971; 14(suppl 14):1–36. [PubMed: 5290914]
28. Dalton K, Dalton ME, Guthrie K. Incidence of the premenstrual syndrome in twins. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed).* 1987; 295:1027–8.
29. Kendler KS, Silberg JL, Neale MC, Kessler RC, Heath AC, Eaves LJ. Genetic and environmental factors in the aetiology of menstrual, premenstrual and neurotic symptoms: a population-based twin study. *Psychol Med.* 1992; 22:85–100. [PubMed: 1574568]
30. Kendler KS, Karkowski LM, Corey LA, Neale MC. Longitudinal population-based twin study of retrospectively reported premenstrual symptoms and lifetime major depression. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1998; 155:1234–40. [PubMed: 9734548]
31. Condon JT. The premenstrual syndrome: a twin study. *Br J Psychiatry.* 1993; 162:481–6. [PubMed: 8481739]
32. van den Akker OB, Eves FF, Stein GS, Murray RM. Genetic and environmental factors in premenstrual symptom reporting and its relationship to depression and a general neuroticism trait. *J Psychosom Res.* 1995; 39:477–87. [PubMed: 7562677]
33. Treloar SA, Martin NG, Bucholz KK, Madden PA, Heath AC. Genetic influences on post-natal depressive symptoms: findings from an Australian twin sample. *Psychol Med.* 1999; 29:645–54. [PubMed: 10405086]
34. Glick H, Endicott J, Nee J. Premenstrual changes: are they familial? *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 1993; 88:149–55. [PubMed: 8249644]
35. van den Akker OB, Stein GS, Neale MC, Murray RM. Genetic and environmental variation in menstrual cycle: histories of two British twin samples. *Acta Genet Med Gemellol (Roma).* 1987; 36:541–8. [PubMed: 3454517]
36. Huo L, Straub RE, Roca C, et al. Risk for premenstrual dysphoric disorder is associated with genetic variation in ESR1, the estrogen receptor alpha gene. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2007; 62:925–33. [PubMed: 17599809]
37. Freeman EW, Sammel MD, Lin H, Nelson DB. Associations of hormones and menopausal status with depressed mood in women with no history of depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2006; 63:375–82. [PubMed: 16585466]
38. Bloch M, Schmidt PJ, Danaceau M, Murphy J, Nieman L, Rubinow DR. Effects of gonadal steroids in women with a history of postpartum depression. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2000; 157:924–30. [PubMed: 10831472]
39. Vesga-Lopez O, Blanco C, Keyes K, Olfson M, Grant BF, Hasin DS. Psychiatric disorders in pregnant and postpartum women in the United States. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2008; 65:805–15. [PubMed: 18606953]

40. Cohen LS, Soares CN, Vitonis AF, Otto MW, Harlow BL. Risk for new onset of depression during the menopausal transition: the Harvard study of moods and cycles. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2006; 63:385–90. [PubMed: 16585467]
41. Bromberger JT, Matthews KA, Schott LL, et al. Depressive symptoms during the menopausal transition: the Study of Women's Health Across the Nation (SWAN). *J Affect Disord*. 2007; 103:267–72. [PubMed: 17331589]
42. Bebbington P, Dunn G, Jenkins R, et al. The influence of age and sex on the prevalence of depressive conditions: report from the National Survey of Psychiatric Morbidity. *Int Rev Psychiatry*. 2003; 15:74–83. [PubMed: 12745313]
43. Yonkers KA. The association between premenstrual dysphoric disorder and other mood disorders. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1997; 58(suppl 15):19–25. [PubMed: 9427873]
44. Rubinow DR, Schmidt PJ, Roca CA. Hormone measures in reproductive endocrine-related mood disorders: diagnostic issues. *Psychopharmacol Bull*. 1998; 34:289–90. [PubMed: 9803756]
45. Wieck A. Endocrine aspects of postnatal mental disorders. *Baillieres Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 1989; 3:857–77. [PubMed: 2700147]
46. Wisner KL, Stowe ZN. Psychobiology of postpartum mood disorders. *Semin Reprod Endocrinol*. 1997; 15:77–89. [PubMed: 9065980]
47. Nott PN, Franklin M, Armitage C, Gelder MG. Hormonal changes and mood in the puerperium. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1976; 128:379–83. [PubMed: 944062]
48. O'Hara MW, Schlechte JA, Lewis DA, Wright EJ. Prospective study of postpartum blues. Biologic and psychosocial factors. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1991; 48:801–6. [PubMed: 1929770]
49. Barrett-Connor E, von MD, Laughlin GA, Kripke A. Endogenous levels of dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate, but not other sex hormones, are associated with depressed mood in older women: the Rancho Bernardo Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 1999; 47:685–91. [PubMed: 10366167]
50. Schmidt PJ, Nieman LK, Danaceau MA, Adams LF, Rubinow DR. Differential behavioral effects of gonadal steroids in women with and in those without premenstrual syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 1998; 338:209–16. [PubMed: 9435325]
51. Daly RC, Danaceau MA, Rubinow DR, Schmidt PJ. Concordant restoration of ovarian function and mood in perimenopausal depression. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2003; 160:1842–6. [PubMed: 14514500]
52. Deecher D, Andree TH, Sloan D, Schechter LE. From menarche to menopause: exploring the underlying biology of depression in women experiencing hormonal changes. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2008; 33:3–17. [PubMed: 18063486]
53. Ellenbogen MA, Young SN, Dean P, Palmour RM, Benkelfat C. Mood response to acute tryptophan depletion in healthy volunteers: sex differences and temporal stability. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 1996; 15:465–74. [PubMed: 8914119]
54. Booij L, Van der DW, Benkelfat C, et al. Predictors of mood response to acute tryptophan depletion. A reanalysis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2002; 27:852–61. [PubMed: 12431859]
55. Moreno FA, McGahuey CA, Freeman MP, Delgado PL. Sex differences in depressive response during monoamine depletions in remitted depressive subjects. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2006; 67:1618–23. [PubMed: 17107256]
56. Bancroft J, Cook A, Davidson D, Bennie J, Goodwin G. Blunting of neuroendocrine responses to infusion of L-tryptophan in women with perimenstrual mood change. *Psychol Med*. 1991; 21:305–12. [PubMed: 1876635]
57. Bond AJ, Wingrove J, Critchlow DG. Tryptophan depletion increases aggression in women during the premenstrual phase. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2001; 156:477–80. [PubMed: 11498726]
58. Menkes DB, Coates DC, Fawcett JP. Acute tryptophan depletion aggravates premenstrual syndrome. *J Affect Disord*. 1994; 32:37–44. [PubMed: 7798465]
59. Epperson CN, Amin Z, Naftolin F, et al. The resistance to depressive relapse in menopausal women undergoing tryptophan depletion: preliminary findings. *J Psychopharmacol*. 2007; 21:414–20. [PubMed: 16891341]

60. Jovanovic H, Cerin A, Karlsson P, Lundberg J, Halldin C, Nordstrom AL. A PET study of 5-HT1A receptors at different phases of the menstrual cycle in women with premenstrual dysphoria. *Psychiatry Res.* 2006; 148:185–93. [PubMed: 17085022]
61. Moses EL, Drevets WC, Smith G, et al. Effects of estradiol and progesterone administration on human serotonin 2A receptor binding: a PET study. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2000; 48:854–60. [PubMed: 11063980]
62. Schmidt PJ, Rubinow DR. Reproductive ageing, sex steroids and depression. *J Br Menopause Soc.* 2006; 12:178–85. [PubMed: 17178020]
63. Pecins-Thompson M, Brown NA, Bethea CL. Regulation of serotonin re-uptake transporter mRNA expression by ovarian steroids in rhesus macaques. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res.* 1998; 53:120–9. [PubMed: 9473622]
64. Clarke WP, Maayani S. Estrogen effects on 5-HT1A receptors in hippocampal membranes from ovariectomized rats: functional and binding studies. *Brain Res.* 1990; 518:287–91. [PubMed: 2143962]
65. Okada M, Hayashi N, Kometani M, Nakao K, Inukai T. Influences of ovariectomy and continuous replacement of 17beta-estradiol on the tail skin temperature and behavior in the forced swimming test in rats. *Jpn J Pharmacol.* 1997; 73:93–6. [PubMed: 9032138]
66. Lerch-Haner JK, Frierson D, Crawford LK, Beck SG, Deneris ES. Serotonergic transcriptional programming determines maternal behavior and offspring survival. *Nat Neurosci.* 2008; 11:1001–3. [PubMed: 19160496]
67. Watson NR, Studd JW, Savvas M, Garnett T, Baber RJ. Treatment of severe premenstrual syndrome with oestradiol patches and cyclical oral norethisterone. *Lancet.* 1989; 2:730–2. [PubMed: 2570971]
68. Dhar V, Murphy BE. Double-blind randomized crossover trial of luteal phase estrogens (Premarin) in the premenstrual syndrome (PMS). *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* 1990; 15:489–93. [PubMed: 1966304]
69. Watson NR, Studd JW, Savvas M, Baber RJ. The long-term effects of estradiol implant therapy for the treatment of premenstrual syndrome. *Gynecol Endocrinol.* 1990; 4:99–107. [PubMed: 2118709]
70. Freeman EW, Rickels K, Sondheimer SJ, Polansky M. A double-blind trial of oral progesterone, alprazolam, and placebo in treatment of severe premenstrual syndrome. *JAMA.* 1995; 274:51–7. [PubMed: 7791258]
71. Smith RN, Studd JW, Zamblera D, Holland EF. A randomised comparison over 8 months of 100 micrograms and 200 micrograms twice weekly doses of transdermal oestradiol in the treatment of severe premenstrual syndrome. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol.* 1995; 102:475–84. [PubMed: 7632640]
72. Freeman EW, Kroll R, Rapkin A, et al. Evaluation of a unique oral contraceptive in the treatment of premenstrual dysphoric disorder. *J Womens Health Gend Based Med.* 2001; 10:561–9. [PubMed: 11559453]
73. Pearlstein TB, Bachmann GA, Zacur HA, Yonkers KA. Treatment of premenstrual dysphoric disorder with a new drospirenone-containing oral contraceptive formulation. *Contraception.* 2005; 72:414–21. [PubMed: 16307962]
74. Yonkers KA, Brown C, Pearlstein TB, Foegh M, Sampson-Landers C, Rapkin A. Efficacy of a new low-dose oral contraceptive with drospirenone in premenstrual dysphoric disorder. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2005; 106:492–501. [PubMed: 16135578]
75. Joffe H, Petrillo LF, Viguera AC, et al. Treatment of premenstrual worsening of depression with adjunctive oral contraceptive pills: a preliminary report. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2007; 68:1954–62. [PubMed: 18162029]
76. Young EA, Kornstein SG, Harvey AT, et al. Influences of hormone-based contraception on depressive symptoms in premenopausal women with major depression. *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* 2007; 32:843–53. [PubMed: 17629629]
77. Sichel DA, Cohen LS, Robertson LM, Rutenber A, Rosenbaum JF. Prophylactic estrogen in recurrent postpartum affective disorder. *Biol Psychiatry.* 1995; 38:814–18. [PubMed: 8750040]
78. Gregoire AJ, Kumar R, Everitt B, Henderson AF, Studd JW. Transdermal oestrogen for treatment of severe postnatal depression. *Lancet.* 1996; 347:930–3. [PubMed: 8598756]

79. Ahokas A, Aito M, Rimón R. Positive treatment effect of estradiol in postpartum psychosis: a pilot study. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2000; 61:166–9. [PubMed: 10817099]
80. Ahokas A, Kaukoranta J, Wahlbeck K, Aito M. Estrogen deficiency in severe postpartum depression: successful treatment with sublingual physiologic 17beta-estradiol: a preliminary study. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2001; 62:332–6. [PubMed: 11411813]
81. Amsterdam J, Garcia-Espana F, Fawcett J, et al. Fluoxetine efficacy in menopausal women with and without estrogen replacement. *J Affect Disord*. 1999; 55:11–7. [PubMed: 10512601]
82. Schmidt PJ, Nieman L, Danaceau MA, et al. Estrogen replacement in perimenopause-related depression: a preliminary report. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2000; 183:414–20. [PubMed: 10942479]
83. Soares CN, Almeida OP, Joffe H, Cohen LS. Efficacy of estradiol for the treatment of depressive disorders in perimenopausal women: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2001; 58:529–34. [PubMed: 11386980]
84. Fenton C, Wellington K, Moen MD, Robinson DM. Drospirenone/ethinylestradiol 3mg/20microg (24/4 day regimen): a review of its use in contraception, premenstrual dysphoric disorder and moderate acne vulgaris. *Drugs*. 2007; 67:1749–65. [PubMed: 17683173]
85. Freeman EW. Evaluation of a unique oral contraceptive (Yasmin) in the management of premenstrual dysphoric disorder. *Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care*. 2002; 7(suppl 3):27–34. [PubMed: 12659404]
86. Young SA, Hurt PH, Benedek DM, Howard RS. Treatment of premenstrual dysphoric disorder with sertraline during the luteal phase: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover trial. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1998; 59:76–80. [PubMed: 9501889]
87. Freeman EW, Borisute H, Deal L, Smith L, Grubb GS, Constantine GD. A continuous-use regimen of levonorgestrel/ethinyl estradiol significantly alleviates cycle-related symptoms: results of a phase 3 study. *Fertil Steril*. 2005; 84(suppl 1):S25.
88. Halbreich U, O'Brien PM, Eriksson E, Backstrom T, Yonkers KA, Freeman EW. Are there differential symptom profiles that improve in response to different pharmacological treatments of premenstrual syndrome/premenstrual dysphoric disorder? *CNS Drugs*. 2006; 20:523–47. [PubMed: 16800714]
89. Freeman EW. Current update of hormonal and psychotropic drug treatment of premenstrual dysphoric disorder. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2002; 4:435–40. [PubMed: 12441023]
90. Willis SA, Kuehl TJ, Spiekerman AM, Sulak PJ. Greater inhibition of the pituitary-ovarian axis in oral contraceptive regimens with a shortened hormone-free interval. *Contraception*. 2006; 74:100–3. [PubMed: 16860046]
91. Coffee AL, Kuehl TJ, Willis S, Sulak PJ. Oral contraceptives and premenstrual symptoms: comparison of a 21/7 and extended regimen. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2006; 195:1311–9. [PubMed: 16796986]
92. Soares CN, Poitras JR, Prouty J, Alexander AB, Shifren JL, Cohen LS. Efficacy of citalopram as a monotherapy or as an adjunctive treatment to estrogen therapy for perimenopausal and postmenopausal women with depression and vasomotor symptoms. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2003; 64:473–9. [PubMed: 12716252]
93. Soares CN, Arsenio H, Joffe H, et al. Escitalopram versus ethinyl estradiol and norethindrone acetate for symptomatic peri- and postmenopausal women: impact on depression, vasomotor symptoms, sleep, and quality of life. *Menopause*. 2006; 13:780–6. [PubMed: 16894334]
94. Joffe H, Groninger H, Soares CN, Nonacs R, Cohen LS. An open trial of mirtazapine in menopausal women with depression unresponsive to estrogen replacement therapy. *J Womens Health Gend Based Med*. 2001; 10:999–1004. [PubMed: 11788110]
95. Morrison MF, Kallan MJ, Ten HT, Katz I, Tweedy K, Battistini M. Lack of efficacy of estradiol for depression in postmenopausal women: a randomized, controlled trial. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2004; 55:406–12. [PubMed: 14960294]
96. Hlatky MA, Boothroyd D, Vittinghoff E, Sharp P, Whooley MA. Quality-of-life and depressive symptoms in postmenopausal women after receiving hormone therapy: results from the Heart and Estrogen/Progestin Replacement Study (HERS) trial. *JAMA*. 2002; 287:591–7. [PubMed: 11829697]

97. Wisner KL, Hanusa BH, Perel JM, et al. Postpartum depression: a randomized trial of sertraline versus nortriptyline. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2006; 26:353–60. [PubMed: 16855451]
98. Raskin A. Age-sex differences in response to antidepressant drugs. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. 1974; 159:120–30. [PubMed: 4850465]
99. Kornstein SG, Schatzberg AF, Thase ME, et al. Gender differences in treatment response to sertraline versus imipramine in chronic depression. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2000; 157:1445–52. [PubMed: 10964861]
100. Baca E, Garcia-Garcia M, Porras-Chavarino A. Gender differences in treatment response to sertraline versus imipramine in patients with nonmelancholic depressive disorders. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2004; 28:57–65. [PubMed: 14687858]
101. Thase ME, Entsuah R, Cantillon M, Kornstein SG. Relative antidepressant efficacy of venlafaxine and SSRIs: sex-age interactions. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2005; 14:609–16. [PubMed: 16181017]
102. Pinto-Meza A, Usall J, Serrano-Blanco A, Suarez D, Haro JM. Gender differences in response to antidepressant treatment prescribed in primary care. Does menopause make a difference? *J Affect Disord*. 2006; 93:53–60. [PubMed: 16549204]
103. Halbreich U, Smoller JW. Intermittent luteal phase sertraline treatment of dysphoric premenstrual syndrome. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1997; 58:399–402. [PubMed: 9378691]
104. Steiner M, Korzekwa M, Lamont J, Wilkins A. Intermittent fluoxetine dosing in the treatment of women with premenstrual dysphoria. *Psychopharmacol Bull*. 1997; 33:771–4. [PubMed: 9493491]
105. Wikander I, Sundblad C, Andersch B, et al. Citalopram in premenstrual dysphoria: is intermittent treatment during luteal phases more effective than continuous medication throughout the menstrual cycle? *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 1998; 18:390–8. [PubMed: 9790157]
106. Jermain DM, Preece CK, Sykes RL, Kuehl TJ, Sulak PJ. Luteal phase sertraline treatment for premenstrual dysphoric disorder. Results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover study. *Arch Fam Med*. 1999; 8:328–32. [PubMed: 10418540]
107. Cohen LS, Miner C, Brown EW, et al. Premenstrual daily fluoxetine for premenstrual dysphoric disorder: a placebo-controlled, clinical trial using computerized diaries. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2002; 100:435–44. [PubMed: 12220761]
108. Miner C, Brown E, McCray S, Gonzales J, Wohlreich M. Weekly luteal-phase dosing with enteric-coated fluoxetine 90 mg in premenstrual dysphoric disorder: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Clin Ther*. 2002; 24:417–33. [PubMed: 11952025]
109. Steiner M, Hirschberg AL, Bergeron R, Holland F, Gee MD, Van EE. Luteal phase dosing with paroxetine controlled release (CR) in the treatment of premenstrual dysphoric disorder. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2005; 193:352–60. [PubMed: 16098854]
110. Nierenberg AA, Farabaugh AH, Alpert JE, et al. Timing of onset of antidepressant response with fluoxetine treatment. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2000; 157:1423–8. [PubMed: 10964858]
111. Tollefson GD, Holman SL. How long to onset of antidepressant action: a meta-analysis of patients treated with fluoxetine or placebo. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol*. 1994; 9:245–50. [PubMed: 7868846]
112. Freeman EW, Rickels K, Sondheimer SJ, Wittmaack FM. Sertraline versus desipramine in the treatment of premenstrual syndrome: an open-label trial. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1996; 57:7–11. [PubMed: 8543554]
113. Freeman EW, Rickels K, Sondheimer SJ, Polansky M. Differential response to antidepressants in women with premenstrual syndrome/premenstrual dysphoric disorder: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1999; 56:932–9. [PubMed: 10530636]
114. Wisner KL, Perel JM, Peindl KS, Hanusa BH, Piontek CM, Findling RL. Prevention of postpartum depression: a pilot randomized clinical trial. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2004; 161:1290–2. [PubMed: 15229064]
115. Wisner KL, Perel JM, Peindl KS, Hanusa BH, Findling RL, Rapport D. Prevention of recurrent postpartum depression: a randomized clinical trial. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2001; 62:82–6. [PubMed: 11247106]

116. Longone P, Rupprecht R, Manieri GA, Bernardi G, Romeo E, Pasini A. The complex roles of neurosteroids in depression and anxiety disorders. *Neurochem Int.* 2008; 52:596–601. [PubMed: 17996986]
117. Romeo E, Strohle A, Spalletta G, et al. Effects of antidepressant treatment on neuroactive steroids in major depression. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1998; 155:910–3. [PubMed: 9659856]
118. Strohle A, Romeo E, Hermann B, et al. Concentrations of 3 alpha-reduced neuroactive steroids and their precursors in plasma of patients with major depression and after clinical recovery. *Biol Psychiatry.* 1999; 45:274–7. [PubMed: 10023501]
119. Strohle A, Pasini A, Romeo E, et al. Fluoxetine decreases concentrations of 3 alpha, 5 alpha-tetrahydrodeoxycorticosterone (THDOC) in major depression. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2000; 34:183–6. [PubMed: 10867112]
120. Uzunova V, Sheline Y, Davis JM, et al. Increase in the cerebrospinal fluid content of neurosteroids in patients with unipolar major depression who are receiving fluoxetine or fluvoxamine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1998; 95:3239–44. [PubMed: 9501247]
121. Girdler SS, Klatzkin R. Neurosteroids in the context of stress: implications for depressive disorders. *Pharmacol Ther.* 2007; 116:125–39. [PubMed: 17597217]
122. Epperson CN, deWit H, Krystal JH. Advances in the translational neuroscience of neurosteroids. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2006; 186:265–6. [PubMed: 16555064]
123. Pinna G, Costa E, Guidotti A. Fluoxetine and norfluoxetine stereospecifically and selectively increase brain neurosteroid content at doses that are inactive on 5-HT reuptake. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2006; 186:362–72. [PubMed: 16432684]
124. Griffin LD, Mellon SH. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors directly alter activity of neurosteroidogenic enzymes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1999; 96:13512–7. [PubMed: 10557352]
125. van BF, Verkes RJ. Neurosteroids in depression: a review. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2003; 165:97–110. [PubMed: 12420152]
126. Maguire J, Mody I. GABA(A)R plasticity during pregnancy: relevance to postpartum depression. *Neuron.* 2008; 59:207–13. [PubMed: 18667149]

TABLE 1
Correlational Studies of Reproductive Depression at Different Reproductive Life Stages

Study Number	Author/year	n	Population	Was an association found between		
				PMS & PPD?	PMS & PMD?	PPD & PMD?
1	Stewart & Boydell (1993)8	44	Women w/ "high psychological distress" attending menopause clinic	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Chuong & Burgos (1995)9	190	Women seeking gynecologic care with PMS but no psychiatric history	Yes	N/A	N/A
3	Morse et al. (1998)10	291	Community sample of urban Australian women 45–55 with PMS; psychiatric history unknown	N/A	Yes (difficult transition)	N/A
4	Gregory et al. (2000)11	72	Women 19–75 with history of major depressive or bipolar disorder	No	Yes	Yes
5	Binfa et al. (2004)12	300	Chilean women 50–59 w/ history of PMD; psychiatric history unknown	N/A	Yes	N/A
6	Freeman EW et al. (2004)13	436	Population-based cohort of women in their 40s	N/A	Yes	N/A
7	Aydin et al. (2005)14	728	Turkish women within the first postnatal year	Yes	N/A	N/A
8	Bloch et al. (2005, 2006)15,16	1800	Israeli women in a general hospital maternity ward postpartum	Yes	N/A	N/A
9	Richards et al. (2006)17	70	Depressed perimenopausal women 40–55 attending menopause clinic	N/A	Trend only	N/A
10	Haywood et al. (2007)18	63	Community sample of 63 English women who had given birth in past three years	No	N/A	N/A
11	Payne et al. (2007)19	509	Postmenopausal women with major depressive disorder & prior pregnancy	Yes	Yes	Yes
12	Steinberg et al. (2008)20	116	Women 40–55 seen at NIMH midlife mood disorders clinic meeting criteria for PMD	N/A	No, but 55% positive	No

PMD, perimenopausal depressive symptoms; PMS, premenstrual symptoms; PPD, postpartum depressive symptoms.

TABLE 2

Hormone Therapies for Reproductive Depression

Disorder/study	n	Population	Hormone treatment	Result
PMS/PMDD				
Watson et al. (1989) ⁶⁷	40	Women w/ PMS	Estrogen patches (2 × 100 mcg) for 3 months (placebo crossover 6-month trial)	Both groups showed improvement in PMS symptoms (at end of study); those switched to placebo from estrogen deteriorated; those switched to estrogen from placebo improved further
Dhar et al. (1990) ⁶⁸	11	Women w/ PMS	Conjugated estrogens (Premarin) for luteal phase for 2 cycles vs. placebo for 2 cycles	Placebo > conjugated estrogens
Watson et al. (1990) ⁶⁹	50	Women w/ severe PMS	Estradiol implants, varying doses	96% improved in depressive symptoms
Freeman et al. (1995) ⁷⁰	170	University hospital gynecology outpatients meeting criteria for PMS	300 mg oral micronized progesterone vs. 0.25 mg alprazolam vs. placebo	Alprazolam > placebo > progesterone
Smith et al. (1995) ⁷¹	20	Women w/ prospectively confirmed severe PMS	Estradiol patches, 100 mcg vs. 200 mcg twice weekly	100 mcg = 200 mcg, w/ 45%–57% improved; 100 mcg better tolerated
Freeman et al. (2001) ⁷²	82	Women w/ PMDD	EE/DRSP vs. placebo for 3 cycles	EE/DRSP > placebo for luteal symptoms on BDI, POMS, & COPE; significant ($p > 0.027$) only on appetite, acne, & food-craving measure
Pearlstein et al. (2005) ⁷³	64	Women w/ PMDD	EE/DRSP vs. placebo for 3 cycles	Mean DRSP decrease of 12.47 w/ EE/DRSP vs. 6.66 w/ placebo ($p < 0.001$); 61.7 % of EE/DRSP vs. 31.8% of placebo ($p = 0.009$) had good response (CGI-I score of 1 or 2)
Yonkers et al. (2005) ⁷⁴	450	Women w/ PMDD	EE/DRSP vs. placebo 3 cycles	DRSP scores decreased by 37.49 w/ EE/DRSP vs. 29.99 w/ placebo ($p < 0.001$); 48% EE/DRSP vs. 36% placebo ($p = 0.015$) responded (50% score decrease)
Joffe et al. (2007) ⁷⁵	21	Women w/ AD-treated MDD & luteal-phase breakthrough symptoms	EE/DRSP (open) for 21 days, then EE vs. placebo (blind) for 7 days, for 2 cycles	MADRS ($p = 0.0019$) & DRS ($p = 0.0001$) scores improved in both groups
Young et al. (2007) ⁷⁶	1238	Female outpatients <40 yrs w/ nonpsychotic MDD participating in STAR*D	Estrogen/progestin vs. progestin-only OCP vs. no OCP	Progestin-only group had worse function than other 2 groups; estrogen/progestin group had fewer depression symptoms than no OCP & better function than other 2 groups
PPD				
Sichel et al. (1995) ⁷⁷	4	Newly postpartum women w/ history of PPD	10 mg conjugated estrogens daily	0% relapse (vs. 35%–60% expected) after 1 year
Gregoire et al. (1996) ⁷⁸	61	Women w/ PPD w/in 3 months of delivery	Estrogen patch vs. placebo	80% had significant decrease in symptoms vs. 31% of placebo controls
Ahokas et al. (2000) ⁷⁹	10	Women w/ low estradiol levels meeting ICD-10 criteria for postpartum psychosis	1–6 mg 17 β -estradiol (to serum level 400 pmol/L)	100% had significant reduction in symptoms on BPRS
Ahokas et al. (2001) ⁸⁰	23	Women w/ severe PPD	Open-label 17 β -estradiol	83% had full remission after 2 weeks
PMD				

Disorder/study	<i>n</i>	Population	Hormone treatment	Result
Amsterdam et al. (1999) ⁸¹	568	Women aged >45 on ERT (40) vs. not on ERT (132) vs. women aged <45 (396) vs. men (262) w/ MDD	FLX ± ERT for 8 weeks, then remitters for 1 year	No difference in antidepressant effects by HAM-D w/ FLX vs. FLX + ERT, regardless of age or sex; greater relapse rate in women >45 on ERT ($p < 0.06$)
Schmidt et al. (2000) ⁸²	34	Perimenopausal women aged >45 w/ depression ± hot flashes	ERT (17- β estradiol) vs. placebo for 3 weeks, then continuing ERT vs. placebo group (converted to ERT) for 3 more weeks	At least partial remission of symptoms seen w/ ERT (80%) vs. placebo (22%) ($p < 0.01$); hot flashes and duration of ERT treatment did not affect outcome
Soares et al. (2001) ⁸³	50	Perimenopausal women aged 40–55 w/ depression	17- β estradiol patch (100 mcg) vs. placebo for 12 weeks after 4-week washout	Remission by MADRS was observed in 68% on estradiol patch vs. 20% on placebo ($p = 0.001$).

AD, antidepressant; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; BPRS, Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale; CGI-I, Clinical Global Impressions–Improvement; COPE, Calendar of Premenstrual Experiences; DRS, Daily Record of Severity of Problems; DRSP, drospirenone (Yasmin); EE, ethinyl estradiol; ERT, estrogen replacement therapy; FLX, fluoxetine; HAM-D, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; HRT, hormone replacement therapy; MADRS, Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; MDD, major depressive disorder; MPA, medroxyprogesterone acetate; OCP, oral contraceptive pill; PMD, perimenopausal depression; PMDD, premenstrual dysphoric disorder; PMS, premenstrual syndrome; POMS, Profile of Mood States; PPD, postpartum depression; STAR*D, Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression.

TABLE 3**Differential Responses to SSRIs by Menopausal Status and Gender**

Study	Population	n	Agents	Outcome
Raskin et al. (1974)98	Women >40 vs. women <40	555 women	Imipramine	Women >40 responded better to imipramine
Kornstein et al. (2000)99	Premenopausal women vs. postmenopausal women vs. men	400 women (264 on sertraline, 136 on imipramine); 235 men (162 on sertraline, 73 on imipramine)	Sertraline vs. imipramine	Premenopausal women responded better to sertraline; postmenopausal women and men responded equally to both agents
Baca et al. (2004)100	Women vs. men	184 women (90 on sertraline, 94 on imipramine); 50 men (23 on sertraline, 27 on imipramine)	Sertraline vs. imipramine	Women responded better to sertraline; men responded equally to both agents
Thase et al. (2005)101	Women >50 vs. women <50 vs. men (meta-analysis of 8 studies)	2045 overall (851 on venlafaxine, 748 on SSRI, 446 on placebo) 1312 women (955 <50, 357 >50)	Venlafaxine, SSRI, placebo	Women <50 responded better to SSRI than women >50; no difference for men by age; no difference for venlafaxine by age
Pinto-Meza et al. (2006)102	Postmenopausal women vs. premenopausal women	242 women (95 menopausal, 147 premenopausal)	SSRI	Premenopausal women responded better to SSRI

SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor.